# Mobile Phone Ownership Is Not a Serious Barrier to Participation in Studies: Descriptive Study

Emily J Harvey<sup>1</sup>, MA; Leslie F Rubin<sup>1,2</sup>, MS; Sabrina L Smiley<sup>1</sup>, PhD, MPH, MCHES; Yitong Zhou<sup>1</sup>, MS; Hoda Elmasry<sup>1</sup>, MPH; Jennifer L Pearson<sup>1,3</sup>, MPH, PhD

<sup>1</sup>Truth Initiative, Schroeder Institute for Tobacco Research and Policy Studies, Washington, DC, United States

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, American University, Washington, DC, United States

<sup>3</sup>Department of Health, Behavior, and Society, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States

# **Corresponding Author:**

Emily J Harvey, MA Truth Initiative Schroeder Institute for Tobacco Research and Policy Studies 900 G St NW Fourth Floor Washington, DC, 20001 United States Phone: 1 2024545768 Email: <u>eharvey@truthinitiative.org</u>

# **Related Article:**

This is a corrected version. See correction statement in: http://mhealth.jmir.org/2018/4/e10403/

# Abstract

**Background:** Rather than providing participants with study-specific data collection devices, their personal mobile phones are increasingly being used as a means for collecting geolocation and ecological momentary assessment (EMA) data in public health research.

**Objective:** The purpose of this study was to (1) describe the sociodemographic characteristics of respondents to an online survey screener assessing eligibility to participate in a mixed methods study collecting geolocation and EMA data via the participants' personal mobile phones, and (2) examine how eligibility criteria requiring mobile phone ownership and an unlimited text messaging plan affected participant inclusion.

**Methods:** Adult ( $\geq$ 18 years) daily smokers were recruited via public advertisements, free weekly newspapers, printed flyers, and word of mouth. An online survey screener was used as the initial method of determining eligibility for study participation. The survey screened for twenty-eight inclusion criteria grouped into three categories, which included (1) cell phone use, (2) tobacco use, and (3) additional criteria

**Results:** A total of 1003 individuals completed the online screener. Respondents were predominantly African American (605/1003, 60.3%) (60.4%), male (514/1003, 51.3%), and had a median age of 35 years (IQR 26-50). Nearly 50% (496/1003, 49.5%) were unemployed. Most smoked menthol cigarettes (699/1003, 69.7%), and had a median smoking history of 11 years (IQR 5-21). The majority owned a mobile phone (739/1003, 73.7%), could install apps (86.8%), used their mobile phone daily (89.5%), and had an unlimited text messaging plan (871/1003, 86.8%). Of those who completed the online screener, 302 were eligible to participate in the study; 163 were eligible after rescreening, and 117 were enrolled in the study. Compared to employed individuals, a significantly greater proportion of those who were unemployed were ineligible for the study based on mobile phone inclusion criteria (P<.001); yet, 46.4% (333/717) of the individuals who were unemployed met all mobile phone inclusion criteria.

**Conclusions:** Inclusion criteria requiring participants to use their personal mobile phones for data collection was not a major barrier to study participation for most respondents who completed the online screener, including those who were unemployed.

**Trial Registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02261363; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02261363 (Archived by WebCite at http://www.webcitation.org/6wOmDluSt)

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2018;6(2):e21) doi: 10.2196/mhealth.8123



## **KEYWORDS**

smoking; smartphone ownership; online survey screener; ecological momentary assessment; tobacco products/utilization; electronic cigarettes; observational study; United States

# Introduction

Ecological momentary assessment (EMA), a real-time data capture method, has been increasingly used as a viable method to collect data from research participants over time and in various contexts outside of a research lab[1-3]. EMA focuses on collecting data and recording subject experiences at a particular moment in the context of the research participants' natural environment [4]. The types of devices used in EMA data collection have changed with the development of, access to, and utilization of new technology [5]. Whereas EMA once entailed that the participants keep written records, most real-time data is now collected via handheld electronic devices, such as tablets and cell phones[5-7]. Along with EMA, geotracking is another method that is being used more frequently to collect information regarding the participants' real-time physical location or surroundings (eg, Global Positioning System [GPS] coordinates, home, office) by obtaining GPS data from their mobile phones or other GPS-enabled devices. Geotracking also allows the identification and analysis of those locations in relation to ones' actions and/or experiences [8-10]. The technology used for both EMA and geotracking within public health research has developed alongside advancements in consumer electronics, and the methods can now be implemented through apps on the study participants' personal mobile phones [5,8].

The use of mobile phones has become a method for EMA and geotracking data collection over the past ten years [7,11,12]. Such a preference may be attributed to the surge in mobile phone ownership among the general population. Between 2011 and 2016, there was a 42% increase in mobile phone ownership, and 77% Americans now own a mobile phone [13]. The unique features of mobile phones include internet accessibility and the ability to download and install apps.

