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Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has generated unprecedented and sustained health management challenges worldwide. Health care systems continue to struggle to support the needs of the majority of infected individuals that are either asymptomatic or have mild symptoms. In addition, long-term effects in the form of long-lasting COVID-19 symptoms or widespread mental health issues aggravated by the pandemic pose a burden on health care systems worldwide. This viewpoint article considers aspects of digital health care solutions and how they can play an ongoing role in safely addressing gaps in the health care support available from initially and repeatedly overwhelmed providers and systems. Digital solutions can be readily designed to address this need and can be flexible enough to adapt to the evolving management requirements of various stakeholders to reduce COVID-19 infection rates, acute hospitalizations, and mortality. Multiplatform solutions provide a hybrid model of care, which can include mobile and online platforms accompanied by direct clinician input and feedback. Desirable components to be included are discussed, including symptom tracking, patient education, well-being support, and bidirectional communication between patients and clinicians. Customizable and scalable digital health platforms not only can be readily adapted to further meet the needs of employers and public health stakeholders during the ongoing pandemic, but also hold relevance for flexibly meeting broader care management needs into the future.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e25021) doi:10.2196/25021
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Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic created immediate and long-term challenges for health care systems worldwide. This viewpoint examines the role that digital health care solutions play during the pandemic in safely addressing gaps in the health care support available from initially and repeatedly overwhelmed providers and systems, as well as opportunities to alleviate this burden into the future. Approximately one year into the pandemic, health care providers and systems continue to struggle with not only the care management needs of patients diagnosed with COVID-19, but also the added burden of treatment for long-lasting COVID-19 symptoms (ie, “long COVID”) [1-3] and elevated rates of mental health problems associated with the events of the past year [4,5]. Digital solutions can be readily designed to address this need and can be flexible enough to adapt to the evolving management requirements of various stakeholders. This viewpoint offers a recent historical context and perspective on how digital health technology addresses challenges presented by the COVID-19 pandemic and highlights care management opportunities for digital health solutions beyond this public health crisis.
Although the numbers are continuously changing and vary somewhat per country, initial COVID-19 research from different countries indicated that around 18%-20% of individuals who are infected have moderate to severe symptoms and require medical management [6-9]. The remaining 80% of those infected are either asymptomatic or have mild symptoms. The management of this majority subgroup—the 80% of individuals seeking medical care who had suspected or diagnosed COVID-19 with no or mild symptoms related to the disease—posed a challenge to public health management [10-13] and to stressed health care providers and systems [14-17]. Many symptomatic individuals impacted directly by COVID-19 were not hospitalized, in part due to the allocation of limited hospital resources only to those with the most severe symptoms requiring hospitalization [6,14,18]. Health care resource allocation across acute and outpatient clinical care contexts clearly presented a significant challenge in the early phase of the pandemic, which continues to strain systems of care as infection rates fluctuate. An effective public health response to limit the spread of the virus requires those who are suspected of having COVID-19 to be tested to confirm the diagnosis and to take appropriate next steps (Figure 1). The requirement for diagnostic testing in the context of inconsistent availability of testing resources presents another layer of challenge, especially in resource-poor areas or in places where there are few diagnostic tests available. This initially resulted in large numbers of individuals who were suspected of exposure yet unable to receive timely testing, necessitating self-isolation. In addition, individuals who were positively diagnosed, but were either asymptomatic or experienced only mild to moderate symptoms, were advised to self-isolate at home with close and regular follow-up to monitor for any changes in their symptoms.

**Figure 1.** The burden of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality.

The infection rates among health care professionals rose in a magnitude similar to or greater than that observed in the general public [14]. Important information characterizing morbidity among essential workers at risk for COVID-19, including those health care personnel most at risk for mortality [19], emerged to support the need for continued surveillance and the development of strategies to protect essential workers and those they serve. Further underscoring this need was the imbalance between supply and demand for medical resources in many countries, which presented global questions about fair allocation of medical resources and personnel during the pandemic [6]. Factors serving to limit the pool of available health care workers included adherence to public health standards that ideally require an exposed health care worker to quarantine for 14 days. Emerging information about the risk of transmission from presymptomatic cases underscores a sustained need for vigilance, as presymptomatic individuals have an incubation period of 2-14 days, with an average of 4-5 days before COVID-19 symptoms appear and can reportedly infect 1.4-6.5 individuals during that time [20-23]. Potential provider shortages continue to be of concern during periods of high infection levels and corresponding spikes in the number of hospitalizations due to positive COVID-19 diagnoses and related diseases [16]. Such provider shortages initially necessitated reactivation of retired health care workers and onboarding of new medical personnel. For example, many US states loosened their licensing rules to give those with clinical skills the ability to participate, such as allowing out-of-state physicians to practice and requesting retired physicians to volunteer [16]. The governor of New York activated retired and student health care professionals from >52,000 volunteer health professionals, which included 2400 nurse practitioners and 2265 physicians [17]. The US Department of Veteran Affairs recruited retired federal health care workers through social media. Internationally, the Taipei Centers for Disease Control and Prevention responded
to the shortage by implementing an ongoing program to recruit and train general practitioners, retired medical professionals, and school nurses [15]. Rising rates of the disease and corresponding increases in the workload of health care personnel resulted in widespread burnout related to the escalating number of hours physicians were working without breaks [24]. In addition to treating patients in the hospital, clinicians were required to follow up on patients who were at home [12]. During this time, the mental health of health care workers was of major concern due to the effects of acute and chronic stress [19,25]. In the absence of a well-organized strategy to sustain the health care work force, scientists and clinicians called for a shift to a longer-term, more sustainable solution [26].

The uncertainty of the COVID-19 disease progression rapidly changed the landscape of the pandemic in several countries. For example, in Italy, where the burden of mortality was for a period of time relatively greater than in other countries, health care professionals perceived the response to COVID-19 as a continuously evolving process with no visible end point. In many medical settings, adapting to changes was required by the hour [27]. As the pandemic continues, new challenges have emerged, such as the management of patients experiencing “long COVID,” with symptoms lasting longer than the acute infection [1,2,28]. The incidence, prevalence, and precise nature of long COVID is not entirely clear as yet [3], nor is the long-term psychological burden of COVID-19 on society as a whole. This is especially the case for patients that spent time in the intensive care unit (ICU). It is thought that more than half of ICU survivors suffer from post-intensive care syndrome (PICS), which is characterized by various symptoms including physical strength deficits, cognitive decline, and mental health disturbances observed after discharge from critical care that persist for a protracted amount of time [29,30].

According to the American Psychological Association (APA) Stress in America 2020 report and APA one-year pandemic update [4,5], there is a steadily escalating national mental and behavioral health crisis due to COVID-19. This includes negative behavioral health outcomes including unhealthy weight gain and increased drinking [4]. Nearly 1 in 5 adults (19%) say their mental health is worse than it was at this time last year and this is particularly true for the youngest generation of adults [5]. By generation, 34% of Gen Z adults report worse mental health, followed by Gen X (21%), millennials (19%), baby boomers (12%), and older adults (8%). There is a similar trend in other countries, along with notable disruptions in mental health services in most countries [31]. Overall, the psychological toll of chronic uncertainty [19] and countless other factors have created a demand for flexible solutions for disease surveillance and follow-up that could be used by workplaces and health care professionals, as well as the general public.

**The Opportunity to Leverage Digital Health Solutions**

The novel health care challenges emerging from the COVID-19 pandemic demanded new health care models and patient care management modalities, with digital health strategies holding great potential for delivering solutions [32]. Recent research supports how digital approaches in general can be effectively used to optimize patient care management for individuals across the life span, with attention paid to the unique developmental and psychosocial needs of adult, child, adolescent, and parent/caregiver populations, as well as those living in multigenerational family contexts [33-35]. There is emerging evidence that mobile phone apps are a feasible and acceptable way of administering health interventions for a range of chronic and acute conditions, and can possibly lead to increased patient self-management, health-related behavior change, a reduction in the use of health care resources [36,37], and improved physical and mental health outcomes [38-40]. However, most studies only showed a modest effect [38,40-43], with more and larger studies being required to determine efficacy and establish evidence for best practices. Finally, there is a small but growing economic evidence base focusing on the value of mobile health (mHealth) interventions, suggesting that they can be cost-effective, economically beneficial, and/or cost saving [44,45].

At the onset of the pandemic, there were many pre-existing popular digital apps available that could alleviate the mental health impact of the pandemic for the general public. These apps could conceivably support resilience through meditation or counseling, as well as support socially distanced care access from a health care provider through telebehavioral health. As literature with a focus on COVID-19–specific digital health solutions began to emerge, it was clear that most initial digital health solutions were oriented to surveillance and symptom tracking [46]. However, at the outset of the pandemic, there were no comprehensive digital health care pandemic control strategies identified that could address the needs of the overwhelming majority of individuals impacted by COVID-19. Solutions that could effectively support and follow up on individuals who required self-isolation due to suspected or diagnosed COVID-19 with no, mild, or moderate symptoms was identified as an important unmet need at the outset of the pandemic. As the pandemic continues into its second year, the need to support this large population of individuals who require self-isolation persists in parallel with new public health management phases that are unfolding, focusing on vaccine administration. Thus, digital health solutions continue to serve an important role in meeting the continued management needs of earlier phases of the pandemic while also simultaneously adapting to meet newly unfolding pandemic needs into the foreseeable future.

**Digital Health Adaptation to Evolving Pandemic Needs**

As pandemic management strategies begin to accommodate the availability of promising vaccines [47-51], the ongoing management of individuals not yet vaccinated and those who remain at risk for acquiring and transmitting SARS-CoV-2 and its new variant strains persists. This new phase of pandemic management faces many challenges, including limited and inconsistent vaccine availability as well as health inequity
Development of a Digital Health Solution

The key to a successful strategy for pandemic preparedness and response management is a well-planned, effectively communicated and coordinated emergency response that draws on medical mobilization. Digital health solutions are in many ways an ideal answer to meeting this need. However, they require time and resources to develop, implement, and evaluate fully. The ongoing management of COVID-19–related patient needs continues and a focus on designing a multiphase digital health care app for health care providers to effectively and safely monitor individuals outside of the hospital setting continues to be important. Central to the process of developing a digital health solution is to ground and inform further decision making in user experience and evidence-based clinical research that speak to the importance and value of including direct input from patients and other key stakeholders to better understand their wants and needs for the digital solution. Both engagement with and adherence to use of a digital health app are critical factors to consider, particularly when there is a goal to demonstrate direct and/or indirect relationships between a patient’s engagement and adherence and a desired outcome (ie, intervention effectiveness). Emerging digital health research provided insights into patient engagement [57,58] and technology-based features and strategies for promoting adherence [59,60].

Additional clinical expert input on design and development should be elicited and include the perspectives of physicians, physician assistants, and nurses. The development process also should elicit patient and caregiver input, with this feedback incorporated at various stages of development. This user experience research should be used early in the development process and include contextual, qualitative research methods to understand patients’ perspective and concerns, as well as clinical, functional, and emotional needs during each phase of the patient journey. This information helps to inform and improve the patient-as-a-customer digital experience and provides a richer understanding of how patients both experience and engage with the developing app. Qualitative interviews with app users can also provide additional details about a patient’s understanding of app content, its ease of use, and his/her emotional responses to the product. This information, in turn, can be used to iteratively adapt and modify the product to improve these features and to ensure both short- and longer-term engagement with the app occurs. In addition, the documentation of patient engagement and adherence information provides an important foundation for future, larger scale clinical research projects that can prospectively test the effectiveness of a digital health intervention and related research hypotheses of interest.

Clinical objectives for the design of a solution that could flexibly support social distancing guidelines intended to reduce COVID-19 infection rates, acute hospitalizations, and mortality require many additional considerations. For example, additional design considerations may include the need for it to be used flexibly by actively practicing, retired, or new medical professionals. The use of a remote, digital interface presents minimal risk of infection for health care providers, which could in turn alleviate stress and prevent further burnout. Additionally, flexibility of a digital platform to allow for changes to be readily incorporated to adapt to evolving pandemic care management needs is of great value. A design that can provide a comprehensive digital health management system that includes close safety monitoring and can deploy an escalation protocol if a patient’s symptoms worsen and the patient requires acute management in a hospital setting is important. A digital platform that can be further customized to allow for maximal flexibility to adapt to the specific needs of a particular target population or treatment context is also desirable.

Consideration of Solution Components and Functionality

Platform and Staffing Considerations

The capacity to connect an individual (referred to as a patient), who is either asymptomatic with a positive diagnosis or has tested positive with mild-to-moderate symptoms, and their treating clinician is a desirable feature, particularly if symptoms escalate. To accomplish this, a design that includes both a web-based interface and an app for mobile platforms (ie, iOS and Android) is appealing. This would allow a patient to interact directly with the mobile app components on his/her smartphone and also allow for communication with a clinician. To make the monitoring process more efficient for clinicians, a combination of remote patient self-monitoring with planning for stepped levels of clinician supervision can be protocolized. Clinicians can then successfully monitor multiple patients based...
on patient risk factors and symptom severity, and acuity-based staffing protocols can be flexibly designed to help to ensure that available support resources are appropriately assigned.

The Mobile App
To best serve the patient and clinician, the mobile app platform available to the patient can contain functionality for symptom management, patient education, well-being support, and communication with their health care provider, among other options. Patients can engage with educational content and well-being support provided in the mobile app, while clinicians are able to stay informed of the patient’s progress through the patient’s entry of vital signs and symptom tracking. This real-time data sharing would allow for timely intervention and management of patient health concerns.

Symptom Management
Symptom management can include tracking of vital signs including respiratory rate, temperature, heart rate, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation. The recorded values can be tracked over time and be made graphically visible to patients. If a vital sign is out of the appropriate physiological range, feedback is provided to the patient and can also be shared with an assigned clinician, who could then contact the patient by chat or phone. At any time, the patient could also initiate a chat conversation with the assigned clinician. A built-in daily health check can assess COVID-19 symptoms with the aim of determining the severity of the symptoms and the need for emergency medical support. Based on responses, a patient would be recommended to either continue to monitor symptoms, contact their physician, or seek emergency services. The patient could also initiate a chat functionality with an assigned clinician, who would have real-time access to the patient responses. The patients could also be provided with a temporal view of their symptoms, displayed on a symptom tracker graph, to provide perspective on progress over time to facilitate effective self-management.

Patient Education and Well-being Support
An app can be designed to include a comprehensive library of educational content for patients to access to inform themselves about COVID-19 symptoms, manage symptoms, and to support overall well-being. Content that includes evidence-based stress reduction strategies, such as mindfulness and relaxation exercises that are effective in reducing patient stress levels, can be accessible through the app [61-63]. Relaxation exercises can be presented through text, image, and video formats. These strategies should be easy to access, and are worthwhile to include as their benefits include reducing the amount of adrenaline and cortisol in the body, which are elevated in many COVID-19 patients; there are also longer term benefits when such strategies are used for dealing with stress and anxiety [64]. Even during extreme stress, exercises focused on breathing and relaxation can alleviate negative thoughts, moods, and feelings, and increase rates of recovery [65]. Additional self-management strategies including progressive muscle relaxation exercises, guided visualization, and a loving kindness–themed meditation can be included to support improved mental well-being [66,67]. Additional mental health screening and targeted psychological support services may also be integrated into the platform. Having this included can facilitate patients’ ability to smoothly and easily engage in psychotherapeutic contact with an appropriately licensed behavioral health clinician (eg, a psychologist) when a higher level of support is indicated.

Patient-Clinician Communication
Secure, bidirectional chat communication between a patient and clinician can be initiated 24/7 through the app by the patient or through a web-based portal by the clinician. The clinician response team may be designated by a hospital or a single clinician. Once a patient initiates the chat communication functionality, the assigned clinician will receive a message and would be expected to respond within a predetermined time duration. The clinician may assess the patient’s data and all data input from the patient’s app-based interface in order to provide an appropriate response to the patient’s query. Communication features can further support sharing images, documents, and links, as needed, and can include notifications and reminders to further enhance adherence to treatment recommendations.

Conclusion
This viewpoint provides additional perspective on how digital health solutions can be used to remotely meet the ongoing challenges that the COVID-19 pandemic presents to health care systems. Multiplatform mHealth/eHealth solutions provide an example to ground and further enrich consideration of how to best meet the evolving needs of a large, significant health care management population. Solutions designed to support the needs of both patients and clinicians safely and remotely for continued follow-up of individuals with COVID-19–related symptoms will remain necessary for the foreseeable future. Moreover, these solutions provide opportunities to flexibly support care management needs of patients, providers, and health care systems beyond this public health crisis.

Components to consider leveraging in a multiplatform digital health care solution designed to connect patients to clinicians for continued follow-up needs are discussed and can safely manage and prevent disease progression and mortality. The solution implemented should align with evidence-based recommendations such as the third domain of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s 2017 Pandemic Influenza Plan [13]. Domain 3 of this plan specifies medical countermeasures to increase access and use of critical countermeasures for response activities. Recently emerging literature speaks to how valuable mHealth systems and platforms that can facilitate access to mobile care providers through telehealth will continue to be for situations requiring self-isolation [46]. Moreover, there is broad-reaching potential for the utility of mHealth systems and platforms as effective solutions for future care management needs beyond the pandemic. The continually increasing widespread adoption of mobile phones worldwide presents significant opportunities for health-related apps to impact health behaviors globally, particularly in low- and middle-income countries [68]. Most solutions at the start of the pandemic were mainly intended to support contact tracing and symptom monitoring for self-use...
by individuals, without any input from clinicians [46], or remote monitoring solutions created by hospitals and health service organizations adapting to rapidly address shifting institutional needs [56]. The involvement of clinicians and close monitoring of symptoms is critical for individuals with asymptomatic, mild, or moderate disease from the standpoint of the potential for the sudden emergence of severe symptoms and unexpected deterioration [69]. COVID-19–associated acute and long-term health management needs, along with continued adherence to recommended social distancing practices more generally, support the lasting value of similar digital solutions into the future.

While additional research is always needed to test the efficacy of a newly developed intervention, the urgency of the pandemic demanded a need to rapidly develop and implement digital solutions. Researchers are highlighting the need for the development of hybrid digital health solutions and models of care that combine mobile and online platforms with direct clinician input, while also addressing the needs of impacted patients across the developmental continuum [33-35,70]. Future research efforts should take steps to evaluate the efficacy of these interventions as well as the economic impact of an mHealth intervention with respect to its cost-effectiveness to add to the limited evidence base that currently exists [44,45].

The adaptability of digital platforms to flexibly accommodate various components and content can not only reduce the significant patient-care burden experienced by health care professionals, but also can be useful in other settings. For example, these digital platforms can be customized to support the needs of an employer’s management of employee symptoms or the public health needs of a government continuing to combat and effectively manage the current and future phases of the COVID-19 pandemic. Ultimately, the rapid adoption of mobile technology globally [68], coupled with the adaptability of digital health platforms and their content, provides valuable opportunities for improved health care throughout the pandemic and beyond.
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Abstract

Background: A large number of people suffer from psychosocial or physical problems. Adequate strategies to alleviate needs are scarce or lacking. Symptom variation can offer insights into personal profiles of coping and resilience (detailed functional analyses). Hence, diaries are used to report mood and behavior occurring in daily life. To reduce inaccuracies, biases, and noncompliance with paper diaries, a shift to electronic diaries has occurred. Although these diaries are increasingly used in health care, information is lacking about what determines their use.

Objective: The aim of this study was to map the existing empirical knowledge and gaps concerning factors that influence the use of electronic diaries, defined as repeated recording of psychosocial or physical data lasting at least one week using a smartphone or a computer, in health care.

Methods: A scoping review of the literature published between January 2000 and December 2018 was conducted using queries in PubMed and PsycInfo databases. English or Dutch publications based on empirical data about factors that influence the use of electronic diaries for psychosocial or physical purposes in health care were included. Both databases were screened, and findings were summarized using a directed content analysis organized by the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR).

Results: Out of 3170 articles, 22 studies were selected for qualitative synthesis. Eleven themes were determined in the CFIR categories of intervention, user characteristics, and process. No information was found for the CFIR categories inner (eg, organizational resources, innovation climate) and outer (eg, external policies and incentives, pressure from competitors) settings. Reminders, attractive designs, tailored and clear data visualizations (intervention), smartphone experience, and intrinsic motivation to change behavior (user characteristics) could influence the use of electronic diaries. During the implementation process, attention should be paid to both theoretical and practical training.

Conclusions: Design aspects, user characteristics, and training and instructions determine the use of electronic diaries in health care. It is remarkable that there were no empirical data about factors related to embedding electronic diaries in daily clinical practice. More research is needed to better understand influencing factors for optimal electronic diary use.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e19536) doi:10.2196/19536
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Introduction

Health care professionals are insufficiently aware of symptom variability and contextual fluctuations; therefore, their interventions are based on incomplete information [1-5]. Patients are asked to recall their mood, thoughts, behavior, and experiences over the past weeks or even months. Recalling information from memory, though, is known to be incomplete and inaccurate [6,7]. To minimize inaccuracies and biases, prospective diaries are used to collect patients’ mood, thoughts, behavior, and experiences in the relevant context close to the time of occurrence [8]. Because these health-related strategies often require management of vulnerabilities, long-term patient engagement is important. However, patients experience that it is difficult to be engaged in the use of diaries for long periods of time. Compliance is often poor, and adequate reports on contextual variation are lacking [8]. Paper diaries are remarkably completed in the parking lot before meeting the clinician [9]. In one-third of the days, paper diaries contain entries while the log booklets were not opened [8,10].

To overcome noncompliance with paper diaries, researchers and clinicians have shifted from paper to electronic diaries. Both paper and electronic diaries can be used in research to observe individuals in their context, gather data about sensitive topics, or to actively engage individuals in monitoring and reflecting on behaviors, their underlying mechanisms, and processes. Furthermore, these diaries can be implemented in intervention studies, clinical trials, and routine care [11,12]. Electronic diaries are, however, more reliable and logistically easier to implement [13,14]. They allow individuals to monitor in daily life with little retrospection and reduced obtrusiveness. Electronic diaries are signal-contingent and often record response-time information, which improves reliability [15-18]. Nonetheless, electronic diaries also have disadvantages. Development and maintenance are costly [12]. Technical problems occur, and not all patients are acquainted with smartphones and require instructions and coaching [15]. Furthermore, research on compliance is ambiguous. For instance, the percentage of completed diary entries with electronic diaries ranges from less than 50% to 99% [18-20]. High participant motivation is related to accurate data collection and less faked compliance [13].

Previous research states that various factors are related to the use of electronic diaries, such as the design (ie, ease of use, entertainment value), the social context (ie, satisfaction and connection with others), and the user’s characteristics (ie, education and self-efficacy) [21-23]. However, no complete overview is available concerning empirical data about the factors related to the use of these tools. Therefore, the main aim of this paper was to map the existing empirical knowledge about the factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care. Electronic diaries in health care were defined as repeated individual psychosocial or physical data collection using measurement tools on a smartphone (applications) or on a computer (website), including among others, experience sampling, ambulatory assessment, and ecological momentary assessment. In addition, use was defined as the repeated recording of information in electronic diaries by patients or healthy individuals for at least one week, including adherence, compliance, and engagement. The cut-off point was determined based on the expected recall bias and necessary data for comprehensive functional diagnostics.

Methods

In order to map existing knowledge concerning the topic of interest and to identify any gaps, this scoping review was based on the methodological framework proposed by Arksey and O’Malley [24]. This framework includes 5 specific steps: identify the research question, identify relevant studies, select relevant studies, chart the data, and summarize and report the results. The selection of relevant studies was not based on methodological quality, but on relevance.

Identify the Research Question

The research question of this scoping review was based on prior research and the expertise of the research team. It is summarized as: “What is the current empirical knowledge regarding factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care?”

Identify and Select Relevant Studies

A structured literature search was conducted using the PubMed and PsycInfo databases to search for articles published between 2000 and 2018. The search was limited to human adults and articles published in Dutch or English. Both free-text search terms and MESH headings were used. The search strategy included 2 different concepts: “continued use” and “electronic diaries.” The search string used is depicted in Textbox 1. In addition to the database search, reference lists of relevant studies were screened manually for further relevant papers. This is a valuable step (snowball method) to identify articles that have been missed in the database search because electronic databases may be incomplete and they can vary in coverage, indexing, and depth of information [24]. Moreover, 2 experts in the field were contacted to identify key authors or key publications on the topic of interest.
Two researchers (NEMD, LMJH) reviewed the retrieved studies using a 3-step screening process: titles, abstracts, and full articles. The screening process of a scoping review is not linear but rather iterative, which required the researchers to engage with each step in a reflexive way and repeat steps to ensure that the literature was covered in an extensive way. If the relevance of a study was unclear from the title, the abstract was ordered, and if the relevance of a study was unclear from the abstract, the full article was ordered. As a check on the 3-step screening process, we read the full texts of a random sample of 50 titles and 50 abstracts. In only 4 articles, we found information in the results or the discussion related to our scope. Relevant studies with the following criteria were included: (1) using electronic diaries for psychosocial or physical data, (2) describing factors that influence the use of electronic diaries, and (3) a focus on health care. No methodological criteria were applied, and articles based on empirical data were included. Studies were excluded when the definitions of electronic diaries or use in the article did not match with the ones used in this manuscript (ie, the data collection method: single moment data collection or passive self-monitoring using sensors, activity trackers, or biomarkers). Studies that used a combination of active and passive monitoring were excluded. Moreover, studies were excluded when the article did not include factors that influence the use of electronic diaries as the outcome (ie, the study aim: experiences with disease management, epidemiology, health technology assessment, prediction models, outcome and effect studies, and the study design [reviews, secondary analysis, protocols]). Studies in which disease management were based on or complemented with self-reporting and studies about technology acceptance were not excluded. Furthermore, we excluded studies with a target population other than adults. For children and adolescents, we expect that different factors influence the use of electronic diaries specifically and interventions in general as parents, for instance, need to give their permission. At each step, the articles were categorized as relevant, irrelevant, and dubious according to the aforementioned exclusion criteria. Differences were discussed until consensus was reached. When no consensus was reached or questions remained, a third researcher (CvZ) was consulted.

Chart the Data

The data were charted using Excel spreadsheets and included study details (author, title, database, journal, year of publication, study location [published and conducted], study population and sample size, study aims, design, and setting), intervention characteristics (aim, content, and duration of the electronic diary), and key findings (factors that influence the use). These factors were organized according to the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) [25]. This framework consists of 5 categories (ie, intervention characteristics, outer setting, inner setting, individual characteristics, and process) related to sustainable implementation. The intervention characteristics category includes, among others, the complexity of the electronic diary or the ability to test the electronic diary on a small scale. The outer setting category is comprised of the economic, political, and social context of the organization. The inner setting category includes, among others, the internal architecture of the organization and the innovation climate. The individual characteristics category is comprised of, among others, the individual’s knowledge, beliefs, and self-efficacy regarding the intervention or the implementation process. The process category includes activities (planning, engaging, executing, reflecting, and evaluating) related to the implementation process.

Summarize and Report the Results

Content analysis was done independently by 2 reviewers (NEMD, LMJH) based on the 5 categories of the CFIR [25]: (1) intervention, (2) outer setting, (3) inner setting, (4) individual characteristics, and (5) process. Directed content analysis, using inductive reasoning, was used to validate or conceptually extend the framework [26]. The themes were based on our previous work [27] and emerged from the data. After coding, the researchers compared their codes until consensus was reached. They identified key themes into which the results could be divided.

Results

The database search resulted in 3650 hits (Figure 1). After removing duplicates and reviewing 3170 titles, 273 abstracts were screened, of which 50 full texts were evaluated. In total, 20 articles were included based on the predefined eligibility criteria. Two articles were included from the additional hand search, which resulted in 22 articles in total for qualitative synthesis. The publication patterns are summarized in Multimedia Appendix 1.
Electronic Diary and Study Characteristics

More detailed information about the content of the 22 selected studies with empirical data on factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care can be found in Table 1. Electronic diaries were used either to monitor one’s own behavior in order to get insight into underlying patterns or mechanisms (monitoring: 12/22, 55%) or to actively achieve change (intervention: 10/22, 45%). They mainly focused on measuring lifestyle behaviors (14/22, 64%) and constructs such as pain or mood. Participants completed these electronic diaries via palmtop (3/22, 14%), smartphone (14/22, 64%), or (tablet) computer (5/22, 22%). The assessment frequency ranged from 12 times a day, an example of the experience sampling method or ecological momentary assessment (EMA), to weekly, and the duration of the data collection varied from 2 weeks to 2.5 years.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year, country</th>
<th>e-Diary characteristics</th>
<th>Study characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose of use</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (device)</td>
<td>Constructs measured</td>
<td>Frequency of use and duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention: cognitive behavioral therapy-based pain management training (palmtop)</strong></td>
<td>Pain intensity, pain-related activity interference, jaw use limitations, mood, perceived stress</td>
<td>3 times a day for 8 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring: self-monitoring diaries (palmtop)</strong></td>
<td>Mood, stress, pain, medication use</td>
<td>12 times a day for 3 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention: IT&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; weight loss program (computer)</strong></td>
<td>Weight, food records, exercise minutes</td>
<td>Weekly for a 2.5-year follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring: internet behavioral weight loss program (computer)</strong></td>
<td>Daily caloric intake, daily exercise, weight</td>
<td>At least weekly for 16 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention: Oiva, a mobile mental wellness training application (smartphone)</strong></td>
<td>Reflections and notes on exercises</td>
<td>Daily for a month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention: FOCUS, a mobile illness self-management system (smartphone)</strong></td>
<td>Medication adherence, mood regulation, sleep, social functioning, coping with persistent auditory hallucinations</td>
<td>Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First author, year, country</td>
<td>e-Diary characteristics</td>
<td>Study characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma, 2013 [35], US</td>
<td>Intervention: eHealth weight loss intervention (computer)</td>
<td>Purpose of use (device): Acceptance and use of an eHealth weight management intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight, physical activity</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Quantitative: secondary analysis of existing RCT data (coach-led or self-directed group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks, no app use criteria</td>
<td>Sample: overweight or obese adults with prediabetes and/or metabolic syndrome (n=133), 53% male (n=70), mean age 53.5 (SD 10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nutritional habits</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Mixed methods: longitudinal intervention trial with surveys, interviews, and focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year, no app use criteria</td>
<td>Sample: individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus (n=12), 33% male (n=4), mean age 55.1 (SD 9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang, 2015 [37], UK</td>
<td>Monitoring: publicly available free applications MyFitness Pal, Livestrong, Calorie Count, SparkPeople (smartphone)</td>
<td>Purpose of use (device): Understanding of users’ experiences with weight loss or weight control apps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Qualitative: semistructured interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 weeks, no app use criteria</td>
<td>Sample: young adults having experience with or interest in using an eHealth weight loss maintenance app (n=19), 54% male (n=10), age range 19-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triantafyllidis, 2015 [38], UK</td>
<td>Monitoring: SUPPORT-HF, a remote health monitoring and nonpharmacological, self-monitoring system (tablet computer)</td>
<td>Purpose of use (device): Development of SUPPORT-HF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physiological measurements (blood pressure, weight, oxygen saturation), heart failure symptoms, quality of life</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Mixed methods: iterative refinement approach informed by action research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 days a week for 1 year</td>
<td>Sample: patients with heart failure (n=26), 65% male (n=17), mean age 72 (SD 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, 2016 [39], Australia</td>
<td>Monitoring: applications about chronic conditions (sleep disorders, migraine, menstrual irregularities, chronic depression, arthritis and Behçet’s disease; smartphone)</td>
<td>Purpose of use (device): Consumers’ experiences with mobile health apps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ranging from symptom monitoring or management apps to fitness apps</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Qualitative: individual semistructured interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ranging from several weeks to 2 years</td>
<td>Sample: healthy individuals reporting the recent use of any commercially available health/fitness app with capacity for self-monitoring and data input (n=22), 32% male (n=7), age range 18-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First author, year, country</td>
<td>e-Diary characteristics</td>
<td>Study characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batink, 2016 [40], The Netherlands</td>
<td>Intervention: ACT-DL, a mobile acceptance and commitment therapy in daily life training (smartphone)</td>
<td>Study aims: Feasibility, acceptability, and effectiveness of ACT-DL (EMI[^5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep quality, appraisal of the day, affect (positive and negative feelings), cognition, context (activity, company and whereabouts)</td>
<td>Study aims: Intervention study with 2 groups (experimental intervention or outpatient treatment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 times a day for 3 days each week, for 4 weeks</td>
<td>Sample: Patients with a mental health disorder such as anxiety, mood, somatoform, or substance disorders: experimental intervention (n=49), 35% male (n=17), mean age 45.7 (SD 10.0); healthy individuals (n=112), 55% male (n=62), mean age 47.5 (SD 12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang, 2016 [41], US</td>
<td>Monitoring: Pocket Personal Assistant for Training Health (Pocket PATH), a health self-monitoring application (smartphone)</td>
<td>Study aims: Acceptance and use of Pocket PATH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spirometry, temperature, blood pressure, pulse, symptoms, weight</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Quantitative: cross-sectional correlational design with secondary analysis of existing RCT data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 months posttransplantation, no app use criteria</td>
<td>Sample: Lung transplantation recipients transferred to the acute cardiothoracic unit (n=96), 51% male (n=49), mean age 57 (SD 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naughton, 2016 [42], UK</td>
<td>Intervention: Q-sense, a smoking cessation mobile phone application (smartphone)</td>
<td>Study aims: Feasibility of Q-sense (EMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking behavior, psychological context, situational context</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Mixed methods: an explanatory sequential mixed methods design with app log data and semistructured interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 month before until 2 weeks after a preset quit date</td>
<td>Sample: Adult smokers willing to set a quit date in the period between 1 week and 1 month after inclusion (n=15), 53% male (n=8), age range 18-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timmerman, 2016 [45], The Netherlands</td>
<td>Monitoring: telehealth care application with a symptom monitoring module and web-based exercise module (smartphone and computer)</td>
<td>Study aims: Development and usability of a multimodal ICT-supported rehabilitation program for lung cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pain, fatigue, dyspnea</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Qualitative: user-centered design with interviews and focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 days a week during 2 weeks presurgery, the first month postsurgery, and 2 weeks prior to the doctor consultation at 3 and 6 months postsurgery</td>
<td>Sample: Patients with NSCLC (n=10), 30% male (n=3), mean age 62 (SD 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke, 2017 [44], US</td>
<td>Intervention: standard behavioral intervention for weight (smartphone)</td>
<td>Study aims: Lessons learned from development and implementation of an EMA study, focusing on the methods and logistics of conducting an EMA study and including strategies to ensure adequate adherence to EMA prompts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Design and data collection: Qualitative: single-group, observational design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 times a day for 12 months</td>
<td>Sample: Former participants of laboratory weight loss studies (n=133), 9% male (n=12), mean age 51.09 (SD 10.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First author, year, country</td>
<td>e-Diary characteristics</td>
<td>Constructs measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane, 2017 [45], UK</td>
<td>Monitoring: DrinkLess, an application (smartphone)</td>
<td>Consequences of alcohol consumption, mood, productivity, clarity, sleep quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freyne, 2017 [46], Australia</td>
<td>Intervention: PMRP, a behavioral-based mobile weight management program and application (smartphone)</td>
<td>Meal diary for previous day, current weight, dietary intake, update food diary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreyenbuhl, 2018 [47], US</td>
<td>Intervention: MedActive, an application (smartphone)</td>
<td>Medication adherence, positive psychotic symptoms, medication side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu, 2018, US [48]</td>
<td>Monitoring: LoseIt, a physical activity and diet tracking application (smartphone)</td>
<td>Food intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomko, 2018 [49], US</td>
<td>Monitoring: REDCap, ambulatory assessment software (computer)</td>
<td>Smoking, substance use, medication adherence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The purpose of use category is based on the authors’ interpretation of the described goal of the electronic diary.

EMA: ecological momentary assessment.
RCT: randomized controlled trial.
CBT: cognitive behavioral therapy.
TMD: temporomandibular disorder.
IT: information technology.
EMI: ecological momentary intervention.
ICT: information communication technology.
NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer.
PMRP: partial meal replacement program.

The factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care were not the primary aim in all included studies. These factors were mentioned as part of a larger study, such as a randomized controlled trial or an intervention study. Studies focused on usability in half of the articles (10/22, 45%), followed by feasibility and effectiveness (7/22, 32%) and development (5/22, 23%). The design of these studies was quantitative (11/22, 50%), mixed (6/22, 27%), or qualitative (5/22, 23%). The number of participants ranged from 3 to 348, with a mean age of 49 years. Of these, 37.0% (493/1341) were male. The majority of the studies included patients with physical symptoms (12/22, 55%), whereas healthy individuals (7/22, 32%) and overweight adults (BMI >25 kg/m^2; n=75), 27% male (n=20), mean age 48.6...
32%) and patients with mental health symptoms (3/22, 13%) were less often described.

**Factors That Influence the Use of Electronic Diaries**

The CFIR [25] was used to perform the qualitative thematic analysis of the factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care. The results of this qualitative thematic analysis were organized along 3 CFIR categories: intervention [29-31,33-49], user characteristics [28,32,36,37,39,41,42,44,45,49], and process [30-33,38,41,43-45,47,49]. No results were found for the 2 other CFIR categories: inner setting and outer setting. Figure 2 gives an overview of these categories, themes, and subthemes.

**Figure 2.** Visual representation of the factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care.
**Intervention**

The first category describes the key attributes of an electronic diary device, a smartphone application, or a web-based module. Five themes specify the intervention.

The first theme, “content,” refers to the information in an electronic diary. Smartphone applications and web-based modules consisted of several content types like EMA, reminders, and reward messages [33,40,45,47-49]. This content supports communication between the patient and the health care professional. Long messages are considered too time-consuming to read, and users would therefore skip screens [33,34,42]. Furthermore, users may prefer both cartoons or videos and text [40-42,45,49]. Moreover, diary questions should be tailored to the individual’s situation [36,37,42,43]. Users are inconclusive about the scope of the constructs measured; some may prefer an exclusive focus on one topic, whereas others may find that too limited [37,47].

The second theme, “look and feel,” refers to the configuration or layout of an electronic diary. The user interface should be both simple and attractive [37,40,45]. However, a balance between attractiveness and user demands is required. Users may prefer a visually appealing user interface with minimal demands on them [45].