Instead of providing participants with study-specific handheld electronic devices, personal mobile phones are increasingly being used as a means for collecting EMA and geotracking data, providing a noncoercive (eg, no free phone for study participation), low-cost tool to collect data in real-time [1]. While the majority of US adults own a mobile phone, it cannot be assumed that a potential study participant will have access to a mobile phone [13]. Factors such as socioeconomic status (SES), which encompasses employment, income, and educational levels, may impact mobile phone ownership. Researchers must consider the potential participants' mobile phone ownership and usage when conducting studies utilizing EMA and geotracking methods. Thus, understanding a potential participant's access to a mobile phone is important for interpreting the generalizability of results when implementing these methods. The purpose of this study was to (1) describe the sociodemographic characteristics of respondents to an online survey screener assessing their eligibility to participate in the "Moment Study": a mixed methods study that examined e-cigarette initiation among adult cigarette smokers using

http://mhealth.jmir.org/2018/2/e21/

geolocation and text message-based EMA data collected via the participants' personal mobile phones, and (2) examine how eligibility criteria requiring mobile phone ownership and an unlimited texting plan affected study eligibility.

# Methods

# **Study Design**

Data come from a parent study called the "Moment Study", a mixed method longitudinal study that examined factors influencing e-cigarette initiation using a convenience sample of adult daily smokers residing in Washington, DC [14]. Briefly, the parent study involved data collected over three-weeks, and included: 1) geotracking; 2) EMA; 3) individual interviews; 4) biosamples; and 5) an online follow-up survey 30-days after the participant's last study visit. Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the Chesapeake IRB (Pro00008526). Text message-based EMA data were collected via mobile phones. A secure, automated text message-based EMA system prompted participants to respond to 6 random text message surveys a day for 21 days. Participants also initiated text message surveys whenever they smoked a cigarette or used an e-cigarette. Geotracking data was collected via an app downloaded to participants' mobile phones. The app collected one tracking point every five minutes [14]. The analyses presented here include data from the online survey screener only.

#### **Recruitment and Eligibility**

We recruited a convenience sample of adult smokers via public advertisements, free weekly newspapers, printed flyers, and word of mouth. The advertisements included a link to an online survey, which was the initial screening tool. The online survey screened for twenty-eight inclusion criteria grouped into three categories, which included (1) mobile phone use, (2) tobacco use, and (3) additional inclusion criteria.

The inclusion criteria for mobile phone use derived from the technological needs for EMA and geotracking data collection. We required daily mobile phone use and a preexisting unlimited text messaging plan to ensure that participants were comfortable sending and receiving texts and the number of text messages sent and received over the course of three weeks did not pose a financial burden on the participants. We also required the participants to have an Android or iPhone mobile phone because the geotracking app was only available on these operating systems. Device requirements were not expected to be restrictive, as Android and iPhone are the leading mobile phone operating systems in the United States with Android holding 56.4% and iPhone accounting for 42% of the market as of January 2017 [15].

In addition to the mobile phone inclusion criteria, we also required that participants be adult ( $\geq$ 18 years) daily cigarette smokers with restricted past 30-day use of other tobacco products. Additional inclusion criteria included age, pregnancy status, and both physical and mental health status (Table 2).

XSL•FO RenderX

Prior to study enrollment, participants deemed eligible by the online screener were re-screened via telephone by study personnel to confirm that they met the inclusion criteria.

# **Statistical Analyses**

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the sociodemographic characteristics of individuals who completed the online screener, overall and eligibility by virtue of mobile phone ownership, and to describe the frequency of respondents meeting the study criteria. Observations with missing data were listwise deleted. Chi square tests and Mood's median tests (a special case of chi square tests) were used to test the equality of proportions or medians. Statistical significance was set to a *P*-value of 0.05. All analyses were conducted using Stata 14.2 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA).

# Results

A total of 1003 individuals took the online screener. Most respondents were African American (605/1003, 60.3%), male (514/1003, 51.3%), and had a median age of 35 years (IQR 26-50). Nearly all the respondents lived in Washington, DC, Virginia or Maryland (976/1003, 97.3%), and approximately

half (496/1003, 49.5%) were unemployed. Most smoked menthol cigarettes (699/1003, 69.7%) and had smoked for a median of 11 years (IQR 5-21) (Table 1).