The third theme, “functionalities,” refers to the activities that a user can perform within an application, ranging from procedures for recording and uploading data to customization of the user interface. Telephone or email reminders, either programmable or automated, notify the user to complete a questionnaire, which increases the completion rate [29-31,33,37,39,42,44,46-48]. Furthermore, manually entering several indicators per day increases participant burden [40-42,45,49]. Moreover, users want to receive motivational feedback about their results via clear graphics and visual displays [33-37,39,42,43,45,47]. Gamification and persuasive techniques can be used to provide motivational feedback to increase completion rates [33,39,40]. Additionally, Tang et al [37] and Triantafyllidis et al [38] identified that technical support and online contact with, for example, a health care professional increase the use of an electronic diary.

The fourth theme, “technological performance,” refers to the technological issues that users encounter while using an electronic diary. Users can experience technological issues related to the design concept (eg, navigation problems), the software, or the device (eg, battery attrition). These errors reduce the usability of an electronic diary [30,36,42].

The fifth theme, “preconditions,” refers to the conditions that must be fulfilled before a smartphone application or a web-based module can function properly. Burke et al [44] and Tomko et al [49] suggested that users are provided with a compatible mobile device (with sufficient memory, processing speed, and a functioning camera) to overcome the barrier of installing additional hardware or software on the user’s device. Moreover, Burke et al [44], Tomko et al [49], and Triantafyllidis et al [38] stated that users need reliable and consistent access to the internet while using the tool. Furthermore, they suggested checking for operating system and other smartphone updates that potentially interfere with the smartphone application of interest [38,44]. The electronic diary should be updated continuously; hence, bandwidth limitations should be taken into account, especially for web-based modules [31]. Automated data transfer to the background server or another device must be seamless for the individual to be able to use the device with minimal effort [36,38]. Depending on the type of data, users highly value data security. They are especially concerned that data would not be shared with health insurers [39].

**User Characteristics**

The second category describes the characteristics of the individuals who use the electronic diary, in this case, healthy individuals and patients with physical or psychosocial problems. Five themes specify the user characteristics.

The first theme, “sociodemographic information,” refers to the characteristics of a population such as gender, age, and marital status. The use of an electronic diary decreases when individuals are older, have a low socioeconomic status, or are unmarriage, separated, divorced, or widowed [28,41,49], whereas an increase in the use of these tools is seen when individuals experience high psychological distress [41].

The second theme, “attitudes,” refers to the way a user feels and behaves with regard to an electronic diary. Crane et al [45] concluded that users’ positive attitudes towards smartphone applications or web-based modules are based on credibility and trustworthiness of the information. Moreover, Tomko et al [49] stated that users may have strong preferences for either electronic or paper diaries.

The third theme, “skills and knowledge,” refers to the information that a user has about electronic diaries and the ability to use these tools. Users with no or limited smartphone experience and who experience discomfort with technology will not use electronic diaries adequately. Extra staff is required to train these users [44,49]. Additionally, users who become familiar with self-monitoring or get a sense of mastery over their problems will lose their motivation and consequently stop or reduce their app use [36,39].

The fourth theme, “motivation,” refers to the needs, desires, and drives of the individual to use an electronic diary. Naughton et al [42], Anderson et al [39], and Aaron et al [28] stated that missing data are not caused by low motivation, but by discomfort, not having the smartphone at hand, or not wanting to appear rude around others. Social motivation, autonomous motivation, and goal-specific motivation increase the adherence to electronic diaries [32,37,39]. Furthermore, making users vital partners in the development of an electronic diary keeps them motivated to use these devices [44]. In case of unhealthy behaviors, setting a quit date boosts users’ commitment [42].

The fifth theme, “emotional aspects,” refers to the feelings that are induced by using an electronic diary. When diary questions are too personal or judgmental, users are less likely to engage with a smartphone application or a web-based module [45]. Furthermore, they want to keep their data private because they are afraid of being judged [45]. However, in the study by Aaron et al [28], emotional aspects were the least mentioned reasons.
for missing a questionnaire, although Crane et al [45] found that users feel guilty when diaries are missed.

**Process**

The third category describes the activities related to the implementation process. One theme specifies the process.

The theme, “training and instructions,” refers to how users are guided and instructed to adequately use an electronic diary. Training (eg, face-to-face group kick-off presentation, training session to familiarize with the tool and troubleshoot issues) could result in higher use of these tools [28,30-33,41,43,44,47,49]. Furthermore, users may prefer a troubleshooting guide with step-by-step instructions or continuing technical assistance in case of technological issues from the staff or development team [30,38,44,45,47,49].

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

This scoping review maps the existing knowledge and gaps concerning factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care. Due to technological developments in the last decades, electronic diaries have become increasingly available and popular in research and routine clinical practice. This increased interest is also visible in the large number of articles published between 2000 and 2018. However, only a small number of these articles focused on factors that influence the use of electronic diaries. Additionally, an even smaller number of the selected articles focused on implementing these tools in daily clinical practice.

In this scoping review, 22 articles were selected based on the predefined eligibility criteria. For the categories of intervention, user characteristics, and process of the CFIR [25], 11 themes were identified, whereas no empirical data were found for the other CFIR categories: inner setting and outer setting. The use of an electronic diary is facilitated when it is a visually appealing tool with various content types, including reminders, clear in-app data visualizations tailored to the individual, and minimal user demands to increase the user’s engagement. A compatible mobile device with reliable internet access and automated data transfer supports adequate use of an electronic diary. Additionally, the user needs to have smartphone experience, intrinsic motivation, and a clear rationale to monitor one’s own behavior. Finally, both theoretical training and practical training are recommended to foster the implementation process. However, the required content and procedures of such training were not described in the included studies.

Based on these results and considering relevant implementation and adoption models, 2 findings attract attention. First, it is remarkable that there were only empirical data about the influence of the characteristics of the electronic diary, the individual, and the implementation process, whereas the CFIR and other implementation frameworks also emphasize the importance of factors related to the organization in which the care is provided or the organizational culture (inner setting) and the competition or the pressure from external partners and the regulations or legislation concerning electronic diaries in clinical practice (outer setting) [25]. Recent research on the implementation of patient-reported outcome measures also highlights the importance of investing sufficient time and resources to support health care professionals [50-54].

Second, the scope of the implementation framework CFIR, used in this review, appears to be wider than adoption models that are traditionally used to evaluate user engagement and continued use of information systems and mobile technologies, like the Technology Acceptance Model [55-59]. The adoption models limit the scope to characteristics of the electronic diary and the individual user, whereas the CFIR also takes into account the process of implementation in daily clinical practice. In this review, the importance of training and instructions was revealed. The importance of hands-on instructions (individual coaching on the job sessions to familiarize with the use of experience-sampling technology in daily clinical practice, using real-world examples) as well as the ability to contact a help desk in case of practical and technological issues was underlined in our previous study as well [27]. Also, regarding the characteristics of the electronic diary, the adoption models have a smaller focus. They only highlight the running software as a contributing factor, while this scoping review identified that the information about and the layout of these diaries, as well as the technological issues and preconditions, also influence their use [55-59]. However, when considering the characteristics of the individual user, this scoping review revealed personal characteristics such as age, along with attitudes, emotions, and behaviors, while adoption models also focus on social influence and self-efficacy as contributing factors [55-59].

Implementation literature emphasizes that attention should be paid to the range of influencing factors to achieve a successful implementation in daily clinical practice [25,50-54]. Consequently, sustainable use of electronic diaries requires that health care organizations or professionals not only direct attention towards software, hardware, and the target population of the tool but also to the economic and political organizational context, the innovation climate in the organization, and the embedding of the tool in routine clinical practice.

**Strengths and Limitations**

Several limitations have to be kept in mind while interpreting the results of this scoping review. The structured literature search was based on a combination of key words defined by preliminary literature exploration and expert consultation. Despite a broad search approach, it is still possible that articles were missed since the research topic was often not the primary aim of the included studies. This possibly resulted in selection bias. However, the additional hand search minimized this potential shortcoming. It is also worth noting that most of the articles were excluded based on title screening. This can be seen as a limitation, but we think this approach is justifiable in our sensitive search. We performed an iterative screening process that required the researchers to engage in a reflexive way and repeat steps to ensure that the literature was covered in an extensive way. When the relevance of the study was not clear from the title, the abstract was always read. But it is still possible that we missed some articles. Moreover, as an extra check on the 3-step screening process, we read the full texts of a random sample of 50 titles and 50 abstracts. In only 4 articles, we found...
information in the results or the discussion related to our scope. Furthermore, as the aim of this scoping review was to map the existing empirical knowledge and identify any gaps about factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care, no study quality assessment was performed. Moreover, a scoping review does not endeavor to give a summary of the existing literature or compare results (in contrast to a systematic review of, for example, randomized controlled trials on efficacy). Therefore, we did not intend to draw firm conclusions regarding useful and effective features of electronic diaries based on quantified outcomes. We provide, to our knowledge, a first overview of the factors that influence the use of electronic diaries in health care. Future research with longitudinal or mixed methods study designs should focus on the causal relationships between the influencing factors and the use of electronic diaries in health care in order to get a deeper understanding of the causality. Also, a quite diverse sample of studies was included. However, we are convinced that we have achieved the scope of interest of this scoping review. We looked in more detail at similarities and differences in the results of the included studies, based on the purpose of use (monitoring versus intervention), target population (healthy individuals versus patients), setting, study aims, and design (feasibility versus usability versus development). However, we concluded that this synthesis cannot be performed based on the results of the information found in this scoping review. More research is needed in this field. Additionally, the structured literature search was restricted to peer-reviewed databases and so, empirical research. Book chapters and grey literature were not included, which means that additional empirical data can be lacking. This scoping review has several methodological strengths as well. First, a systematic approach was used based on the methodological framework by Arksey and O’Malley [24]. The interprofessional nature of the research team extended the scope of this review, and the consultation of 2 experts in the field validated the search terms. Furthermore, the 3-step screening process was consistently performed by 2 researchers. Second, the thematic analysis organized according to an implementation research perspective led to a synthesis contributing to future understanding of the implementation of electronic diaries in health care.

Conclusion

This scoping review demonstrates that the use of electronic diaries may be influenced by characteristics of the electronic diary, the individual user, and the implementation process. However, the number of empirical studies on the topic was limited. Studies that take into account the setting in which to implement the diaries, such as the organizational context, the implementation climate, and available organizational resources, were lacking. Future research should focus on these factors and on the causal relationships between the different factors to investigate the continued use of these innovative tools.
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Abstract

Background: Older adults may use wearable devices for various reasons, ranging from monitoring clinically relevant health metrics or detecting falls to monitoring physical activity. Little is known about how this population engages with wearable devices, and no qualitative synthesis exists to describe their shared experiences with long-term use.

Objective: This study aims to synthesize qualitative studies of user experience after a multi-day trial with a wearable device to understand user experience and the factors that contribute to the acceptance and use of wearable devices.

Methods: We conducted a systematic search in CINAHL, APA PsycINFO, PubMed, and Embase (2015-2020; English) with fixed search terms relating to older adults and wearable devices. A meta-synthesis methodology was used. We extracted themes from primary studies, identified key concepts, and applied reciprocal and refutational translation techniques; findings were synthesized into third-order interpretations, and finally, a “line-of-argument” was developed. Our overall goal was theory development, higher-level abstraction, and generalizability for making this group of qualitative findings more accessible.

Results: In total, we reviewed 20 papers; 2 evaluated fall detection devices, 1 tested an ankle-worn step counter, and the remaining 17 tested activity trackers. The duration of wearing ranged from 3 days to 24 months. The views of 349 participants (age: range 51-94 years) were synthesized. Four key concepts were identified and outlined: motivation for device use, user characteristics (openness to engage and functional ability), integration into daily life, and device features. Motivation for device use is intrinsic and extrinsic, encompassing many aspects of the user experience, and appears to be as, if not more, important than the actual device features. To overcome usability barriers, an older adult must be motivated by the useful purpose of the device. A device that serves its intended purpose adds value to the user’s life. The user’s needs and the support structure around the device—aspects that are often overlooked—seem to play a crucial role in long-term adaption. Our “line-of-argument” model describes how motivation, ease of use, and device purpose determine whether a device is perceived to add value to the user’s life, which subsequently predicts whether the device will be integrated into the user’s life.

Conclusions: The added value of a wearable device is the resulting balance of motivators (or lack thereof), device features (and their accuracy), ease of use, device purpose, and user experience. The added value contributes to the successful integration of the
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Introduction

Background

Wearable health monitoring devices have seen a rapid rise in capability and popularity over the last two decades. These small wireless devices can monitor movements, improve physical activity, and facilitate ageing-in-place. Wearable devices temporarily and noninvasively attach to a person without hindering their movement and are often intended to be worn continuously. Examples include activity trackers (eg, Fitbit and smartwatches), fall detection devices, electromyography patches, and smart clothing.

Although older adults are not core consumers of wearable devices, their use of digital health technologies is increasing [1] in tandem with the expanding technological capabilities of wearable devices. Wearable devices can support “active ageing,” the process of enhancing quality of life as people age [2]. Technology creates an enabling environment that restores function and expands the participation of older adults in their health. Remote monitoring using wearable devices can aid independence and encourage older adults to manage stable chronic conditions by themselves. Clinicians can track patients’ health status remotely and communicate via video-based consultations [3]. Current wearable devices possess the ability to monitor a number of health metrics, including heart rate, blood oxygen levels, body temperature, physical activity, sleep, and blood pressure [4]. The older adult population is vulnerable to changes in their health conditions and may be burdened by frequent clinical visits. Wearable devices are well suited for monitoring older adults because they convey up-to-date health information and track health metrics over time. Wearable devices are intended to be worn continuously. For example, fall detection devices are worn all day, as falls occur unexpectedly. As these devices are used frequently, it is important to understand the barriers to acceptance and adherence. Factors such as trust, functionality, added value, ease of use, cost, stigma, and fear of dependence are examples of barriers to adoption [5].

Researchers have used a variety of methods to collect information from older adults regarding the acceptability of wearable devices: surveys [6,7], wear time [1], diaries [8,9], interviews [9], and focus groups [10,11]. Some studies collected information about general preferences regarding device design [11]; others allowed participants to interact with several devices before asking about preferred design features [12], in which participants used a wearable device for multiple days and then provided feedback [13-15].

Objectives

Qualitative research methods are well suited to examine the user experience and may offer explanations for unexpected or anomalous findings in quantitative data [16] or uncover usability barriers that quantitative approaches often miss. Systematic reviews that combine the findings of multiple qualitative studies can identify common factors among studies and generalize their findings. No qualitative systematic review exists on older adults’ experiences of using any form of wearable device. Although each user experience is unique, a synthesis of studies may lead to a richer understanding of the integration of devices into the lives of users. Our objective is to better understand these experiences to inform future research efforts and to inspire device design to ensure a successful user experience.

We aim to apply a qualitative meta-synthesis process to the available qualitative data on older adults’ experiences with using wearable devices. Meta-synthesis is a form of interpretive synthesis that can be used in the review and evaluation of qualitative research studies. Our meta-synthesis is based on the principles of meta-ethnography [17], a method designed by Noblit and Hare [17] to synthesize ethnographic studies. A meta-synthesis differs from traditional meta-ethnography in that it allows for a variety of data analysis techniques besides ethnography (eg, phenomenology and grounded theory) to be synthesized together. It is an inductive method that compares, translates, and integrates concepts across studies, while also preserving the context of the primary data. This meta-synthesis is more in-depth than previous systematic reviews on wearable devices that summarized a group of studies [4,5,18-23], analyzed a series of trials [24], or reviewed the state of the art [25,26]. Our overall goal is theory development, higher-level abstraction, and generalizability for making this group of qualitative findings more accessible [27].

Our overarching research question is “What is the experience of older adults who took part in multi-day wearable device trials and what factors contribute to acceptance and use?”

Methods

Search Strategy

The inclusion and exclusion criteria (Textbox 1) were designed to accommodate various study designs as long as they contained the following core structure: an older person using a wearable device for multiple days and the subsequent qualitative analysis of that participant’s experience in relation to the wearable device. We created an inclusive search strategy to locate studies that used unusual jargons or unconventional study designs (Textbox 2). We also reviewed the search terms used in other systematic reviews in this area, consulted with colleagues in clinical and technical expertise in the area, and piloted various
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combinations of search terms to assess the sensitivity of the

terms. KM searched four databases—CINAHL, APA PsycINFO,
PubMed, and Embase—from January 2015 to January 2020 for
studies published in English. Our date range intentionally
excluded older wearable devices to minimize the differences
between the capabilities of the devices used in the studies.

Insights from before this period were recorded in a systematic
review by Bergmann and McGregor [28]. This search was
supplemented by searching Google Scholar, forward and
backward searches of citation lists, and the publication lists of
prominent researchers in the field.

Textbox 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria guiding study selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Peer-reviewed studies (in English)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Published between January 2015 and January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Experiences of older adults using wearable devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Using a defined qualitative approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presenting distinct qualitative data and results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Qualitative data collected after the multi-day trial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Studies not in English or outside the time frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not focused on older populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No primary qualitative data presented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No continuous, multi-day trial component</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Textbox 2. Search terms used in the search strings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• (“wearable technology” OR “wearable sensor” OR “inertia sensor” OR “wireless sensor” OR accelerometer OR “Micro-Electrical-Mechanical-System” OR Actigraphy OR “inertial measurement unit” OR “motion monitor” OR “movement sensor” OR “wearable interface” OR “body worn” OR wearable OR “wireless monitoring system” OR “activity tracker” OR “activity-tracking” OR “activity sensor” OR “activity assessment” OR “fall detection” OR “wireless sensor networks”) AND (“user preference” OR “user experience” OR “user needs” OR preference OR “patient centered” OR qualitative OR “focus group” OR perception OR understanding OR acceptance OR adoption OR usability OR perspective) AND (“older adults” OR older OR ageing OR Parkinson’s OR Alzheimer’s OR Dementia OR stroke OR chronic) NOT (invasive OR implant)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Collection

KM exported the search results, removed duplicates, screened
all titles and abstracts for inclusion, and reviewed eligible
full-text articles against the inclusion or exclusion criteria
(Textbox 1). LK screened a random sample of 200 abstracts
(and full texts where the abstract indicated potential for
inclusion) and confirmed the consistent application of the
inclusion and exclusion criteria. A senior researcher, ST,
provided guidance when eligibility based on a full-text review
was unclear. Reasons for exclusion were recorded for all
excluded studies (Figure 1).
Data Extraction and Quality Appraisal

Data items extracted included information about the publication (date, authors, and study aims), study process (design, methods, and analysis), participant characteristics, device types and features, trial length, and relevant primary qualitative data (themes and quotations).

Although not required in a meta-ethnography, we assessed study quality to facilitate the critical reading of each study to gauge its potential contribution to the analysis (see Table S2 in Multimedia Appendix 1 [9,13-15,29-44] for the checklist). We used the Evaluation Tool for Qualitative Studies (ETQS) [45], as this provides detailed instructions on applying the evaluation criteria, unlike the CASP (Critical Appraisals Skills Programme) tool [46,47]. The ETQS guides the appraisal of the phenomenon studied and context issues; ethics; data collection, analysis, and researcher bias; and policy and practice implications [45]. Two authors (KM and LK) independently conducted the quality assessment. No studies were excluded based on ETQS results, as this often reflects the level of reporting transparency, rather than the actual research processes used [16,48].

Data Analysis and Synthesis

The analysis (Textbox 3) was guided by a meta-ethnographic approach [17,48,49]. Initially, papers were read and reread to familiarize researchers with the study context, design, and findings. Individual themes were extracted and recorded using separate index cards. As the studies were methodologically heterogeneous, we preserved the authors’ original themes (and wording), where possible. Where appropriate, we extracted additional themes from the “discussion” and “conclusion” sections. Where studies were highly descriptive or simply listed participants’ quotes, we coded the “results” and “discussion” sections and generated themes from the presented data, noting that specific quotes, without the full conversation context, were challenging to code. Studies that presented minimal or overly descriptive results were mainly used to support or refute the themes identified in high-quality studies.
Textbox 3. Key steps involved in the synthesis and adapted from Noblit and Hare.

Key Steps
- Identifying knowledge gaps and the literature available for a synthesis and developing research questions
- Defining the focus of the synthesis, locating relevant studies, and assessing the quality of the included studies
- Active reading of the studies to understand context and to extract relevant data
- Themes and concepts were identified in the “results” and “discussion” sections; authors’ interpretations were retained where possible; descriptive studies (without author-generated themes) were coded; and each theme was transferred to an index card along with contextual information, a narrative summary, and device characteristics.
- Index cards (each containing an extracted theme) were juxtaposed and grouped into general categories, categories were refined and subcategories emerged, and key concepts were identified.
- Returning to each study and comparing with the generated categories, using the context provided by the authors to re-evaluate the category placement of each index card, generating new index cards when the existing index cards do not represent the totality of the study results, and generating new subcategories and condensing others to better describe the results of the studies
- Compiling the participant raw data, index cards, and categories to produce overarching concepts that describe the results of the translation process
- Development of a line-of-argument synthesis and conceptual model

The themed index cards were physically juxtaposed and grouped into categories based on patterns of meaning, as related to the research question. Categories and subcategories were refined iteratively through constant comparison within and across studies. Each category was compared against each original study using (1) reciprocal translation (recognizing reoccurring themes or concepts across studies) and (2) refutational translation (recognizing dissimilar themes or concepts across studies, not explained by contextual factors). When all the data were collated and interpreted, several key concepts were defined (third order) and synthesized to develop an integrative “line-of-argument.”

We tracked the preferred and disliked device features throughout the analysis process. Where relevant, we used specific device features to support the key concepts. We summarized the preferred and disliked features, but no frequency analysis was performed because each study used different devices and not all studies included participant feedback on device features.

We reported our results in line with the eMERGe guidance, which has been described for use by researchers conducting meta-ethnography [50]. The search strategy results are presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses flow diagram (Figure 1).

Results

Overview
The database search returned 1971 results (Figure 1). After title or abstract screening and duplicate removal, 51 full-text records were reviewed, and 14 were considered eligible for inclusion. Backward or forward searching uncovered 6 additional eligible records to reach a total of 20 records for the synthesis.

Characteristics of Included Studies
Of the 20 included records, 2 evaluated fall detection devices [14,29], 1 tested an ankle-worn step counter [30], and the remaining 17 examined wrist-worn activity trackers. The duration of use ranged from 3 days to 24 months. In some studies, users completed multiple trials with different devices [9,31-33]. In others, participants were randomly assigned to one of several devices [34,35]. The views of 349 participants (age: range 51-94 years) were synthesized, including those with previous breast cancer [32], obesity [36,37], resolving heart failure [30,37], Parkinson disease [38], dementia [15,39], and walking aids [9,29] and those who were fully independent and healthy [9,13,14,29,31-35,37,40-44]. Table 1 summarizes the results of the data extraction process.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Trial duration</th>
<th>ETQS[^45]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abouzahra and Ghasemaghaei [13]</td>
<td>• Interview pre- and posttrial[^b]</td>
<td>• 44 participants Aged 65-75 years</td>
<td>• Fitbit: AT+SP[^d]—wrist-worn</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batsis [36]</td>
<td>• Surveys</td>
<td>• 8 participants Aged 65-80 years</td>
<td>• Fitbit: PD+SP—waist clip</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demiris et al [14]</td>
<td>• Interview (x2)[^b]</td>
<td>• 18 participants Aged 262 years</td>
<td>• FDD[^f]—clip or lanyard</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehn et al [9]</td>
<td>• Interview[^b]</td>
<td>• 8 participants Aged 75-90 years</td>
<td>• Withings Activité Pop: AT+SP—wrist-worn</td>
<td>9-10 days for each device</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farina et al [15]</td>
<td>• Device diary</td>
<td>• 26 participants Aged 65-90 years</td>
<td>• GENEactiv Original: AT—wrist-worn</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fausset et al [34]</td>
<td>• Questionnaire</td>
<td>• 8 participants Aged 61-69 years</td>
<td>• Striiv: PD—clip</td>
<td>Randomly assigned one device for 2 weeks</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floegel et al [30]</td>
<td>• Interview[^b]</td>
<td>• 27 participants Aged 62-90 years</td>
<td>• Tactivty: AT+SP—ankle-worn</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermanns et al [38]</td>
<td>• Surveys[^g]</td>
<td>• 5 participants Aged 65-81 years</td>
<td>• Fitbit: AT+tablet—wrist-worn</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kononova et al [37]</td>
<td>• Focus group[^a]</td>
<td>• 48 (nonusers, short-term, former, and long-term users)</td>
<td>• Garmin Vivofit 2: AT—wrist-worn</td>
<td>2-4 weeks</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al [40]</td>
<td>• Adoption and usability surveys</td>
<td>• 17 participants Aged 65-85 years</td>
<td>• Nokia Go: AT—wrist-worn</td>
<td>14 weeks</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercer et al [31]</td>
<td>• Questionnaire</td>
<td>• 32 participants Aged 52-84 years</td>
<td>• Fitbit Zip: PD—clip</td>
<td>5 devices, each for ≥3 days (≥15 days total)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^45]: Evaluation Tool for Qualitative Studies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Trial duration</th>
<th>ETQS a [45]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen et al [32]</td>
<td>Focus groups b</td>
<td>14 participants Aged 51-64 years</td>
<td>Fitbit One: PD—clip; Jawbone Up 24: AT—wrist-worn; Garmin Vivofit 2: AT—wrist-worn; Garmin Vivosmart: AT—wrist-worn; Garmin Vivofit 2: AT—wrist-worn; Garmin Vivoactive: AT—wrist-worn; Polar A300: AT—wrist-worn</td>
<td>Assigned 2 devices; 2 weeks per device, 4 weeks total</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preusse et al [35]</td>
<td>Questionnaire; interview h</td>
<td>16 participants Aged 65-73 years</td>
<td>MyFitnessPal: web-based; Fitbit One: AT—wrist-worn+web-based</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puri et al [33]</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>20 participants Aged 55-84 years</td>
<td>Microsoft Band: AT—wrist-worn; Mi Band: AT—wrist-worn</td>
<td>Each device for 3 weeks, 6 weeks total</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosales et al [41]</td>
<td>Interviews (×2) b</td>
<td>5 participants Aged 265 years</td>
<td>Moto G 360: SW—wrist-worn</td>
<td>12-24 months</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlomann et al [43]</td>
<td>Group discussion b</td>
<td>6 participants Aged 67-78 years</td>
<td>AT+SP—wrist-worn</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlomann [42]</td>
<td>Interviews (×2) b,h</td>
<td>6 participants Aged 60-78 years</td>
<td>ViFit: AT—wrist-worn</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thilo et al [29]</td>
<td>Daily diary</td>
<td>15 participants Aged 75-92 years; History of falls</td>
<td>FDD—torso patch</td>
<td>9 days</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorpe et al [39]</td>
<td>Interview b</td>
<td>6 participants Aged 65-78 years; Dementia</td>
<td>Sony SmartWatch 3: SW+SP—wrist-worn</td>
<td>9 weeks</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al [44]</td>
<td>Questionnaire; interview b</td>
<td>20 participants Aged 58-68 years</td>
<td>37 Degree Technology: AT+SP—wrist-worn</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aETQS: Evaluation Tool for Qualitative Studies; maximum score is 10.
bInductive analysis.
cAT: activity tracker.
dSP: smartphone.
ePD: pedometer.
fFDD: fall detection device.
gDescriptive analysis.
hDeductive analysis.
iDirected content analysis.

**Translation**

This study found four key concepts, comprising 12 subthemes that characterize the collective experience of trial participants (Textbox 4).
Textbox 4. Results of the reciprocal and refutation translation process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category 1: Openness to engage and functional ability of the user</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Age-related physiology and comorbidities [14, 32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Physical limitations such as hand dexterity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Slower processing speeds in time of need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inactive lifestyle does not warrant activity tracker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sense of independence [14, 15, 29, 33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Confidence in abilities to remember procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Change in routine (battery life; attached to phone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Subjective norm, not burden on family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Access to instructions and training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Exploration and use of device features [9, 32, 43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Interest in diverse features and uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Confidence to explore means maximized benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Technology experience means ability to troubleshoot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Instructions to overcome hurdles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Self-efficacy for technology [9, 13, 31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Skill to control and manipulate technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Perception of one’s own ability to use technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Insecurities of using the system reduced usage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category 2: Motivation for device use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Awareness of physical activity levels [9, 31, 32, 37, 38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Real versus perceived activity levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Awareness is not the same as motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Awareness is a catalyst, not a creator of motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Internal influences [9, 13, 37, 39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intrinsic motivation required for behavior change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Achieving personal goals is satisfying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Desire to improve health and fitness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Expectation-confirmation theory; if the device meets the user’s expectation, they may be more likely to adopt the device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Quantification and feedback [9, 30, 33, 36, 37, 39, 40, 42-44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Personalized goals and feedback can motivate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Data visualization helps to plan and monitor goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Health data visualization connects user to the purpose of the device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Poor or absent feedback diminishes value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emotions invoked by the device [9, 36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Connected to feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relationship with the device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Negative feelings toward the device can lead to abandonment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emotional attachment to an external motivator can be a positive driving force</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Social capital and encouragement [13,15,36,39,41,44]
  • Social capital promotes continued use
  • Wearables as adjunct to social support
  • Peer support, interaction, and communication
  • Help with troubleshooting
  • An external influence; boost motivation

• Promotion by health care staff [31,32]
  • Benefits of involvement by health sector
  • Motivated to use if part of the treatment plan
  • Input from care team to overcome barriers and meet goals

Category 3: Integration into daily life [9,13-15,34-37,39,41-43]
• Ease of integration is determined by features, day-to-day function, purpose, and reliability of the device
• Cumbersome or annoying design features hinder integration
• Lack of desired features diminishes value of the device
• The device cannot serve its function if it is unreliable and difficult to use
• Reliability issues affect routine and can lead to stigma and embarrassment
• Device issues reduce motivation and diminish the value of devices

Category 4: Device features [9,13,14,29,30,32,33,35,37,40,43]
• Preferred features (in no particular order): waterproof, step count, easy-to-read display format, GPS (security in case of a fall or getting lost), looks like a watch, comfortable location on the body (generally wrist or ankle), secure attachment, smaller, longer battery life, fewer notifications, does not interfere with clothing, personalized notifications or alarms, thin and flexible band, simple attachment (easy to use with limited dexterity), comfortable to wear at night, easy to work (intelligibility), more diverse features, health-related features, tracks sleep, looks nice or cool, simple smartphone or tablet app, other activities that older adults may be doing, real-time feedback on app or device, smaller design, easy to synchronize, automatic logging of activity, goal tracking, view health information, help section, large and easy-to-press buttons, and easy to see (if falls on the floor)
• Disliked features (in no particular order): looks like a medical device (aesthetics), frequent charging, auto-goal function, inaccuracy, having to wear in bed (if uncomfortable), not capturing all activities, large and rigid band, tethered to the smartphone, uncertainties about water damage and charging, complicated tablet or smartphone, no practical training, does not match clothes, difficult to put on, frequent alarms or notifications, difficult to interact with when on the ankle, not compatible with a smartphone, difficult to handle, and not suited for older adults

The key concepts (order not indicative of prominence or salience) are (1) openness to engage and functional ability of the user; (2) motivation for device use; (3) integration into daily life; and (4) device features.

First-order quotations (raw, primary data, ie, direct participant quotations) and second-order (authors’ interpretations of their primary data) interpretations were used to support the analysis of the Translation section. Throughout the Results section, first-order quotations (primary study participants) are indicated in italicized quotations and second-order interpretations (primary study authors) are indicated in italics.

**Openness to Engage and Functional Ability of the User**

**Age-Related Physiology or Comorbidities**

Certain age-related characteristics can impact users’ comfort with new technologies, such as hearing loss, limited dexterity, and low vision [13,14]. Older users may be slower to process new information and therefore require simple, visible instructions:

*Participants saw that, for senior persons less vigorous than themselves, everyday use of the device could be difficult, cumbersome, and demanding: “It is more difficult for a person less alert than me maybe also using walking aids. It might be tough for them to register like this every day.” [9]*

**Self-efficacy for Technology**

In addition to their actual technical skills, an older person’s perceptions of their technical abilities can be a barrier to adoption [13]:

*This was reflected by many of our participants in the comments they made about the devices—often relating that they were “not built with us in mind,” that they were created “for someone younger” and that devices needed a more “tech-savvy” user. [31]*

Low self-efficacy for technology can influence users’ attitudes and limit the perceived ease of use of a device [13]:
Some users felt that they were too young to need a fall detection device currently:

“You know if were a high fall risk...but at the moment I don’t consider that. When I get old maybe.” [14]

Thus, to successfully incorporate a fall detection device into their life, the person must have a recognized need and personal desire to prioritize their safety.

Activity trackers are often worn to monitor physical activity levels. Some participants were motivated to increase their activity when the device was introduced into their lives:

“I was motivated by the technology, that I freely admit.” [9]

Other participants were already motivated to increase their activities before using the device:

“The technology has no impact on my motivation, I am physically active anyway. I am on the verge of getting diabetes, that is what motivates me the most.” [9]

Both physically active and inactive older adults can lack the motivation to use a wearable device if neither has the desire to change their activity levels. Intrinsic motivation seems to be particularly powerful for users who are inactive but have a strong desire to change this; this group has room to improve, unlike very active people who are already at their desired activity level [13]:

They believed that a wearable device can motivate them to improve their exercise level. This theme was more significant in seniors who did not exercise regularly and seniors with lower income. [13]

Long-term users emphasized the importance of internal motivation (Just do it) where activity trackers were serving as secondary facilitators.... [37]

Those who were already satisfied with their exercise levels saw no benefits from using the device [39]. Equally, those already motivated to exercise felt that the device had no additional effect on their behavior [13].

Quantification and Feedback

Some older adults found that quantification of their activity can drive motivation [36,37,39]. Activity tracker users often have a specific goal (eg, increase the daily step count) [36]. Devices that provide feedback help users track their progress [9,36] (eg, “I liked the ability to monitor my progress”).

Each user has a different goal, so the more personalized the feedback, the more effective the device:

Goal setting was perceived important for increasing active behaviour: a quantitative goal was helpful for the user by clarifying if the current activity level was too low. [9]

However, already-active individuals were not always affected by feedback:

“I did not change my exercise habits during the monitoring. I took the same walk as usual in the...
The feedback and features of the device must align with the goals of the user. Some users only need a push (eg, step target). Others have more detailed health monitoring goals (eg, heart rate, sleep, and quantification of multiple activities). People can feel disconnected from a device that does not provide adequate feedback; this can limit a device’s ability to help the user achieve their goals.

The importance of feedback is not limited to activity trackers. Fall detection devices provide feedback in the form of alerts and calls for help. Trial participants said they would like clear feedback about when alerts were activated, who that alert was notifying, and how they could disable the alert [14,29].

**Awareness of Physical Activity Levels**

Using an activity tracker often leads to increased awareness of one’s activity levels, particularly for those who were previously inactive:

“*My Fitbit allowed me to personalise my exercise. I learned new things about myself from the fitbit.*” [13]

However, increased awareness does not necessarily motivate the user to exercise. The desire to increase exercise levels (before knowing one’s current level) and an achievable exercise goal were more motivating than awareness. Certainly, these devices can show how sedentary users are and remind them to meet their exercise goals but a person must already want to make a lifestyle change:

“*It was more informative than motivating, because I had my own agenda that my doctor set out for me to do.*” [31]

Thus, activity trackers were more often viewed as a catalyst rather than a creator of behavior change.

**Emotions Invoked by Device**

Feedback on activity can elicit strong emotions among users and can become attached to their results, experiencing positive affect when they meet their goals and negative affect when they do not:

“*It was irritating when it is visible that I had been so damn lazy. But it is good to have (the technology).*” [36]

Sometimes, users are more concerned with how they connect with a device than the specific output metrics, so that emotional meaning is valued more than actual gains. When a device elicits more positive feelings than negative feelings, users are more inclined to continue use.

Devices can lead to stigma and embarrassment when drawing attention to the public:

“*It’s when they don’t say anything you wonder kinda what they’re looking at, cause they do take notice of it.*” [14]

False alarms from fall detection devices can lead to disruptions in public [14], and activity alarms from activity trackers can interrupt meetings and social events. Aesthetically, devices with a medical look can lack acceptability, because this can draw extra attention and many older adults do not want to be viewed as a “patient”:

“*_...what I was wearing was sheer, and would show this light which everybody was curious about, and it just didn’t look good with, I didn’t want to wear it._*” [14]

**Extrinsic Motivation—Social Support**

For many users, the social network around the device is key to its continued use [13,36]. Social interaction and engagement and peer group attitudes toward technology are key factors influencing adoption. Ongoing peer support and encouragement can positively influence adherence:

“*Meeting with others in the sense of: did they experience the same thing? Do they need encouragement? Can something they’re doing encourage me to alter behaviours?*” [36]

Peers can also help troubleshoot issues and provide hints for maximizing user benefits [36]. Social support is important for long-term use, because intrinsic motivation can waver over time. The device can also act as its own “social support” if it provides good feedback and is easy to interact with. This is important for older adults who are isolated (physically or socially) and therefore might need help to establish a support group:

*Long-term users indicated social support to be the main motivational factor, with the focus on building relationships around daily activity routines. Long-term users were better prepared to modify the social environment around them to maintain an active lifestyle, receive positive feedback, and seek accountability from others.* [37]

**Promotion by Health Care Staff**

For those using a device for medical purposes, the input and encouragement of a health professional can be important for adoption and continued use. Learning about the device from professionals can help overcome barriers to adoption and ultimately meet their goals:

“*But if someone can guide you through it, I think any of them, once you start using them you would probably use it. But I wouldn’t go to Best Buy I wouldn’t have thought to go to best buy. If it’s for my health, I would think to go to a pharmacy.*” [31]

**Integration Into Daily Life**

To be successfully integrated into the user’s life, the device must not only be acceptable and reliable, it must also be perceived by the user to add value to their life. The ease of integration is often determined by the purpose and features of a device and the reliability of the device’s functions. Certain design features, such as appearance, weight, material, dimensions, and comfort, are particularly important. If the wearable device mimics a device already in the user’s life (eg, wristwatch), it can be seamlessly integrated:
“Then, it becomes a habit. And this is precisely what happened to me with my watch [reference to the AT].” [44]

If the device does not have the user’s desired features (e.g., swimming, activity history, or GPS tracking), the user’s perceived value of the device may be low:

“Really, after the bloom got off the rose, I didn’t like anything about it.” [34]

Conversely, users may tolerate design faults if they value the device.

A common barrier to acceptance is its unreliability. When someone cannot rely on a device to serve its purpose or give accurate feedback, it loses value, and the motivation to wear the device can wane. This is evident in the authors’ conclusions:

Some participants...questioned whether the result was correct. This reduced their motivation for being monitored. [9]

It is also evident in the raw participant data:

“...We began to think that it wasn’t accurate, so it lost its appeal.” [34]

Critically, the device should not negatively affect the user’s routine. Frequent charging, not being waterproof, being tethered to a smartphone, and being difficult to put on and take off are examples of features that can disrupt a user’s routine [13,14]. When this happens, especially for older users, the device does not integrate into the user’s life and loses its value.

**Device Features**

Participants across the 20 included studies generally preferred devices that have the following features: waterproof, small in size, comfortable (especially if worn at night), aesthetically pleasing (fashionable; not like a medical device), with an easy-to-read display, a long battery life, and a thin, flexible band (if worn on the wrist). They enjoyed using device features that counted their steps, tracked their location using GPS, automatically logged their activity, measured health parameters (heart rate, blood pressure, or sleep), updated them on activity goals, automatically contacted help in the event of a fall, and synchronized automatically with their other devices. They like devices that are easy to attach, are secure, do not interfere with clothing, and are easy to handle.

The participants disliked devices that were inaccurate, required frequent charging, were uncomfortable, tethered to a smartphone, were difficult to attach, were not compatible with their smartphone, were not suited for older users, or do not capture all of their daily activities. They especially disliked devices without adequate instructions to help them troubleshoot issues or turn off annoying alarms.

**Synthesis**

**Summary of Synthesis Process**

The first-order (quotations), second-order (individual themes extracted from each paper), and third-order (key concept) interpretations were considered as a whole to develop a line-of-argument synthesis.

The experience of integrating a device into everyday life is a dynamic process of assessing the added value of the device and is influenced by a range of interrelated intrinsic (internal motivation, functional ability, interest, and openness) and extrinsic (external motivation, training, device characteristics, functionality, and feedback) factors. Many factors influence whether an older adult sees a device as worth wearing, and the appraisal and balance of these factors tell the user whether the device adds value to their life.

**Line of Argument**

We developed a line of argument to describe the factors that influence successful integration (Figure 2). Our line of argument takes the form of a “conceptual model” of the factors that lead to the integration of the device into the user’s life. Our conceptual model describes how motivation, ease of use, and device purpose determine whether a device will add value to the user’s life, which subsequently determines if the device will be integrated into their life.

Figure 2. Conceptual model developed from the line-of-argument synthesis.
User motivation is key. Without motivation (eg, symptom monitoring), the user will view the device as just another piece of technology. On the basis of the data collated in this synthesis, we found that older adults do not adopt new technologies because of their novelty. We found that motivation comes in two forms: intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. They influence both the user’s initial reason to adopt a device and to sustain its use.

Intrinsic motivation describes a user’s personal connection to a device. Initially, the user must be motivated to make a change in their life that will be supported by adopting a wearable device (eg, increasing physical activity or detecting falls). Intrinsic motivation is often required for individuals to adopt a device initially. The device itself does not create motivation; many users commented that while being able to view their daily step count is interesting, it does not spur them to change their physical activity habits unless they are already motivated to do so. Intrinsic motivation is also important for a device to add long-term value to a user’s life. It is necessary to overcome some of the usability hurdles that users face when they adopt a device. It also fuels continued use as the initial novelty wears off.

Extrinsic motivation is another important contributor to device adoption and added value. This includes factors such as training, technical support, promotion, support from health professionals, peer support, and device feedback. Initially, extrinsic motivation influences device adoption through the practicalities of acquiring and setting up the device and learning how to use its features. Older adults are often asked to adopt a device for fall detection or as part of a treatment or health regime. This form of extrinsic motivation often leads to device adoption but may not contribute to added value if other extrinsic factors (eg, technical and peer support) are not present. Technical support was frequently cited as a crucial extrinsic motivator, both initially and over time. Good technical support connotes added value because it gives the user the confidence to explore the device’s features and supports integration into the user’s life. Peer support is another important extrinsic motivation factor that contributes to both device adoption and added value. It comes in many forms and is unique for each user, but its importance is universal. Social support encourages older adults to adopt wearable devices and motivates continued use. Conversely, reliance on social support (eg, having to bother someone for assistance) limits the user’s independence and could be a barrier to continued use. Together, the factors of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation influence whether an individual will adopt a device and whether the device will continue to add value to their life.

The purpose of the device (fall detection, step count, etc) is the main reason why older adults adopt it, and it is what initially draws a user to that specific device. Unlike those of a younger generation, older adults do not tend to use new technology simply because they have fun features. They view devices as tools and expect them to serve their purposes with accuracy and reliability. The purpose of a device (and its features) is key to adoption. Older adults are unlikely to adopt a device that does not fulfill pre-existing needs. The purpose of the device also adds value and facilitates integration as the user expands their relationship with the device. Upon adoption, the user evaluates whether, and to what extent, the device serves its intended purpose. A device that continues to serve its intended purpose (or serves additional purposes as the user becomes more familiar with its features) is perceived to add value, leading to integration as the user relies on that device to fulfill an important need in their life.

Along with motivation and purpose, ease of use also predicts added value. This is defined as the degree to which a device is free of physical and mental effort for users. Specific device features (eg, battery life and touch screen menus) influence ease of use, as do general features such as access to simple instructions and the amount of interaction required. An easy-to-use device adds value by reducing the burden of using the device. This allows users to focus on their motivators and the fundamental purpose of the device.

Added value to life is the ultimate contributor to successful integration into daily life. The added value is the resulting balance of motivators (or lack thereof), device features (and their accuracy), ease of use, device purpose, and user experience. When the negatives outweigh the positives, the device will most likely not be integrated into everyday life.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

This is the first study to systematically review and synthesize the qualitative literature on older adults’ experiences with wearable devices. This meta-synthesis collated the experiences of 349 trial participants and presented the key factors that influence user acceptance and adherence. These factors include intrinsic and extrinsic motivation to use the device; the purpose of the device and how it relates to the user’s expectations and needs; and the ease of use and functional ability of the user. The user’s appraisal of these factors determines the level of value added by the device to the life of each user.

Motivation for device use comes in two forms (intrinsic and extrinsic) and encompasses many aspects of the user experience. According to our line-of-argument synthesis, motivation influences both device adoption and added value. Motivation seems to be as, if not more, important for older adults than the actual device features. Moreover, the user’s needs and the support structure around the device—aspects that are often overlooked—seem to play a crucial role in long-term adoption.

**Comparisons With Previous Work**

Our bottom-up inductive qualitative synthesis supports the findings of existing theory-bound models of technology acceptance. It was not intended from the outset that our conceptual model would tie in with quantitative models such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the value-based adoption model (VAM). We felt that the TAM and VAM could be used to structure and contextualize our findings. These models use quantitative surveys to test hypotheses about factors that predict the intention to use. For example, the TAM shows that perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, and attitude toward the system predict intention to use [51]. The development of these models does not involve trial components or qualitative methods. Therefore, our study should not be
directly compared with the TAM or any other acceptance model and should instead provide inspiration for hypotheses to test in future iterations of wearable device acceptance models.

Originally designed to describe the acceptance of information services in organizations [51], the TAM has only recently been applied to wearable devices [52-55]. In contrast to the TAM, the authors of the VAM recognized that most consumers adopt mobile technologies for personal purposes and that the cost of voluntary adoption is borne by the individual, not the organization [56]. According to the VAM, perceived sacrifices (cost and technicality) seem to have a greater impact than perceived benefits (usefulness and enjoyment) on perceived value. Many participants in our review alluded to this balance between sacrifices and benefits. They described how a device that disrupts their routine or limits their independence is not worth the hassle, especially if the features (eg, counting steps) are not beneficial to them.

The TAM and VAM inspired the recent development of a smart wearables acceptance model for older adults by Li et al [57]. Along with established acceptance factors such as perceived usefulness [51], Li et al [57] included older adult–specific factors such as self-reported health conditions, perceived social risk, performance risk, and social influence. Their results supported their hypothesis that facilitating conditions positively predicted intention to use, a finding supported by our study. They also showed that the self-reported health status is a negative predictor of use, suggesting that older adults with a better health status are not likely to require these technologies. Our results show a similar trend; older adults are motivated to use a device if they have a need (eg, monitor symptoms and fall risk). In contrast to our findings, 95.9% (140/146) of their participants perceived minimal or no social risk when using a wearable device. This may be because their participants were not offered the opportunity to wear the device in public. Several of the participants in our review (who did wear their devices in public) described feelings of embarrassment or stigma when the device intrudes on the user’s life.

Our review points to age-related factors that can influence acceptance, such as experience with technology and openness to engage. A systematic review of factors influencing acceptance of technology for ageing-in-place found a similar phenomenon and discussed the effect of age and chronic illness on the acceptance of vital sign monitoring systems [58,59]. The systematic review also highlighted the impact of social support from family, friends, professional caregivers, and peers [58]. In their 2014 review of determinants and barriers, Lee and Coughlin [60] described eight similar factors (value, usability, technical support, social support, emotions, independence, experience, and confidence) and two additional factors that our review did not uncover (affordability and accessibility) [60]. Similar findings from these studies indicate a convergence of the field toward an understanding of the key factors that influence adoption and adherence.

Relevance for Researchers,Clinicians, and Designers
When designing future wearable device acceptance models for older adults, researchers should consider the multiple stages of device use that follow the initial “intention to use.” Furthermore, in the user experience, concepts such as added value become relevant, which may have a different set of predictors than the initial intention to use. In the development of the Senior Technology Acceptance and Adoption Model (STAM), Renaud and van Biljon [61] related certain acceptance factors to adoption stages. The STAM includes factors such as confirmed usefulness and ease of learning and use. Using qualitative methods to develop their model, Renaud and van Biljon [61] used these factors to explain why older adults do not reach the final adoption phase and never fully accept technology. Yu-Huei [62] adapted the STAM to wearable devices and added two additional factors, information source and group behavior, which emerged from their qualitative analysis of older adults in Taiwan.

Our study proposed several predictors that could be tested as a part of future model development studies. First, motivation is key and seems to be a constant driving force throughout the user experience. Researchers should question users on both intrinsic and extrinsic motivators to see if these factors predict integration. Second, the purpose of the device (and whether the user aligns with that purpose) should be investigated as a predictor of device adoption and added value. Finally, ease of use should be considered within the context of older adults, as done by Yu-Huei [62]. The technical abilities of older adults may differ from those of younger generations, and certain medical conditions may hinder functional abilities.

Researchers play a role in validating (or refuting) the findings of this review. Older adults require a specific wearable device acceptance model because they are a distinct population from the individuals used to develop the TAM and other wearable device acceptance models [54]. As opposed to smartphones and embodied conversational agents, wearable devices are designed to be used individually and continuously, which leads to a unique set of influencing factors.

For clinical trial researchers, we stress the need to provide extrinsic motivation for their participants by conveying the importance of the device. They should also provide training and technical support to facilitate ease of use. Clinicians using wearable devices in their private practice can provide extrinsic motivation by clearly explaining the device’s purpose and the meaning of measurements to their patients. They should also provide encouragement and technical support. They can support intrinsic motivation by learning about their patients’ health goals and desires to use a wearable device.

Although not explicitly stated, several of the included studies used a user-centered design approach [9,14,29]. A user-centered design, though often overlooked, is essential in the development of wearable devices, particularly if the designers are not a part of the intended demographic. Designers, clinicians, and researchers can collaborate with older adults to address all aspects of wearable device use. As the studies in our review demonstrate, trials and qualitative data are valuable tools for designers using the user-centered design approach to explore the long-term use of their products. Some device features become relevant only after a period of usage. For the benefit of designers, we summarized some of the features that were commonly discussed in our review. The study participants discussed many design features that are specific to the devices.
in question, and thus features could not be compared between the studies. However, we can make a few generalizations. First, designers should not take for granted that older adults will accept every design feature. Second, a device should serve the purpose, and the primary function of the device should be reliable and easy to use; some older adults are interested in advanced features, but not all are. Third, participants disliked unnecessary interactions with the device, such as frequent charging, responding to alerts, and maintaining a Bluetooth connection to their smartphone. An ideal device requires little maintenance and only requires interaction to monitor the data and obtain device feedback. Finally, a wearable device should be easy to take on and off, comfortable to wear at night, and waterproof. It should cause minimal disruption in users’ lives, be aesthetically pleasing, and should not draw attention to the user or single them out as a patient; it should not interfere with clothing; and it should have a silent mode to prevent unnecessary disruptions.

**Strengths, Limitations, and Future Directions**

Measures such as practicing reflexivity and using 2 reviewers maximized the quality of this meta-synthesis. The authors are an experienced multidisciplinary team (geriatric medicine, psychology, epidemiology, and engineering) with expertise in qualitative approaches. By following the eMERGe reporting guidance (see Table S1 in Multimedia Appendix 2 [50]), we communicated our methodology with transparency, including our study’s limitations. Although both reviewers (KM and LK) collaborated to generate the search strategy and inclusion criteria, because the second reviewer only screened a sample of the references, some relevant studies may have been excluded during the screening process. Our database search only included 70% (14/20) of the included studies. The additional 6 studies were located by manually searching the reference lists and searching Google Scholar, highlighting the limitations of our strategy. For example, we should have included the search term “senior*” to find studies such as the Abouzahra and Ghasemaghaei [13] study. It is worth noting that this issue is more common in qualitative reviews than in quantitative reviews because of the poor and inconsistent indexing of qualitative research in databases [63]. While we searched four databases, searching for additional databases (eg, Scopus and ISI Web of Science) would have strengthened our study.

The content of our results and the line-of-argument conceptual model were contingent on the data collected from the broad inclusion criteria. This is both a strength and a weakness of qualitative syntheses; it affords reviewers the flexibility to uncover new ideas but it dictates which questions can be answered. Some studies lacked rich descriptions and interpretations of their findings or did not provide sufficient context (about the sample, the device, or the procedures). This limited the contributions of some studies to the meta-synthesis [64], regardless of their ETQS quality score. Studies with low ETQS scores often fell short of simple aspects, such as not reporting the location of the study. Ultimately, the results of this study are based on the synthesis of qualitative data, which is inherently subjective. Our line-of-argument and conceptual model provide suggestions, but the full development of a wearable device acceptance model for older adults will take place with a more rigorous study design.

All studies included in this review were published in English and conducted in Western countries. The findings may not represent countries with different cultures, access to wearables, and income levels. Several studies included short trial periods and a few participants. Each study evaluated a different device (or set of devices), which restricted comparisons between studies. Future research would benefit from long-term trials using in-depth qualitative methods to evaluate the drivers of acceptance and adherence. Future research must also include the views of older adults who use wearable devices as part of clinical care, not just a research trial. An alternative set of predictors might be relevant to participants who use a device for a specific health purpose.

**Conclusions**

This review found that several key factors influence the acceptance and use of wearable devices by older adults. These include intrinsic and extrinsic motivation for device use, ease of use, device purpose, and perceived added value to the user’s life. Designers, clinicians, and researchers should be aware that useful device features alone do not lead to continued use. To overcome the usability barriers (eg, limited technical ability), an older adult must be motivated to use a device because it serves a useful purpose. A support structure should be placed around the user that fosters motivation, encourages engagement with peers, and adapts to the user’s preferences. Future research should evaluate our conceptual model by validating our proposed predictors and conducting long-term wearable device trials that use qualitative methods to comprehensively address the multiple stages of device use and the many factors that contribute to adherence.
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Abstract

Background: Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disorder that affects hundreds of millions of people worldwide and causes several million deaths every year. Such a dramatic scenario puts some pressure on administrations, care services, and the scientific community to seek novel solutions that may help control and deal effectively with this condition and its consequences.

Objective: This study aims to review the literature on the use of modern mobile and wearable technology for monitoring parameters that condition the development or evolution of diabetes mellitus.

Methods: A systematic review of articles published between January 2010 and July 2020 was performed according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. Manuscripts were identified through searching the databases Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed as well as through hand searching. Manuscripts were included if they involved the measurement of diabetes-related parameters such as blood glucose level, performed physical activity, or feet condition via wearable or mobile devices. The quality of the included studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

Results: The search yielded 1981 articles. A total of 26 publications met the eligibility criteria and were included in the review. Studies predominantly used wearable devices to monitor diabetes-related parameters. The accelerometer was by far the most used sensor, followed by the glucose monitor and heart rate monitor. Most studies applied some type of processing to the collected data, mainly consisting of statistical analysis or machine learning for activity recognition, finding associations among health outcomes, and diagnosing conditions related to diabetes. Few studies have focused on type 2 diabetes, even when this is the most prevalent type and the only preventable one. None of the studies focused on common diabetes complications. Clinical trials were fairly limited or nonexistent in most of the studies, with a common lack of detail about cohorts and case selection, comparability, and outcomes. Explicit endorsement by ethics committees or review boards was missing in most studies. Privacy or security issues were seldom addressed, and even if they were addressed, they were addressed at a rather insufficient level.

Conclusions: The use of mobile and wearable devices for the monitoring of diabetes-related parameters shows early promise. Its development can benefit patients with diabetes, health care professionals, and researchers. However, this field is still in its early stages. Future work must pay special attention to privacy and security issues, the use of new emerging sensor technologies, the combination of mobile and clinical data, and the development of validated clinical trials.
Introduction

Background

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder primarily characterized by high blood glucose levels (GLs). People with DM are more likely to have other major health problems. Therefore, the chances for them to require special medical attention increases as the patients’ quality of life decreases [1]. Statistics from the International Diabetes Federation show that 463 million people had DM worldwide in 2019, with a total of 4.2 million estimated deaths that year. The projection of these data is alarming for the next years, and by 2045, an increase of 33.9% is estimated; thus, 700 million people would have DM [2]. Such a continued increase in the prevalence of DM is mainly justified by the global rise in obesity, driven foremost by people’s unwholesome lifestyles and urbanization [3]. According to the International Diabetes Federation, more people have died from DM than from other diseases sometimes categorized as more dangerous or receiving more attention from health agencies or governments [4].

DM is normally categorized into 3 groups: type 1 diabetes (T1D), type 2 diabetes (T2D), and gestational diabetes (GD). T1D affects between 5% and 10% of patients with DM and most often occurs in young people [5,6]. T1D is fundamentally characterized by a severe problem of insulin secretion. Patients are required to use an external source of insulin to balance their blood GLs. These multiple daily doses can be administered through injections or continuous insulin pumps. T2D affects between 90% and 95% of patients with DM, usually adults and senior citizens [5,6]. In this case, the pathophysiological mechanism is insulin resistance, and over time, the body loses the ability to secrete the right amount of this hormone. These type of patients with DM have several options to treat their condition.

Irrespective of the type of DM, low or too high GLs in the blood for long periods can induce several complications in patients, leading to premature death in worst cases. Critical hypoglycemia can cause comatose states and induce seizures. Chronic hyperglycemia can cause vascular damage; affect the heart, kidneys, eyes, and nerves; and lead to other serious complications [7,8]. These complications of DM can be classified into 2 types: microvascular (related to retinopathies, nephropathies, and neuropathies) and macrovascular (mainly related to cardiovascular problems) [9,10].

Extensive tests have proven that appropriate metabolic control in all DM types can delay the onset and evolution of its complications [10]. In addition, early diagnosis, continuous health care, and adequate self-monitoring of the disease by patients are key for preventing or minimizing complications. Moreover, several studies indicate that T2D can be prevented by maintaining a healthy lifestyle, attaining adequate nutrition, performing physical activity, and avoiding obesity [11]. Therefore, some parameters are of special interest to be monitored by patients with DM, such as body weight, GL, performed physical activity, blood pressure, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, microalbuminuria, glycated hemoglobin level [12], acquired calories, feet condition, eye conditions, and stress levels, among others [4,13]. For all issues related to DM, governments and institutions must urgently implement new strategies, primarily fostered by the potential of diabetes technologies, to decrease the risk factors that lead to T2D and guarantee quality health care for people with DM [14].

The health community uses the term diabetes technology for devices and software that patients with DM use to aid their condition. According to this classification, diabetes technology has 2 main categories: insulin administration and blood glucose monitoring. Insulin pumps are the most popular devices used for insulin administration. Continuous glucose monitors (CGMs) are most often used for monitoring blood GLs. In recent years, hybrid devices have been developed, including both functions. When this technology is used properly, it can improve the quality of life of patients. However, the complexity and rapid changes in this field can be an obstacle to their widespread use by patients [15]. Although diabetes technologies have been mostly dominated by this type of devices, it is only recently that the advances in mobile and wearable technologies have burst in to complement prior diabetes technology with a new generation of digital solutions at the reach of most people.

The widespread adoption of wearable and mobile technologies around the world offers new opportunities for researchers to provide medical care and information in a portable and affordable way [16]. Smartphones stand out because of their strong computational features and pervasiveness. As of 2019, 2.5 billion people owned smartphones [17], which is far beyond the number of desktops or laptop computer ownership in countries such as the United States [18]. Even among older adults, smartphone ownership has doubled. By the beginning of 2019, there were approximately 350.4 million people using wearable technology [19], namely, devices worn directly on or loosely attached to a person [20], with a growing trend in the use of wrist-worn devices [21]. This technology has distinctive applications in the health care field because of its capacity to gather, store, and transmit data and sometimes even process it. Both patients and physicians can leverage these features for the management, treatment, and assessment of their conditions. Despite the aforementioned capabilities of mobile and wearable technology, these devices are not yet extensively used in clinical settings [20].

Several sensors are readily available on regular smartphones, such as the accelerometer (ACC), GPS, camera, ambient light, and microphone, among others. The data collected by these sensors can be used to determine the user context [22]. For example, physical activity or calories burned by the user can normally be measured using a smartphone’s motion sensors.
Wearables have features that increasingly compare to those of regular smartphones, including, in some cases, built-in GPS, barometer, heart rate (HR), ACC, or gyroscope sensors. In addition, wearables outmatch smartphones while sensing physiological signs, such as HR, electrocardiogram (ECG), or skin temperature, which are considered of particular interest for the monitoring of DM-related parameters. Some of these physiological measurement capabilities can also be instrumented in smartphones via external pluggable devices, although only for occasional use [24]. By processing the collected data generated by the sensors on smartphones and wearables, it is possible to monitor many of the relevant parameters for patients with DM, such as GL, blood pressure, calories, physical activity, feet condition, eye condition, and stress levels. In addition, one of the most relevant characteristics of this technology is its capacity to monitor in a continuous, passive, and unobtrusive way, without necessarily interfering with people’s regular daily living.

Mobile and wearable devices generate an enormous amount of data, and their ability to process these data is beyond human skills [25]. This is why sophisticated mechanisms such as artificial intelligence (AI) are most often used in combination with these devices to digest and extract meaningful knowledge from the gathered data. AI is widely used to support advanced analytics and provide individualized medical assistance [26]. In addition, a growing number of health care companies are applying AI algorithms to discover relevant clinical information from large amounts of data [27]. The main reasons for this growth include the explosive increase in the amount of data available, along with the improved performance of intelligent methodologies capable of handling and processing it. AI is also attracting great attention to DM, as the amount of data acquired electronically by patients with DM has grown. Proper management of these large volumes of data is expected to increase the quality of life of patients with DM [28]. Thus, AI may play a key role in the recognition of these systems as routine therapeutic aids for patients with DM.

**Objective**

Although several manuscripts have been published on the use of mobile and wearable technology for monitoring parameters that condition the development and evolution of DM, hereafter monitoring of DM-related parameters, this subject has not been systematically reviewed to the best of the authors’ knowledge. Therefore, the goal of this study is to review the published literature on the use of mobile and wearable technology for the monitoring of DM-related parameters. Three specific research questions are defined to guide this study: (1) How are DM-related parameters studied using mobile and wearable technology? (2) How are the devices and sensors used to monitor DM-related parameters? and (3) What processing is given to the collected mobile and wearable data?

**Methods**

**Overview**

The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [29] were followed to perform a systematic review of the literature on mobile and wearable sensing for the monitoring of DM-related parameters. Moreover, the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [30] was used to assess the quality of the studies. The specific methodology followed is described in the following sections.

**Information Source**

Studies were identified by searching electronic databases and scanning publications from a reference list of authors. The search was performed using 3 reference web-based citation databases: Web of Science (WoS), Scopus, and PubMed. The last search was performed on July 28, 2020. The queries used for the database search are listed in Textbox 1. The terms used in the queries and the combination thereof aim to match the title, abstract, or keywords of the manuscripts. In addition, we handsearched on ResearchGate for authors with skills and expertise related to the topics of interest by using the query “diabetes AND (wearable OR mobile).” On the basis of the list of retrieved authors, we identified their studies within the scope of wearable and mobile sensing in diabetes.
Scopus

- TITLE-ABS-KEY(diabetes AND ((sensor OR sensing OR accelerometer OR gyroscope OR "proximity sensor" OR "light sensor" OR pedometer OR barometer OR gps OR camera OR "humidity sensor" OR magnetometer OR compass OR microphone OR mic OR nfc OR Bluetooth OR Wi-Fi OR fingerprint OR sms OR "phone call" OR "call log") AND ((wearable OR "smart watch" OR smartwatch OR "fitness band" OR "flexible band" OR wristband OR "smart insole" OR bracelet) OR (mobile OR smartphone OR "smart phone" OR cellphone OR "cell phone" OR mobilephone OR "mobile phone")))

Web of Science

- (ts = (diabetes AND ((sensor$ OR sensing OR accelerometer$ OR gyroscope$ OR "proximity sensor$" OR "light sensor$" OR pedometer$ OR barometer$ OR gps OR camera$ OR "humidity sensor$" OR magnetometer$ OR compass$ OR microphone$ OR mic$ OR nfc$ OR Bluetooth OR Wi-Fi OR fingerprint OR sms OR "phone call$" OR "call log$") AND ((wearable$ OR "smart watch$" OR smartwatch$ OR "fitness band$" OR "flexible band$" OR wristband$ OR "smart insole$" OR bracelet$) OR (mobile$ OR smartphone$ OR "smart phone$" OR cellphone$ OR "cell phone$" OR mobilephone$ OR "mobile phone$")))

PubMed

- ((diabetes AND ((sensor OR sensing OR accelerometer OR gyroscope OR "proximity sensor" OR "light sensor" OR pedometer OR barometer OR gps OR camera OR "humidity sensor" OR magnetometer OR compass OR microphone OR mic OR nfc OR Bluetooth OR Wi-Fi OR fingerprint OR sms OR "phone call" OR "call log") AND ((wearable OR "smart watch" OR smartwatch OR "fitness band" OR "flexible band" OR wristband OR "smart insole" OR bracelet) OR (mobile OR smartphone OR "smart phone" OR cellphone OR "cell phone" OR mobilephone OR "mobile phone"))))(TitleAbstract)

Study Selection

Manuscripts resulting from the database search (WoS, Scopus, and PubMed) and the hand search (ResearchGate) were downloaded and merged, and duplicates were removed. A 2-stage process was applied for the analysis of the manuscripts. In the first stage, 2 of the authors (CR and OB) screened the manuscripts based on the eligibility criteria, using title and abstract. In the second stage, the same authors fully reviewed the manuscripts resulting from the first stage and selected those meeting the eligibility criteria. During both the initial screening and full-text screening for eligibility, the 2 authors processed all the papers independently and discussed their observations before making a definitive decision. In the event of disagreement, a third reviewer (CV) was assigned, and a final decision was made based on the majority vote.

Eligibility Criteria

Studies were included if related to DM and if data were collected using sensors from wearable devices or smartphones and transmitted wirelessly. Hence, studies that were not related to DM were directly excluded. Those related to DM but where data were not collected using wearables or smartphones or where data were not transmitted wirelessly were also excluded. The inclusion criteria for both disease and technology are explained below.

According to the considered disease, a manuscript was included if it focused exclusively on DM, meaning that the main clinical topic of the study was DM; it was related to DM complications, that is, the main clinical topic of the study was a complication (or several) resulting from DM; it studied DM in combination with another disease, in other words, the main clinical topic of the study was the relation between DM and another condition such as cardiovascular disease; and patients with DM were used as a case study, namely, a clinical solution for multiple conditions was proposed, but the evaluation was performed on patients with DM.

According to the technology, the definition of wearable used for the inclusion criteria was “electronic device with micro-controllers, that can be incorporated into clothing or worn on the body as implants or accessories” [31]. These devices can be either commercial, medical, or prototypes. The definition of smartphone for the inclusion criteria was given by the Oxford dictionary: “a mobile phone that performs many of the functions of a computer, typically having a touchscreen interface, internet access, and an operating system capable of running downloaded apps.” Moreover, both wearables and mobile devices must send the monitored data wirelessly to the storage endpoint to meet the inclusion criteria.

Studies meeting the disease and technology inclusion criteria were also excluded if they were oriented to the intervention without an actual monitoring of DM-related parameters; they were technology centered, namely, the solution was not applied to a clinical case study; the proposed solution was not tested; similar studies under a different title were already considered; and the manuscript was not available.

Only English manuscripts in engineering and computer science areas, of article or proceedings type, and published between January 2010 and July 2020 (both inclusive) were included.

Quality Assessment

The 9-point NOS was used to score the included manuscripts. Nonrandomized studies, including case-control and cohort studies, were independently scored by 2 authors (CR and JRR). Disagreements were discussed and resolved.

Results

Overview

The query used in the Scopus, WoS, and PubMed databases resulted in 960, 627, and 323 references, respectively. A total of 71 manuscripts were identified through other sources. After applying the PRISMA guidelines (Figure 1), 26 publications
were eventually included in the full review, 4 exclusive from Scopus [32-35]; 8 from Scopus and WoS [36-43]; 2 from Scopus and PubMed [44,45]; 1 from WoS and PubMed [46]; 9 from Scopus, WoS, and PubMed [47-55]; and 2 available in both Scopus and PubMed and other sources [56,57].

Figure 1. Search and selection of manuscripts using the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines.

The process used to achieve the 26 publications included in this review is as follows. A total of 590 original studies were obtained after merging the results from the databases and eliminating duplicates. Up to 79.5% (469/590) of the manuscripts were excluded after screening the title and abstract. Of the 469 manuscripts, 243 (51.8%) were not focused on DM, with a majority of papers where the term diabetes was part of the subject but most often just used as an exemplary use case; in 55 (11.7%) manuscripts, no sensing took place; in 4 (0.9%) of the manuscripts, the sensing device was not considered mobile or wearable; 97 (20.7%) manuscripts were mainly focused on interventions without an actual monitoring of DM-related parameters; 18 (3.9%) manuscripts were exclusively centered on the technology and did not have a clinical application; 1 (0.2%) study proposed a solution but simply at a conceptual level; 4 (0.9%) studies were found to be very similar to other considered studies despite being entitled differently; 11 (2.4%) were proceedings reviews; and finally 36 (7.7%) manuscripts were reviews or surveys.

The 121 manuscripts resulting from the previous screening were fully analyzed. A total of 78.5% (95/121) of the manuscripts were excluded following the same criteria mentioned above: 5% (5/95) studies were not focused on DM; in 22% (21/95) of the studies, no sensing was performed; in 27% (26/95) of the studies, the sensing device was not considered mobile or wearable; in 3% (3/95) of the studies, the data were not sent wirelessly; 5% (5/95) of the studies were centered on technology; 25% (24/95) of the studies were not properly tested, that is, some studies did not show enough scientific maturity in their tests and others did not involve patients with DM; 6% (6/95) of the manuscripts were not available; 4% (4/95) of the manuscripts were reviews or surveys; and finally, 1% (1/95) of
the manuscript was a magazine. As a result, 21.5% (26/121) of the manuscripts were selected to be reviewed in this study.

Of the 26 selected studies, 22 (85%) studies were assessed in terms of quality (Multimedia Appendix 1 [32-34,36-38,40-43,45-54,56,57]). A total of 19 (73%) studies were deemed as cohort studies [33,34,36-38,40-42,45-54,57]. Moreover, 12% (3/26) of studies were identified as case-control studies [32,43,56]. The remaining 15% (4/26) of studies were not identified as nonrandomized [35,39,44,55]; therefore, they were not included in the quality assessment. Of the assessed studies, 41% (9/22) were rated 1 star [36,37,40,43,46-49,51], 55% (12/22) were rated 2 stars [32-34,38,41,42,45,50,52-54,56], and only 5% (1/22) study was rated 4 stars [57]. None of the studies obtained any star for the comparability criteria. The ratings were generally low, which is nevertheless explained by the fact that the selected studies were not of an intervention type.

Some general statistics and quality indicators were obtained from the selected studies. Overall, 62% (16/26) manuscripts were published in journals, whereas (10/26) manuscripts were included in conference proceedings. Moreover, 23% (6/26) of the articles were published in journals ranked in quartile 1, 4% (1/26) in quartile 2, 8% (2/26) in quartile 3, and 4% (1/26) in quartile 4 (1/26) according to the Journal Citation Reports (WoS). In addition, 19% (5/26) of the articles were published in journals ranked in quartile 1, 23% (6/26) in quartile 2, 4% (1/26) in quartile 3, and 4% (1/26) in quartile 4 according to the SCImago Journal Rank (Scopus). It was observed that cross-national research teams were present on many occasions. These teams had a European member 14 times, had a member from the Unites States 11 times, and had an Asian member 8 times. The majority of the studies (21/26, 81%) were published between 2017 and 2019, as shown in Multimedia Appendix 2.

Close to half of the manuscripts (12/26, 46%) did not focus on a specific type of DM, almost a third of the studies (8/26, 31%) were related to T1D, 5 were associated with T2D (5/26, 19%), and 1 study dealt with GD (1/26, 4%). The majority of the studies (25/26, 96%) were some type of trial or longitudinal study, with sample sizes ranging from 1 to 100 participants with an approximate duration of up to 140 days. Patients with DM were involved in approximately two-thirds of these trials (17/26, 65%). More than half of the studies used only wearables to carry out their objectives (15/26, 58%), approximately one-fifth of the studies used only smartphones (5/26, 19%), and 6 studies used both wearables and smartphones in combination (6/26, 23%). The most common sensor used in the studies was the ACC (19/26, 73%).

In the following sections, we report the findings extracted from the analysis of all the reviewed studies. The dimensions for characterization are medical classification, DM type, research goals, devices, sensors, data processing, privacy and security, and study’s characteristics. Medical classification refers to studies that focused exclusively on DM, related to DM complications, studied DM in combination with another disease, or used patients with DM as a case study. DM type can be T1D, T2D, GD, or not specified. Research goals refer to activity recognition, diagnosis or prediction of the onset or evolution of DM, finding associations among DM-related variables, or simply measuring DM-related parameters. Devices can be wearable, smartphone, wearable and smartphone. Sensors refer to ACC, glucose monitor (GM), HR monitor, etc. Data processing is categorized into statistical analysis, machine learning (ML), ontologies, or none. Privacy and security refer to mechanisms used to preserve users’ anonymity, ethical aspects, and data protection. Study’s characteristics refer to size, length, and subjects’ characteristics.

Medical Classification, DM Type, and Research Goals

More than half of the selected studies, namely, 62% (16/26) of manuscripts were exclusively focused on DM [32,34-40,45-47,50,51,53-55]. Other studies addressed some complications that DM can lead to, specifically, diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) in 15% (4/26) of articles [33,48,56,57] and diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) in 4% (1/26) of studies [56]. Three additional studies (12%) investigated DM in conjunction with other diseases that were not a complication of DM itself but were closely related to it. Nguyen et al [41] considered cardiovascular diseases besides DM, whereas in the study by Sarda et al [52], the association between DM and depression was explored. Sevil et al [42] found an association between acute psychological stress and glucose dynamics in patients with T1D. Some of the screened manuscripts were not focused on a specific disease, but their results can be applied to different health domains, such as obesity, nutrition, hypertension, and DM. Furthermore, 12% (3/26) of these studies were included in the final set of selected articles because they used patients with DM as a case study [43,44,49].

According to the DM type, 4% (1/26) of studies were related to GD [49], 31% (8/26) of studies were related to T1D [32,38,42,45,50,51,53,54], 19% (5/26) of studies were related to T2D [34,39,43,46,55], and 46% (12/26) of studies did not mention any specific type of DM [33,35-37,40,41,44,47,48,52,56,57].

As for the research goals of the selected studies, 15% (4/26) of manuscripts aimed to detect physical activity patterns relevant to patients with DM, such as walking, running, or sleeping [36,37,41,47]. Three studies [36,37,47] focused on recognizing eating, exercising, and sleeping activities by using body ACC and HR collected via a smartphone and a chest strap. In addition, 2 studies [37,47] collected sound, location, velocity, and respiration rate (RR) for a similar purpose. Nguyen et al [41] also used a chest band and ambient sensors to measure ACC, continuous GL, body temperature, room temperature, or humidity data, which are used for fall detection and remote monitoring of DM-related parameters.

Overall, 23% (6/26) of manuscripts [34,35,38,39,48,53] focused on diagnosing or predicting affections related to DM from sensor data. Calbimonte et al [38] predicted glycemic events by collecting ECG, RR, and ACC from a chest strap. Fraiwan et al [48] created a mobile thermal imaging system to indicate the potential development of a DFU. Reddy et al [39] aimed to create a classification system to identify an individual’s diabetic status (healthy or diabetic) using photoplethysmogram data collected from both a smartphone and pulse oximeter. Ramazi et al [34] predicted the progression of T2D by collecting the

A total of 38% (10/26) of studies were aimed at finding an association between variables or diseases related to DM [32,42,44,45,50-52,54,56,57]. Najafi et al [44] found some links among biometric variables, postural and balance control, from the quaternion data collected via a hip-worn strap prototype. Turksoy et al [50] determined which variable was the most useful for inclusion in a future artificial pancreas using data such as ACC, GL, and HR from various wearable devices such as an armband, a CGM, and a smartwatch. Faccioli et al [45] studied the relation between physiological information on physical exercise and glucose models, mainly from ACC and GL data sensed via an activity tracker and CGM. Similarly, Merickel et al [32] found a relation between the driver state and the health and physiological state of patients with T1D using a wristwatch and a CGM to obtain primarily ACC, GL, and HR. Likewise, Sarda et al [52] analyzed the relation between some variables sensed by a smartphone, such as mobility, sleep patterns, and location, and the symptoms of depression with DM. Sevil et al [42] found an association between acute psychological stress and glucose dynamics. Similarly, Sanz et al [54] sought to find associations between different signals provided by 3 different wearable devices and the accuracy of a CGM device during aerobic exercise. Two studies found associations between diseases. On the one hand, Grewal et al [56] found a relation between DPN, with no other complications, and DPN combined with DFU using spatiotemporal parameters from a lower limb band. On the other hand, Razjouyan et al [57] found a relation between physiological stress and DFU healing speed via the monitoring of ACC, HR, and RR from a chest strap. Finally, Groat et al [51] attempted to correlate biometric and physiological variables sensed by a CGM and a wristband through manual entries on a mobile app.