Of the 1003 respondents to the online screener, 28.5% (286/1003) were ineligible because of mobile phone inclusion criteria. Differences by sociodemographic characteristics and mobile phone inclusion criteria were evident. African Americans (57.4% vs 70.5%, P<.001) and menthol smokers (72.0% vs 68.8%, P<.001) made up greater proportions of people who were ineligible owing to mobile phone inclusion criteria. Additionally, people who were ineligible owing to mobile phone inclusion criteria were older (median age of 47 vs 32, P<.001) and had smoked for more years (median years smoked being 20 vs 10, P<.001). Of those who met the mobile phone inclusion criteria, 53.6% (384/717) were employed; conversely, among those who did not meet mobile phone criteria, 68.9% (197/286) were unemployed.

Among the other inclusion criteria, 44.0% of the unemployed individuals met all tobacco use inclusion criteria, and 93.0% of unemployed individuals met all the additional inclusion criteria; there was no difference in eligibility by employment status for the tobacco use or all other inclusion criteria (results not shown).

Table 1. Characteristics of individuals who took the initial online screener, overall and by mobile phone inclusion criteria, in the Washington, DC area.

Characteristic	Mobile phone inclusion criteria			
	Overall (N=1003)	Met inclusion criteria (>N=717)	Did not meet inclusion criteria (N=286)	P value
Gender, n (%) <sup>a</sup>				<.001
Female	478 (47.7)	346 (48.3)	132 (46.2)	
Male	514 (51.3)	371 (51.7)	143 (50.0)	
Race, n (%) <sup>a</sup>				<.001
White	248 (24.7)	193 (27.0)	55 (20.0)	
African American	605 (60.3)	411 (57.4)	194 (70.5)	
Asian	39 (3.9)	35 (4.9)	4 (1.5)	
Native Hawaiian	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	0 (0)	
American Indian	2 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.4)	
Other	52 (5.2))	41 (5.7)	11 (4.0)	
More than 1 race	44 (4.4)	34 (4.7)	11 (3.6)	
Hispanic, n (%)	77 (7.7)	58 (8.1)	19 (6.6)	.530
Age, median (IQR <sup>b</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>	35 (26-50)	32 (25-45)	47 (32-55)	<.001
Years smoking, median (IQR) <sup>c</sup>	11 (5-21)	10 (6-20)	20 (11-35)	<.001
Menthol smoker n (%)	699 (69.7)	493 (68.8)	206 (72.0)	<.001
Live in the DC metro area, n (%)	976 (97.3)	708 (98.9)	268 (93.7)	.201
Employment, n (%) <sup>a</sup>				
Employed	473 (47.2)	384 (53.6)	89 (31.3)	<.001
Not employed	496 (49.5)	333 (46.4)	197 (68.9)	

<sup>a</sup>The following variables had missing data: gender (1%), race (1.2%), employment (3.3%).

<sup>b</sup>IQR: interquartile range.

<sup>c</sup>Mood's median tests were used to test for differences in median age and years smoked.

http://mhealth.jmir.org/2018/2/e21/

#### Harvey et al

Table 2. Percentage of screened individuals who satisfied mobile phone, tobacco use, or additional inclusion criteria in the online screener (N=1003).