Finally, 23% (6/26) of manuscripts had the principal goal of simply measuring data relevant to DM [33,40,43,46,49,55]. McLean et al [49] sensed physical proximity, physical activity, sedentary behavior, location, and orientation from a smartphone. Bartolic et al [40] primarily used a wristband and a smartphone to monitor daily activity, sleep duration, and calorie consumption, among others. McMillan et al [46] collected physical activity data, sedentary behavior, and continuous GL using a thigh-worn wearable and a flash glucose monitor (FGM). Rescio et al [33] sensed the temperature and pressure of the plantar foot with a smart insole. Zherebtsov et al [43] quantified changes in the microcirculatory blood flow in tissues using a wristband. Whelan et al [55] measured the usage, feasibility, and acceptability of behavioral and physiological self-monitoring technologies with 2 different wristbands and an FGM.

All details about medical classification, DM type, and research goals of the reviewed manuscripts are provided in Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript</th>
<th>Medical classification</th>
<th>Diabetes type</th>
<th>Research goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Najafi et al (2010) [44]</td>
<td>Patients with DM&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; used as case study</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Find association between posture and balance control among patients with different DM complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grewal et al (2013) [56]</td>
<td>DM complication: DPN&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; and DPN with diabetic foot</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Find association between DPN and DFU&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; for gait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luštrek et al (2014) [36]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Activity recognition of walking, running, cycling, lying, sitting, and standing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cvetković et al (2016) [47]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Activity recognition of working, eating, exercising, and home activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calbimonte et al (2017) [38]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>T1D&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Predict glycemic events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean et al (2017) [49]</td>
<td>Patients with DM used as case study</td>
<td>GD&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Measure physical proximity, physical activity, and magnetic field strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reddy et al (2017) [39]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>T2D&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Diagnose individual’s diabetic status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turksoy et al (2017) [50]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>T1D</td>
<td>Find association between biometric variables and changes in glucose concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolic et al (2018) [40]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Measure GL&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;, insulin dosage, physical activity, daily movement, and sleep duration and quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faccioli et al (2018) [45]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>T1D</td>
<td>Find association between glucose prediction models’ performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groat et al (2018) [51]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>T1D</td>
<td>Find association between exercise behavior data with the rate of change in GL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen Gia et al (2019) [41]</td>
<td>DM in conjunction with other diseases: DM+cardio-vascular disease</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Activity recognition of fall detection and remote health monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarda et al (2019) [52]</td>
<td>DM in conjunction with other diseases: DM+depression</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Find association between smartphone-sensing parameters and symptoms of depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramazi et al (2019) [34]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>T2D</td>
<td>Predict the progression of T2D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sevil et al (2019) [42]</td>
<td>DM in conjunction with other diseases: DM+acute psychological stress</td>
<td>T1D</td>
<td>Find the association between acute psychological stress and the glucose dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zherebtsov et al (2019) [43]</td>
<td>Patients with DM used as case study</td>
<td>T2D</td>
<td>Measure the changes in the microcirculatory blood flow of healthy patients and patients with T2D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al (2019) [53]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>T1D</td>
<td>Predict blood GL for T1D with limited computational and storage capabilities using only CGM&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanz et al (2019) [54]</td>
<td>Focused on DM</td>
<td>T1D</td>
<td>Find the association between different signals provided by 3 different wearables devices and the accuracy of a CGM device during aerobic exercises</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> DM: Diabetes Mellitus; <sup>b</sup> DPN: Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy; <sup>c</sup> DFU: Diabetic Foot Ulcer; <sup>d</sup> T1D: Type 1 Diabetes; <sup>e</sup> GD: Gait Data; <sup>f</sup> T2D: Type 2 Diabetes; <sup>g</sup> GL: Glucose Levels
Research goals

- Measure the use, feasibility, and acceptability of behavioral and physiological self-monitoring technologies in individuals at risk of developing T2D

Medical classification

- Focused on DM

Diabetes type

- T2D

Devices and Sensors

A total of 58% (15/26) of studies used wearable devices for monitoring tasks [32-34,38,41-44,46,50,53-57], 3 of which were research prototypes, namely, a hip-worn strap [44], a chest strap [41], and a smart insole [33]. Of the remaining 12 studies, 5 (42%) used wearable of a commercial type; those were chest straps (Bioharness 3, Zephyr) [38,57], a lower limb band (LEGSys, BioSensics LLC) [56], a wristband (AMT-LAZMA 1, Aston Medical Technology Ltd) [43], and an FGM (FreeStyle Libre, Abbott Diabetes Care) [53]. In 23% (6/26) of studies, the authors used various commercial wearable devices in combination: an armband (SenseWear, BodyMedia), 2 CGMs (Guardian Real-Time, Medtronic, and Dexcom G4 Platinum, Dexcom, Inc), a chest strap (Bioharness 3, Zephyr), and a smartwatch (Mio Alpha, MIO Global) [50]; a thigh-worn (activPAL, PAL Technologies Ltd) and a FGM (FreeStyle Libre, Abbott Diabetes Care) [53].

Smartphones were used in 19% (5/26) of studies for sensing purposes: a Samsung Galaxy S6 Edge Plus (Samsung) [48], a Nexus 5 (LG Corporation & Google, LLC) [39], an iPhone 7 (Apple Inc) [35], and no specific details were found for the other 2 studies [49,52]. A total of 6 studies used both wearables and smartphones to acquire data [36,37,40,45,47,51]. In 3 studies [36,37,47], a smartphone (model not specified) and a chest strap (model not specified) were used. Bartolic et al [40] used a smartphone (model not specified) with a wristband (Fitbit fitness bracelet, Fitbit, Inc). Faccioli et al [45] used a smartphone (model not specified), an activity tracker (model not specified), and a CGM (model not specified). Groat et al [51] used a smartphone (model not specified), a wristband (Fitbit Charge HR, Fitbit, Inc), and a CGM (Enlite, Medtronic) to perform the monitoring task.

A total of 30 different types of sensors were used to acquire data from the reviewed studies. The ACC stands out as the sensor most widely used across studies, namely, in 73% (19/26) of studies [32,34,36-38,40-42,44-47,49,50,52,54-57]. This sensor has been primarily used for the automatic recognition of activities such as motion, walking, running, exercise, cycling, standing, sitting, sleep, step count, and fall detection. The second most used sensor is the GM, which was used to monitor glucose in 46% (12/26) of the most recently published studies [32,34,40-42,44-47,49,50,52,54-57]. The subject’s glucose was measured using different types of GM sensors: continuously with a CGM [32,34,40,42,44-47,49,50,52,54-57], and with a glucose and L-lactate analyzer [54]. Moreover, HR monitor was included in 27% (7/26) studies [32,36,40,42,44-47,49,50,51,53-55] to measure the HR of the subjects and infer mainly exercise intensity, calorie consumption, and activity recognition. Some apps can also be considered as sensors when used to encode data manually. For example, Groat et al [51] used an app to be operated by the subjects involved in the trials to self-track exercise behavior and rate of change in GLs.

All details about the devices and sensors used in the reviewed manuscripts are provided in Table 2. A summary of the sensor use for each study is available in Multimedia Appendix 3 [32-57].
Table 2. Summary of devices used, ordered by the year of publication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies and devices</th>
<th>Devices, sensors, measurement</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
  - Triaxial ACC (quaternions)  
  - Triaxial gyroscope (quaternions)  
  - Triaxial magnetometer (quaternions)  
  Complementary: pressure platform (*Emed-x system, Novel Inc*)  
  - Pressure sensor (area of sway) | Recognize the motion of ankle and hip joints in 3 dimensions |
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - Gyroscope (angular velocity) | Gait detection |
  - ACC (acceleration) | Smartphone location detection; activity recognition: walking, running, cycling, lying, sitting, and standing; and energy expenditure estimation |
| Luštrek et al (2015) [37] | Smartphone (*model not specified*)  
  - ACC (acceleration, location, HR, and RR)  
  - Microphone (sound)  
  - GPS (location and velocity)  
  - Wi-Fi (location)  
  Wearable: chest strap (*model not specified*)  
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - HRM (HR) | Activity recognition: sleep, exercise, work, transport, eating, home, and outdoor |
| Cvetković et al (2016) [47] | Smartphone (*model not specified*)  
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - Microphone (sound)  
  - GPS (location and velocity)  
  - Wi-Fi (location)  
  Wearable: chest strap (*model not specified*)  
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - ECG (HR and RR) | Activity recognition: sleep, exercise, work, transport, eating, home, and outdoor |
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - ECG (HR and RR) | Generate 2 semantic models: physiological and energy expenditure for classifying hypoglycemic events |
  Complementary: infrared thermal camera (*FLIR ONE, FLIR Systems, Inc*)  
  - Infrared sensor (thermal images)  
  - Camera (standard image) | Recognize change of temperature on the feet |
  - ACCs (acceleration)  
  - GPS (location)  
  - Wi-Fi (location)  
  - Camera (photo)  
  - Magnetometer (magnetic field strength)  
  - Bluetooth (physical proximity) | Quantify physical proximity, sedentary behavior, vehicle use, and location |
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - ECG (HR, RR, and core body temperature) | Detection of physiological stress of the patient |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies and devices</th>
<th>Devices, sensors, measurement</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- Camera and flash (PPG<sup>2</sup>) 
- Complementary: peripheral pulse oximeter (model not specified)  
- Pulse oximeter (PPG) | Discriminate between diabetic and healthy individuals |
- ACC (acceleration) 
- Thermometer (skin temperature and near-body temperature) 
- Galvanometer (galvanic skin response) 
- Heat flux (rate of heat dissipating from the body) 
- Wearable: CGM (Guardian Real-Time, Medtronic)  
- GM<sup>4</sup> (GL<sup>1</sup>) 
- Wearable: CGM (Dexcom G4 Platinum, Dexcom, Inc)  
- GM (GL) 
- Wearable: chest strap (Bioharness 3, Zephyr)  
- ACC (acceleration) 
- ECG (HR) 
- Wearable: smartwatch (Mio Alpha, MIO Global)  
- HRM (HR) 
- Complementary: open-circuit spirometry metabolic cart system (True One, Parvo Medics)  
- Expired gases (O2 and CO2) | Find a correlation between biometric changes and glucose concentrations during exercise |
| Bartolic et al (2018) [40] | Smartphone (model not specified)  
- App (insulin doses) 
- Wearable: wristband (Fitbit fitness bracelet, Fitbit, Inc)  
- ACC (acceleration) 
- HRM (HR) 
- Complementary: glucometer (Contour Next One, Ascensia Diabetes Care)  
- GM (GL) | Quantify physical activity, daily movement, sleep duration and quality, calorie consumption, insulin dosages, and continuous GL |
- App (carbohydrates count) 
- Wearable: activity tracker (model not specified)  
- ACC (acceleration) 
- Wearable: CGM (model not specified)  
- GM (continuous GL) | Quantify step count, continuous GL, and carbohydrate intake |
- App (exercise behavior) 
- Wearable: wristband (Fitbit Charge HR, Fitbit, Inc)  
- HRM (HR) 
- Wearable: CGM (Enlite, Medtronic)  
- GM (continuous GL) | Quantify exercise behavior measured via a wristband and an app to compare with the rate of change in GL recorded by a CGM |
- ACC (acceleration) 
- Inclinometer (acceleration) 
- Wearable: FGM (FreeStyle Libre, Abbott Diabetes Care)  
- GM (continuous GL) | Quantify step count, cadence and postural transitions and energy expenditure estimates, and continuous GL |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies and devices</th>
<th>Devices, sensors, measurement</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - HRM (HR)  
  Wearable: CGM (*Dexcom G4 Platinum, Dexcom, Inc*)  
  - GM (continuous GL)  
  Complementary: vehicle sensor instrumentation packages (*model not specified*)  
  - Camera (video)  
  - GPS (vehicle acceleration and speed)  
  - OBD sensor (vehicle acceleration and speed) | Compare the driving behavior from drivers with and without T1D^5 |
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - Gyroscope (angular velocity)  
  - Magnetometer (magnetic field)  
  - ECG (QT intervals and HR)  
  - GM (continuous GL)  
  - Thermometer (body temperature)  
  Complementary: ambient sensors (*prototype*)  
  - Ambient sensor (room temperature, humidity, and air quality) | Monitor DM^5 and ECG, and report abnormalities: fall, very low or high GL, and abnormal HR in real time without interfering with the patient’s daily activities |
| Sarda et al (2019) [52] | Smartphone (*model not specified*)  
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - Call logs (communication)  
  - GPS (location)  
  - Ambient light sensor (ambient light) | Activity recognition: mobility, sleep, and social interaction |
  - GM (continuous GL)  
  Wearable: wristband (*model not specified; ActiGraph, ActiGraph LLC*)  
  - ACC (acceleration) | Quantify GL, traveled steps, and physical activity: sitting, standing, and lying |
  - Camera (standard image) | Capture facial images |
  - ACC (acceleration)  
  - PPG (blood volume pulse)  
  - Galvanometer (galvanic skin response)  
  - Infrared thermopile (skin temperature)  
  Wearable: CGM (*Dexcom G5, Dexcom, Inc*)  
  - GM (continuous GL) | Estimate acute psychological stress effect index and GL |
  - Laser Doppler flowmetry (Doppler shift) | Quantify changes in the microcirculatory blood flow in tissues |
  - GM (continuous GL) | Quantify GL for the creation of a database for further processing by the prediction models |
| Sanz et al (2019) [54] | | Quantify number of steps walked, number of floors of stairs climbed, exercise intensity, calories burned, and skin electrodermal activity |
### Studies and devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devices, sensors, measurement</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wearable: wristband (Fitbit Charge HR, Fitbit, Inc)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ACC (acceleration)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HRM (HR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Altimeter (altitude)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wearable: wristband (Microsoft Band 2, Microsoft Corporation)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HRM (HR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Skin temperature (skin temperature)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Galvanometer (galvanic skin response)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ACC (acceleration)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wearable: wristband (Polar RCX3, Polar Electro)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HRM (HR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wearable: CGM (Enlite-2, Medtronic Minimed)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• GM (continuous GL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complementary: glucose and L-lactate analyzer (YSI 2300 Stat Plus Glucose Analyzer, YSI Incorporated Life Sciences)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• GM (GL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wearable: wristband (Fitbit Charge 2, Fitbit, Inc)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ACC (acceleration)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Altimeter (altitude)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HRM (HR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wearable: wristband (ActiGraph wGT3x-BT, ActiGraph LLC)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ACC (acceleration)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wearable: FGM (FreeStyle Libre, Abbott Diabetes Care)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• GM (continuous GL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whelan et al (2019) [55]  
Quantify number of steps walked, distance traveled, HR, calories expended, flights of stairs climbed, and GL.

---

**Data Processing**

Except for 12% (3/26) of studies [33,46,49], most manuscripts included some type of processing to the collected data. In 42% (11/26) of studies, the processing mainly consisted of statistical analysis [32,40,43-45,50,51,54-57]; in 23% (6/26) of studies, the authors used ML techniques [35-37,39,41,47], and in 15% (4/26) of studies [34,42,52,53], both statistical analysis and ML were used. Fraiwan et al [48] used image processing to detect areas with varying body temperature, and Calbimonte et al [38] used ontologies for diagnosing glycemic events.

The studies without specific data processing were rather oriented to simply collect data. ML was primarily used in the reviewed investigations for activity recognition and diagnosis tasks. Statistical analyses were predominantly aimed at finding associations between behavioral and physiological variables with DM conditions.

Some authors created their own algorithm to attain the study objective. Cvetković et al [47] developed 4 new methods to recognize human activity: person-dependent, person-independent, person-independent with person-specific data, and person-independent and person-specific models.
combined with heuristics and multiclassifier adaptive training. Merickel et al [32] developed their own procedure to eliminate the spurious values of GLs and to discretize them. Nguyen et al [41] created new algorithms for HR and the QT interval extraction from the ECG for activity status categorization and for fall detection. Finally, Ramazi et al [34] developed a new algorithm for different sensor signal synchronization.

Some studies used additional data, not collected passively from mobile or wearable devices, to achieve their goal. One example is the study by Razjouyan et al [57], where the authors collected clinical information such as depression scale, numeric pain scale, and glycated hemoglobin level by using questionnaires, in addition to demographic information. Similarly, Ramazi et al [34] used clinical information, such as triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and demographic information to improve the prediction of T2D progression in patients. Furthermore, in the study by Turksoy et al [50], the diet and physical activity of the subjects were documented manually throughout the remainder of the study period. Sarda et al [52] collected sociodemographic information, such as gender, marital status, occupation, or education, to perform descriptive analysis to understand the societal representation of the participants. Finally, Sevil et al [42] recorded, besides sensor data, nutrition data (carbohydrate ingestion times, content, and amount); demographic information; and other information, such as mood, sleep information, and feelings of anxiety or depression, using questionnaires.

All details about data processing for the reviewed manuscripts are provided in Table 3.
Table 3. Summary of processing techniques, privacy, and security ordered by the year of publication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript</th>
<th>Statistical methods</th>
<th>Machine learning methods</th>
<th>Privacy</th>
<th>Security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Najafi et al (2010) [44]</td>
<td>Pearson correlation coefficient, paired t test, and intraclass correlation coefficient</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Study approved by the local ethics committee</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grewal et al (2013) [56]</td>
<td>Statistical fluctuation, SD, and coefficient of variation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Study approved by the local ethics committee. All participants signed an informed consent form before participating in the study</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luštrek et al (2014) [36]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>RF&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; and support vector regression algorithm</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luštrek et al (2015) [37]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Spectral centroid, zero crossing, mel frequency cepstral coefficient, linear predictive coding, and method of moments values of the sound signals; clustering of Wi-Fi and GPS data; new algorithm of acceleration data; naïve bayes, logistic regression, SVM&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, RF, RIPPER&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, adaboost, and bagging for activity recognition tasks; and event calculus for interpreting recognized activities</td>
<td>Sound from the smartphone microphone is recorded in fractions of 100 ms per second</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cvetković et al (2016) [47]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Spectral centroid, zero crossing, mel frequency cepstral coefficient, linear predictive coding, and method of moments values of the sound signals; clustering of Wi-Fi and GPS data; new algorithm of acceleration data; 5 new algorithms for activity recognition task; and symbolic rules to refine confused predictions</td>
<td>Sound from the smartphone microphone is recorded in fractions of 100 ms per second</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calbimonte et al (2017) [38]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normalized least mean squares, ontology, and RDF&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; stream processing engine (CQELS&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; continuous evaluation)</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraiwan et al (2017) [48]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Otsu thresholding technique and point-to-point mean difference technique</td>
<td>Authors referred to “Ethics approval and consent to participate” as “Not applicable”</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean et al (2017) [49]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Data are stored on the phone and uploaded in an encrypted form</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razjouyan et al (2017) [57]</td>
<td>Analysis of variance, root mean square of successive R-wave to R-wave intervals, power spectrum density of time series representing R-wave to R-wave intervals, receiver operating characteristic, and area under the curve</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reddy et al (2017) [39]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>SVM, artificial neural network, and classification and regression trees</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turksoy et al (2017) [50]</td>
<td>Partial least squares, regression, and variable importance in projection</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolic et al (2018) [40]</td>
<td>Trading view and minimum and maximum values</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript</td>
<td>Statistical methods</td>
<td>Machine learning methods</td>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td>Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faccioli et al (2018) [45]</td>
<td>Black-box linear model, prediction error method, coefficient of determination, and RMSE$^5$</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>The trial study and all experimental procedures were approved by the institution’s ethical review board</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groat et al (2018) [51]</td>
<td>Cohen κ</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Study approved by the local institutional review board</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMillan et al (2018) [46]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merickel et al (2018) [32]</td>
<td>Their own procedures and β regression model</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>All subjects gave informed consent to study participation according to the University of Nebraska Medical Center’s institutional review board’s protocols</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen Gia et al (2019) [41]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Heart rate and the QT$^6$ interval extraction, activity status categorization, and fall detection</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Lightweight cryptography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescio et al (2019) [33]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarda et al (2019) [52]</td>
<td>Descriptive analysis and univariate analysis</td>
<td>SVM, RF, adaboost, extreme gradient boosting, and cross-validation</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>All transmissions were in an encrypted form using the HTTPS$^h$ secure sockets layer protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramazi et al (2019) [34]</td>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>New algorithm for different sensor signal synchronization and long short-term memory deep neural network</td>
<td>Study approved by the local institutional review board</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia et al (2019) [35]</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>KNN$^i$ and SVM</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sevil et al (2019) [42]</td>
<td>Mean, SD, kurtosis, and mean absolute error</td>
<td>SVM, KNN, linear discriminant, decision tree, and logistic regression</td>
<td>Study approved by the local institutional review board</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zherebtsov et al (2019) [43]</td>
<td>Statistical significance</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Study approved by the local institutional review board. Each volunteer gave a voluntary informed written consent to participate in the experiment</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al (2019) [53]</td>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>Autoregressive integrated moving average, RF, and SVM</td>
<td>Study conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Study approved by the local ethics committee. Data storage complied with the stricter data protection rules for protecting personal information. All participants were fully informed about the purpose of the experiment and provided written informed consent and assent according to the national regulations</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A total of 62% (16/26) of studies addressed privacy or security issues [32,34,37,41-45,47,49,51-56]. Of the 13 studies dealt with privacy aspects to some extent: 10 (77%) studies were approved by a local ethics committee or review boards [34,42-45,51,53-56]; in the study by Merickel et al [32], the authors included informed consent for participants; and in 2 (15%) studies [37,47], the sound from the smartphone microphone was downsampled to 100 ms out of every second to preserve the user’s privacy. Finally, the study by Fraiwan et al [48] was not included in the above 16 studies because it was mentioned that the intervention of an ethics committee was not applicable.

Overall, 19% (3/16) of studies considered security aspects. McLean et al [49] stored the data on the phone and then uploaded the data to a server in an encrypted manner. Nguyen et al [41] used lightweight cryptography to deal with security in the mobile monitoring system, and Sarda et al [52] stated that all transmissions were in an encrypted form using the Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS) secure sockets layer protocol.

The remaining 35% (19/26) of studies did not mention anything about privacy or security [33,35,36,38-40,42,44,48,49,50,57].

All details about privacy and security of the reviewed manuscripts are provided in Table 3.

### Study’s Characteristics

The number of participants involved differed significantly among the studies. The average number of participants was 29 (SD 28.2), calculated from 88% (23/26) of studies that indicated the number of participants [32-37,39,41-47,49-57]. The minimum sample size was 1 subject [46], and the maximum sample size was 100 subjects [35,39]. Furthermore, 12% (3/26) of papers did not specify this number [32,38,48]. The duration of the test phase was standardized to days, namely, an average of 21.5 (SD 35.1) days of duration as computed from the 58% (15/26) of studies that specified this value [32,34,37,39,42,43,45-47,50-53,55,57]. One day was the minimum duration of the study [42,46], and 140 days was the maximum duration of the study [52]. In 13% (2/15) studies [39,43], the duration remained in the order of minutes for a better understanding, and 42% (11/26) of studies did not specify this value at all [33,35,36,38,40,41,44,48,49,54,56].

As for the health status distribution for the 26 studies, 11 (42%) studies involved patients with DM [34,42,45,46,49-54,57], 5 (19%) included healthy subjects [36,41,47,48,55], and 6 (23%) involved both healthy subjects and patients with DM [32,35,39,43,44,56]. Moreover, 12% (3/26) of studies did not precisely describe the health status of the subjects [33,37,40], and 4% (1/26) of studies used an existing data set to test their solution [38].

A total of 31% (8/26) of studies involved subjects of both genders [35,37,47,50-53,55], and 4% (1/26) of studies only involved a male [46]. The remaining 65% (17/26) of studies did not specify the gender of the participants [32-34,36,38-45,48,49,54,56,57]. With respect to the age of the involved subjects, 65% (17/26) of studies provided this value [32-35,39,41,43,46,47,50-57], resulting in an average age of 44.5 (SD 12.2) years. The other 35% (9/26) of studies did not mention any age distribution [36-38,40,42,44,45,48,49].

All details about the study’s characteristics for the reviewed manuscripts are provided in Table 4.
Table 4. Summary of study topic ordered by the year of publication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Najafi et al (2010) [44]</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>17 diabetic and 21 healthy; gender undefined; and age undefined</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grewal et al (2013) [56]</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31 diabetic and 8 healthy; gender undefined; and aged 56.9 (SD 8.2) years</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luštrek et al (2014) [36]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 diabetic and 10 healthy; gender undefined; and age undefined</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luštrek et al (2015) [37]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Health status undefined; 1 female and 4 males; and age undefined</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cvetković et al (2016) [47]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0 diabetic and 9 healthy; 1 female and 8 males; and aged 24-36 years</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calbimonte et al (2017) [38]</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>External data set</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraiwan et al (2017) [48]</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Healthy; gender undefined; and age undefined</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean et al (2017) [49]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22 diabetic and 0 healthy; gender undefined; and age undefined</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razjouyan et al (2017) [57]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25 diabetic and 0 healthy; gender undefined; aged 59.3 (SD 8.3) years</td>
<td>21 (SD 4) days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reddy et al (2017) [39]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50 diabetic and 50 healthy; gender undefined; and aged 34 (SD 10) years (diabetic) and 41 (SD 13) years (healthy)</td>
<td>5 minin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turksoy et al (2017) [50]</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26 diabetic and 0 healthy; 14 females and 12 males; and aged 24.2 (SD 5.41) years</td>
<td>6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolic et al (2018) [40]</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Health status undefined; gender undefined; and age undefined</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faccioli et al (2018) [45]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 diabetic and 0 healthy; gender undefined; and age undefined</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groat et al (2018) [51]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12 diabetic and 0 healthy; 8 females and 4 males; and aged 48 (SD 13.4) years</td>
<td>30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMillan et al (2018) [46]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 diabetic and 0 healthy; 0 female and 1 male; and aged 68 years</td>
<td>1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merickel et al (2018) [32]</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20 diabetic and 16 healthy; gender undefined; and aged 21-59 years</td>
<td>28 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen Gia et al (2019) [41]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 diabetic and 4 healthy; gender undefined; aged 30 years</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescio et al (2019) [33]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Health status undefined; gender undefined; and aged 47.2 (SD 12.3) years</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarda et al (2019) [52]</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46 diabetic and 0 healthy; 17 females and 29 males; and aged 35 (SD 12) years</td>
<td>140 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramazi et al (2019) [34]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50 diabetic and 0 healthy; gender undefined; and aged 33-78 years</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia et al (2019) [35]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50 diabetic and 50 healthy; 58 females and 42 males; and aged 20-87 years</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sevil et al (2019) [42]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 diabetic and 0 healthy; gender undefined; and age undefined</td>
<td>1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zherebtsov et al (2019) [43]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18 diabetic and 37 healthy; gender undefined; and aged 53.2 (SD 11.4) years (diabetic), 19.6 (SD 0.6) years (16 healthy), and 53.2 (SD 11.4) years (21 healthy)</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al (2019) [53]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25 diabetic and 0 healthy; 11 females and 14 males; and aged 18-56 years</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanz et al (2019) [54]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 diabetic and 0 healthy; gender undefined; and aged 36.7 (SD 8.9) years</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whelan et al (2019) [55]</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0 diabetic and 45 healthy; 27 females and 18 males; and aged 56 (SD 9) years</td>
<td>42 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Principal Findings

The reviewed studies revealed the potential of mobile and wearable technologies in health areas. These technologies can significantly improve the management of conditions for both patients and clinicians for a variety of diseases. DM is not an exception, and growing attention has been paid to the use of these technologies in the recent years. Obtaining objective and continuous measurements is an important advantage of using this technology for patient monitoring. Data are sensed automatically by electronic sensors when the subject is interacting with the mobile or wearable devices both explicitly and implicitly, such as phone calls or step counts, respectively. These technologies most often enable the seamless collection of data, even when the patient is out of the clinic. This is a relevant feature to overcome the drawbacks of classical clinical trials in which subjects are required to stay in labs or clinics, set specific appointments, commute to the doctor’s office, etc. This technology adds a level of objectivity in the monitoring of patients with DM and people in general with respect to traditional clinical questionnaires, which are more dependent on users’ willingness and capacity to answer correctly. In addition, the patient may not remember everything accurately in between doctor visits and hospitalization times. In view of such limitations, the opportunities for the monitoring of DM-related parameters are unprecedented. Nonetheless, it is clear from this review that mobile and wearable technologies have been scarcely exploited for this purpose.

Several studies have not indicated the type of DM. In such studies, the authors refer to the condition simply as diabetes or diabetes mellitus. We assume that in those cases, the results of the research are generic and can be applied to any type of relevant feature.
diabetic. However, there was generally a lack of clinical specifications, perhaps because the majority of studies were published in technological journals and proceedings and, in part, because of the recency of this new field. In either case, such a level of specification is considered of utmost importance because of the differences among the types of diabetes and their complications, risk factors, age of onset, and treatment among several others. Complications of the disease may result in both types of DM, but few studies have focused on this subject. DPN was the only complication found in the reviewed studies and in almost all cases related to diabetic foot disease. Some important complications such as retinopathy: nephropathy; and other types of neuropathies, such as autonomic, focal, and proximal, were not considered in any of the selected studies. Few studies have elaborated on T2D, the type encompassing between 90% and 95% of the cases of DM in the world and the only preventable one.

Clinical trials were quite limited or even nonexistent in many of the reviewed studies. In fact, the majority of analyzed contributions had a predominant technological focus, prioritizing systems’ performance or robustness over the impact or applicability of potential clinical outcomes. This explains the remarkably low scores achieved by most of the selected studies in the NOS quality assessment. Most of the reviewed cohort studies did not have a sufficiently representative cohort. Most often, a distinction between exposed and nonexposed cohorts was not clearly made, or even worse, no description of the derivation of the cohort was provided. This lack of detail was also observed for cases and controls. Comparability was also found to be quite limited, as exposed and nonexposed individuals, if any, were not matched in the design, and confounders were either missing or not adjusted for in the analysis. Although outcomes were assessed in a majority of studies, follow-ups were mostly nonexistent or no information was provided whatsoever. Therefore, one of the major weaknesses detected in the reviewed studies is the limited dedication to the clinical validation of the proposed technical solutions.

Mobile and wearable data can shed new light on behavioral and physiological aspects that are difficult to approach in a continuous and unobtrusive manner via standard clinical tests. However, ignoring clinical data is certainly a big mistake. Therefore, combining passive mobile data with clinical data, such as laboratory test results, drug information, or patient demographics, is key for a holistic understanding of the patient’s current and future health status. Thus, it is recommended to perform more extensive clinical tests and validations involving the collection of new data sets. Existing data sets in this area show important flaws such as noninclusion of patients with DM, noninclusion of complementary clinical data, lack of gender diversity, or age variety. Moreover, public sharing of data sets is also considered essential to facilitate the replicability and reproducibility of the studies. Hence, data transparency and openness are encouraged, as in other similar disciplines.

None of the reviewed studies focused on the prevention of DM. This matter is especially important in the case of T2D, the only type of DM that can be prevented. Therefore, developing studies with outcomes that help to detect the disease in the early stages or even before it occurs can result in great progress. Approaching this subject from a holistic perspective could also be key for making new successful findings. This is closely related to the idea of using different data sources to generate more powerful medical models. Combining demographics, nutrition data, medication data, and passive sensor data among other heterogeneous data types can certainly help to realize more impactful and personalized solutions.

Activity recognition is one of the most important areas from which the monitoring of DM-related parameters could benefit. Thus, the research conducted in this new field may not only leverage the results from previous studies but also help in developing and testing new activity detection models. For example, improvements in the recognition of eating activities are needed to calculate food intake automatically.

Most of the studies had technological test phases, but in some cases, their quality was rather questionable. The description was often incomplete, lacked characteristics of the subjects, and did not mention the duration of the tests in several cases. These seem to be characteristics deemed in studies in the early stages. However, many of these studies stated that improvements would be made regarding this aspect in future research.

The studies analyzed in this review applied a variety of devices and sensors. Some case studies only used smartphones, others used only wearables, and others used a combination of them. This shows the ways in which these devices can be used to improve DM control and its complications. However, there is generally a poor description of the devices used in terms of their brand, model, manufacturer, main features, operating system, etc. This is an especially sensitive barrier for the replication of studies and development of follow-up research. Likewise, on some occasions, the sensors embedded in these devices were not explicitly described. Some studies included complementary devices such as a pressure platform, a glucometer, or an ambient sensor, not necessarily wearable, which helped to obtain more complete data and better characterize the patient's environment. Most often, all devices were merely used to collect data for creating ML models and to find an association among variables or diseases, but in very few cases, the proposed solution was implemented in a realistic use case with long-lasting clinical applications.

The predominant sensor was the ACC, possibly because it is one of the most common sensors available on both wearables and mobile devices. In addition, its applications are closely related to energy expenditure and activity recognition tasks, which are very useful in DM problems. Other sensors such as GPS, thermometer, microphone, and ambient light are less commonly used in the reviewed studies. This may be because some of these sensors, such as GPS and microphone, are considered to be more privacy-intrusive by users. Nonetheless, these sensors were shown to be helpful as complements to other sensors for the monitoring of DM-related parameters. Furthermore, it is worth noting that none of the studies used commercial smart insoles but one prototype, especially given the fact that most important complications of DM translate into foot issues.
Diabetes technology has grown in the recent years, with CGM being at the forefront of the devices used. CGM is primarily used to monitor patients with T1D, with increasing use for patients with T2D. However, the use of CGM does not replace the traditional finger-stick test because patients still need to do a meter reading for accuracy, and in most cases, insurance companies do not cover the use of CGM. The fact that mobile and wearable technologies are at the reach of a majority of the population makes the reviewed solutions particularly cost-effective.

The power consumption of the hardware available in both mobiles and wearables has decreased with the latest advances. However, there is still much to be done to reduce it even further. The 5G technology promises to do so by lowering network energy use by almost 90% and increasing battery life, especially for low-power devices [58]. Allowing patients to use wearable devices for prolonged periods without recharging could help foster their use in the diabetic community. The 5G technology will also increase the connection speed and bandwidth in a unit area and will have a very low latency. This will provide higher real-time monitoring capabilities, an increase in the amount of data sent by time unit, and the possibility of having more wearable devices connected to the cloud without requiring the use of smartphones as gateways. All this provides more self-sufficiency to these devices.

Data collected using mobile and wearable devices for continuous monitoring can be mined using AI techniques such as ML. As shown in this review, some authors used ML to extract information from the collected data, where large and heterogeneous data sets generally improve the performance of these techniques. Data from mobile and wearable sensors can, in principle, be used in combination with conventional clinical data to develop more relevant knowledge outcomes. The time and effort required to collect a data set that can be used to apply ML techniques is reduced by the use of mobile and wearable devices. Classical approaches are generally constrained by the number of samples or data points, as these are measured during clinic appointments, thus leading to lengthier collection phases.

The most common ML techniques used in these studies were decision trees and support vector machines, whereas other popular algorithms such as k-nearest neighbors, artificial neural networks, ensemble methods, and deep learning techniques are used less frequently. These techniques, especially when it comes to deep learning, can significantly boost the performance of the results, normally at the expense of having large data sets, a condition that is normally attained when using passive sensing.

In general, experimentation with ML algorithms was performed using a small number of methods, whereas the use of a large variety of these techniques normally leads to higher robustness. Very few studies have used complementary clinical data in addition to sensor data, resulting in better models and more relevant outcomes.

Many manuscripts did not mention the endorsement of their studies from an ethics committee or review board. This is especially important because in several cases, people were used to test the proposed solutions. It is even more important to highlight that in very few occasions, the studies described that informed consent was requested from the participants in the trials. This occurs even when sensitive information is recorded from users during monitoring, such as location, video, or call logs. A reason for this could be linked to the rather emerging nature of this field or the lack of realistic clinical studies around which technical solutions have been developed.

Privacy and security issues were weak aspects of the reviewed studies. Researchers should devote more attention to both realizing and explaining proper procedures to ensure that security and privacy are properly addressed in clinical studies. Otherwise, the quality and applicability of the results are compromised. An effort must be made to put into practice the protocols in the trial involving the ethics committee or review boards in the authorization of the studies. Creating proper informed consents forms and using them in the trials should be a major concern for research in this area, especially in lieu of regulations such as the European General Data Protection Regulation. Similarly, information on data management plans can provide further details on how the research has been undertaken.

A summary of the principal findings described previously is provided in Figure 2. The diagram shows the strengths and weaknesses of the reviewed studies on the monitoring of DM-related parameters as well as the challenges and recommendations for the research community. Strengths are the aspects that these studies have performed well on and could be reproduced in future investigations. Weaknesses are matters that went wrong in these studies and could be improved in future research. Challenges are the elements that the scientific community needs to address successfully to boost the investigation of this topic. Recommendations are the suggestions for the research community working on the monitoring of DM-related parameters.
**Limitations**

The monitoring of DM-related parameters using mobile and wearable technology is an emerging field of study. As for any other review, despite having listed a wide variety of terms referring to sensors, wearable devices, and smartphones, new keywords emerge quite often in this rather dynamic technological area, which may have left out some interesting studies from our analysis. Although the search areas of this systematic review (computer science and engineering) are quite large, it is also possible that some relevant studies indexed in other related categories may have been filtered out. We conducted a preliminary check for other domains such as endocrinology metabolism, general internal medicine, or health care sciences services, and we did not find relevant studies that would meet the defined criteria. Other sources of digital data, such as social network interactions, have not been considered in this study, as they can be realized via different technologies besides mobiles and wearables. Nevertheless, it could be interesting to explore the potential of these interactions to explain some relevant behaviors of the patient, such as their mood. The lack of details in some studies also made it difficult to judge whether the authors were using commercial devices or their own prototype. Thus, it is possible that some relevant studies were excluded, although this is in line with the PRISMA guidelines followed in this systematic review.

**Conclusions**

As demonstrated in this systematic review, the field of mobile and wearable monitoring of DM-related parameters shows early promise, despite its recent development. Several actors may benefit at the maturation of this field: (1) patients with DM, who may have a better quality of life while improving the management and self-control of the disease or its complications.
in a continuous, passive, and unobtrusive way; (2) health care professionals and institutions, who may develop the ability to provide medical care and information in a portable and affordable way; and (3) researchers, who may have access to a large and varied amount of data sets to extract relevant information. The aforementioned 3 actors may work in synergy, which motivates a greater and faster evolution of the field. However, some gaps remain to accomplish this view, such as the creation or modification of relevant sensors to be less privacy-intrusive; decreasing the devices’ power consumption; using the advantages of the 5G technology; and, perhaps the most important one, combining passive mobile data with clinical data for a holistic understanding of the patient’s health status. Accomplishing these challenges requires interdisciplinary teams’ collaboration and the appropriate funding of governments and institutions to design and develop the required technologies for sensing the data, designing new and better processing techniques, and creating realistic solutions with long-lasting clinical applications.
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Abstract

Background: Digital contact tracing apps have the potential to augment contact tracing systems and disrupt COVID-19 transmission by rapidly identifying secondary cases prior to the onset of infectiousness and linking them into a system of quarantine, testing, and health care worker case management. The international experience of digital contact tracing apps during the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates how challenging their design and deployment are.

Objective: This study aims to derive and summarize best practice guidance for the design of the ideal digital contact tracing app.

Methods: A collaborative cross-disciplinary approach was used to derive best practice guidance for designing the ideal digital contact tracing app. A search of the indexed and gray literature was conducted to identify articles describing or evaluating digital contact tracing apps. MEDLINE was searched using a combination of free-text terms and Medical Subject Headings search terms. Gray literature sources searched were the World Health Organization Institutional Repository for Information Sharing, the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control publications library, and Google, including the websites of many health protection authorities. Articles that were acceptable for inclusion in this evidence synthesis were peer-reviewed publications, cohort studies, randomized trials, modeling studies, technical reports, white papers, and media reports related to digital contact tracing.

Results: Ethical, user experience, privacy and data protection, technical, clinical and societal, and evaluation considerations were identified from the literature. The ideal digital contact tracing app should be voluntary and should be equitably available and accessible. User engagement could be enhanced by small financial incentives, enabling users to tailor aspects of the app to
their particular needs and integrating digital contact tracing apps into the wider public health information campaign. Adherence to good data protection and privacy by design is important to convince target populations to download and use digital contact tracing apps. Bluetooth Low Energy is recommended for a digital contact tracing app’s contact event detection, but combining it with ultrasound technology may improve a digital contact tracing app’s accuracy. A decentralized privacy-preserving protocol should be followed to enable digital contact tracing app users to exchange and record temporary contact numbers during contact events. The ideal digital contact tracing app should define and risk-stratify contact events according to proximity, duration of contact, and the infectiousness of the case at the time of contact. Evaluating digital contact tracing apps requires data to quantify app downloads, use among COVID-19 cases, successful contact alert generation, contact alert receivers, contact alert receivers that adhere to quarantine and testing recommendations, and the number of contact alert receivers who subsequently are tested positive for COVID-19. The outcomes of digital contact tracing apps’ evaluations should be openly reported to allow for the wider public to review the evaluation of the app.

**Conclusions:** In conclusion, key considerations and best practice guidance for the design of the ideal digital contact tracing app were derived from the literature.


**KEYWORDS**
digital contact tracing; automated contact tracing; COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; mHealth; mobile app; app; tracing; monitoring; surveillance; review; best practice; design

**Introduction**

**Background**

COVID-19 was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020 [1]. COVID-19 has caused the death of over 3.4 million people worldwide as of May 26, 2021 [2]. Most people (as high as 80%) infected by COVID-19 will have no symptoms or mild-to-moderate symptoms [3,4]. Severe illness and death due to COVID-19 are more likely to occur with increasing age and comorbidities such as chronic heart or lung disease [3]. The WHO advise on four key actions to contain COVID-19: social distancing, rapid testing of those with symptoms, tracing of case contacts, and the isolation of suspected and confirmed cases [5]. People with COVID-19 are thought to be most infectious to others within the 2 days preceding symptom onset [3,6]. Onward transmission from individuals in the presymptomatic phase of infection is considered to be enough to sustain the pandemic even if isolation of symptomatic cases occurs [6]. This can be mitigated by stringent social distancing measures, but these come with considerable socioeconomic costs [7].

An effective “test and trace” system is key if the most restrictive social distancing measures such as national “stay at home” orders are to be avoided [8]. Manual contact tracing requires significant human and logistical resources, and its effectiveness depends on the availability and proficiency of contact tracing staff [8,9]. In addition, humans are fallible and prone to recall bias, meaning that not all contacts may be identified reliably in retrospect. It is also not possible in many situations to identify contacts unfamiliar to the case. For contact tracing purposes, the infectious period is considered to be up to 10 days after symptom onset and to begin from 2 days before symptom onset or if the person is asymptomatic from 2 days before testing [10,11]. The incubation period for COVID-19 can be up to 14 days (and longer in 5% of cases) [12], meaning that not all contacts are captured by this definition. COVID-19 has a serial interval as short as 3.2 days; therefore, contact tracing and quarantine of contacts must be rapid to disrupt transmission chains [13].

A digital contact tracing app (DCTA) is an app that can detect and trace other app-carrying individuals who have had contact with one another that would risk COVID-19 transmission if one were to be infected. Early in the pandemic, DCTAs were seen as a potentially innovative solution to contain COVID-19 by augmenting the effectiveness of manual contact tracing [14]. DCTAs could disrupt transmission chains by rapidly identifying secondary cases prior to the onset of infectiousness and linking them into a system of quarantine, testing, and health care worker case management [8,15-17]. A COVID-19 modeling study from the United Kingdom estimated that if a DCTA were used by 56% of the population, then the reproductive value of the virus could be reduced below 1.0, controlling the disease [18]. By October 13, 2020, there were 120 DCTAs in 71 countries [19], and within months of digital contact tracing use, some key challenges became evident.

**Global Digital Contact Tracing App Deployment**

On March 20, 2020, Singapore became the first country in the world to launch a national DCTA, TraceTogether [20]. TraceTogether was downloaded by over 1.1 million users within a month of launching, despite having technical limitations [21]. It required Apple iPhone users to have the app open in the foreground and caused significant battery drain [21]. In South Korea, an extensive electronic surveillance system was used. GPS-enabled location tracking, closed-circuit television recordings, and credit card transactions were used to aid contact tracing [22]. How these data were used by health authorities to warn others of potential exposure to COVID-19 may have breached the privacy of those infected and contributed to a growth in social stigma associated with the disease [23]. In Israel, a network-based mass surveillance system using mobile phone GPS technology was launched on March 16 to identify COVID-19 case contacts [24,25]. Authorities in Israel reported that, after 1 month of surveillance, 36.8% of COVID-19 cases notified were identified using the surveillance system [25], although the system did have a false-positive detection rate of
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5% [25]. Significant privacy concerns were raised by opponents of Israel’s surveillance system, but ultimately, the supreme court ruled in favor of its use provided it was supported by primary legislation [24-26]. In Norway, a DCTA that used Bluetooth Low Energy (LE) and GPS location tracking was launched on April 16 and was downloaded 1.6 million times [27]. However, the National Institute of Public Health was forced to abandon the app after data protection authorities deemed there was no evidence of its effectiveness to justify location data collection [27]. Norway remained without a DCTA for several months thereafter [27]. Qatar mandated the use of its “Ehteraz” DCTA on May 22, but subsequently, it was discovered that it left the health status and location data of over 1 million users vulnerable to cyberattacks [28]. On July 7, the Republic of Ireland (ROI) launched a national DCTA called COVID Tracker, which is actively used by 1.3 million people, 34% of those older than 16 years nationally [29]. However, a service update in early August caused rapid battery depletion and heat issues for some users [30,31]. This was the primary cause of negative feedback for COVID Tracker [32]. In the 5-day period after this update, 152,656 uninstalls were registered with 29,049 returning users recorded [33]. As of May 28th 2021, eleven months after its release, COVID Tracker has been used by 15,742 people with COVID-19 to send contact alerts to 24,436 users [29]. In the United Kingdom, the National Health Service (NHS) COVID-19 app, launched on September 24, had been erroneously notifying users they were close contacts but provided no further instructions [34,35]. The international experience of DCTA use during the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates how challenging their design and deployment are. This formed the basis of this literature review, which aims to derive and summarize best practice guidance for the design of the ideal DCTA (IDCTA).

**Methods**

A collaborative cross-disciplinary approach (Multimedia Appendix 1 [32,36-39]) was used to derive best practice guidance for designing the IDCTA. The cross-disciplinary team included specialists from computer science, engineering, clinical medicine, medical technology, and psychology. A scoping review to identify considerations described in the emerging literature on DCTAs was conducted (Multimedia Appendix 2 [8,14,15,36,40-42,44]). After the key considerations were identified and agreed upon by the cross-disciplinary team, a detailed evidence synthesis for each was constructed by author JOC and refined through a review and feedback cycle involving a subgroup of the cross-disciplinary team. The cycle of review and feedback was repeated until there was cross-disciplinary agreement that all feedback had been adequately addressed. The product of this process was then presented to the wider cross-disciplinary team for further discussion, from which best practice guidance for the design of the IDCTA was derived through a review and feedback cycle.

To construct the evidence synthesis, a literature search was conducted using Ovid MEDLINE and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process, and Other Non-Indexed Citations, Daily and Versions. Free-text terms and Medical Subject Headings search terms were used (Multimedia Appendix 3). The WHO Institutional Repository for Information Sharing [45] and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) publications library [46] were searched. The gray literature search (Multimedia Appendix 4) included manually searching the websites of DCTAs, media sites, and health protection authorities including but not limited to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (United States of America), Public Health England, Health Protection Surveillance Centre (ROI), Robert Koch Institute (Germany), and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Included articles had to describe aspects of developing or deploying a DCTA. Peer-review publications, modeling studies, cohort studies, randomized trials, technical reports, white papers, and media reports were eligible for inclusion. The references of included articles were also searched to identify other eligible literature. Both English and non-English articles were included, and Google Translate was used to translate non-English articles.

**Results**

**Key Considerations**

From the scoping review (Multimedia Appendix 2), the cross-disciplinary team identified and agreed upon six key considerations for best practice guidance when designing the IDCTA: (1) ethical considerations, (2) user experience considerations, (3) privacy and data protection considerations, (4) technical considerations, (5) clinical and societal considerations, and (6) evaluation considerations.

The outcome of the literature search shown in Figure 1 and Multimedia Appendix 5 [14-17,22,23,25,26,32,34,37,38,40-42,44,47-173] contains a description of the included studies and their source (indexed literature search, gray literature, references search).

For each consideration, best practice guidance for the design of the IDCTA as derived from the literature by the cross-disciplinary group is summarized.
Ethical Considerations

On December 10, 1948, the United Nations General Assembly adopted the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which included the right to health [174]. Digital contact tracing can be viewed as states using their available resources to protect people’s right to health. However, it may also be viewed as states interfering with other human rights enshrined in the Declaration, such as the right to human dignity; nondiscrimination; equality; privacy; access to information; and the freedoms of association, assembly, and movement [47,174]. Such interference to protect health may be ethical if it is adherent to criteria defined by the Siracusa Principles in 1985 [175]. These criteria are that interferences should have a legal basis, further a legitimate objective of common interest, not disrupt democratic processes, and not be deployed in an arbitrary or discriminatory way [175]. These form the most rudimentary ethical design considerations for the IDCTA.

There are many frameworks through which the ethics of DCTA’s use can be considered in greater detail. Upshur [48] described four guiding principles when considering whether a public health intervention is ethical (the harm principle, the principle of least restrictive means, the reciprocity principle, and the transparency principle). Childress et al [49] provide a set of five justificatory conditions (effectiveness, proportionality, necessity, least infringement, and public justifications) necessary for a public health intervention to intervene with individual liberties. Kass [50] described an ethical framework for public health interventions based on an assessment of the effectiveness, potential harms, alternative interventions and options for harm interventions of the intervention, and whether it is an equitable intervention. However, the complexity and lack of clarity of the ethical issues surrounding the relatively recent advent of digital contact tracing in the context of what was a new rapidly evolving global pandemic have necessitated frameworks that specifically address ethical considerations in digital contact tracing [15,51-56]. An overview of the key ethical considerations presented in these frameworks is provided in Multimedia Appendix 6 [15,51-56]. There is considerable agreement between the frameworks and among early guidance from the European Commission [57] and digital technology expert groups [14,58] about the considerations necessary to design and deploy DCTAs ethically, which broadly can be described as proportionality, voluntariness, transparency and trustworthiness, and equity.

Proportionality, that is, ensuring the intervention is a proportionate response to the public health threat, defines the ethical limits of other aspects of the IDCTA, such as its clinical and societal use and its interference with privacy and data protection rights [14,58,59,176]. There is no doubt that COVID-19 is a significant threat to public health, as evident even from early reports [177]. However, to determine if DCTAs are a proportionate response to this threat, an assessment of their potential benefits and risks is required. As a key component of the WHO-advised strategy to counter COVID-19 [5], any intervention that improves the effectiveness of contact tracing is of benefit to public health during the pandemic. However, high-quality evidence that DCTAs are effective in doing this is lacking [60,61]. Randomized controlled trials are the gold standard when evaluating the effectiveness of interventions, but for DCTAs, they may be logistically challenging and costly to design [62]. Augmenting manual contact tracing through nondigital means has proved problematic, particularly in Western societies [63,178]. In the absence of other alternatives to augment manual contact tracing and given the uncertainty regarding DCTA effectiveness, a key feature of the IDCTA should be harm minimization [53]. As summarized in Textbox 1, this includes minimizing the risk to personal data and privacy, and minimizing the risk of the false characterization of contact status.
Textbox 1. Potential risks of digital contact tracing apps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data protection</th>
<th>Privacy</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Clinical</th>
<th>Public engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Failure to protect personal data from misuse [64,65]</td>
<td>• Loss of personal privacy with no personal or societal benefit [59,61,66,176]</td>
<td>• Misuse of limited financial and human resources on an ineffective intervention [67,68]</td>
<td>• False-positive characterization of contact status (may result in unnecessary quarantining and anxiety) [69,70]</td>
<td>• Loss of trust in public health authorities and public health measures [14,56,66]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The IDCTA should be voluntary and consent-based according to the WHO and ECDC ethical guidance on DCTAs, and this view is also prevalent in the academic literature [40,51-55]. For voluntary DCTAs, ensuring transparency and trustworthiness is important to maximize population penetration [52,53,71-79]. Transparency can be achieved by making the underlying DCTA algorithms open source [52,80,81], and this is established as best practice [82,83]. Similarly, an explanation of the risk prediction algorithm used should be publicly available for scrutiny [52] as has been done by Germany’s Corona-Warn-App [179]. The data processed by the IDCTA, including the type, purpose, and duration of data storage, should be presented in an accessible, transparent way for all DCTA users [52,80,81]. Trustworthiness (how trusted a DCTA is by the target population) depends not only on transparency, robust data protection, and privacy preservation [37,72,84,85] but also on having published guiding ethical principles [86], a defined timeline and plan for DCTA evaluation, a defined published criteria for DCTA deactivation, and a DCTA independent oversight committee that has representation from civic society and the public [15,51,52].

DCTA availability and accessibility must be equitable, and they should not be used in a discriminatory way [52,56,66,87,175]. This is important not only from a human rights perspective but also from an effectiveness perspective because the success of a DCTA depends on factors such as user penetration within the general population [88] and in high-risk population groups [15,89]. The IDCTA should be disseminated free of charge, so it is accessible by all societal groups but, in particular, those disproportionately affected by COVID-19 such as older adults, people of lower socioeconomic class, and ethnic minorities [51,52,56,61,90,91]. Smartphone technology may be inaccessible to people of low incomes or those with limited digital literacy [92]. In Singapore, Bluetooth-enabled contact tracing tokens have been distributed to older adults who were less likely to be smartphone owners [93]. This practice should be encouraged, and alternatives such as free smartphones or monofunctional digital contact tracing devices should be deployed in parallel to groups who may not otherwise have access to DCTAs [14,94,95].

User Experience Considerations

The IDCTA should be designed so that it synchronizes two independent environments, that of public health authorities and that of end users. DCTA user experience considerations can be thought of as those relating to universality and those relating to user engagement. Multimedia Appendix 7 [29,32,38,39,42,69,96-104,106,107,110-118,180-182] provides an overview of the key academic literature [75,96-105], gray literature [106,107], regulations [42,108-111], guidelines [112,113,180], and assessments of existing DCTAs [29,32,38,114-118] that support these recommendations.

To support a more holistic approach to the design of the IDCTA, the concept of universality allowed the cross-disciplinary team to identify a series of dimensions to be taken into account, such as accessibility, minors as users, cultural universality, content, availability, and maintenance and frequency of upgrades with the aim of better accommodating different users’ needs, including minors, older adults, people with chronic disease, and those with various forms of disability, so that accessibility and inclusiveness can be ensured [42]. In keeping with this holistic approach to the design of the IDCTA, the interface elements should enable multimodal interaction (eg, supported by voice control) with contents that are available in different languages. Additionally, jargon should be avoided. How well the dimensions of universality are incorporated into the design of the IDCTA will affect not only its population penetration and continued use but also its interoperability across borders. Population penetration will depend on the prevalence of smartphones and operating systems in use among the target population that support the chosen DCTA technology [75,105]. Trade-offs between accuracy and availability will need to be assessed so that it is available on the widest range of smartphones and operating systems possible (eg, ultra-wideband is accurate but not widely available [183,184] and Bluetooth LE is less accurate but more widely available [119,120,185]). This must be done while also supporting the various screen
sizes and resolutions of the widest range of smartphones in use among the population. The IDCTA should be conceived as open-ended with frequent updates, ongoing support, and constant maintenance.

Regarding user engagement, nine key aspects were identified from across the literature that could help improve engagement: performance feedback, helpfulness, public health measures, educational information, personal information, personalization and control, time and human effort, flexibility or multimodality, and multitasking. Based on these aspects, user requirements that could increase engagement are evident. Engagement could be potentially enhanced by enabling the user to contact their case health care worker should they have questions regarding their COVID-19 diagnosis. Engagement could also potentially be enhanced by allowing users to identify areas where the incidence of COVID-19 infection is high that they may wish to avoid or settings where the risk of contracting COVID-19 when exposed may be highest (eg, public transport routes known to be frequently crowded). Dynamic, consistently updated information on confirmed cases, testing sites, vaccination sites, government restrictions, and preventive strategies could enhance user engagement by making the benefit of using the app more apparent to the users and integrating it with the wider public health information campaign as part of the national COVID-19 response. However, the amount of information presented should not be overwhelming for users. Graphic representation of these data may also be beneficial (eg, visualization may summarize the number of cases or close contacts being reported per day or week). By conveniently providing useful information on the DCTA, it has the potential to engage and help users long-term to protect themselves against COVID-19. The IDCTA should also enable the end user to tailor the app to their particular needs to enhance user engagement. For example, users might find it beneficial to personalize which notifications they receive or to temporarily deactivate the contact tracing function [121].

Privacy and Data Protection Considerations

Privacy and data considerations of DCTAs are dependent on what their functional requirements are. DCTAs need to maintain a contact log, generate a contact alert, and link users with the test and trace system. The IDCTA needs to perform these functions while respecting individual privacy rights and adhering to data protection regulation [14,22,37,40-42,52,56,69,72,74-76,84,122-124]. The European Charter of Human Rights Article 8 states that individuals have a right to respect for private life, but interference with this right can occur if it is deemed necessary, proportionate, and in accordance with the law [186]. The IDCTA should follow the foundational principles of privacy by design, a widely used approach in systems engineering characterized by proactive rather than reactive measures, and this approach to digital contact tracing is supported by the European Data Protection Board (EDPB) [125]. The collection and use of personal data are protected by several regulations in the European Union, such as the European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) Act 2016 and the ePrivacy Directive 2002 [126,187]. Article 6 of the GDPR states that processing of data is lawful if it is “necessary to protect the vital interests of a person” and if it is “necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest” [187]. Contact tracing of infectious diseases is lawful because it is necessary to protect case contacts, and epidemic containment is certainly carried out in the public interest, a view which is supported by the WHO and ECDC [5,40]. Data concerning health such as one’s COVID-19 infection or contact status are considered “special data” as described in Article 9 of the GDPR [187]. The processing of “special data” is permissible only where “processing is necessary for reasons of substantial public interest,” which shall be “proportionate to the aim pursued” and respects “the essence of the right to data protection” [187]. More specifically, special data may be processed if it is “necessary for reasons of public interest in the area of public health, such as protecting against serious cross-border threats to health or ensuring high standards of quality and safety of health care” [187]. As further explained in recital (46) of the GDPR, the processing of personal data should also be regarded to be lawful where it is necessary to protect an interest that is essential for the life of the data subject or that of another natural person, for instance, when processing is for monitoring epidemics [127]. Evidently, data collection and processing to facilitate contact tracing during an epidemic to prevent further disease transmission and death is permissible. There are limits to this as defined in Article 5 of the GDPR, which sets out seven key principles related to the processing of personal data (Textbox 2) [128].
Textbox 2. Principles of data protection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lawfulness, fairness, and transparency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Lawfulness: Processing of personal data carried out by a controller must have a legal basis under the General Data Protection Regulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fairness: Processing of personal data must be fair toward the individual whose personal data are concerned and avoid being unduly detrimental, unexpected, misleading, or deceptive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transparency: Controllers must provide individuals with information regarding the processing of their personal data in a format that is concise, easily accessible, and easy to understand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose limitation**

- Personal data must be collected for specified, explicit, and legitimate purposes.

**Data minimization**

- Personal data that are collected and processed should be adequate, relevant, and limited to what is necessary for the purposes for which they are processed.

**Accuracy**

- Personal data that are collected should be accurate and, where necessary, kept up to date.

**Storage limitation**

- Controllers must hold personal data, in a form that permits the identification of individuals, for no longer than is necessary for the purposes for which the personal data are processed.

**Integrity and confidentiality**

- Personal data must be processed by controllers only in a manner that ensures the appropriate level of security and confidentiality for the personal data using appropriate technical or organizational measures.

**Accountability**

- Controllers are responsible for, and must be able to demonstrate compliance with, the other principles of data protection.

To be lawful, there must be a legal basis on which the data are processed [109]. For example, in the ROI, a DCTA was introduced on the legal basis set out under section 7 of the Health Act 2004, which states that health authorities should use its resources to protect the health and welfare of the public [185]. Use of the DCTA should be voluntary, and this should be included in legal frameworks when legislating for its use [40,51-55]. To ensure accountability, the controller of the DCTA should be clearly defined and the EDPB suggest this could be national public health authorities [41].

DCTA contact logs should adhere to the principles of privacy by design and data minimization by collecting only an anonymized identifier unique to each contact event [41]. This means the IDCTA should not record the name, age, sex, ethnicity, or address of the contact nor should it record the time or location of the contact event [41]. However, privacy needs to be embedded into the DCTA design without diminishing functionality as much as is possible [125]. To enable risk stratification of the contact event, the IDCTA should record the day of the contact event, as is done by the Corona-Warn-App [179]. Although collection of location data is recommended against by the EDPB, in the ROI and the United Kingdom, there is some evidence that the majority of people do not have an objection to its use by DCTAs in the context of an epidemic [37,121,129]. Although this may vary between countries, where location tracking is deemed a proportionate response to the scale of the epidemic, the IDCTA should log the location of the app user locally [121] but not that of their contacts in keeping with privacy by design principles [125]. The principle of purpose limitation [128] would dictate that both contact and location tracking logs should be collected only for the purpose of COVID-19 contact tracing. How a contact event is recorded by a DCTA must be accurate; otherwise, there is the potential for large-scale misclassification of contact events as occurred with the UK NHS COVID-19 app [34,35]. Therefore, field studies that validate the accuracy of the app should be performed and published as has been done for some DCTAs [130]. In the ROI, independent assessments of COVID Tracker have been performed and published, and this practice should be encouraged [131,132]. Contact logs should be maintained for 14 days, the incubation period of COVID-19 [3], to adhere to the principle of storage limitation.

When a DCTA user is confirmed to have COVID-19, an exposure notification system is necessary to enable them to alert their contacts. To ensure data collected are accurate, it has been suggested that COVID-19 cases have their status verified before they can use the exposure notification system to prevent misuse [133,134]. Verification should preferably be automated [135]. To ensure integrity and confidentiality, the EDPB [41] and European Union eHealth Network [42] recommend that contact log processing follow a decentralized privacy preserving protocol (ie, processing of contact logs to match those of the user’s contacts with those of cases that occurs on the user’s device). The principle of privacy by design [125] would dictate
that contact alerts should be generated from the contact’s DCTA as opposed to being sent from the case’s DCTA. The contact alert, to be adherent to the principle of data minimization, should not contain the cases’ personal information such as name, age, sex, or ethnicity nor should it contain the time or location of the contact event [41]. For example, contact alerts generated by COVID Tracker in the ROI inform users “Close Contact Alert: The app has detected that you have been in close contact with someone who has tested positive for COVID-19” [29]. When a contact alert is generated, the DCTA user should be able to contact public health authorities through the app [32], or they should be provided with a number to contact health authorities on.

In some countries such as South Korea and Israel, interference with individual privacy rights was deemed to be a necessary proportionate response to COVID-19. However, Western societies particularly value privacy [37,136]. Maximizing population penetration of a voluntary DCTA in these societies will require health authorities to convince target users that their privacy will be protected [68,72,77,137]. The nature of data collected, whether it be proximity data, location data, or both, hinges on whether it is deemed to be proportionate to the aim pursued. The EDPB state that DCTAs should rely on proximity and not location data [41]. Many countries such as the ROI, the United Kingdom, and Germany have developed DCTAs that record proximity using Bluetooth LE [29,34,179]. However, from the experiences of Israel and South Korea, location tracking may be a key feature of effective digital contact tracing. Tracking location may be useful to identify previously unknown settings where transmission is occurring, allowing for public health authorities to take proactive action to prevent further transmission [25,138,139]. However, the privacy risks are significant, and misuse of location data can be harmful to public trust in health authorities [23]. There is a need for public engagement mechanisms in each country to define by consensus what the limits of a proportionate response to COVID-19 are. Where location tracking is used, it should be an opt-in feature [121] because invasion of personal privacy can be a significant deterrent to downloading and using a DCTA, and the use of geolocation data has recently been the cause of privacy losing events associated with app use [85,140].

In May 2020, Google and Apple collaborated to create an application programming interface (API) [141]. An API is a Lego block on which governments can build a DCTA. The DCTA (interface, data collection, public health information), server (epidemiological dashboard, diagnosis verification), and server relay (for 14 days of the case’s cryptogenic keys) are supplied by the public health authority [141]. The Google/Apple API works on Android 6 and iOS 13 forward [141]. Building a DCTA on this API requires a series of measures to protect individual privacy. There should be a requirement for explicit user consent, anonymity of all users to each other, and allowing users the choice of how much personal information they share [81,141]. Google and Apple control which DCTAs use the API and for how long the API is operational in a given region [141]. There should be an agreed timeline and criteria for when the API and DCTA infrastructure is to be dismantled [76,121,124,141] because there are significant privacy concerns that technology companies and governments could use DCTAs to enable greater surveillance after the pandemic [26,37,65,66,76]. Using an API comes with the risk that personal data may be misused or processed unlawfully. Google has a record of not adhering to data protection regulations and the principles of data protection [188]. There are also ongoing concerns regarding Google’s lawful and transparent use of location data [189]. The entry of private corporations into pandemic response may create a dependency on them to deliver public health necessities, global health policies, and result in an accumulation of decision-making powers across multiple aspects of society and subversion of democratically elected governments [59,176]. Despite concerns surrounding the role of private corporations in digital contact tracing, the number of app downloads was high [37,190]. This may be explained by the phenomenon known as the privacy paradox, whereby people express concerns regarding sharing personal information, but their behavior is incongruent with the concerns they express [142].

**Technical Considerations**

For the IDCTA, the choice of which technology to use to detect contact events will be influenced by its cost, energy use, accuracy, availability, accessibility, adherence to data protection regulation, and by how it effects privacy preservation and overall DCTA effectiveness [14,17,37,40,42,52,61,69,76,141]. These in turn influence DCTA population penetration. Potential technologies include ultra-wideband technology, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth LE, ultrasound, and GPS (Table 1). Ultra-wideband technology is an ideal technology for proximity detection. It is a low cost, low energy use technology that can measure highly accurate spatial data both indoors and outdoors, with an ability to discriminate distances of 10 to 30 cm [143,183,184]. With regard to privacy, it is considered more secure than Bluetooth LE [143]. However, smartphones equipped with this technology are not yet in common use [184]. A DCTA that uses Wi-Fi would be limited by range and difficult to make ubiquitously available. According to the EDPB and the European Union eHealth guidance, Bluetooth LE proximity detection should be used because it maximizes privacy preservation and is widely available, which are important to maximize population penetration [41,42,119]. However, population penetration will also rely on belief in the accuracy of the DCTA to detect contact events [79,105,144,145]. DCTAs that use Bluetooth LE alone may not have adequate accuracy [70,132,146,147,185]. GPS location tracking may be less accurate indoors or in multistory buildings as compared with Bluetooth LE [44,148]. Published studies validating the accuracy of these DCTA technologies are lacking (Table 1). Combining ultrasound technology with Bluetooth LE may improve accuracy by reducing the number of false-positive contacts identified [116,130]. In the absence of widely available ultra-wideband technology, this represents the best compromise on privacy preservation, accuracy, and availability for the IDCTA. However, this may not be applicable across all countries because the choice of technology will depend on the prevalence of compatible smartphones in use in the population and how valued personal privacy is among the population.
Table 1. Potential DCTA technologies and the implications of their use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DCTA(^a) technology</th>
<th>Bluetooth LE(^b)</th>
<th>GPS-enabled geolocation tracking</th>
<th>Bluetooth LE and ultrasound</th>
<th>Ultra-wideband</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy(^c)</strong></td>
<td>Accuracy reported as 72%(^d) (distance threshold not reported) and 79% (distance threshold 1.5 m); although, independent studies did not reproduce these results [70,147,185].</td>
<td>Accurate to within 4.9 m, but concerns that GPS location tracking for COVID-19 contact tracing not feasible due to limited accuracy [149,191].</td>
<td>Accuracy reported as 55% (distance threshold ≤6 foot) and accuracy reported as 99.6% (distance threshold ≤12 foot) [130].</td>
<td>Highly accurate [143]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effectiveness in augmenting manual contact tracing</strong></td>
<td>Limited evidence to suggest effectiveness [150,151]</td>
<td>Limited anecdotal evidence to suggest effectiveness [25,138]</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence found to suggest effectiveness</td>
<td>No instances of ultra-wideband–enabled DCTAs found in the literature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accessibility and availability</strong></td>
<td>Widely available [119,120]</td>
<td>Widely available [120]</td>
<td>Widely available but less so than Bluetooth LE or GPS</td>
<td>Not widely available [143,183,184]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adherence with principle of privacy preservation</strong></td>
<td>Highly adherent (records only proximity)</td>
<td>Less adherent (records location, which is potentially identifiable)</td>
<td>Adherent(^e) (records only proximity)</td>
<td>Highly adherent [143] (records only proximity).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adherence with principles of data protection</strong></td>
<td>Adherent</td>
<td>Interferes with the principle of data minimization</td>
<td>Adherent</td>
<td>Adherent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)DCTA: digital contact tracing app.

\(^b\)LE: Low Energy.

\(^c\)(True positives + true negatives) / total number of tests.

\(^d\)COVID Tracker Ireland reported being able to accurately identify 72% of close contacts, although field studies supporting this claim have not been published.

\(^e\)Perception that it has the potential for misuse of audio data [152], but this is not the case according to proponents of this technology [153].

The IDCTA must enable users to exchange and record temporary contact numbers when they are in contact within prespecified time and distance thresholds [44,154]. Temporary contact numbers should be renewed frequently (eg, every 15 minutes) to protect user privacy [44,141,154]. Contact logs of temporary contact numbers are maintained by each device, and once a case of COVID-19 is diagnosed, the DCTA allows them to notify their contacts [44,141]. How contact logs are processed to identify contacts and how contacts are alerted can be performed in a centralized or decentralized manner (Figure 2). Early in the pandemic, two predominant protocols emerged, the Pan-European Privacy Preserving Proximity Tracing (PEPP-PT initiative; centralized) and the Decentralized Privacy Preserving Proximity Tracing (DP-3T initiative; decentralized) [155,156]. With the PEPP-PT initiative, contact logs from the case’s device are processed centrally, while with the DP-3T initiative, contact logs are processed on the contact’s device by regularly checking a central server that holds the temporary contact numbers of cases [155,156]. The DP-3T initiative provides more protection to individual privacy and may enhance DCTA uptake [155,157]. The PEPP-PT initiative involves a human-in-the-loop, which is disadvantageous because it shares the case’s contact log with another individual. However, this may minimize false positives related to contact occurring through apartment walls or where adequate contact precautions were in place [156]. Centralized collection of personal data leaves individuals vulnerable to social network mapping and potentially having their movements mapped. Decentralized protocols may also be vulnerable to malicious attacks [153]. A user’s temporary contact number could be accessed and used by multiple devices and result in false contact chains being generated during the life cycle of that temporary contact number.

Ensuring processes that protect personal data from misuse are rigorously enforced is important in building public confidence that their personal data are safe. The European Union has stated that a DCTA should use a decentralized model to protect individual privacy [42]. They also emphasized that DCTAs should augment and not replace existing contact tracing systems [42]. Automated contact alert notification using a decentralized protocol may be alarming for contacts to receive. Therefore, the IDCTA should not only follow a decentralized privacy preserving protocol but also provide explicit instruction on what actions to take if a contact alert is received and a means of making contact with a health care worker for integration into the test and trace system.
How a contact is defined by the app should also be considered. DCTAs may define contacts according to binary distance (eg, within 2 m) and duration of contact (eg, 15 minutes or less) thresholds in keeping with the definition applied by health authorities [8,158,159]; although, this binary definition may not identify all contacts at risk of infection [160]. Alternatively, a risk-stratified approach that includes other factors associated with disease transmission may identify contacts more accurately [34]. Contact could be stratified as high risk or low risk depending on a risk score, with high-risk contacts being advised to quarantine and arrange COVID-19 testing and low-risk contacts being advised on good social distancing practice. Risk scores could be based on how close the contact was, for how long the contact lasted, how long it has been since the user met a COVID-19–positive person, and the risk of transmission for the case [161]. The risk of transmission would be based on empirical evidence of COVID-19 transmission dynamics. Temporary contact numbers recorded in the case’s DCTA each day would be assigned an additional code that represents the risk value of COVID-19 transmission from the case on that day. The contact’s DCTA would, in addition to recording the temporary contact number, record this additional code so that it may calculate the COVID-19 risk score when it matches temporary contact numbers from its contact log with that of a case. Risk scores are only as accurate as the data they are constructed from, and defining the risk score threshold would require an ongoing process of evaluation and calibration [42]. To calibrate the DCTA risk score effectively, knowledge of the contact event outcomes would be needed. To do this, the IDCTA would allow users to voluntarily have their COVID-19 test result uploaded directly to their DCTA from the processing laboratory (as is possible with the Corona-Warn app [115]) or for users to voluntarily confirm their status as having COVID-19 on the DCTA (as occurs with COVID Tracker [29] and COCOA [134]). The DCTA would have to centrally collect for users who receive a contact alert and who volunteer their COVID-19 test result, how long ago the contact occurred, the duration of contact, and the proximity of contact.

Clinical and Societal Considerations

COVID-19 transmission chain disruption could potentially be enhanced by using DCTAs to augment manual contact tracing [16]. Therefore, this is the aim of the IDCTA. Other aims may be to act as a confidence-enhancing measure for those most vulnerable to COVID-19 infection. It may reassure them that they have not been a contact, and others can demonstrate their contact-free status to them [162]. The higher the uptake of the IDCTA, the more likely it is a case can notify a contact of their exposure. To achieve this, demonstrating to the target population the high degree of privacy preservation and adherence to data protection regulation is important. Enhancing uptake through small monetary incentives may also be considered [163,164]. The IDCTA should be voluntary [40,51-55,134], and mandating the use of DCTAs of uncertain effectiveness with associated potential harms, which have the highest likelihood of utility during periods of low COVID-19 incidence, may be difficult to justify as a proportionate response.