Inclusion criteria n (%) Mobile phone criteria Mobile phone criteria Mobile phone criteria Mobile phone allows app installation X71 (86.8) X71 (71.5) X71 (71.5) X71 (71.5) X71 (72.5) X71 (72.5) X71 (72.5) X71 (72.5) X71 (72.5) X71 (72.5) X72 (72.0) X72			
<table-container>I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I</table-container>	Inclusion criteria	n (%)	
Fwr Andreid or iPhone?39 (3.7)Abile phone allows app installation\$71 (86.8)Use mobile phone daily\$89 (89.5)Ibilimited ext message plan\$71 (86.8)Abet all the mobile phone inclusion criteria\$71 (86.8)Total ext message plan\$71 (87.8)Abet all the mobile phone inclusion criteria\$91 (86.7)Total ext message plan\$92 (97.0)Abile prime\$92 (98.7)Abile prime\$93 (86.7)Abile prime\$93 (86.7)Abile prime\$94 (86.7)Abile of initic cigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days\$94 (96.3)Abile of obschal momer than 5 times in the last 30 days\$96 (96.3)Abile of dipsmff in the last 30 days\$96 (96.2)Abile of abile in the last 30 da	Mobile phone criteria		
I Mobile plane allows app installation       871 (86.8)         Use mobile plane allows app installation       898 (89.5)         Unlimited ext message plan       871 (86.8)         Metal II mobile plane inclusion criteria       712 (71.5)         Vertified         Vertified <td co<="" td=""><td>Have Android or iPhone</td><td>739 (73.7)</td></td>	<td>Have Android or iPhone</td> <td>739 (73.7)</td>	Have Android or iPhone	739 (73.7)
Ise mobile phone daily       988 (89.5)         Indimide text message plan       717 (15.5)         Ise all the mobile phone inclusion criteria       717 (17.5)         Ise all the mobile phone inclusion criteria       890 (88.7)         Ise all the mobile phone inclusion criteria       890 (88.7)         Ise all the mobile phone inclusion criteria       727 (7.0)         Ise all title cigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days       840 (8.2)         Ise of pixer no more than 5 times in the last 30 days       996 (96.3)         Ise of pixer no more than 5 times in the last 30 days       996 (96.3)         Ise of pixer no more than 5 times in the last 30 days       995 (99.2)         No use of pixer no more than 5 times in the last 30 days       995 (99.2)         No use of pixer no more than 5 times in the last 30 days       997 (99.4)         No use of nipcint in the last 30 days       907 (90.4)         No use of nipcint in the last 30 days       901 (95.8)         Interest in trying an e-cigarette       893 (83.1)         No use of ciccine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days       961 (95.8)         Interest in trying an e-cigarette       975 (97.2)         Interest in trying an e-cigarette       975 (97.2)         Interest in the last 30 days       975 (97.2)         Interest in trying an e-cigarette	Mobile phone allows app installation	871 (86.8)	
Inlinitid text message plan     871 (86.8)       Met all the mobile phone inclusion criteria     717 (71.5)       INTERPIRE       INTERPIRE <td co<="" td=""><td>Use mobile phone daily</td><td>898 (89.5)</td></td>	<td>Use mobile phone daily</td> <td>898 (89.5)</td>	Use mobile phone daily	898 (89.5)
Meet all the mobile phone inclusion criteria       717 (71.5)         IVE TOPE         IVE TOPE         Segment of the mobile phone inclusion criteria       800 (88.7)         Segment of the criteria aday       727 (77.0)         Segment of the criteria aday       689 (68.7)         Use of little crigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days       814 (81.2)         Use of hookah no more than 5 times in the last 30 days       969 (69.3)         No use of pipe (with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days       995 (99.2)         No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days       995 (99.2)         No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days       997 (99.4)         No use of anys in the last 30 days       900 (99.7)         No use of accigaretes in the last 30 days       900 (99.7)         No use of accigaretes in the last 30 days       901 (99.7)         Interested in trying an e-crigarete       830 (83.1)         No use of accigaretes in the last 30 days       901 (99.7)         Interested in trying an e-crigarete       917 (91.4)         No use of accigareting or planning to become pregnant       917 (91.4)         No use of accigareting or planning to become pregnant       917 (91.2)         No use of unor formore than 5 nights in the nets 6 weeks       931 (93.0)	Unlimited text message plan	871 (86.8)	
J=wertice       9008.7         ≤ parso s moking       72 (7.0)         ≤ parso s moking       72 (7.0)         S (apartes a da)       690 (8.7)         U = 0 filte cigars nome than 5 times in the 130 days       980 (90.3)         U = 0 filte cigars nome than 5 times in the 130 days       980 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan nome than 5 times in the 130 days       990 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan nome than 5 times in the 130 days       990 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan nome than 5 days       990 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan nome than 5 days       990 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       990 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan in the 130 days       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan inflaction       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan inflaction       900 (90.3)         U = 0 forkan inflaction       900 (	Meet all the mobile phone inclusion criteria	717 (71.5)	
Junction890 (88.7)\$2 years of smoking72 (77.0)\$2 years of smoking720 (77.0)\$2 years of smoking680 (68.7)\$2 years of little eigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days980 (98.7)\$2 years of price with the back 30 days990 (99.7)\$2 years of price with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days990 (99.7)\$3 Now of dip/smift in the last 30 days990 (99.7)\$4 Now of dip/smift in the last 30 days990 (99.7)\$5 Now of dip/smift in the last 30 days900 (99.7)\$5 Now of dip/smift in the last 30 days900 (99.7)\$6 Now of dip/smift in the last 30 days901 (99.7)\$6 Now of dip/smift in the last 30 days901 (99.7)\$6 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days901 (99.7)\$6 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days901 (99.7)\$7 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days901 (99.7)\$8 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days903 (93.1)\$9 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days910 (93.2)\$9 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days910 (93.2)\$9 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days910 (93.2)\$9 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days910 (93.2)\$9 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches) in the last 30 days910 (93.2)\$9 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches)910 (93.2)\$9 Now of cickgreup date (sing um, patches)910 (93.2)\$9 Now of cic	Tobacco use criteria		