The IDCTA should avoid functions that necessitate additional data processing that may raise privacy concerns, such as age, sex, location, or ethnicity. Any additional functions should be justifiable, proportionate, privacy preserving, and adherent to data protection regulation. Additional functions should be defined before DCTA deployment in keeping with the data protection principle of purpose limitation [128]. DCTAs present an opportunity to perform functions such as allowing people to assess their personal risk of being hospitalized or dying from COVID-19. Providing risk assessments may not be ethical given that risk algorithms may be population specific, not generalizable, and may provide falsely elevated or falsely lowered risks [165]. Regardless of risk, it could be argued that the person’s behavior should be the same and an awareness of one’s risk may not result in positive behavior change. Therefore, the IDCTA would not provide risk assessments. Symptom checker functions should also be avoided because there is little high-quality evidence to support their use in this context.
Evaluation Considerations

To be ethical and adherent with data protection regulation, the continued use of a DCTA needs to be supported by evidence that it has been effective in contributing to epidemic control. A DCTA is a multistep intervention. There are several steps where they may fail to effectively disrupt transmission chains, including being downloaded; recording contact events; sending contact alerts; and integrating with the wider contact tracing, testing, quarantine, and isolation systems [16,166]. Maintaining privacy while ensuring the necessary data to demonstrate effectiveness are collected is challenging. To enable evaluation of effectiveness, the IDCTA should record and collect key metrics (Table 2). These were derived from ECDC guidance on how to monitor contact tracing effectiveness [159], the limited number of studies evaluating real-world DCTA effectiveness [150,151,167-170], and other published academic literature [62]. Many of these metrics may be collected by the app and do not interfere with individual privacy. Other metrics such as the outcome of COVID-19 testing would be considered sensitive data by many. Collection of these sensitive data should be voluntary. Determining whether people who receive contact alerts quarantine, a key intervention in disrupting transmission changes, may be difficult. This is true for both digital and manual contact tracing. GPS location tracking has been used in China by public health authorities to confirm contacts remain within quarantine [171]. However, this interference with the right to privacy would likely not be acceptable in countries where privacy is highly valued. The outcomes of the evaluation should be openly reported to allow for a wider public evaluation of the app.
Table 2. Metrics to evaluate ideal DCTA effectiveness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator of effectiveness</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Metric numerator (source)</th>
<th>Metric denominator (source)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCTA is downloaded</td>
<td>To estimate the proportion of the smartphone owning population who download the DCTA</td>
<td>Number of DCTA downloads minus number of DCTA deletions (DCTA)</td>
<td>Number of smartphone owners nationally (Government statistics office; e.g., Central Statistics Office, ROI&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA is active</td>
<td>To estimate the proportion of DCTAs downloaded that are being used</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs with contact tracing turned on (DCTA)</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs downloaded minus number of DCTAs deleted (DCTA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA is active</td>
<td>To estimate the proportion of DCTAs downloaded that are being used</td>
<td>Frequency and duration of use (DCTA)</td>
<td>N/A&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA is active</td>
<td>To estimate the proportion of DCTAs downloaded that are being used</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs downloading TCNs&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; of cases on central server per day (assuming DCTA downloads keys once per day when active; DCTA)</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs downloaded minus number of DCTAs deleted (DCTA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA is used by COVID-19 cases</td>
<td>To estimate the DCTA penetration among people who contract COVID-19</td>
<td>Number of positive test results uploaded to DCTA (DCTA)</td>
<td>Number of COVID-19 cases nationally (national surveillance data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA is used by COVID-19 cases</td>
<td>To estimate the DCTA penetration among people who contract COVID-19</td>
<td>Number of COVID-19 cases who attended a screening center reporting DCTA active use (survey of attendees at testing centers and review of participants’ test results)</td>
<td>Number of COVID-19 cases who attended a screening center (screening center data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA is used by COVID-19 cases to notify close contacts</td>
<td>To estimate the proportion of cases using the DCTA who use it to send contact alerts</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs that send a contact alert (DCTA)</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs with a positive COVID-19 test recorded (national surveillance data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close contacts using DCTA receive alert</td>
<td>To estimate the DCTA penetration among people who are close contacts</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs that receive a contact alert (DCTA)</td>
<td>Number of close contacts identified nationally (national surveillance data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA identifies contacts not identified by manual contact tracing</td>
<td>To demonstrate the DCTA augments manual contact tracing</td>
<td>Number of close contacts attending testing center identified exclusively by DCTA (survey of attendees at testing centers)</td>
<td>Number of close contacts attending testing center (survey of attendees at testing centers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA identifies contacts sooner than manual contact tracing</td>
<td>To demonstrate the DCTA augments manual contact tracing</td>
<td>Number of close contacts attending testing center who received contact alert from DCTA before contact alert from manual contact tracing service (survey of attendees at testing centers)</td>
<td>Number of close contacts attending testing center (survey of attendees at testing centers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close contacts using DCTA are tested for COVID-19</td>
<td>To estimate the proportion of contacts who are tested for COVID-19 and to estimate the number of cases identified by the DCTA</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs with a COVID-19 test result uploaded within 14 days of a contact alert (DCTA)</td>
<td>Number of DCTAs that receive a contact alert (DCTA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCTA associated harm is recognized</td>
<td>To determine what harms, if any, occur with DCTA use</td>
<td>N/A (qualitative survey of DCTA users)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>DCTA: digital contact tracing app.  
<sup>b</sup>ROI: Republic of Ireland.  
<sup>c</sup>N/A: not applicable.  
<sup>d</sup>TCN: temporary contact number.

**Summary of Findings**

Key considerations were ethical, user experience, privacy and data protection, clinical and societal, and evaluation.

Proportionality, voluntariness, transparency, trustworthiness, and equity are necessary for the design and deployment of the IDCTA. Universality and user engagement are important user experience considerations that can influence DCTA use in the...
population. Dimensions of universality that should be taken into account when designing the IDCTA are accessibility, minors as users, cultural universality, content, availability, and maintenance and frequency of upgrades. User engagement could be enhanced by small financial incentives, enabling users to tailor aspects of the app to their particular needs and integrating DCTAs into the wider public health information campaign. If DCTAs are to be trusted, accepted, and used by the target population, they must be adherent to data protection regulation and have privacy by design through all elements, including maintaining contact logs, generating contact alerts, and linking users into the test and trace system. For the IDCTA, the choice of which technology is used will be influenced by its cost, energy use, availability, accessibility, adherence to data protection regulation and principles of privacy by design, and accuracy when detecting contact events. Combining ultrasound technology with Bluetooth LE may improve accuracy by reducing the number of false-positive contacts identified. A decentralized privacy preserving protocol should be followed to enable DCTA users to exchange and record temporary contact numbers during contact events. The IDCTA should define and risk stratify contact events according to proximity, duration of contact, and the infectiousness of the case at the time of contact. Evaluating DCTAs requires data to quantify app downloads, use among COVID-19 cases, successful contact alert generation, contact alert receivers, contact alert receivers that adhere to quarantine and testing recommendations, and the number of contact alert alert receivers who subsequently are tested positive for COVID-19.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This cross-disciplinary review presents best practice guidance for developing the IDCTA and is informative for those involved in DCTA research, design, and deployment. It also serves as a comprehensive and accessible entry point for those beginning to engage with this research subject, which has evolved significantly after a period of intensive exploration in 2020. DCTAs will likely be a significant research field not only for the remainder of the COVID-19 pandemic but also in the postpandemic era because of a renewed interest and support for pandemic preparedness. Demonstrating the effectiveness of COVID-19 DCTAs is a current research priority [193]. This is important to convince not only nonapp users of their benefits but also current or previous app users, many of whom remain uncertain about their utility [194]. Early evidence indicates that DCTAs can identify contacts of COVID-19 cases who subsequently develop infection (particularly among nonhousehold contacts) [150,151,167-170], may shorten the time to quarantine by 1 day [168], and can prevent further disease transmission [169]. Ensuring DCTAs are integrated with the wider test and trace system is emerging as an important aspect of DCTA deployment [135]. Where codes were required by DCTA users to confirm on the app a COVID-19–infected status, manual distribution of these codes by health care professionals could delay contact alert generation and subsequent downstream actions such as contact quarantine and testing, suggesting automated code generation is preferable [135].

A weakness of this research was that it did not specifically address how DCTAs should be integrated into the wider test and trace system. There is a need for future dedicated research to synthesize and evaluate evidence, and generate best practice recommendations for this consideration of DCTA deployment. The limitations of this review are that the index and gray literature searches, while extensive, were not performed using systematic review methodology. The inclusion of both indexed and gray literature enabled the derivation of best practice guidance from the literature during a phase of rapid DCTA research and development growth. The cross-disciplinary approach taken to evaluating the evidence was a strength of this research because it allowed varying aspects of DCTA design and deployment to be considered.

Future promising developments in this field may be the use of blockchain technology, ultra-wideband technology, and artificial intelligence in DCTA design. Privacy and data protection concerns are significant barriers to DCTA uptake in Western societies [72,74-76,122-124]. A blockchain network is a decentralized, distributed, and secure public ledger that stores records of transactions securely using cryptography techniques [195]. Features of blockchain technology that make it advantageous for digital contact tracing are decentralized data storage; data security through encryption; data provenance and time stamping allowing for verification of the data legitimacy and data immutability, which enhances data reliability and transparency [196]. The use of blockchain networks in future DCTAs may reduce privacy and data protection concerns and enhance DCTA uptake and use [196]. This area should be a focus of future research. DCTAs need to detect contact events accurately to optimize uptake [79,105,144,145]. Ultra-wideband is a low energy means of enabling short-range high bandwidth communications that can transmit data with minimal noise interference. This could allow for highly accurate measurement of contact events within centimeters [197]. Although not yet a feature of most smartphones, it most likely will be in the near future [198]. Therefore, it could be a viably accessible and available energy efficient technology for DCTAs in future pandemics. Additionally, artificial intelligence could potentially improve the accuracy of future DCTA contact event detection by reducing false positives and false negatives [199].

Conclusion

In conclusion, key considerations and best practice guidance for the design of the IDCTA were derived from the literature.
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Abstract

Background: Stroke is a common, harmful disease with high recurrence and mortality rates. Uncontrolled blood pressure is an important and changeable risk factor for stroke recurrence. Telemedicine and mobile health (mHealth) interventions may have the potential to facilitate the control of blood pressure among stroke survivors, but their effect has not been established.

Objective: This systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) was conducted to estimate the effects of telemedicine and mHealth interventions on the control of systolic blood pressure among stroke survivors.

Methods: The research literature published up to June 28, 2020, and consisting of RCTs related to telemedicine and mHealth interventions was searched in PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library. The Cochrane risk of bias tool (RoB 2.0) was used to evaluate the quality of the studies. The Cochran Q test and I² statistic were used to assess heterogeneity. Data were meta-analyzed using a random-effects model. Mean difference (MD) with 95% CI and 95% prediction interval (PI) were calculated.

Results: In total, 9 RCTs with a total sample size of 1583 stroke survivors met the inclusion criteria. Compared with the usual care, telemedicine and mHealth had a significantly greater impact on the control of systolic blood pressure (MD –5.49; 95% CI –7.87 to –3.10; P<.001; 95% PI –10.46 to –0.51). A subgroup analysis showed that the intervention mode of telephone plus SMS text messaging (MD –9.09; 95% CI –12.71 to –5.46; P<.001) or only telephone (MD –4.34; 95% CI –6.55 to –2.13; P<.001; 95% PI –7.24 to –1.45) had a greater impact on the control of systolic blood pressure than usual care. Among the stroke survivors with an intervention interval ≤1 week (MD –6.51; 95% CI –9.36 to –3.66; P<.001; 95% PI –12.91 to –0.10) or a baseline systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg (MD –6.15; 95% CI –9.44 to –2.86; P<.001; 95% PI –13.55 to 1.26), the control of systolic blood pressure using telemedicine and mHealth was better than that of usual care.

Conclusions: In general, telemedicine and mHealth reduced the systolic blood pressure of stroke survivors by an average of 5.49 mm Hg compared with usual care. Telemedicine and mHealth are a relatively new intervention mode with potential applications for the control of systolic blood pressure among stroke survivors, especially those with hypertensive stroke.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e24116) doi:10.2196/24116
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Introduction

Stroke is a common, harmful disease and a main cause of death and disability worldwide. It has the characteristics of high morbidity, disability, recurrence, and mortality [1-3]. Stroke survivors have a high risk of recurrence, with recurrent stroke entailing more severe symptoms and worse results than the first occurrence [4,5]. Stroke not only affects patients’ quality of life but also imposes an economic burden on the family, medical system, and society [6,7]. However, about 85% of stroke cases are preventable, and effective secondary prevention can reduce the recurrence rate of stroke [8-10].

Noncommunicable diseases are the main causes for the increase in the incidence of stroke. Approximately 90.5% of global stroke diseases can be attributed to modifiable risk factors, among which hypertension is the most common for first and recurrent strokes but is modifiable [11-13]. Uncontrolled blood pressure is an important changeable risk factor for stroke recurrence. Implementing secondary preventive measures can reduce the recurrence of stroke by 80% [14]. A recent systematic review and meta-regression analysis emphasized that strict and active blood pressure control may be the most critical treatment strategy for the secondary prevention of stroke, highlighting that the reduction in systolic blood pressure is linearly related to reduction in the risk of recurrent cerebrovascular events [15]. However, many stroke survivors have the risk factor of high blood pressure [14]. More than one-third of patients continue to have poor blood pressure control following a stroke or transient ischemic attack, but most people are unaware of these risks [14,16].

Therefore, some researchers have tried to reduce the risk of recurrent cerebrovascular events through interventions to improve blood pressure after a stroke or transient ischemic attack. Telemedicine and mobile health (mHealth) interventions have a potential role in this endeavor. An increasing number of studies have been conducted on the use of telemedicine and mHealth interventions to manage systolic blood pressure in stroke survivors [17-25], but it is not clear whether their effect is better than that of usual care. To objectively evaluate the efficacy of these interventions and provide a reference for clinical application, this study adopted the Cochrane evaluation method to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of existing international randomized controlled trials (RCTs) related to telemedicine and mHealth for control of systolic blood pressure in stroke survivors.

Methods

Data Sources and Search Strategy

This study follows the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [26]. We conducted a comprehensive literature search in online databases, including PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library. In order to conduct a comprehensive search, we also searched Chinese literature, gray literature, and the reference lists of the studies yielded by the original search. We searched relevant studies published until June 28, 2020. The search keywords were as follows: “stroke” OR “brain infarction” OR “transient ischemic attack” OR “cerebral hemorrhage” OR “subarachnoid hemorrhage;” “mobile applications” OR “telemedicine” OR “text messaging” OR “cell phone” OR “smartphone” OR “social media” OR “internet,” and “blood pressure” OR “hypertension.” A detailed search strategy for each database is presented in Multimedia Appendix 1. The literature search and screening were carried out independently by 2 researchers (ML and TW).

Inclusion Criteria

We included all studies that met the following requirements: the study's design was an RCT, participants were diagnosed with a stroke (hemorrhagic stroke or ischemic stroke) or transient ischemic attack, interventions were provided for patients using telemedicine (with telemedicine defined as the delivery of health services at a distance using a range of technologies, such as telephone, telemonitoring, etc [27,28]) and mHealth (with mHealth defined as the delivery of health services through mobile and wireless applications, including mobile phones, SMS text messaging, wearable devices, etc [29]), the control group received usual care, and the main outcome indicator was systolic blood pressure.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded from the meta-analysis if any of the telemedicine and mHealth intervention or usual care management was independently discussed, or if the original research data were incomplete or unusable and useful data could not be obtained by contacting the original author.

Data Extraction

The data were retrieved from the selected studies. The extracted data included study information (author, publication year, country), study characteristics (study population, sample size), participants’ characteristics (age, gender, baseline systolic blood pressure), intervention information (intervention mode, intervention interval), and main outcome indicators (systolic blood pressure). The required data were extracted independently by 2 researchers (ML and TW) and cross-referenced to avoid potential extraction errors. All disagreements were discussed with a third researcher to reach a consensus.

Quality Assessment

Two independent researchers used the Cochrane risk of bias tool (RoB 2.0) [30] to evaluate the quality of the selected literature. The items addressed were as follows: bias arising from the randomization process, deviations from intended interventions, bias due to missing outcome data, bias in measurement of the outcome, bias in the selection of the reported result, and overall risk of bias. An additional researcher was asked to conduct an evaluation to help resolve disputes that arose during the evaluation process.

Statistical Analysis

Stata version 14.0 (StataCorp) was used for the meta-analysis. The Cochran $Q$ test and $I^2$ statistic were used to assess heterogeneity [31]. In the heterogeneity assessment, $I^2$ is considered to be nil if it is below 25%, low if it is 25%-50%, moderate if it is 51%-75%, and high if it is above 75% [32].
Due to expected heterogeneity (study characteristics and the manner in which studies were conducted) between studies, a random-effects model was used to estimate the mean difference (MD) with 95% CI being considered the statistic of interest [33]. In addition, the 95% prediction interval (PI) was calculated for the overall weighted mean estimate [34]. To explore the factors influencing mHealth interventions, we conducted subgroup analyses of the intervention mode, intervention interval, and baseline systolic blood pressure. Interrater agreement was calculated by using the κ statistic according to the following scheme: κ value <0, worse than that expected by chance; 0.21-0.40, poor; 0.41-0.60, moderate; 0.61-0.80, good; and 0.81-1.00, very good level of agreement [35]. Publication bias was evaluated by inspection of funnel plots and Egger tests [36]. In this study, a P value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Study Selection

A total of 13,998 studies were retrieved using the search strategy. After screening, 9 studies were included in the meta-analysis, comprising a total of 1583 patients: 798 in the mHealth intervention group and 785 in the usual care group. The literature screening process and results are shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Flow diagram of the selection of studies. mHealth: mobile health; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

Study Characteristics and Quality Assessment

The basic characteristics of the included studies are presented in Multimedia Appendix 2. All of the included studies were RCTs and published in 2010 or later, which is in line with the rapid development and spread of mHealth technology in recent years. These studies were conducted in different countries and regions, of which 3 were from the United Kingdom [17-19], 2 from the United States [20,21], 2 from China [23,24], 1 from Ghana [22], and 1 from Sweden [25]. The participants were stroke survivors. The mean or median age of the patients ranged from 54.3 years to 73.5 years. The proportion of women ranged from 23.1% to 60.0%. We used the Cochrane risk of bias tool (RoB 2.0) to evaluate the risk of bias in the 9 included studies. The results showed that risk of bias was deemed to be either “low” or “with some concerns” (Table 1). A κ value of 0.768 (95% CI 0.673-0.841; P<.001) in this study indicated that there was a good agreement between encoders.
Table 1. Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized controlled trials (RoB 2.0).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Bias arising from the randomization process</th>
<th>Deviations from intended interventions</th>
<th>Bias due to missing outcome data</th>
<th>Bias in measurement of the outcome</th>
<th>Bias in selection of the reported result</th>
<th>Overall risk of bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kerry et al (2013) [19]</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarfo et al (2018) [22]</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wan et al (2018) [23]</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low: when present in this column, this indicates the study is judged to be at low risk of bias for all domains for this result.

Some concerns: when present in this column, the study is judged to raise some concerns in at least one domain for this result, but not due to a high risk of bias for any domain.

Comparison of Changes in Systolic Blood Pressure

Figure 2 [17-25] illustrates the changes in systolic blood pressure between the 2 groups in the 9 studies. There was statistical heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=23.7\%$). The results showed that the control of systolic blood pressure of the stroke survivors in the telemedicine and mHealth group was better than that of the stroke survivors in the usual care group, and the difference was statistically significant (MD $-5.49$, 95% CI $-7.87$ to $-3.10$; $P<.001$; 95% PI $-10.46$ to $-0.51$).

Figure 2. Forest plot of the systolic blood pressure of the telemedicine and mHealth group and usual care group. mHealth: mobile health; WMD: weighted mean difference.
**Subgroup Analyses**

We conducted subgroup analyses of the intervention mode. When the intervention mode consisted of telephone plus SMS text messaging or only telephone, the telemedicine and mHealth group showed a larger effect on the control of systolic blood pressure than did the usual care group, with an MD of –9.09 (95% CI –12.71 to –5.46; \( P < .001 \)) and –4.34 (95% CI –6.55 to –2.13; \( P < .001 \); 95% PI –7.24 to –1.45), respectively (Figure 3 [17-25]).

We also performed subgroup analyses of the intervention interval and baseline systolic blood pressure (Figures 4 and 5 [17-25]). Compared to the usual care group, the telemedicine and mHealth group had better control of systolic blood pressure, with an intervention interval \( \leq 1 \) week, and the difference was statistically significant (MD –6.51; 95% CI –9.36 to –3.66; \( P < .001 \); 95% PI –12.91 to –0.10). When the intervention interval was greater than 1 week, no significant difference was found in the control of systolic blood pressure between the 2 groups (MD –2.08; 95% CI –10.12 to 5.95; \( P = .61 \); 95% PI –83.93 to 79.76). In addition, among the stroke survivors with a baseline systolic blood pressure <140 mm Hg, no significant difference in the control of systolic blood pressure was found between the mHealth intervention group and the usual care group (MD –4.04; 95% CI –8.75 to 0.67; \( P = .09 \); 95% PI –50.34 to 42.25). In contrast, among the stroke survivors with a baseline systolic blood pressure \( \geq 140 \) mm Hg, the control of systolic blood pressure of the telemedicine and mHealth group was significantly better than that of the usual care group, and the difference was statistically significant (MD –6.15; 95% CI –9.44 to –2.86; \( P < .001 \); 95% PI –13.55 to 1.26).

**Figure 3.** Forest plot of the subgroup analysis of the mode of intervention. mHealth: mobile health; WMD: weighted mean difference.
**Figure 4.** Forest plot of the subgroup analysis of the intervention interval. mHealth: mobile health; WMD: weighted mean difference.

**Figure 5.** Forest plot of the subgroup analysis of the baseline systolic blood pressures. mHealth: mobile health; WMD: weighted mean difference.
Publication Bias and Sensitivity Analysis

Funnel plot inspection and the Egger test showed no publication bias ($P=.16$; Figure 6). Furthermore, a sensitivity analysis of the outcome indicators of systolic blood pressure was conducted using the method of excluding relevant studies one by one. The results did not change significantly, indicating that the findings of this analysis were stable.

Figure 6. Funnel plot of the systolic blood pressures. WMD: weighted mean difference.

Discussion

Stroke is characterized by high recurrence and mortality rates [1-3]. Hypertension is an important risk factor for stroke recurrence [13], so it is essential for stroke survivors to control their blood pressure. The main purpose of this meta-analysis was to evaluate the effect of telemedicine and mHealth interventions on the control of systolic blood pressure in stroke survivors. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 9 RCTs. Compared with usual care, the telemedicine and mHealth intervention reduced the systolic blood pressure by an average of 5.49 mm Hg. It is worth mentioning that this change in systolic blood pressure was equivalent to the decrease in the systolic blood pressure reported in a meta-analysis of interventions to improve the lifestyles of patients (eg, reasonable diet, aerobic exercise, restriction of alcohol and sodium intake) [37,38]. Studies have shown that a 3-mm Hg reduction in systolic blood pressure can reduce stroke mortality by 8% [39]. Therefore, telemedicine and mHealth interventions for stroke survivors may be a measure worth considering.

As far as we know, this is the first systematic, quantitative analysis and summary of all available evidence of telemedicine and mHealth interventions for the management of systolic blood pressure in the population of stroke survivors. More importantly, we found that the stroke survivors in the telemedicine and mHealth group had better control of their systolic blood pressure than did the usual care group after receiving interventions that actively sent electronic messages (telephone calls, SMS text messages). This result may be expected because poor self-management and poor compliance are major problems affecting patients’ blood pressure control [40]. Stroke survivors with low compliance may benefit more from active interventions, such as telephone calls or SMS text messages. Therefore, active telemedicine and mHealth interventions may yield clinical benefits for stroke survivors by helping them achieve blood pressure control.

This meta-analysis included RCTs from different countries (the United States, the United Kingdom, Sweden, Ghana, and China), indicating that mHealth interventions may be applicable to people in different countries and different medical systems. Furthermore, the average baseline systolic blood pressure was 128.0-154.0 mm Hg, which indicates that the included studies targeted stroke survivors extensively for telemedicine and mHealth interventions. We found that among the stroke survivors with a baseline systolic blood pressure <140 mm Hg, there was no significant difference between the telemedicine and mHealth group and the usual care group. However, for stroke survivors with a baseline systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, the telemedicine and mHealth group had significantly better control of systolic blood pressure than did the usual care group. This is a major finding in stroke survivors with a baseline systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, which indicates that telemedicine and mHealth interventions may have greater benefits for stroke survivors with hypertension. If the proper intervention is conducted for an extended period, this may have a significant clinical impact.
Telemedicine and mHealth interventions are becoming an increasingly common way to support patients with chronic diseases in adhering to their medications and conducting self-management [41]. Telemedicine and mHealth interventions can provide reminder strategies and help patients achieve self-monitoring of blood pressure to improve their medical and behavioral management. Nursing staff can make personalized recommendations for blood pressure management based on patients’ feedback. We found that when the intervention interval was ≤1 week, the influence on the control of systolic blood pressure of the telemedicine and mHealth group was significantly greater than that of the usual care group. However, there was no significant difference between the 2 groups when the intervention interval was more than 1 week. These findings show that when implementing telemedicine and mHealth interventions for patients, the time interval should be at least 1 week in order to achieve a clinically meaningful effect on the control of systolic blood pressure.

Our research has several limitations worth discussing. First, one of the main limitations is that the duration of the interventions included in the selected studies was relatively short. There was only 1 study over 12 months, and a lack of data from studies lasting more than 12 months makes it impossible to conduct subgroup analyses. Blood pressure control in stroke survivors may be a long-term process, requiring continuous lifestyle changes. It is important to understand the long-term (over 12 months) effectiveness and safety of telemedicine and mHealth interventions in stroke survivors. Thus, more research is needed for further analyses and verification. Second, compared with usual care, the telemedicine and mHealth intervention reduced the systolic blood pressure. Statistically speaking, the difference was significant, but its clinical significance still needs to be confirmed by further study. Third, as most of the included studies provided limited information on the profiles of the participants, it was impossible to analyze the effects of some factors on the telemedicine and mHealth interventions, such as participants’ socioeconomic and educational status and combination of drugs, which still need to be explored further in future research.

Preliminary analysis shows that the telemedicine and mHealth interventions reduced the systolic blood pressure of stroke survivors by 5.49 mm Hg on average compared with patients who received usual care. Telemedicine and mHealth interventions may be an important strategy to promote the control of systolic blood pressure in stroke survivors, and this benefit may be even greater for patients with hypertensive stroke. We also found that telemedicine and mHealth interventions with active reminders via telephone calls or SMS text messages and an intervention interval ≤1 week may be more effective. In short, telemedicine and mHealth interventions are relatively new. If used correctly, they have potential application in the control of systolic blood pressure in stroke survivors, specifically those with hypertensive stroke.
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Abstract

Background: Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a chronic disease of the pulmonary vasculature that can lead to heart failure and premature death. Assessment of patients with PAH includes performing a 6-minute walk test (6MWT) in clinics. We developed a smartphone app to compute the walked distance (6MWD) indoors, by counting U-turns, and outdoors, by using satellite positioning.

Objective: The goal of the research was to assess (1) accuracy of the indoor 6MWTs in clinical settings, (2) validity and test-retest reliability of outdoor 6MWTs in the community, (3) compliance, usability, and acceptance of the app, and (4) feasibility of pulse oximetry during 6MWTs.

Methods: We tested the app on 30 PAH patients over 6 months. Patients were asked to perform 3 conventional 6MWTs in clinic while using the app in the indoor mode and one or more app-based 6MWTs in outdoor mode in the community per month.

Results: Bland-Altman analysis of 70 pairs of conventional versus app-based indoor 6MWDs suggests that the app is sometimes inaccurate (14.6 m mean difference, lower and upper limit of agreement: –133.35 m to 162.55 m). The comparison of 69 pairs of conventional 6MWDs and community-based outdoor 6MWDs within 7 days shows that community tests are strongly related to those performed in clinic (correlation 0.89), but the interpretation of the distance should consider that differences above the clinically significant threshold are not uncommon. Analysis of 89 pairs of outdoor tests performed by the same patient within 7 days shows that community-based tests are repeatable (intraclass correlation 0.91, standard error of measurement 36.97 m, mean coefficient of variation 12.45%). Questionnaires and semistructured interviews indicate that the app is usable and well accepted, but motivation to use it could be affected if the data are not used for clinical decision, which may explain low compliance in 52% of our cohort. Analysis of pulse oximetry data indicates that conventional pulse oximeters are unreliable if used during a walk.

Conclusions: App-based outdoor 6MWTs in community settings are valid, repeatable, and well accepted by patients. More studies would be needed to assess the benefits of using the app in clinical practice.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04633538; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04633538

Introduction

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a progressive illness that can be a severe life-limiting condition if not diagnosed early or left untreated [1]. It is a chronic disease of the pulmonary vasculature, with vascular proliferation and remodeling of the small pulmonary arteries leading to a progressive increase in pulmonary vascular resistance. This ultimately results in right
The predominant symptom of PAH is dyspnea on exertion, with a decrease in exercise capacity. PAH is an uncommon condition, affecting about 6000 patients in the United Kingdom [3].

The 6-minute walk test (6MWT) is a standard method for measuring exercise capacity in patients with cardiopulmonary disease such as PAH. The 6MWT measures how far a patient can walk in 6 minutes [4]. Walking is an activity performed every day by most patients except for those most severely limited. By assessing patients’ ability to exercise, the 6MWT provides a global assessment of respiratory, cardiovascular, neuromuscular, and cognitive function. The 6MWT does not differentiate what limits the patient nor does it assess maximal exercise capacity. Instead, the 6MWT allows the patient to exercise at a daily functional level and is a useful tool for assessing severity of disease, and increasing walk distance correlates with a subjective improvement in dyspnea [5].

In PAH, the 6MWT is used to assess patients’ risk of death, with a walked distance of >440 m being associated with a low risk (<5% a year estimated risk of mortality) and <165 m being a high risk (>10% risk of mortality) [6]. An increase in 6MWT distance of more than 42 m is considered a clinically significant improvement [7]. Furthermore, change in 6MWT distance correlates with VO\textsubscript{2} max, New York Heart Association class, and mortality in PAH patients, providing an objective assessment of disease progression, prognosis, and response to treatment [8]. It is a universally accepted test as it is safe and easily performed by the patient.

The 6MWT has become the primary end point for many trials and as a surrogate for invalidated survival outcome for all placebo-controlled trials of PAH therapy [9]. It is used by regulatory bodies to determine whether a treatment should be approved. The test is usually performed in the hospital, by having the patient walk along a hospital corridor, where many factors on the day of the test can affect patient performance, including tiredness, lack of familiarity with the environment, or anxiety. Two physiologists are typically required to monitor the test to measure distance walked and oxygen saturations by pulse oximetry and record symptoms felt during the test. This justifies the need for a community-based approach that would allow a more accurate and frequent measure of the day-to-day function of PAH patients while reducing employed resources.

Community-based 6MWTs have been performed in chronic stroke patients using a GPS tracker [10] and in heart failure patients using accelerometers and step counters [11]. A smartphone-based method was used by Ata et al [12] for assessing patients with peripheral artery disease, but it relied on the embedded distance measurement of the iPhone and proved to have low accuracy. More promising results were achieved using a custom smartphone app in congestive heart failure and pulmonary hypertension participants [13] or our app, named SMWT, which demonstrated high accuracy and user acceptance in lab tests [14].

In this study, we aimed to test our app on 30 patients with pulmonary hypertension. The aim of the study was to assess if patients were able and willing to use the app, compare the 6MWT distance (6MWD) measured by the app with the reference measured in the clinic by physiologists, and explore the feasibility of pulse oximetry during app-based 6MWT.

**Methods**

**Approach**

The SMWT system is described in detail in Salvi et al [14]; nonetheless, a summary of its architecture and functionalities is provided here for reference. The system comprises a mobile phone app for patients, tablet app used by physiologists, and server (Figure 1). The patient app can work in two modalities: indoor, where inertial sensors are used to measure the number of U-turns the patient performs while walking on a straight walkway, and outdoor, where the positioning system, like the GPS, is used to track the user and compute the walked distance. The app also allows users to connect to a Bluetooth pulse oximeter, supporting both the WristOx\textsubscript{2} 3150 (Nonin) and the PC-68B (Shenzhen Creative Industry Co Ltd) medical sensors.
Figure 1. Architecture of the SMWT system: (a) patients’ app, (b) physiologists’ app, and (c) web interface for physicians.

The server collects the 6MWT data from the app and provides a web interface to review the data. Physiologists can also add conventional 6MWT information like total walked distance and symptoms on the patient’s web page. The physiologist app offers the same interface as the website, with the added possibility to collect live data from the WristOx 2 pulse oximeter.

We defined a study protocol with the aim of demonstrating that patients are able and willing to use the app for the 6MWT. The main outcomes were percentage of participants who performed at least 1 community 6MWT and percentage of patients who provided at least 1 community 6MWT per month during the study period. Secondary outcomes were as follows:

- Comparison of the indoor app-based 6MWD against simultaneous clinic 6MWD (statistics of differences, Bland-Altman analysis)
- Comparison between community-based outdoor 6MWD and clinic 6MWD within 7 days (statistics of differences, Bland-Altman analysis)
- Assessment of test-retest reliability of the community-based 6MWT within 7 days (statistics of differences in consecutive 6MWDs, two-way random effects model, single measures, absolute agreement intraclass correlation [15], standard error of measurement computed as standard deviation of 6MWD [16], mean intrasubject coefficient of variation)
- Assessment of usability and acceptance (Mobile Application Rating Scale–user version [17] and mobile health acceptance [18] questionnaires and semistructured interviews)
- Feasibility of pulse oximetry during app-based 6MWT (Bland-Altman analysis of pulse oximetry collected by two sensors simultaneously)

Selection Criteria

Inclusion criteria were willing and able to give informed consent for participation in the study, male or female aged 18 years and older, diagnosed with PAH but able to undertake a 6MWT off-oxygen, PAH group 1 (regular PAH) or 4 (pulmonary hypertension due to blood clots in the lungs), own a compatible smartphone (Android or iPhone) and able to use it. Exclusion criteria were use of long-term oxygen therapy; cognitive impairments; rheumatological diseases that limit the measurement of finger oxygen saturations; PAH groups 2 (pulmonary hypertension due to left heart disease), 3 (pulmonary hypertension due to lung disease), or 5 (blood and other rare disorders that lead to pulmonary hypertension); cannot use a smartphone; or pregnancy.

We focused on patients in PAH groups 1 and 4 [19] because they have treatable forms of PAH and need to perform frequent 6MWTs in order to titrate their medication regime for pulmonary hypertension and assess their response to it. Patients with cognitive impairments were excluded because they would have struggled with using the app. Patients who require oxygen to mobilize would have needed to walk and carry their oxygen supply, and this would have affected the distance that they could walk outside. Pregnancy was excluded so as to not introduce confounding factors.

Patients were recruited during regular PAH clinics. Each patient participated for about 6 months. They performed 3 conventional
6MWTs at the clinic, one on the day of recruitment, one after 3 months and one after 6 months. The clinic 6MWT was performed while having the patient’s phone running the app in indoor mode. Patients were additionally asked to perform an app-supported outdoor 6MWT in their community within 3 days of the clinic.

Between clinics, patients were invited to perform one community-based 6MWT preferably every 15 days and not less than once per month. To improve compliance, patients who did not provide a community 6MWT within 1 month were reminded either by email or phone call. At the last clinic 6MWT, participants were given usability and acceptance questionnaires [17,18] to be completed either online or on paper. A number of patients were also interviewed depending on their and the staff availability. Paper-based answers were digitized by one team member. Interviews were performed by one team member and audiorecorded with explicit signed consent. The questions were based on a technology acceptance model [20]. Audio was transcribed by one team member who also analyzed the transcriptions.

In order to guarantee quality of the collected data, both in-clinic and community-based measurements were visually assessed using the web interface by an engineer and a physiologist on a weekly basis. Tests that showed evident artefacts or that patients did not comply with recommendations about how to perform the test (ie, when the walked path showed more than 3 very narrow curves or if the variation of altitude over the entire path was more than 20 m), were flagged as invalid and not included in the analysis (examples of invalid tests are provided in Multimedia Appendix 1). The data were extracted from the database used in the system (ArangoDB) and analyzed with the R programming language (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

The study protocol was approved by the National Health Service Health Research Authority (protocol reference number: 17/WM/0355) and registered at Oxford University Hospitals National Health Service Foundation Trust. The study was also registered at ClinicalTrials.gov [NCT04633538]. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Results**

**Participants and Tests**

We approached 33 patients; of these, 30 were eligible and consented to participate. Five patients withdrew from the study (3 were lost to follow-up, 1 died, and 1 considered the study not to be relevant any longer). Of the patients who started, 37% (11/30) were male and 63% (19/30) were female. Their mean age was 50 (SD 16.55; range 20-76) years. The first patient was enrolled on January 25, 2018, and the latest patient completed the study on September 7, 2019. Patients were actively enrolled in the study for a mean of 244.23 (SD 96.16; range 147-590) days.

In total, we received 455 test reports, of which 429 were considered valid according to our data quality procedures. Each patient performed 3 6MWTs in the clinic, 3 months apart from each other, using the app in indoor mode while the physiologist reported their observations (distance, oxygen saturation, Borg scale, symptoms) using the dedicated website or app. We received 81 test reports from the physiologist and 71 app-based tests in indoor mode. Between clinic visits, patients were asked to perform tests in the community using the app in outdoor mode. Between clinic visits, patients were asked to perform tests in the community using the app in outdoor mode. We received 277 such test results sent by 12 Android phones and 29 iPhones (9 patients used more than one phone during their participation in the study).

**Accuracy of the App 6MWT in Indoor Mode**

We compared 70 pairs of 6MWD, for which one was measured by a physiologist during the clinic 6MWT and the other was simultaneously computed by the app in indoor mode. The tests belong to 29 patients. To compare the two distances, we report the statistics in Table 1 and Bland-Altman plot in Figure 2.

To understand if the accuracy was affected by a systematic bias created by some phones (eg, because of different sensor characteristics), we plotted the absolute difference of the distance between the app-computed 6MWD and the reference value for each phone and each user in Figure 3.

### Table 1. Differences between a 6-minute walk test distance (6MWD) measured by physiologist and simultaneous 6MWD measured by the app in indoor mode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference (m), mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.6 (75.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (% of total length), mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.92 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (m), median (min-max)</td>
<td>2.65 (~209.8 to 339.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (% of total length), median (min-max)</td>
<td>0.65 (~58.93 to 81.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation (P value)</td>
<td>0.83 (&lt;.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of difference below 42 m (%)</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower and upper limits of agreement (m)</td>
<td>−133.35 to 162.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Bland-Altman plot of the difference between the 6-minute walk test distance as measured by the app in indoor mode and as observed by the physiologist during a 6-minute walk test in clinic. 6MWD: 6-minute walk test distance.

Figure 3. Absolute difference of the 6-minute walk test distance as measured by the physiologist and as measured by the mobile phone app in indoor mode. 6MWD: 6-minute walk test distance; 6MWT: 6-minute walk test.
Validity of Community-Based Outdoor 6MWD
We compared pairs of 6MWD estimates where one was the result of an observation done by a physiologist in a clinic and the other was measured by the app during an outdoor test performed within 7 days of the clinic test. We analyzed 69 pairs belonging to 22 patients. Statistics for the differences and Bland-Altman plots are provided in Table 2 and Figure 4, respectively.

Table 2. Differences between 69 pairs containing a 6-minute walk test distance (6MWD) estimated by a physiologist during a clinic and a 6MWD measured by the app in outdoor mode within 7 days of the clinic test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference (m), mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.45 (47.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (% of total length), mean (SD)</td>
<td>−0.18 (11.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (m), median (min-max)</td>
<td>−4.55 (−106.91 to 188.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (% of total length), median (min-max)</td>
<td>−0.83 (−26.35 to 34.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation, (P value)</td>
<td>0.89 (&lt;.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentages of difference below 42 m (%)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower and upper limits of agreement (m)</td>
<td>−89.67 to 94.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Bland-Altman plot of the difference between the 6-minute walk test distance as observed by the physiologist during a 6-minute walk test in clinic and as measured by the app in outdoor mode within 7 days of the clinic test. 6MWD: 6-minute walk test distance.

We visually inspected the GPS traces of the 3 cases where the absolute difference was above 100 m and observed that, in 2 of those cases, the patient walked in small circles or performed numerous U-turns, which affects the accuracy of the distance estimation algorithm [14].

Test-Retest Reliability of Community-Based 6MWT
The 6MWD estimates provided at the end of community-based outdoor 6MWTs were stable over time (examples shown in Figure 5). To quantify this, we analyzed 89 pairs of outdoor tests performed by the same patient no more than 7 days apart. These pairs belonged to 10 patients in total. Statistics of the differences are reported in Table 3 together with intraclass correlation coefficient and standard error of measurement.
Figure 5. Outdoor, community-based 6-minute walk test distances over time of the top 5 patients who contributed with the most 6-minute walk tests. 6MWD: 6-minute walk test distance.