> ≥5 years of smoking772 (77.0)> ≥6 cigarettes a day689 (68.7)Use of little cigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days814 (81.2)Use of cigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days998 (99.5)Use of hookah no more than 5 times in the last 30 days996 (96.3)No use of pipe (with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days995 (99.2)No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of dip/smtff in the last 30 days901 (09.7)No use of acigarettes in the last 30 days901 (99.7)No use of acigarettes in the last 30 days901 (95.8)No use of acigarettes in the last 30 days901 (95.8)No use of acigarettes in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette898 (68.7)No use of acigarettes in the last 30 days977 (97.2)No the castion medication977 (97.2)No the castion medication975 (97.2)No threatfeding or planning to become pregnant975 (97.2)No spchosis940 (94.6)No sucidal thoughts940 (94.7)No tur of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)Is parso rolder933 (93.0)Is parsor rolder933 (93.0)Is	Daily smoker	890 (88.7)	
≥8 cigarettes a day689 (68.7)Use of little cigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days948 (95.7)Use of cigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days996 (96.3)Use of hookah no more than 5 times in the last 30 days995 (92.2)No use of pipe (with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days907 (99.4)No use of dip/snuff in the last 30 days907 (99.4)No use of anys in the last 30 days906 (95.3)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days97 (94.2)No use of accigarettes in the last 30 days97 (94.2)No use of accigarettes in the part accidant97 (94.2)No use of accigarettes in the part accidant97 (94.2)No use of accigarettes in the part accidant94 (94.6)No use of accigarettes in the part accidant94 (94.6)No use of accigarettes in the next 6 weeks93 (93.0) <td>≥5 years of smoking</td> <td>772 (77.0)</td>	≥5 years of smoking	772 (77.0)	
Use of little cigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days814 (81.2)Use of cigars no more than 5 times in last the 30 days998 (99.5)Use of hookah no more than 5 times in the last 30 days996 (96.3)No use of pipe (with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days995 (92.2)No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of dip/snuff in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of snus in the last 30 days901 (95.8)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of -cigarettes in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of -cigarettes in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Mot on cessation medication947 (94.4)No to ressation medication947 (94.4)No to ressation medication947 (94.4)No spichosis943 (94.0)No suicidal thoughts940 (93.7)No currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)No currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)No currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)No currently in an alcohol treatment program930 (93.0)Is gars or older930 (93.0)Is gars or older940 (94.6)Is gard or older to the tor times in	≥8 cigarettes a day	689 (68.7)	
Use of cigars no more than 5 times in last the 30 days998 (99.5)Use of hookah no more than 5 times in the last 30 days996 (96.3)No use of pipe (with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days995 (95.2)No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of dip/snuff in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of snus in the last 30 days906 (95.8)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of -cigarettes in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Meet all tobacco use inclusion criteria426 (42.5)No to cessation medication947 (94.4)No to cessation medication947 (94.4)No psychosis943 (94.0)No suicidal thoughts940 (93.7)No currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)No to currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)No to currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)No to currently in an alcohol treatment program933 (93.0)Is gars or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Hert all additional inclusion criteria940 (94.6) <tr <td="">Hert a</tr>	Use of little cigars no more than 5 times in the last 30 days	814 (81.2)	
Use of hookah no more than 5 times in the last 30 days996 (96.3)No use of pipe (with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days995 (95.2)No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of dip/snuff in the last 30 days900 (99.7)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Meet all tobacco use inclusion criteria689 (68.7)Not on cessation medication947 (94.4)No the ore station medication975 (97.2)No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure941 (93.8)No suicidal thoughts940 (93.7)No to of forwing the singth in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tou of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tou of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tou of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tou of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tout of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tout of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tout of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tout of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tout of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No tout of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks940 (94.6) <tr <td="">No tou</tr>	Use of cigars no more than 5 times in last the 30 days	998 (99.5)	
No use of pipe (with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days995 (95.2)No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days995 (99.2)No use of dip/snuff in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of sins in the last 30 days1000 (99.7)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of e-cigarettes in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Meet all tobacco use inclusion criteria426 (42.5)Not on cessation medication947 (94.4)No the castfeeding or planning to become pregnant941 (93.8)No sucidal thoughts943 (94.0)No sucidal thoughts949 (94.6)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)No to of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)Isyears or older1002 (99.9)Reide in the DC metro area949 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Met all additional inclusion criteria949 (94.6)	Use of hookah no more than 5 times in the last 30 days	996 (96.3)	
No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days995 (99.2)No use of dip/snuff in the last 30 days907 (99.4)No use of snus in the last 30 days1000 (99.7)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days833 (83.1)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Met all tobacco use inclusion criteria689 (68.7)Act all tobacco use inclusion criteria426 (42.5)No to ressation medication947 (94.4)No to ressation medication947 (94.4)No to ressation medication941 (93.8)No sucidal thoughts943 (94.0)No sucidal thoughts949 (94.6)No to rotof for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)No to ut of town for more than 5 nights in thre eweeks933 (93.0)No tarvel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three w	No use of pipe (with tobacco, not including hookah) in the last 30 days	995 (95.2)	