![Outdoor 6MWD of top 5 patients with most data points](image)

Table 3. Differences between the 6-minute walk test distances (6MWDs) of 89 pairs of community-based 6MWDs measured by the app in outdoor mode no more than 7 days apart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference (m), mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.80 (36.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (% of total length), mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.72 (10.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (m), median (min-max)</td>
<td>0.95 (~91.69 to 107.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (% of total length), median (min-max)</td>
<td>0.20 (~25.64 to 35.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation, ($P$ value)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentages of difference below 42 m (%)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraclass correlation</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard error of measurement (m)</td>
<td>36.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation (%), mean</td>
<td>12.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We manually inspected the only pair of tests that presented a difference of 6MWD higher than 100 m and it appeared that in one of the tests, the user walked in a narrow path with U-turns.

Compliance, Usability, and Acceptance

All patients who completed the study sent the results of at least one community-based 6MWT during the study, and 48% (12/25) of those who completed the study provided at least 1 6MWT result per month. The distribution of the number of community-based 6MWTs performed per patient per month is shown in Figure 6.
A total of 18 patients completed the usability and acceptance questionnaire. Likert scales to rate usability from 1 (very negative opinion) to 5 (very positive opinion) were all marked 3 and above. Also, the perceived impact of the app scored highly, with all average scores above 3. The answers provided to the acceptance questionnaire were above 3 on average except the question related to the perceived vulnerability construct (“I do not think my doctor understands how I feel doing my daily activities”). The exact wording of the questions and statistics of the answers are provided in Multimedia Appendix 2.

The questionnaire concluded with open questions about negative and positive aspects of the app and possible improvements. Answers with similar topics were grouped by one researcher and summarized.

Patients were happy with the app because it is easy to use (9/18), allows patients to perform the test within their community instead of having to go to the hospital (4/18), indicates the fitness level and oxygen saturation (4/18), and invites patients to walk regularly (1/18).

Reasons for concern were that it sometimes takes too long or fails to send the data (4/18), some elements of the user interface (eg, how to cancel a test) are not clear (2/18), patients forget to use it (1/18), log-in procedure is complicated (1/18), if a test fails it must be repeated (1/18), user interface could be more colorful and less medical (1/18), it does not accurately compute steps (1/18), pulse oximeter does not work correctly in cold weather (1/18), and some patients find it hard to walk for 6 minutes (1/18).

As for improvements, patients suggested providing charts about pulse oximetry on the app (3/18), integrate a wearable device (1/18), improve the feedback on incorrect log-in (1/18), make the detection of GPS satellites faster (1/18), improve user interface (1/18), and give the possibility of shortening the time of the test (1/18).

We interviewed 12 patients with a semistructured format (questions in Multimedia Appendix 3) on their last day in the study. Both notes taken during the interviews and transcripts were later analyzed by one researcher who grouped similar topics and summarized the feedback.

Motivating factors for using the app were self-monitor health status (7/12), pushing oneself to walk more (2/12), wanting to help research (2/12) and the feeling of being monitored by caregivers (1/12). In order to increase use, 1 patient suggested including reminders. The app was seen as useful (7/12), easy to use (3/12) and allowing better 6MWT than in the clinic because of not having to turn around (1/12).

Factors that contributed to not using the app were lack of time (3/12), weather (either too cold or too warm; 3/12), laziness (1/12), concerns about privacy (ie, not wanting to be seen walking holding the phone and pulse oximeter; 1/12), or lack of interest because of improved health conditions (1/12). Technical issues were reported about the app being too slow sending data (5/12), short battery life of the pulse oximeter (2/12), or inaccuracy compared to distance reported on Google Maps (1/12). Patients explicitly reported the app or technology not being an issue for motivation (1/12) nor concerns about safety (8/12) or data protection (7/12).
Most patients felt the app made them feel more connected with the caregiver who could review the data (10/12), and some would even trust it to substitute their periodic appointment with the doctor (5/12). In terms of suggestions, patients thought they wanted to have more comprehensive data about past tests (eg, pulse oximetry; 3/12), integration with fitness trackers and apps (3/12), reminders (2/12), and a simpler Borg scale (1/12). More than half of the patients (7/12) indicated they would be willing to use the app after the end of the study.

**Reliability of Pulse Oximetry**

While monitoring data quality in the study, we observed that in 33.6% (130/387) of tests where pulse oximetry was recorded, the pulse oximeter presented a peripheral oxygen saturation ($\text{SpO}_2$) value higher 3 minutes after the start of the test than at baseline. Likewise, 28.2% (109/387) of tests showed a decrease in the heart rate (HR) after 3 minutes with respect to the baseline. In order to explain this unexpected behavior, we analyzed the traces of $\text{SpO}_2$ and HR for some of these tests (an example of such a test is shown in Figure 7). We hypothesized that this behavior was due to how the pulse oximeters were used; therefore, during clinic 6MWTs, we began collecting pulse oximetry from both the patient app, which uses the PC-68B, and the physiologist app, which can connect to the WristOx2 3150. In addition, we asked one patient to run the app on two independent phones when doing community-based 6MWTs, each phone using one of the two types of pulse oximeters.

With this strategy, we retrieved pairs of pulse oximetry samples ($\text{SpO}_2$ and HR) from 38 tests. Samples with the shortest time differences (5 seconds or less) were matched and interpolated, thereby obtaining 19,279 matched samples to be compared. Figure 8 shows the Bland-Altman plot. The lower and upper limits of agreement are $-8.72\%$ and $9.73\%$ for $\text{SpO}_2$ and $-33.87$ and $26.97$ bpm for HR.

**Figure 7.** $\text{SpO}_2$ and heart rate for a test where the $\text{SpO}_2$ increases during exertion and the heart rate decreases. $\text{SpO}_2$: peripheral oxygen saturation.
Discussion

Accuracy of the App 6MWT in Indoor Mode
Compared to the lab tests of the same app reported in Salvi et al [14], the tests with patients’ phones showed higher variability in the differences between the distance measured by the physiologist and the distance measured by the phone (−2.01 [SD 7.84] m in lab tests vs 14.6 [SD 75.48] m in our study). The distribution of error by phone does not suggest any systematic bias in some phones; therefore, the inaccuracy must be due to specific test conditions that are not well tolerated by the distance estimation algorithm.

The Bland-Altman analysis shows that the limits of agreement (−133.35 and 162.55 m) are above the clinically significant threshold of 42 m; therefore, the app-based indoor measurement cannot be considered equivalent to the physiologist observation. Nonetheless, the analysis also shows that in 83% of the measurements the difference was below that threshold, which suggests that the algorithm fails sporadically but when it does, it introduces a high error, thus skewing the statistics.

Validity of Community-Based Outdoor 6MWD
Our results show that the community-based, outdoor 6MWT correlated highly with the 6MWT performed in the clinic. This is similar to what is reported by Brooks et al [13] where a similar app is used (our 0.89 correlation coefficient vs their 0.88), although, in Brooks et al [13], a lower standard deviation of the difference is reported (26 m vs our 47 m).

While the high correlation confirms the general validity of the approach, Bland-Altman analysis shows that high differences can exist between clinic- and community-based measurements. These must be taken into account when interpreting the measured 6MWD, especially because the standard deviation of the difference was 47 m, which is above the clinically significant threshold. These differences can be explained by a combination of three factors: (1) inaccuracy of the technology: lab tests show that this amounts to up to 20 m of the standard deviation [14]; (2) variations in 6MWD when the test is performed on different days: analysis of conventional 6MWT in PAH shows a standard deviation of the difference of about 20 m [7,21]; and (3) some tests performed without following the instructions may have

Figure 8. Bland-Altman plots of the differences between 19,356 matched samples of SpO₂ and heart rate values measured simultaneously by two different pulse oximeters during 38 6-minute walk tests (6MWTs). SpO₂: peripheral oxygen saturation.
Test-Retest Reliability of Community-Based 6MWT

Consecutive tests performed within 7 days showed a high correlation (0.93). Compared to a similar app [13], we obtained a higher coefficient of variation (our 12.45% vs their 4.6%). Compared to the test-retest reliability of the GPS-method reported by Wevers et al [10], we obtained a similarly high intraclass correlation (our 0.91 vs their 0.96) but a higher standard error of measurement (our 36.97 m vs their 18.1 m). The standard deviation of the measurement error of the app as calculated in lab settings, 18.56 m [14], indicates that part of the standard error of measurement within consecutive measurements can be justified by the inaccuracy of the instrument. Nonetheless, it has to be noted that this value is lower than the clinically significant threshold of 42 m, as about 80% of the differences were below that threshold.

Compliance, Usability, and Acceptance

While 100% of patients were able to use the app at least once during the 6-month duration of the study, only 48% followed the recommendation to perform 1 or more community-based 6MWTs per month. Low compliance is a known issue in telehealth studies [22]. As interviews revealed, low compliance in our cohort was due to lack of time or motivation or patients forgetting to use the app. It should be observed, however, that compliance varied significantly from patients who performed only one community-based test in the whole study to patients who performed more than 7 tests per month.

A possible explanation for low motivation in some patients could be the low perceived vulnerability (ie, patients feeling that they do not need further monitoring/follow-up in addition to regular clinics). This is particularly relevant within the context of this study as patients were informed that the study investigator (a cardiologist) would not use the collected data for any clinical decision making. Other external factors like weather conditions or not wanting to be seen using the app and the pulse oximeter may have also contributed.

The results of the questionnaire and the interviews show that the app was easy to use and well accepted. This is in agreement with other similar apps, like the one reported in Brooks et al [13]. In addition, the app was perceived as safe, secure (from the data protection perspective), and useful. Patients particularly appreciated the knowledge of their health being monitored either by themselves or the clinicians. A few usability and technical issues related to lack of control for some parts of the test (eg, difficulty in restarting the test) or data transmission (too slow and lacking feedback about connection status) were identified. However, these technical issues were not reported as a barrier for the use of the app.

In terms of improvements, patients suggested including reminders of scheduled tests, which could also boost compliance, integrating the app with other fitness apps and wearables, and providing more detailed data, especially about past tests.

Reliability of Pulse Oximetry

The inaccuracy of pulse oximetry during walking is known in the literature [23-25] and may be due to arterial flow being significantly affected by motion. The two pulse oximeters employed in our studies were each certified as medical devices, and they each showed decreasing HR and increasing SpO₂ at times during walking. Comparison of the data produced by the devices suggests that their values differed significantly (−8.72% and 9.73% for SpO₂ and −33.87 and 26.97 bpm for HR), which is above what would be justified by the confidence interval reported by the manufacturers (±3 for both SpO₂ and HR). We could not determine which of the devices was more accurate.

Principal Findings

The main findings of this study are that, while the app-based indoor 6MWTs cannot be considered equivalent to the conventional one, the outdoor, community-based 6MWT measurements are strongly correlated with those performed in clinic and are repeatable. These community-based tests can be considered as a valid complement to conventional 6MWTs especially because they can be performed more frequently and at the patient’s convenience, but patients must be instructed to use the app correctly to avoid inaccuracies.

Results also show that even if the app has proven to be usable and well accepted, its usefulness should be made explicit to patients to increase their engagement. Additionally, the use of conventional pulse oximetry should not be relied on in a 6MWT, at least during the walking stage.

Implications for Future Research

Future versions of this system would benefit from technical improvements. The insufficient accuracy shown in the indoor scenario suggests that new algorithmic approaches should be explored to make the measurement more robust. Algorithms used for dead-reckoning of pedestrians may offer a valid strategy [26]. In addition, the algorithm used for the outdoor scenario is penalized when the user walks over narrow or curved paths. Data fusion techniques combining GPS and dead-reckoning could possibly improve accuracy in those nonideal conditions. Further data analysis could be performed to understand if oxygen saturation measurements from different pulse oximeters converge when patients are not moving. It is likely that the measurements are more reliable at least before the start and after the end of the test. If pulse oximetry during the test is desirable, motion-resistant pulse oximeters may provide a reliable solution for this scenario [27]. Compliance to the testing regime could be boosted by simple techniques like reminders. The 6MWD could be linked or complemented with data collected by wearables and fitness trackers, which may provide a less obtrusive way of measuring exercise capacity.

In terms of clinical significance, longitudinal studies in which the data collected by the app are used in clinical practice are needed to understand the usefulness of these tests. For example, could remote monitoring improve follow-up and support titration of medications? We also expect that clinical use of the app
would affect compliance. How would patients behave when they know their use of the app could lead to different health outcomes?

Limitations
This pilot study involved 30 patients and was not aimed at obtaining statistical significance; larger cohorts would be needed to confirm the results. It is also important to observe that our manual data quality assurance strategy was not always consistent and that an unestimated number of outdoor tests performed in the wrong conditions were included in the statistics.

Conclusions
Our app-based outdoor 6MWT in community settings is valid, repeatable, and well accepted by patients. Its use could complement or potentially substitute conventional 6MWTS in clinics. The same app, however, is not accurate enough for clinical use when used indoors.
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Abstract

Background: Self-report assessments for elderly drivers are used in various countries for accessible, widespread self-monitoring of driving ability in the elderly population. Likewise, in South Korea, a paper-based Self-Report Assessment for Elderly Driving Risk (SAFE-DR) has been developed. Here, we implemented the SAFE-DR through an Android app, which provides the advantages of accessibility, convenience, and provision of diverse information, and verified its reliability and validity.

Objective: This study tested the validity and reliability of a mobile app-based version of a self-report assessment for elderly persons contextualized to the South Korean culture and compared it with a paper-based test.

Methods: In this mixed methods study, we recruited and interviewed 567 elderly drivers (aged 65 years and older) between August 2018 and May 2019. For participants who provided consent, the app-based test was repeated after 2 weeks and an additional paper-based test (Driver 65 Plus test) was administered. Using the collected data, we analyzed the reliability and validity of the app-based SAFE-DR. The internal consistency of provisional items in each subdomain of the SAFE-DR and the test-retest stability were analyzed to examine reliability. Exploratory factor analysis was performed to examine the validity of the subdomain configuration. To verify the appropriateness of using an app-based test for older drivers possibly unfamiliar with mobile technology, the correlation between the results of the SAFE-DR app and the paper-based offline test was also analyzed.

Results: In the reliability analysis, Cronbach \( \alpha \) for all items was 0.975 and the correlation of each item with the overall score ranged from \( r=0.520 \) to \( r=0.823 \); 4 items with low correlations were removed from each of the subdomains. In the retest after 2 weeks, the mean correlation coefficient across all items was \( r=0.951 \), showing very high reliability. Exploratory factor analysis on 40 of the 44 items established 5 subdomains: on-road (8 items), coping (16 items), cognitive functions (5 items), general conditions (8 items), and medical health (3 items). A very strong negative correlation of \(-0.864\) was observed between the total score for the app-based SAFE-DR and the paper-based Driver 65 Plus with decorrelation scales. The app-based test was found to be reliable.

Conclusions: In this study, we developed an app-based self-report assessment tool for elderly drivers and tested its reliability and validity. This app can help elderly individuals easily assess their own driving skills. Therefore, this assessment can be used to educate drivers and for preventive screening for elderly drivers who want to renew their driver’s licenses in South Korea. In addition, the app can contribute to safe driving among elderly drivers.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e25310) doi:10.2196/25310
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Introduction

Driving increases the autonomy of elderly individuals, which helps them to participate in activities in the local community and at home [1]. Moreover, elderly drivers who have higher independence and community participation show higher life satisfaction [2]; however, aging is accompanied by factors that impede safe driving, such as perceptual, motor, and cognitive impairments [3,4]. Due to health decline, elderly drivers have much higher accident rates than all other age groups and are more likely to suffer severe injury and death in the event of an accident [5,6]. Therefore, it is becoming increasingly important to develop ways of testing their health status and assessing their suitability for driving [7].

Elderly drivers have the self-control to reflect on their driving ability and prevent driving risk themselves [8]. They generally tend to avoid dangerous driving situations, such as long-duration driving, high-speed driving, and uncomfortable driving situations such as driving at night or in the rain [9]. Using these characteristics of elderly drivers, continuing research is being conducted to develop various self-report assessments and test their correlation with driving risks [10].

Self-report assessment plays an important role in elderly drivers’ decision-making on the continuation of driving and also presents the following advantages: individuals can test their status using a relatively simple method, the assessment can be distributed to a broad population online, and it provides rapid feedback [11]. Existing self-report assessments for elderly drivers were previously only available as paper-based tests; they are now available on CD-ROM [12] and via websites [13]. As such, self-assessment services for elderly drivers are shifting away from the conventional method of paper-based testing to a more efficient way that fits social reality, and the new service modalities should be able to provide older drivers with easier access and faster feedback.

Recently, numerous mobile-based health care apps have been developed to aid health care across a wide population [14]. Although the elderly population may have restricted access to mobile-based services, this is outweighed by various benefits, such as diverse access to information, convenience, and reduced social isolation [15]. Mobile-based services for self-report assessments for elderly drivers have not been attempted so far because elderly persons are not familiar with the mobile environment. Nevertheless, according to the mobile technology acceptance model for the elderly aged 65 years and older, they are strongly influenced by surrounding reference social groups (children and relatives) and do not show major differences from other age groups in motivation to access mobile devices [16]. In other words, even though elderly drivers may experience some difficulties performing mobile-based self-report assessments, it is important to investigate the usability of new methods that provide easier access and feedback.

In the United States, Austria, and most European countries, screening policies based on driving self-assessments are widely used for driver’s license renewals for the elderly population; assessments include the Driving Decisions Workbook, Older Driver’s Self-Assessment Questionnaire, Driving Safely While Aging Gracefully, Safe Driving Behavior Measure, and Driver 65 Plus, among others [17-20]. South Korea also has a traffic safety policy that shortens the length of license renewal and provides professional evaluations for elderly drivers [21]. Within these policies, a means of rapidly screening the driving risk for a wide range of elderly people is needed.

Patterns of driving behavior vary depending on sociocultural characteristics, and elderly drivers’ driving abilities are strongly influenced by perceptual ability and culture [22]. Therefore, it is necessary to thoroughly examine the reliability and validity of self-report assessments in which elderly drivers examine their own status within the corresponding sociocultural environment. To this end, self-report assessments have been developed to suit the characteristics of various countries, such as the United States, Canada, and Australia [11,23]. Likewise, the Self-Report Assessment For Elderly Driving Risk (SAFE-DR) was developed as a self-report assessment for elderly drivers suited to the cultural characteristics of South Korea (Multimedia Appendix 1) [24].

With the above evidence, the purpose of this study was to develop and test a mobile version of a self-report assessment app for elderly persons contextualized to the South Korean culture. We then tested its validity and reliability by comparing its results to that of an established, validated, paper-based test.

Methods

Study Design

This was a mixed methods study that included a qualitative exploratory method of a focus group to develop a mobile-based version of the SAFE-DR and a cross-sectional survey conducted among elderly South Korean drivers to assess the validity and reliability of a self-administered Android-based mobile app in assessing their driving risk. Before starting the study, the entire protocol was approved by the Kwangju Women’s University Institutional Review Board (No. 1041485-201709-HR-001-29). All participants received and understood an oral and written explanation of the entire study and provided written informed consent to participate.

Procedure

This study constituted three phases: (1) development and implementation of the SAFE-DR app, (2) testing of the reliability and validity of the SAFE-DR app, and (3) analysis of the correlation between the SAFE-DR app and a paper-based self-report assessment for elderly drivers.

Phase One: Implementing the SAFE-DR App

App Configuration

To implement the SAFE-DR as an Android app, we used the qualitative exploratory method of focus group interactions [25]. The focus group consisted of 2 app developers and 3 professors specializing in elderly driving rehabilitation. The investigators in this study directed communications within the focus group; the professors specializing in elderly driving rehabilitation composed app scenarios, and the 2 app developers used the Android Studio to produce a pilot file based on these scenarios. App scenarios consisted of using functional and timing point
of views to describe or visualize interactions between users and mobile systems, and app developers anticipated use episodes before coding into computer languages. Elderly driving experts discussed scenario visualization, content application on a page, score expression as an evaluative result, and explanations to users about items with low scores. The scenario, including the contents discussed and the message sequence chart, was written using the Excel 2016 program (Microsoft Corp) for each app page. Based on this scenario, the app development specialists used a programming language (Java) to construct the app. Next, the whole group participated in a process of qualitative communication to finalize the function and design of the app from the users’ perspective. The app was designed in 4 sections: explanation of evaluation, guidance, and consent to use; data entry of personal and driving characteristics; performance of test through items of each subdomain; and presentation of test results. The test can be completed in about 20 minutes; the app analyzes the subject’s response to each item (based on a 3-point scale) to provide information on the user’s driving ability. It further cautions the driver to be careful or see an expert for a driving ability test. Finally, an app administration website was created for data management.

SAFE-DR

The SAFE-DR was developed by SYC to reflect the characteristics of elderly drivers in South Korea [24]. Via a Delphi survey, a 28-person panel selected 44 assessment items and, after analysis of offline reliability and validity, 38 items were included in the final instrument, with subdomains of on-road, coping, and health. Each item was measured on a 3-point Likert scale of agree, disagree, and strongly disagree. The reliability coefficients for all items (on-road, coping, and health subdomains) were 0.906, 0.921, and 0.913, respectively. The instrument demonstrated content, construct, and predictive validity. In the implementation of the app version of the test in this study, we used the 44 items that showed content validity in the paper-based SAFE-DR, collected data from elderly drivers, and assessed the test items. The app provides information to elderly drivers on any reduction in driving abilities and whether they should discuss their abilities with a driving expert. Based on this information, elderly drivers will be able to make decisions as to whether they should seek expert evaluation or exercise caution in situations to practice safe driving.

Phase Two: Testing the Reliability and Validity of the SAFE-DR App

Testing the Reliability and Validity

Construct validity was analyzed to ensure that the hypotheses for the subdomain configuration of SAFE-DR developed offline were consistent with the actual app-based assessment. The reliability of the configuration items for each subarea was analyzed to select items that were inconsistent. To analyze test-retest reliability, the SAFE-DR app was readministered 2 weeks later to the 81 participants who consented to the retest.

Participants and Data Collection

Data were collected between August 2018 and May 2019 through the SAFE-DR app made by the focus group and through one-to-one interviews with elderly drivers. Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the focus group. The focus group developed the app by discussing the topics of organizing app scenarios (function, point of view, interaction, and visualization) and organizing elderly driver assessment content (expression of evaluation content, items, and results).

Table 1. General characteristics of the focus group (n=5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>42.60 (9.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-40, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-45, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-50, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-55, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area of expertise, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App development</td>
<td>2 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly driving rehabilitation</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experience with app development or elderly driving rehabilitation (years), n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>3 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Since it is difficult to specify the population of elderly drivers in South Korea, we used convenience sampling and snowball sampling. After obtaining consent from 3 public health centers and 3 general hospitals in Seoul, Gyeonggi-do, and Gwangju, which have large elderly dynamic populations, we installed testing booths and advertised the study to recruit elderly drivers. In addition, we recruited further participants by advertising by word of mouth through the elderly drivers who had taken the test. All individuals who participated in the study received written and verbal guidance for the entire research process and provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

Participants were enrolled between August 2018 and May 2019, and a total of 567 elderly drivers participated in the study. All participants were interviewed to check general and driving-related characteristics; they completed the SAFE-DR assessment themselves using the developed Android app. In addition, participants who consented to further testing completed an offline self-report assessment using the Driver 65 Plus. Individuals met the inclusion criteria if they were individuals aged 65 years and over who possessed a driving license and had at least 1 year of driving experience and whose cognitive and verbal abilities imposed no restrictions on completing the app-based self-report assessment. Based on the inclusion criteria, of the 567 participants, 51 persons were excluded because they were under 65 years of age or provided incomplete responses to the self-report assessment. The remaining 516 participants were included in the final analysis.

Phase Three: Analyzing the Correlation Between the SAFE-DR App and a Paper-Based Self-Report Assessment for Elderly Drivers (Driver 65 Plus Test)

Correlation Between App and Paper-Based Assessment

The third phase was to test the validity of the assessment results of the elderly drivers, who may not be familiar with mobile apps, by analyzing the correlation between the results of the SAFE-DR app and a paper-based offline test. Among the elderly drivers who used the SAFE-DR app, those who consented to further testing were asked to complete the Korean version of the Driver 65 Plus test, which is one of the most widely used offline self-report assessments for elderly drivers. The correlation between the SAFE-DR app and paper-based Driver 65 Plus results was analyzed to verify consistency between the results of the offline and online tests. In the Driver 65 Plus test, lower scores indicated a more positive result, whereas in the SAFE-DR test, higher scores indicated a more positive result. Therefore, we expected to observe a negative value for the correlation coefficient between the two tests.

Driver 65 Plus

This test was developed by the American Automobile Association based on the Safety Research and Education Project of the Teacher’s College of Columbia University [26]. A feature of this test is that the scoring scale for each item is presented differently depending on the content such that elderly drivers cannot predict the question’s intentions. The Korean Driver 65 Plus has shown test-retest reliability of .95 and construct and concurrent validity [27].

Statistical Analysis

For data analysis, we used PASW Statistics (version 18.0, IBM Corp). Participant characteristics were analyzed using the descriptive statistics of mean, frequency, and percentage. Cronbach α and the correlation among all items were analyzed to examine the internal consistency of provisional items in each subdomain of the SAFE-DR and the stability of a retest after 2 weeks.

Exploratory factor analysis was performed to classify the subdomains of SAFE-DR. For exploratory factor analysis, we used principal component analysis, selecting factors with an initial eigenvalue ≥1.0, and used a varimax rotation. To interpret the results, we checked whether the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin value in Bartlett test of sphericity was ≥0.8, that the factor loading of each item was ≥0.4, and that the eigenvalue of each factor was ≥1.0. Although a factor loading ≥0.5 for each item is ideal, given that this was a sociological study, we interpreted the results using a criterion of 0.4 [28,29]. Pearson correlation analysis was used to estimate the correlation coefficients for each item in test-retest reliability and to estimate the correlation between the paper-based self-report assessment results and the app-based self-report assessment results.

Results

General Characteristics of Participants

Table 2 shows the general characteristics of the elderly drivers who participated in this study. Of the elderly drivers, 79.7% (411/516) were male and 20.3% (105/516) were female, while the mean age was 72.03 years. A total of 45% (232/516) were taking regular medication for hypertension (187/516, 80.6%), diabetes (25/516, 10.8%), hyperlipidemia (11/516, 4.7%), and prostate disorders (6/516, 2.6%); however, 3 drivers (3/516, 1.3%) were also taking antiepileptic medication, which could affect driving. The automobile types most commonly used by the elderly drivers were sport utility vehicles (168/516, 32.6%), midsize sedans (144/516, 27.9%), and compact cars (114/516, 22.1%). The mean driving time of the 516 participants was 22.75 years, the average driving time per week for the 479 currently driving drivers was 4.49 hours, and the average driving cessation period for the 37 participants who were not currently driving was 1.78 years. A total of 4.4% (21/516) had experienced driving accidents during the last 3 months, of which the majority (13/516, 2.5%) experienced a collision due to their own fault.
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Table 2. General characteristics of the elderly drivers (n=516).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>411 (79.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>105 (20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-69, n (%)</td>
<td>192 (37.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79, n (%)</td>
<td>269 (52.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80, n (%)</td>
<td>55 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regular medicine, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>232 (45.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>187 (80.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>25 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>11 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate problems</td>
<td>6 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiepileptic</td>
<td>3 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>284 (55.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Automobile type, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact car</td>
<td>114 (22.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsize sedan</td>
<td>144 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport utility vehicle</td>
<td>168 (32.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large size sedan</td>
<td>35 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business vehicle</td>
<td>3 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>52 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Currently driving</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, n (%)</td>
<td>479 (92.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total driving time per week (hours), mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.37 (2.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, n (%)</td>
<td>37 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Driving accident in the past 3 months, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual accident</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor accident (by me)</td>
<td>3 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor accident (by others)</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collisions (by me)</td>
<td>13 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collisions (by others)</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>495 (95.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Internal Consistency**

To analyze the internal consistency of the SAFE-DR app, we analyzed Cronbach α and the correlation of each item with the overall score. For all items, Cronbach α=.975, and the correlation of each item with the overall score ranged from $r=.520$ to $r=.823$, meaning that all items showed a correlation of at least .50 (Table 3).
Table 3. Correlation of individual items to the total Self-Report Assessment for Elderly Driving Risk score and test-retest reliability (n=516).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-subarea and item number</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Item to total correlation</th>
<th>Cronbach α</th>
<th>When item is deleted</th>
<th>Test-retest&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (n=101)</th>
<th>Total&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>On-road</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.44 (.664)</td>
<td>.418</td>
<td>.924</td>
<td>.932</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.35 (.721)</td>
<td>.556</td>
<td>.919</td>
<td>.965</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.35 (.736)</td>
<td>.709</td>
<td>.913</td>
<td>.963</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.09 (.806)</td>
<td>.738</td>
<td>.912</td>
<td>.961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.10 (.823)</td>
<td>.771</td>
<td>.910</td>
<td>.965</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.19 (.781)</td>
<td>.804</td>
<td>.909</td>
<td>.933</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.40 (.688)</td>
<td>.771</td>
<td>.911</td>
<td>.941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.41 (.672)</td>
<td>.801</td>
<td>.910</td>
<td>.944</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.27 (.764)</td>
<td>.642</td>
<td>.916</td>
<td>.947</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.27 (.780)</td>
<td>.703</td>
<td>.913</td>
<td>.925</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.30 (.729)</td>
<td>.756</td>
<td>.911</td>
<td>.947</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.20 (.739)</td>
<td>.413</td>
<td>.925</td>
<td>.936</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coping</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.34 (.679)</td>
<td>.509</td>
<td>.947</td>
<td>.976</td>
<td></td>
<td>.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.48 (.628)</td>
<td>.614</td>
<td>.945</td>
<td>.951</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.26 (.732)</td>
<td>.687</td>
<td>.943</td>
<td>.930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.30 (.732)</td>
<td>.739</td>
<td>.942</td>
<td>.960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.25 (.722)</td>
<td>.762</td>
<td>.942</td>
<td>.940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.38 (.678)</td>
<td>.551</td>
<td>.946</td>
<td>.919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.21 (.762)</td>
<td>.646</td>
<td>.944</td>
<td>.980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.26 (.726)</td>
<td>.756</td>
<td>.942</td>
<td>.966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.34 (.672)</td>
<td>.772</td>
<td>.942</td>
<td>.918</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.08 (.793)</td>
<td>.685</td>
<td>.944</td>
<td>.936</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.25 (.742)</td>
<td>.799</td>
<td>.941</td>
<td>.961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.38 (.667)</td>
<td>.782</td>
<td>.942</td>
<td>.945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.19 (.784)</td>
<td>.733</td>
<td>.942</td>
<td>.954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.23 (.751)</td>
<td>.792</td>
<td>.941</td>
<td>.957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.21 (.783)</td>
<td>.722</td>
<td>.943</td>
<td>.952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.25 (.758)</td>
<td>.714</td>
<td>.943</td>
<td>.980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.23 (.775)</td>
<td>.629</td>
<td>.934</td>
<td>.979</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.20 (.753)</td>
<td>.714</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>.970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.23 (.726)</td>
<td>.680</td>
<td>.932</td>
<td>.941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.29 (.718)</td>
<td>.712</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>.982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.41 (.658)</td>
<td>.715</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>.974</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.04 (.777)</td>
<td>.629</td>
<td>.934</td>
<td>.942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.23 (.730)</td>
<td>.666</td>
<td>.933</td>
<td>.981</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.14 (.769)</td>
<td>.691</td>
<td>.932</td>
<td>.948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.99 (.809)</td>
<td>.682</td>
<td>.932</td>
<td>.945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Construct Validity

In the exploratory factor analysis, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin value was 0.963, which was ≥0.8 and close to 1, and the eigenvalues of each factor were ≥1.0, which was independently sufficient to form subdomains. The result of Bartlett test of sphericity, which indicates the suitability of the total data in the factor model, was statistically significant ($P<.001$, chi-square: 17,265.9; Table 4).

---

### Table 4: Pre-subarea and item number, Mean (SD), Item to total correlation, Cronbach α, Test-retest when item is deleted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-subarea and item number</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Item to total correlation</th>
<th>Cronbach α</th>
<th>Total&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>When item is deleted</td>
<td>Test-retest&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (n=101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.03 (.795)</td>
<td>.721</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.24 (.754)</td>
<td>.750</td>
<td>.930</td>
<td>.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.22 (.741)</td>
<td>.708</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.28 (.739)</td>
<td>.738</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.59 (.585)</td>
<td>.551</td>
<td>.935</td>
<td>.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.54 (.633)</td>
<td>.604</td>
<td>.934</td>
<td>.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.67 (.520)</td>
<td>.509</td>
<td>.936</td>
<td>.898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Total Cronbach α=.975.  
<sup>b</sup>Mean of the total test-retest correlations, $r=.951$.  
<sup>c</sup>Not applicable.

For each of the subdomains defined when the SAFE-DR app was constructed, any item that increased Cronbach α when removed was excluded from the assessment; 5 items showed either increased or equal reliability when removed. For items that showed the same reliability, we made decisions regarding deletion based on subdomain composition in the factor analysis. As a result of this process, we decided to remove items 1, 12, 13, and 18.
Table 4. Exploratory factor analysis for Self-Report Assessment for Elderly Driving Risk subdomains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final subarea and item number</th>
<th>Factor 1</th>
<th>Factor 2</th>
<th>Factor 3</th>
<th>Factor 4</th>
<th>Factor 5</th>
<th>Communality summary loading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–.028</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>.692</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>.260</td>
<td>.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.153</td>
<td>.719</td>
<td>.240</td>
<td>.229</td>
<td>.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.420</td>
<td>.587</td>
<td>.438</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.377</td>
<td>.477</td>
<td>.574</td>
<td>.131</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>.392</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.652</td>
<td>.149</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>.384</td>
<td>.170</td>
<td>.639</td>
<td>.306</td>
<td>.211</td>
<td>.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>.331</td>
<td>.426</td>
<td>.510</td>
<td>–.033</td>
<td>.177</td>
<td>.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>.560</td>
<td>.237</td>
<td>.426</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>.145</td>
<td>.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>.542</td>
<td>.346</td>
<td>.393</td>
<td>.190</td>
<td>.267</td>
<td>.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>.405</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.330</td>
<td>.187</td>
<td>.514</td>
<td>.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>.536</td>
<td>.222</td>
<td>.396</td>
<td>.146</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>.537</td>
<td>.239</td>
<td>.513</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>.211</td>
<td>.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>.513</td>
<td>.422</td>
<td>.351</td>
<td>.247</td>
<td>.176</td>
<td>.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>.527</td>
<td>.388</td>
<td>.105</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>.250</td>
<td>.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>.656</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td>.161</td>
<td>.289</td>
<td>.177</td>
<td>.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>.564</td>
<td>.294</td>
<td>.333</td>
<td>.280</td>
<td>.227</td>
<td>.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>.465</td>
<td>.604</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>.083</td>
<td>.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>.600</td>
<td>.399</td>
<td>.253</td>
<td>.307</td>
<td>.163</td>
<td>.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>.540</td>
<td>.329</td>
<td>.301</td>
<td>.366</td>
<td>.208</td>
<td>.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>.662</td>
<td>.307</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td>.172</td>
<td>.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>.649</td>
<td>.443</td>
<td>.223</td>
<td>.190</td>
<td>.157</td>
<td>.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>.682</td>
<td>.370</td>
<td>.159</td>
<td>.175</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>.531</td>
<td>.271</td>
<td>.342</td>
<td>.401</td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>.157</td>
<td>.126</td>
<td>.366</td>
<td>.737</td>
<td>.156</td>
<td>.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>.447</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>.337</td>
<td>.505</td>
<td>.122</td>
<td>.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>.265</td>
<td>.251</td>
<td>.139</td>
<td>.731</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>.231</td>
<td>.280</td>
<td>.251</td>
<td>.689</td>
<td>.199</td>
<td>.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>.311</td>
<td>.242</td>
<td>.140</td>
<td>.602</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>.220</td>
<td>.659</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>.193</td>
<td>.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>.202</td>
<td>.596</td>
<td>.204</td>
<td>.287</td>
<td>.217</td>
<td>.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>.233</td>
<td>.703</td>
<td>.205</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.166</td>
<td>.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>.212</td>
<td>.799</td>
<td>.135</td>
<td>.181</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>.369</td>
<td>.681</td>
<td>.162</td>
<td>.254</td>
<td>.084</td>
<td>.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>.372</td>
<td>.516</td>
<td>.138</td>
<td>.478</td>
<td>.118</td>
<td>.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>.358</td>
<td>.476</td>
<td>.221</td>
<td>.370</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td>.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>.428</td>
<td>.551</td>
<td>.157</td>
<td>.231</td>
<td>.290</td>
<td>.649</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Factor Exploration

Although a factor loading $\geq 0.5$ for each item is ideal, given that this was a sociological study, we derived a solution that satisfies factor loading $\geq 0.4$. Considering the semantic units of individual items, we decided on a factor solution that did not disturb the semantic units of items included in the theoretically chosen subdomains of on-road, coping, and health. Therefore, during factor analysis, the input arrangement of items was used instead of ordering the items by factor component size. As a result, in the final factor matrix, the initial 3 putative subdomains were divided into 5 subdomains. The on-road and coping subdomains maintained their theoretical arrangements, whereas the items included in the theoretical health subdomain were divided into 5 subdomains. Reviewing the semantic content of the grouped items, we defined items 29-33 as the cognitive functions subdomain, items 34-41 as the general conditions subdomain, and items 42-44 as the medical health subdomain (Table 3).

Stability

To analyze measurement stability using the SAFE-DR app, we retested the app using the same test after 2 weeks. We analyzed the correlation between initial test results and retest results, and the correlation coefficient ranged from $r=0.898$ to $r=0.982$. The mean correlation coefficient across all items was $r=0.951$ (Table 2).

Correlation Between App-based SAFE-DR and Driver 65 Plus

To investigate whether elderly drivers, who may not be accustomed to a mobile environment, showed similar trends in the results of the SAFE-DR app and that of the paper-based test, we analyzed the correlation between Driver 65 Plus scores and the total SAFE-DR score with the subdomains. The total SAFE-DR score showed a negative correlation of $-0.864$ with the Driver 65 Plus score; among subdomains, coping showed the strongest correlation ($-0.812$). A Pearson correlation coefficient $\geq 0.8$ indicates a very strong correlation. Meanwhile, the on-road, cognitive functions, and general conditions subdomains also showed strong correlations of $-0.768$, $-0.758$, and $-0.767$, respectively. The medical health subdomain showed a significant but moderate correlation of $-0.456$ (Table 5). Therefore, elderly drivers did not show especially different responses in the app-based method from the paper-based method.