No use of dip/snuff in the last 30 days997 (99.4)No use of snus in the last 30 days1000 (99.7)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of e-cigarettes in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Meet all tobacco use inclusion criteria426 (42.5)Adtitional inclusion criteria947 (94.4)No to cessation medication947 (94.4)No to restation medication941 (93.8)No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure943 (94.0)No suicidal thoughts949 (94.6)No to currently in an alcohol treatment program943 (93.7)No to cut of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)I ky cars or older976 (97.3)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria940 (96.7)	No use of chewing tobacco in the last 30 days	995 (99.2)	
No use of snus in the last 30 days1000 (99.7)No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of e-cigarettes in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Met all tobacco use inclusion criteria426 (42.5)Additional inclusion criteria947 (94.4)No or cessation medication947 (94.4)No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure941 (93.8)No sucidal thoughts943 (94.0)No sucidal thoughts949 (94.6)No to cross of der933 (93.0)Is years or older933 (93.0)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Wet all additional inclusion criteria940 (93.7)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (93.7)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (93.7)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (93.7)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel t	No use of dip/snuff in the last 30 days	997 (99.4)	
No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days961 (95.8)No use of e-cigarettes in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Met all tobacco use inclusion criteria426 (42.5)Adtituration criteria947 (94.4)No to cessation medication947 (94.4)No breastfeeding or planning to become pregnant941 (93.8)No spechosis943 (94.0)No sucidal thoughts949 (94.6)No to consolution trent program940 (93.7)No to or forwn for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)Is years or older976 (97.3)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Met all additional inclusion criteria940 (94.6)	No use of snus in the last 30 days	1000 (99.7)	
No use of e-cigarettes in the last 30 days833 (83.1)Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Meet all tobacco use inclusion criteria426 (42.5)Adtument inclusion criteria947 (94.4)Not on cessation medication947 (94.4)Not breastfeeding or planning to become pregnant975 (97.2)No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure941 (93.8)No suicidal thoughts943 (94.0)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)Is years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area940 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks940 (94.6)Idet all additional inclusion criteria940 (94.6)	No use of nicotine products (like gum, patches) in the last 30 days	961 (95.8)	
Interested in trying an e-cigarette689 (68.7)Meet all tobacco use inclusion criteria426 (42.5)Additional inclusion criteria947 (94.4)Not on cessation medication947 (94.4)Not breastfeeding or planning to become pregnant975 (97.2)No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure941 (93.8)No psychosis943 (94.0)No suicidal thoughts949 (94.6)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)Is years or older976 (97.3)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	No use of e-cigarettes in the last 30 days	833 (83.1)	
Meet all tobacco use inclusion criteria       426 (42.5)         Additional inclusion criteria       947 (94.4)         Not on cessation medication       947 (94.4)         Not breastfeeding or planning to become pregnant       975 (97.2)         No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure       941 (93.8)         No psychosis       943 (94.0)         No suicidal thoughts       949 (94.6)         Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program       940 (93.7)         Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks       933 (93.0)         I 8 years or older       976 (97.3)         Reside in the DC metro area       976 (97.3)         Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks       949 (94.6)         Meet all additional inclusion criteria       949 (94.6)	Interested in trying an e-cigarette	689 (68.7)	
Additional inclusion criteria947 (94.4)Not on cessation medication947 (94.4)Not breastfeeding or planning to become pregnant975 (97.2)No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure941 (93.8)No sychosis943 (94.0)No sucidal thoughts949 (94.6)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)Not que for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks930 (90.2)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Het all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	Meet all tobacco use inclusion criteria	426 (42.5)	
Not on cessation medication947 (94.4)Not breastfeeding or planning to become pregnant975 (97.2)No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure941 (93.8)No psychosis943 (94.0)No suicidal thoughts949 (94.6)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)Is years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area946 (94.6)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Met all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	Additional inclusion criteria		
Not breastfeeding or planning to become pregnant975 (97.2)No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure941 (93.8)No psychosis943 (94.0)No suicidal thoughts949 (94.6)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)18 years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	Not on cessation medication	947 (94.4)	
No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure941 (93.8)No psychosis943 (94.0)No suicidal thoughts949 (94.6)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)18 years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	Not breastfeeding or planning to become pregnant	975 (97.2)	
No psychosis943 (94.0)No suicidal thoughts949 (94.6)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)18 years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	No heart disease/uncontrolled blood pressure	941 (93.8)	
No suicidal thoughts949 (94.6)Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)18 years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	No psychosis	943 (94.0)	
Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program940 (93.7)Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)18 years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	No suicidal thoughts	949 (94.6)	
Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks933 (93.0)18 years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	Not enrolled currently in an alcohol treatment program	940 (93.7)	
18 years or older1002 (99.9)Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	Not out of town for more than 5 nights in the next 6 weeks	933 (93.0)	
Reside in the DC metro area976 (97.3)Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	18 years or older	1002 (99.9)	
Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks949 (94.6)Meet all additional inclusion criteria916 (91.3)	Reside in the DC metro area	976 (97.3)	
Meet all additional inclusion criteria 916 (91.3)	Willing to travel to data collection site four times in three weeks	949 (94.6)	
	Meet all additional inclusion criteria	916 (91.3)	

The majority of the respondents owned an iPhone or Android mobile phone (739/1003, 73.7%) and could install apps on their mobile phone (871/1003, 86.8%). Many used their mobile phones daily (898/1003, 89.5%) and had an unlimited text messaging plan (871/1003, 86.8%). Most (717/1003, 71.5%) met the mobile phone inclusion criteria, and 91.3% met all the additional inclusion criteria; however, only 42.5% (426/1003) met all the tobacco inclusion criteria. Overall, 302 individuals were eligible to participate in the study with 163 eligible after being rescreened; 117 were ultimately enrolled in the study (Table 2).

#### http://mhealth.jmir.org/2018/2/e21/

RenderX

# Discussion

## **Principal Considerations**

This study describes the sociodemographic characteristics of individuals responding to an online screener, and examines how eligibility criteria requiring mobile phone ownership affected study eligibility. A total of 1003 individuals completed the online screener of whom 73.7% (739/1003) owned a mobile phone; of the mobile phone owners, 86.8% (871/1003) could install apps, 89.5% (898/1003) used their mobile phones daily, and 86.8% (871/1003) had an unlimited text messaging plan.

While our mobile phone inclusion criteria were strict, 46.4% (333/717) of the unemployed respondents still met the criteria, suggesting that mobile phone ownership is not a major barrier to study participation, even for unemployed individuals.

These findings also have broader relevance for the tobacco control field, which increasingly uses mobile phone technology to examine tobacco product use, surveil tobacco retail environments, and deliver smoking cessation interventions [10,16,17]. Smoking is concentrated among low-SES groups with sometimes unstable employment; provision of a free mobile phone as part of study participation may be coercive for members of such vulnerable groups. Examination of who has access to a mobile phone is essential to assessing how planning a protocol using methods such as EMA or geotracking might affect ethical considerations, study recruitment, and generalizability of the findings.

# Limitations

The study utilized an online screener, which may have been a barrier to individuals who lacked internet access. Had we initially screened potential participants via telephone, we may have found that a greater proportion of respondents were ineligible because of lack of mobile phone ownership. Additionally, given that this study was conducted in Washington, DC, our findings may not be generalizable to other settings. Moreover, it is important to consider that all data collected in this study were self-reported responses to the online screener questions. Therefore, the respondents' mobile phone and tobacco use may have been under- or over-reported owing to social desirability and recall bias.

# Conclusions

This research suggests that using the participants' own mobile phones for data collection, including geotracking and text messaging, was not a barrier to study participation for the majority of the respondents who took the initial online screener. Employment was related to fulfilling the mobile phone inclusion criteria; however, nearly half of the eligible respondents were unemployed. As mobile phone ownership continues to grow, researchers should consider using the participants' own mobile phones as feasible data collection devices given their study's target population.

# Acknowledgments

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse of the National Institutes of Health and FDA Center for Tobacco Products under grant number 5R21DA036472. JP's time was also supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and FDA Center for Tobacco Products under grant K01DA037950. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or the Food and Drug Administration. At the time of the study, SS was a scholar with the HIV/AIDS, Substance Abuse, and Trauma Training Program (HA-STTP) at the University of California, Los Angeles, supported by an award from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (R25DA035692).

# **Authors' Contributions**

EH conceived the study design and the overall manuscript, led the data collection and analysis team, wrote the first draft of the paper, and worked as a research associate in the parent study. HE and YZ conducted the data analyses. SS and LR contributed to the analysis, interpretation of results, and manuscript writing. LR was involved as a research assistant in the parent study; SS was project director in the parent study, and JP was principal investigator in the parent study.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.

# References

- Shiffman S. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) in studies of substance use. Psychol Assess 2009 Dec;21(4):486-497 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1037/a0017074] [Medline: 19947783]
- Swendsen J. Contributions of mobile technologies to addiction research. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 2016 Jun;18(2):213-221 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 27489461]
- 3. Smyth JM, Stone AA. Ecological Momentary Assessment Research in Behavioral medicine. Journal of Happiness Studies 2003;4(1):35-52. [doi: 10.1023/A:1023657221954]
- Hedeker D, Mermelstein RJ, Demirtas H. An application of a mixed-effects location scale model for analysis of Ecological Momentary Assessment (EMA) data. Biometrics 2008 Jun;64(2):627-634 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/j.1541-0420.2007.00924.x] [Medline: 17970819]
- 5. Ravert RD, Calix SI, Sullivan MJ. Research In Brief: Using Mobile Phones to Collect Daily Experience Data From College Undergraduates. Journal of College Student Development 2010;51(3):343-352. [doi: <u>10.1353/csd.0.0134</u>]
- 6. Litt MD, Cooney NL, Morse P. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) with treated alcoholics: methodological problems and potential solutions. Health Psychol 1998 Jan;17(1):48-52. [Medline: 9459069]
- Kuntsche E, Labhart F. Using Personal Cell Phones for Ecological Momentary Assessment. European Psychologist 2013 Jan;18(1):3-11. [doi: 10.1027/1016-9040/a000127]

RenderX

- 8. Christian WJ. Using geospatial technologies to explore activity-based retail food environments. Spat Spatiotemporal Epidemiol 2012 Dec;3(4):287-295. [doi: 10.1016/j.sste.2012.09.001] [Medline: 23149325]
- 9. Hurvitz PM, Moudon AV. Home versus nonhome neighborhood: quantifying differences in exposure to the built environment. Am J Prev Med 2012 Apr;42(4):411-417 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2011.11.015] [Medline: 22424255]
- Kirchner TR, Cantrell J, Anesetti-Rothermel A, Ganz O, Vallone DM, Abrams DB. Geospatial exposure to point-of-sale tobacco: real-time craving and smoking-cessation outcomes. Am J Prev Med 2013 Oct;45(4):379-385 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2013.05.016] [Medline: 24050412]
- Berkman ET, Dickenson J, Falk EB, Lieberman MD. Using SMS text messaging to assess moderators of smoking reduction: Validating a new tool for ecological measurement of health behaviors. Health Psychol 2011 Mar;30(2):186-194 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1037/a0022201] [Medline: 21401252]
- 12. Whittaker R, McRobbie H, Bullen C, Borland R, Rodgers A, Gu Y. Mobile phone-based interventions for smoking cessation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012;11:CD006611. [doi: <u>10.1002/14651858.CD006611.pub3</u>] [Medline: <u>23152238</u>]
- 13. Pew Research Center. 2017 Jan 12. Mobile Fact Sheet URL: <u>http://www.pewinternet.org/fact-sheet/mobile/</u> [accessed 2017-04-14] [WebCite Cache ID 6pidL674V]
- Pearson JL, Smiley SL, Rubin LF, Anesetti-Rothermel A, Elmasry H, Davis M, et al. The Moment Study: protocol for a mixed method observational cohort study of the Alternative Nicotine Delivery Systems (ANDS) initiation process among adult cigarette smokers. BMJ Open 2016;6(4):e011717 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011717] [Medline: 27105716]
- 15. Kantar World Panel. Smartphone OS sales market share URL: <u>https://www.kantarworldpanel.com/global/</u> <u>smartphone-os-market-share/</u> [accessed 2017-04-14] [WebCite Cache ID 6piiOfI87]
- Businelle MS, Ma P, Kendzor DE, Frank SG, Vidrine DJ, Wetter DW. An Ecological Momentary Intervention for Smoking Cessation: Evaluation of Feasibility and Effectiveness. J Med Internet Res 2016 Dec 12;18(12):e321 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.6058] [Medline: 27956375]
- Schuster RM, Mermelstein RJ, Hedeker D. Ecological momentary assessment of working memory under conditions of simultaneous marijuana and tobacco use. Addiction 2016 Aug;111(8):1466-1476. [doi: <u>10.1111/add.13342</u>] [Medline: <u>26857917</u>]

# Abbreviations

**EMA:** ecological momentary assessment **GPS:** Global Positioning System **IQR:** interquartile range

Edited by M Bars; submitted 31.05.17; peer-reviewed by L Mudie, C Brady; comments to author 26.07.17; revised version received 13.11.17; accepted 22.11.17; published 19.02.18

<u>Please cite as:</u> Harvey EJ, Rubin LF, Smiley SL, Zhou Y, Elmasry H, Pearson JL Mobile Phone Ownership Is Not a Serious Barrier to Participation in Studies: Descriptive Study JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2018;6(2):e21 URL: <u>http://mhealth.jmir.org/2018/2/e21/</u> doi: <u>10.2196/mhealth.8123</u> PMID: <u>29459355</u>

©Emily J Harvey, Leslie F Rubin, Sabrina L Smiley, Yitong Zhou, Hoda Elmasry, Jennifer L Pearson. Originally published in JMIR Mhealth and Uhealth (http://mhealth.jmir.org), 19.02.2018. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR mhealth and uhealth, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://mhealth.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