Table 5. Correlation between Self-Report Assessment for Elderly Driving Risk and Driver 65 Plus (n=81).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Driver 65 Plus</th>
<th>App-based SAFE-DR$^a$</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-road</td>
<td>Coping</td>
<td>Cognitive functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver 65 Plus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>_b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-road</td>
<td>$-0.768$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping</td>
<td>$-0.812$</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive functions</td>
<td>$-0.758$</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General conditions</td>
<td>$-0.767$</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical health</td>
<td>$-0.456$</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$-0.864$</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Self-Report Assessment for Elderly Driving Risk.

$^b$Not applicable.

Final App for SAFE-DR

The final app for SAFE-DR is easily accessible through the Google Play app store. Examples of app screens are shown in Figures 1 and 2.
Figure 1. Title and information collection screenshot of the Android app: title page (left) and driver-related information such as gender, date of birth, residence, license, driving status, primary means of transportation, and accident during the past 3 months (right).

Figure 2. Screenshot of test results in the Android app. Displayed are subarea, total test results (classification of risk or safety in driving, graph of scores against reference points), and information requiring attention.
Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, we developed the SAFE-DR as an app-based self-report assessment for elderly drivers in South Korea. We tested the reliability and validity of the SAFE-DR in a mobile environment and demonstrated that the app-based testing method can be effectively used for elderly drivers.

For the development of the conventional paper-based SAFE-DR, 44 items were tested for content validity by an expert panel in a Delphi survey, and 38 items were selected in the subdomains of on-road, coping, and health. In the app-based SAFE-DR test in this study, 40 out of the 44 items were selected; items 9, 19, and 43 had been excluded from the paper-based test but were not excluded from the app-based test. In the factor analysis in our study, these items showed the lowest communality scores in their respective factors. In exploratory factor analysis, communality is a measure of how well each factor represents a given item [28]. Therefore, these 3 items can be interpreted as showing a relatively low correlation with other items in the same factor in the app-based test as well. Likewise, in the analysis of item reliability, these items tended to show lower reliability. When the paper-based test was developed, a sample of 339 participants was used, whereas, in our study, the sample size was 516 persons; reliability and validity analysis results are more likely to show higher values with a larger sample size [30]. Therefore, although the items showing low reliability and validity were similar between the paper-based and app-based tests, we can cautiously surmise that, due to the larger sample size, the results in our test had higher acceptance. The fact that the results of both the offline and online tests showed similar trends can be considered an important indicator in the development of the app-based test.

This study provides new findings as we implemented the SAFE-DR in an app, collected data, and verified its objectivity. Elderly drivers showed similar trends in the app-based test that were similar to using a paper-based, offline method. The results of the analysis showed a very strong negative correlation between the app-based SAFE-DR and the paper-based Driver 65 Plus; among subdomains of the SAFE-DR, medical health showed a moderate negative correlation and other subdomains showed strong negative correlations. These results suggest that the results of the app-based test have a linear relationship with the results of the paper-based test. Therefore, elderly drivers who may not be familiar with a mobile environment can use the app-based SAFE-DR without any major differences from the paper-based assessment. A study on the use of mobile-based services by elderly populations in various countries indicated that most elderly individuals are already prepared to use mobile-based services; their use reportedly improves independent living among the elderly [31]. Accordingly, it will be necessary to provide various services using a mobile environment such as apps for elderly drivers in Korea as well, including self-report assessments that can provide immediate and faster access to more information.

Some differences were observed between the paper-based test and our study’s app-based test in subdomain composition. We first hypothesized theoretical factors based on the 3 subdomains of the basic paper-based SAFE-DR, and from the performed exploratory factor analysis, 5 factors emerged. This is because, among the putative subdomains, the health subdomain was split into 3 subdomains; these subdomains’ items are related to fatigue during driving, driving-related cognition, visual perception, physical ability, and medical health status, including medication. The fact that health factors were further divided indicates that for the participants in our study the response to driving-related health status differed depending on specific health factors. Items 29-33, which were combined into one factor, were defined as the cognitive functions subdomain, as they shared content relating to memory, cognition, and geographical orientation. Items 34-41 were defined under the broad heading of general conditions, as they shared content on fatigue during driving, visual perception, auditory perception, physical fatigue, and flexibility. Finally, items 42-44 were defined as the medical health subdomain, as they showed content relating to medication, doctor consultations, epilepsy, and seizures.

The heterogeneity of digital literacy in the elderly population’s use of mobile phones prevents older people from accessing smartphones. In a study on attitudes regarding mobile phone use in the daily lives of the elderly, there are individual differences in accessibility to mobile phones. If they refused to use a mobile phone, they felt uncomfortable reading the text on the touch screen. However, there were individuals who learned through personal networks and user manuals and used mobile phones [32]. In this respect, we tried to improve the visibility for older adults in app-based SAFE-DR configurations. Specifically, we increased the font size, reduced the number of characters displayed per screen, and encouraged the use of scroll functions. In a society where single-person mobile phone use has become routine, the elderly, like the populations of other age groups, can no longer avoid the use of smartphones. Therefore, the elderly should continue to try to use mobile devices. In this study, there was a difference between the paper-based evaluation and the app-based evaluation, but it was not a critical issue and it can be modified through feedback from elderly users. The app is currently being distributed through Google Play and is available free of charge. The researcher will continue to maintain this service for elderly drivers and plans to supplement it through feedback from elderly users.

Comparison With Prior Work

Regarding the subdomain compositions of previous self-report assessments for elderly drivers, the Driving Decisions Workbook developed in the United Kingdom contains on-road, seeing, thinking, getting around, and health subdomains [33]; the Self-Awareness and Feedback for Responsible Driving developed by the US Department of Transportation contains seeing, thinking, and getting around subdomains [13], and the Royal Automobile Club of Queensland Older Drivers’ Self-Assessment Questionnaire developed by the Australian Automobile Association contains driving and health subdomains [34]. Among the subdomains in our study, on-road was included using the same name as previous assessments, and coping refers to the ability to cope with specific situations that can occur while driving on the road, which is consistent with the previous
getting around subdomain. For health-related items, the cognitive functions subdomain in our study has similar content to the items in the thinking subdomains in previous assessments. Conversely, the general conditions and medical health subdomains showed differences from those of previous assessments; items in the previous seeing subdomain were partially included in general conditions. Content in the medical health subdomain relating to medication or doctor consultations was previously included in the health subdomain in other assessments; however, since the division of roles between doctors and pharmacists in South Korea, the rate of patients seeking preventive medication guidance or consultations with doctors before disease or an accident has declined [35]. It is thought that these items were classified as a single factor, because unlike in other countries, elderly drivers showed different health status and different patterns in questions related to their medical state. This supports the idea that the app-based SAFE-DR test developed in this study properly reflects the cultural circumstances in South Korea.

The Australasian Model License Assessment Procedure states that the ideal procedure for driver’s license renewal for elderly drivers follows a process of applying for testing through the local community, medical testing, multistage driving ability assessment, and provision of license options [36]. In this testing process, self-report assessments are used to screen the elderly person’s driving ability in the phase of applying for testing through the local community to verify a potential need for specialized tests [37]. Based on this need, various countries use self-assessment tools to monitor the population of elderly drivers [12,13]. Likewise, the SAFE-DR was developed in South Korea as a self-report assessment for elderly drivers suited to the local culture [14], and our study has demonstrated the reliability and validity of a SAFE-DR test provided in an app. Compared to assessments that require meeting with a professional, self-assessment tools allow individuals to test their own abilities, which has the advantage of reducing stress and readily identifying potential problems in a broad population of elderly drivers [23]. In addition, mobile-based services can provide the elderly with various benefits such as access to diverse information, convenience, and reduced social isolation [16]. Therefore, this study’s app-based SAFE-DR test can be used for South Korean elderly drivers both to conveniently test their own driving ability and determine the need for further testing.

Although services provided in a mobile environment have the advantage of easy, repeated access, if the results are not consistent each time the service is used, the user may become confused. Therefore, we analyzed the test-retest reliability when testing was repeated after 2 weeks; most items showed a very high reliability of ≥0.9, demonstrating the test’s ease of access and repeated use.

Limitations

Our study has some limitations. We were unable to control certain factors during participant recruitment, resulting in a high ratio of males, a low proportion of persons aged ≥80 years, and a low proportion of elderly drivers who were not currently driving. Since we were developing an assessment to be used by South Korean elderly drivers, it was necessary to recruit a better-matched sample of participants and compare the test results according to participants’ characteristics. In addition, because we only provided an app-based service for Android, it will be necessary to expand the test to other platforms to allow use by a larger population.

Key Findings

Despite these limitations, this study developed, for the first time, the SAFE-DR into an app-based self-report assessment that reflects the cultural characteristics of Korean elderly drivers. While many mobile-based services have recently been offered due to the advantages of easy access and fast information delivery, elderly persons who prefer conventional ways may have difficulty using such services [38]. This study confirmed that the results of both the app-based SAFE-DR evaluations and the paper-based assessments, which are familiar to the elderly, were consistent. Further, this study identified the factors for screening the driving abilities of Korean elderly drivers and tested the reliability for repeated use of self-assessment apps.

This assessment has implications on policies and traffic safety for Korean elderly drivers. Restrictions on the license of elderly drivers are beneficial for their traffic safety and that of the general population; however, these policies should include methods for education and preventive inspections of equipment to help maintain the licenses of elderly drivers as long as possible [39]. The app-based evaluation in this study can be used as means for preventive monitoring and education of the elderly driver population. Therefore, the assessment can help with the education and screening sections of the recent policy for renewing elderly drivers’ licenses in South Korea [21]. Within these policies, this assessment can contribute to the safe continuation of driving by facilitating testing of driving ability and providing relevant information to elderly drivers in South Korea. Finally, future research should focus on expert driving ability assessment and licensing restriction systems for the policy on renewal of elderly Korean’s driver’s licenses.

Conclusions

In this study, we developed the SAFE-DR into an app-based self-report assessment for elderly drivers and tested its reliability and validity. In South Korea, where the aging population is rapidly increasing, the app can help elderly drivers to easily diagnose their driving skills and protect themselves from accidents while driving. It was designed to be easily used by elderly drivers and to provide essential information related to driving. Therefore, we anticipate that this assessment can contribute to safe continuation of driving by facilitating testing of driving ability and providing relevant information to elderly drivers in South Korea.
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Abstract

Background: Nonattendance at vaccination appointments is a big challenge for health workers as it is difficult to track routine vaccination schedules. In Ethiopia, 3 out of 10 children have incomplete vaccination and the timely receipt of the recommended vaccines is low. Thus, innovative strategies are required to reach the last mile where mobile technology can be effectively utilized to achieve better compliance. Despite this promising technology, little is known about the role of text message–based mobile health interventions in improving the complete and timely receipt of routine childhood vaccinations in Ethiopia.

Objective: This trial aimed to determine the effect of mobile phone text message reminders on the completion and timely receipt of routine childhood vaccinations in northwest Ethiopia.

Methods: A two-arm, parallel, superiority randomized controlled trial was conducted in 9 health facilities in northwest Ethiopia. A sample size of 434 mother-infant pairs was considered in this trial. Randomization was applied in selected health facilities during enrollment with a 1:1 allocation ratio by using sealed and opaque envelopes. Participants assigned to the intervention group received mobile phone text message reminders one day before the scheduled vaccination visits. Owing to the nature of the intervention, blinding of participants was not possible. Primary outcomes of full and timely completion of vaccinations were measured objectively at 12 months. A two-sample test of proportion and log-binomial regression analyses were used to compare the outcomes between the study groups. A modified intention-to-treat analysis approach was applied and a one-tailed test was reported, considering the superiority design of the trial.

Results: A total of 426 participants were included for the analysis. We found that a higher proportion of infants in the intervention group received Penta-3 (204/213, 95.8% vs 185/213, 86.9%, respectively; \( P < .001 \)), measles (195/213, 91.5% vs 169/213, 79.3%, respectively; \( P < .001 \)), and full vaccination (176/213, 82.6% vs 151/213, 70.9%, respectively; \( P = .002 \); risk ratio 1.17, 95% lower CI 1.07) compared to infants in the usual care group. Similarly, a higher proportion of infants in the intervention group received Penta-3 (181/204, 88.7% vs 128/185, 69.2%, respectively; \( P < .001 \)), measles (170/195, 87.1% vs 116/169, 68.6%, respectively; \( P < .001 \)), and all scheduled vaccinations (135/213, 63.3% vs 85/213, 39.9%, respectively; \( P < .001 \); risk ratio 1.59, 95% lower CI 1.35) on time compared to infants in the usual care group. Of the automatically sent 852 mobile phone text messages, 764 (89.7%) were delivered successfully to the participants.

Conclusions: Mobile phone text message reminders significantly improved complete and timely receipt of all recommended vaccines. Besides, they had a significant effect in improving the timely receipt of specific vaccines. Thus, text message reminders can be used to supplement the routine immunization program in resource-limited settings. Considering different contexts, studies on the implementation challenges of mobile health interventions are recommended.
Background

Vaccine-preventable diseases (VPDs) remain a common cause of childhood mortality with an estimated 3 million deaths globally each year. Vaccines have proven to be one of the most effective preventive interventions and they provide successful means for controlling VPDs [1-4]. The World Health Organization estimates that 29% of deaths in children younger than 5 years can be averted with existing vaccines [5]. Evidence also shows that being fully vaccinated is associated with 22% lower mortality in children [6]. Improving vaccination coverage is a priority for global health [7,8], and timeliness is an essential component of child vaccination that reduces susceptibility to VPDs [9]. The World Health Organization recommends that vaccines must be given within specified vaccination schedules and intervals [10] although there is no agreed upon definition of timeliness of vaccination across different countries [11]. Vaccine effectiveness also depends on the timing of its administration [12,13].

Despite the tremendous efforts to improve immunization programs, vaccination coverage and timeliness have remained suboptimal [14-16]. Globally, in 2018, coverage of the third dose of a vaccine protecting against diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis remained at 86% [17]. Improving child vaccination coverage in low-income countries is challenging, and the vaccination coverage in Africa has been reported to be stalled at 76% [17]. Reports also show that globally, 5.9 million children were partially vaccinated in 2018 leaving many children susceptible to VPDs [18]. In low-income countries, failure to attend vaccination appointments is still a significant problem to health care providers, thereby contributing to delayed and missed vaccinations [12,19,20]. Studies in low-and-middle-income countries have also shown that despite relatively high vaccination coverage, there is a gap in the timeliness of childhood immunization [21-26].

In Ethiopia, routine childhood vaccines are administered at birth, fourth week, sixth week, tenth week, and ninth month before 1 year of age [2]. However, the completeness and timeliness of vaccination are not optimal at the national level [27,28]. The Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey 2016 report indicates that only 39% of children received all the basic vaccinations [29]. Besides, the 2019 mini Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey reported that the full vaccination coverage has reached 43%, with a steady rise in vaccination coverage over time [30]. In terms of vaccination completion, Ethiopia has the second largest number of incompletely vaccinated children in Africa, after Nigeria [31]. A systematic review and meta-analysis on incomplete vaccination in Ethiopia also reported that 3 out of 10 children have incomplete vaccination [32]. Similarly, studies in different parts of the country revealed that the partial vaccination coverage ranged from 17% to 45.5% [33-37]. Moreover, only a small proportion of children are vaccinated on time [28,38]. According to the national immunization coverage survey report, the prevalence of valid vaccination doses for all vaccines was only 18.6% [39]. In this study setting, the baseline assessment also indicated that full vaccination coverage was 64.3% and the timely completion for all vaccines was only 31.9% [40]. Consequently, the targets set for the different vaccines are not met [28,30].

Maintaining reductions in mortality due to VPDs relies upon continued vaccination uptake that is reliant on subsequent attendance for each vaccination appointment. However, the frequently mentioned reasons for missed and delayed vaccination in children are related to the difficulty in tracking the vaccination appointments as scheduled [13,19,28,38,41]. Forgetfulness and being unaware of the need to return for subsequent doses were among the common reasons for nonattendance to vaccination schedules, which are remediable through appropriate reminder services [28,37,38,40,42-47]. Studies have shown that achieving universal coverage with all recommended vaccines requires tailored strategies that address barriers to vaccination [15,16,28,48-50]. Thus, innovative strategies are required to reach the last mile where mobile technology can be effectively utilized to augment the immunization program. Globally, the rapid development of mobile technology has created new ways for addressing public health challenges where mobile phones are gradually becoming an integral part of health care services worldwide [51]. Mobile health (mHealth) is the use of mobile phone technology to deliver health care [52]. Among the mobile phone features, SMS remains one of the most popular forms of mobile communication with the potential to reach a large number of individuals at relatively low cost [53-55]. The World Health Organization also reported that SMS is the most common mobile phone feature used for appointment reminders to strengthen the health care system [52].

Different studies have shown that text message reminders are promising in improving attendance at health facilities and health outcomes [47,56-61]. Several studies have suggested that parental reminders for vaccination should include modern technologies such as mobile phone text message reminders [62-65]. However, the effectiveness of mobile phone-based text message reminders in improving the immunization program varies between settings. We identified studies that examined mobile phone text message reminders for vaccination, some of which found it effective in increasing vaccination uptake [44,62,66-72] while the other studies found no statistically significant effect on child vaccination [73-77]. Thus, the
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scientific body of evidence on the current practice in low-income countries is still limited [14,63,72,77-79].

Objectives
In Ethiopia, mobile phone technology access is expanding rapidly [80,81]. Despite this promising and fast-growing technology, little is known about the possible role of mHealth interventions in improving the immunization program in the Ethiopian local context. Hence, this trial was conducted to assess the effect of mobile phone text message reminders in improving child vaccination service uptake in Gondar city, northwest Ethiopia.

Methods

Trial Design
This study applied a two-arm, parallel, superiority, individually randomized controlled trial design with a 1:1 allocation ratio.

This trial followed a published study protocol that details the methods and approaches implemented in the trial [82]. This randomized controlled trial was conducted in the public health facilities (8 health centers and 1 comprehensive specialized hospital) of Gondar city, northwest Ethiopia between May 2019 and June 2020. This city has an estimated total population of 390,644 of which 12,149 are younger than 1 year [83]. The baseline assessment in the study area indicated that 78.9% (360/456) of the mothers had the intention to use mobile phone text message reminders for child vaccination. The assessment also pointed out that among mothers who owned a mobile phone, 91.0% (415/456) can read text messages, which makes the trial feasible in the study setting [84].

Participants
For this particular study, eligible mother-infant pairs from the University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital and all the 8 health centers were included. The eligibility criteria for the study participant selection are shown in Textbox 1.

Textbox 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the participants in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Mothers who have an infant who took the Bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccination up to 4 weeks of age</td>
<td>– Infants who already received vaccinations other than Bacillus Calmette-Guérin or polio zero vaccines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– For twin infants presented for vaccination, the younger infant was included</td>
<td>– Mothers who could not read mobile phone text messages in Amharic or English languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Mothers aged 18 years and older</td>
<td>– Mothers who had no mobile network access in their house/compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Mothers who had a working mobile phone</td>
<td>– Mothers who planned to relocate out of the study area during the study follow-up period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Resided in the study area at least for 6 months (permanent residents)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Mothers who were willing to provide consent for participation in the study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During enrollment, when more than one eligible mother-infant pair from the same house/compound presented to the health facility for vaccination, only one infant (younger) was included to reduce the risk of information pollution among study participants. The recruitment of the study participants started on May 1, 2019 and ended on June 26, 2019. Study participants were followed up for 12 months.

Intervention
Participants assigned to the intervention group received the routine vaccination appointment reminder and additional mobile phone text message reminders one day before the scheduled vaccination visits on the sixth week, tenth week, fourteenth week, and ninth month after childbirth. Participants in the usual care group used vaccination cards and were informed of the due date of the next vaccination schedule verbally by health care providers working in health facilities during the facility visit. For the intervention group, a computerized text message reminder system was designed and developed for this particular study considering the local context by the eHealthLab Ethiopia research team [85]. The computerized text message reminder system has 2 components: a web-based application for client registration and automatic reminder scheduling (Multimedia Appendix 1) and a mobile SMS app for sending appointment reminders (Multimedia Appendix 2). The mobile phone text message reminders were designed and developed based on literature search, consultation with experts, and considering users’ preferences. Based on the findings from baseline assessments in the study area on mothers’ intentions and preferences to use text message reminders [84], mobile phone text messages were developed and delivered to users both in Amharic and English languages (Multimedia Appendix 2). The detailed development process of the automated reminder system and its function is described in a separate publication [85].

Outcomes
Full vaccination coverage and on-time full vaccination coverage were the primary outcomes for this trial. The secondary outcomes included coverage and timeliness for specific vaccine doses. In this trial, an infant was defined as having achieved...
full (complete) vaccination once he/she had received all the recommended vaccines included in the national immunization schedule, namely, a dose of Bacillus Calmette-Guérin, 3 doses of oral polio vaccine, 3 doses each of pentavalent and pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, 1 dose of inactivated polio vaccine, 2 doses of rotavirus vaccine, and 1 dose of measles vaccine by the age of 12 months [2,3,29,36]. Further, the timeliness of vaccine administration (age-appropriate vaccination) was determined based on the routine schedule of Ethiopia’s national immunization program—recommended age of vaccination. Accordingly, on-time vaccination for specific vaccines was defined as a specific vaccine dose administered within 4 days before the due date [86-89] and within 4 weeks (inclusive of the due date) after the recommended age specified in the national immunization schedule [9,10,41,87-91]. The denominator for the timeliness of specific vaccines was considered from those infants vaccinated for that specific vaccine [9,10,41,87-91]. In addition, on-time full vaccination was defined as all vaccine doses administered within 4 days before the due date [86-89] and within 4 weeks (inclusive of the due date) after the recommended age specified in the national immunization schedule [19,41,87,90-93]. Otherwise, it was considered as not fully vaccinated on time if at least one vaccine dose was given early, late, or missed at all at the end of the follow-up. The denominator for on-time full vaccination considered all infants who were enrolled for this trial [19,41,87,90-93].

**Study End Points**

The effect of mobile phone text message reminders was measured by comparing the difference in the vaccination coverage and timely receipt of vaccination between the intervention and usual care groups at 6 weeks, 10 weeks, 14 weeks, 9 months, and 12 months of age.

**Sample Size Determination**

Before the commencement of the randomized controlled trial, a baseline assessment was conducted in the study area to obtain recent estimates on the complete and timely vaccination status of children [40]. The sample size required for this trial was determined using the STATA statistical software (Release 14, College Station, TX: StataCorp LP). The sample size was calculated for both vaccination completeness and timeliness independently. Finally, the larger sample size was considered for this trial.

**Sample Size Determination Using Full Vaccination Coverage**

The sample size calculation for full vaccination assumed a power of 90%, a significance level (α) of 5% for superiority design, a difference of 15% between the intervention and usual care groups from the baseline on-time full vaccination coverage of 31.9% [40] to 46.9%, 90% power, significance level (α) of 5% for superiority design, and accounting 20% for lost to follow-up. Therefore, for the 2 arms, the final sample size of the trial was the larger sample size of 434 (217 in the intervention group and 217 in the usual care group).

**Randomization**

The units of randomization were mother-infant pairs randomized in one of the two study arms. All mother-infant pairs who were eligible and gave informed written consent for participation were randomly assigned to either of the study groups by using a simple randomization technique. Randomization was applied in the selected health facilities during enrollment with a 1:1 allocation ratio by using sealed and opaque envelopes within each health facility separately.

**Allocation Concealment**

To prevent foreknowledge of intervention assignment, we used identical and small-sized sealed opaque envelopes for the random sequence generation. Random sequence generation was ensured by a research assistant ahead of the study subject’s enrollment with which study arms were marked on paper and folded to fit the envelope. Finally, the sealed envelopes prepared for both the intervention and usual care groups were combined for each health facility and shuffled so that the allocation sequence remained concealed. When the mother-infant pairs were found to be eligible for the trial, they were assigned to the intervention or usual care group by randomly picking up a closed envelope.

**Blinding**

Owing to the nature of the intervention, blinding of the study participants was not possible. Health workers who administered the vaccines and recorded the vaccination status of the infants were blinded to study group allocations. Outcome assessors were also blinded to the intervention allocation.

**Implementation**

This trial was implemented as per the a priori published protocol [82]. Initially, the eligibility of the mother-infant pairs presenting for Bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccination of an infant at the vaccination units of the included health facilities was assessed. During enrollment, informed written consent was obtained from each eligible study participant. Enrollment and assignment of the intervention to the study participants were made by trained data collectors. In addition, baseline information was collected from both intervention and usual care groups on sociodemographic characteristics, health care service use, and mobile phone use characteristics by using validated data collection tools. All mothers assigned to the intervention group were assigned a unique code and provided orientation on how to read mobile phone text messages received from the automated reminder system. Thereafter, participants assigned to the...
The intervention group received mobile phone text message reminders sent from the automated text message reminder system one day before the due date of the scheduled vaccinations. A research assistant was assigned to manage the automated reminder system throughout the follow-up period. Data for primary and secondary outcomes were collected from written vaccination records found in the health facility’s expanded program on immunization (EPI) registers by trained outcome assessors. During the follow-up, data on the vaccination status of infants were collected regularly. Validated data collection tools were used to collect outcome data [40].

**Statistical Analysis**

The baseline data collected from the study participants and the outcome data collected from EPI registers were entered into the EpiData version 3.1 software (EpiData Association). Finally, the data were exported to the STATA statistical software for analysis. Analyses were done with the modified intention-to-treat analysis principle at the participant level so that all randomized participants with available outcome data were included for the analysis, regardless of the degree of exposure to study intervention [94]. Initially, descriptive statistics were computed. The household wealth index was created by principal component analysis, including variables on asset ownership, housing characteristics, ownership of animals, and farming [95]. For assessing the comparability of the data on the baseline characteristics of the study participants randomized to the intervention and usual care groups, chi-square tests were performed. Proportions were used to present the vaccination coverage and timeliness for each vaccine. To assess the changes in the vaccination status between the 2 study groups, absolute differences in the proportions with corresponding 95% CIs were computed. A two-sample test of proportion was applied to assess the statistical significance of the absolute differences. Further, risk ratios (RRs) for primary outcomes were assessed and compared between the intervention and the usual care groups by using log-binomial regression analysis [96]. As an additional analysis, post hoc subgroup analysis was also considered to assess the heterogeneity of the treatment effects by subgroups of sociodemographic characteristics. For the subgroup analysis, the log-binomial model was applied and interaction terms were tested accordingly to explore potential effect modification. Taking into account the superiority design of the trial, one-tailed test was performed and reported [97]. The effect was expressed as RR with a corresponding 95% lower confidence interval. A significance level of .05 was considered for this study. Finally, a post hoc study power analysis was conducted. The conduct, analysis, and reporting of results were done in accordance with the CONSORT updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. In addition, the trial was reported in accordance with the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist (Multimedia Appendix 3) [98].

**Ethics and Confidentiality**

This study obtained ethical approval from the University of Gondar Institutional Ethical Review Board Reference O/V/P/RCS/05/060/2018. The purpose and procedures of the trial were explained to all the study participants. Accordingly, informed written consent was sought from all the study participants during enrollment. Confidentiality and anonymity of the information obtained were maintained at all levels of data handling. Before the commencement of the study, permission was obtained from all the required administrative levels, including the Amhara Public Health Institute and Ethio telecom.

**Results**

**Enrollment of the Study Participants**

Between May 1, 2019 and June 26, 2019, 515 mother-infant pairs were assessed for eligibility. Accordingly, 434 eligible mother-infant pairs were enrolled, with 81 excluded for not meeting the eligibility criteria (Figure 1). Of the 434 mothers enrolled, 217 were assigned to the intervention group and 217 to the usual care group. Seven participants relocated from the study area and there was 1 natural death of an infant during the study period, which actually had no relation with the outcome of interest. Thus, the analytic sample consisted of 426 mother-infant pairs who completed a 12-month follow-up in both groups with the modified intention-to-treat analysis principle (Figure 1).
Characteristics of the Study Participants

The baseline characteristics of both the study groups are shown in Table 1. To validate the randomization, the characteristics of the mothers and infants of the usual care and intervention groups were examined. At baseline, the characteristics of the study participants were similar between usual care and intervention groups. The chi-square test for the baseline characteristics indicated that there was no statistically significant difference between the usual care and intervention groups at the start of the trial (Table 1).
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study participants enrolled in the trial in Gondar city, northwest Ethiopia in 2020 (N=426).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention group (n=213), n (%)</th>
<th>Usual care group (n=213), n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother's age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤24</td>
<td>64 (30.1)</td>
<td>71 (33.3)</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>123 (57.7)</td>
<td>110 (51.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>26 (12.2)</td>
<td>32 (15.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently married</td>
<td>195 (91.5)</td>
<td>194 (91.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently not married</td>
<td>18 (8.5)</td>
<td>19 (8.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodox</td>
<td>180 (84.5)</td>
<td>181 (84.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>28 (13.1)</td>
<td>23 (10.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>5 (2.4)</td>
<td>9 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>18 (8.4)</td>
<td>23 (10.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>65 (30.5)</td>
<td>70 (32.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary and above</td>
<td>130 (61.1)</td>
<td>120 (56.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>105 (49.3)</td>
<td>100 (46.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>48 (22.5)</td>
<td>33 (15.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchant</td>
<td>38 (17.9)</td>
<td>49 (23.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>22 (10.3)</td>
<td>31 (14.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex of infant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>107 (50.2)</td>
<td>110 (51.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>106 (49.8)</td>
<td>103 (48.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>81 (38.1)</td>
<td>95 (44.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second or later</td>
<td>132 (61.9)</td>
<td>118 (55.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>14 (6.6)</td>
<td>11 (5.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>199 (93.4)</td>
<td>202 (94.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household wealth index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>67 (31.5)</td>
<td>75 (35.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>79 (37.0)</td>
<td>64 (30.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich</td>
<td>67 (31.5)</td>
<td>74 (34.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 members</td>
<td>143 (67.1)</td>
<td>133 (62.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5 members</td>
<td>70 (32.9)</td>
<td>80 (37.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to health facility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>96 (45.1)</td>
<td>109 (51.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30 minutes</td>
<td>82 (38.5)</td>
<td>70 (32.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30 minutes</td>
<td>35 (16.4)</td>
<td>34 (15.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of mobile phone use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2 years</td>
<td>37 (17.4)</td>
<td>47 (22.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of Mobile Phone Text Message Reminders on Full and Timely Completion of Vaccination

In this trial, the proportion of infants who completed the 12-month vaccination series in the intervention group was significantly higher than that of infants who completed the 12-month vaccination series in the usual care group (176/213, 82.6% vs 151/213, 70.9%, respectively; one-tailed \( P=0.002 \)). Similarly, comparisons in the timeliness of vaccination among the study groups revealed that timely completion of vaccination in the intervention group was significantly higher than that in the usual care group (135/213, 63.3% vs 85/213, 39.9%, respectively; one-tailed \( P<0.001 \) (Table 2). Additionally, the timeliness of vaccination was compared between fully vaccinated infants in the intervention (n=176) and fully vaccinated infants in the usual care group (n=151). The findings showed that of those fully vaccinated infants in both groups, 135 (76.7%) in the intervention group and 85 (56.3%) in the usual care group were fully vaccinated on time.

Table 2. Effect of mobile phone text message reminders on full and timely completion of vaccination among infants in Gondar city, northwest Ethiopia in 2020 (N=426).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention group (n=213), n (%)</th>
<th>Usual care group (n=213), n (%)</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 years</td>
<td>176 (82.6)</td>
<td>166 (77.9)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phone type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular/standard</td>
<td>109 (51.2)</td>
<td>107 (50.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart</td>
<td>104 (48.8)</td>
<td>103 (49.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of Mobile Phone Text Message Reminders on Specific Vaccinations

The pentavalent and measles vaccination coverages were used to measure the specific vaccination coverage for the vaccine doses administered at the age of sixth week, tenth week, fourteenth week, and ninth month vaccination schedules. At the fourteenth week, Penta-3 coverage in the intervention group was significantly higher than that in the usual care group (204/213, 95.8% vs 185/213, 86.9%, respectively; one-tailed \( P<0.001 \)). At the ninth month, the proportion of infants who were vaccinated for measles in the intervention group was significantly higher than that of infants who were vaccinated for measles in the usual care group (195/213, 91.5% vs 169/213, 79.3%, respectively; one-tailed \( P<0.001 \) (Table 3).

Table 3. Effect of mobile phone text message reminders on receipt of specific vaccines in Gondar city, northwest Ethiopia in 2020 (N=426).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccination</th>
<th>Intervention group (n=213), n (%)</th>
<th>Usual care group (n=213), n (%)</th>
<th>Absolute difference (95% lower CI)</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penta-1</td>
<td>210 (98.6)</td>
<td>203 (95.3)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penta-2</td>
<td>209 (98.1)</td>
<td>193 (90.6)</td>
<td>7.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penta-3</td>
<td>204 (95.8)</td>
<td>185 (86.9)</td>
<td>8.9 (4.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>195 (91.5)</td>
<td>169 (79.3)</td>
<td>12.2 (6.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of Mobile Phone Text Message Reminders on Timely Receipt of Specific Vaccines

The trial showed that a significantly higher proportion of infants in the intervention group received Penta-3 vaccination on time as compared to infants in the usual care group (181/204, 88.7% vs 128/185, 69.2%, respectively; one-tailed \( P<0.001 \)). Similarly, a significantly higher proportion of infants in the intervention group also received measles vaccination on time as compared to infants in the usual care group (170/195, 87.1% vs 116/169, 68.6%, respectively; one-tailed \( P<0.001 \) (Table 4).
Table 4. Effect of mobile phone text message reminders on timely receipt of specific vaccines in Gondar city, northwest Ethiopia in 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccination</th>
<th>Intervention group, n (%)</th>
<th>Usual care group, n (%)</th>
<th>Absolute difference (95% lower CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penta-1</td>
<td>193 (91.9)</td>
<td>164 (80.8)</td>
<td>11.1 (5.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penta-2</td>
<td>189 (90.4)</td>
<td>149 (77.2)</td>
<td>13.2 (7.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penta-3</td>
<td>181 (88.7)</td>
<td>128 (69.2)</td>
<td>19.5 (12.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>170 (87.1)</td>
<td>116 (68.6)</td>
<td>18.5 (11.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aTimeliness for the specific vaccines was calculated from vaccinated infants in both study groups. The total number of vaccinated infants for each vaccine is shown in Table 3.
bOne-tailed test reported for superiority design and there is no upper bound to the absolute difference.
cOne-tailed test P value reported for the superiority design of the trial.

Log-Binomial Regression Analysis of the Intervention Effect on Primary Outcomes

Log-binomial regression analysis was performed to determine the effect of mobile phone text message reminders on the primary outcomes of full and timely completion of vaccination. Mothers in the intervention group were 17% more likely to fully vaccinate their infants as compared to mothers in the usual care group (RR 1.17, 95% lower CI 1.07). In addition, mothers in the intervention group were 59% more likely to timely complete all doses of vaccines for their infants as compared to mothers in the usual care group (RR 1.59, 95% lower CI 1.35) (Table 5). The potential impact of the text message reminders was also determined using attributable risk percent. The attributable proportion among those mothers who received mobile phone text message reminders indicated that 37.1% of infants’ on-time full vaccination could be attributed to the text message reminders.

Table 5. Log-binomial regression analysis of the effect of mobile phone text message reminders on the primary outcomes in Gondar city, northwest Ethiopia in 2020 (N=426).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccination status</th>
<th>Intervention group (n=213), n (%)</th>
<th>Usual care group (n=213), n (%)</th>
<th>Risk ratio (95% lower CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full vaccination</td>
<td>176 (82.6)</td>
<td>151 (70.9)</td>
<td>1.17 (1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-time full vaccination</td>
<td>135 (63.3)</td>
<td>85 (39.9)</td>
<td>1.59 (1.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aOne-tailed test reported for superiority design and there is no upper bound to the risk ratio.

Subgroup Analysis of the Effect of Mobile Phone Text Message Reminders on Timely Completion of Vaccination

In this trial, subgroup analysis was performed to assess the effect of the mobile phone text message reminders within categories of subgroups of the sociodemographic characteristics and to evaluate for statistically significant subgroup differences. The interaction tests showed that there were no significant effect differences across the subgroups of the included sociodemographic variables, except for the household wealth index. With the interaction test, the effect differences across the subgroups of household wealth index were statistically significant (P=.01). The stratum-specific findings in the subgroup analysis by household wealth index showed that the intervention effects were significantly higher for mothers who belong to the middle or rich household wealth index group (RR 1.87, 95% lower CI 1.53). However, no significant difference was found in the mothers who belonged to the poor household wealth index group (RR 1.09, 95% lower CI 0.81) (Table 6).
Table 6. Subgroup analysis of the effect of mobile phone text message reminders on timely completion of vaccination in Gondar city, northwest Ethiopia in 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention group (n=135), n (%)</th>
<th>Usual care group (n=85), n (%)</th>
<th>Stratum-specific risk ratio (95% lower CI)(^a)</th>
<th>(P) value(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mother’s age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\leq 24) years</td>
<td>46 (71.9)</td>
<td>29 (40.8)</td>
<td>1.76 (1.35)</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25 years</td>
<td>89 (59.7)</td>
<td>56 (39.4)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mother’s education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below secondary</td>
<td>44 (53.1)</td>
<td>25 (26.9)</td>
<td>1.97 (1.42)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary and above</td>
<td>91 (70.0)</td>
<td>60 (50.0)</td>
<td>1.40 (1.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household wealth index</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>32 (47.8)</td>
<td>33 (44)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle or rich</td>
<td>103 (70.6)</td>
<td>52 (37.7)</td>
<td>1.87 (1.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family size</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 members</td>
<td>89 (62.2)</td>
<td>55 (41.4)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.23)</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\geq 5) members</td>
<td>46 (65.7)</td>
<td>30 (37.5)</td>
<td>1.75 (1.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distance to health facility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15 minutes</td>
<td>63 (65.6)</td>
<td>47 (43.1)</td>
<td>1.52 (1.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\geq 15) minutes</td>
<td>72 (61.5)</td>
<td>38 (36.5)</td>
<td>1.68 (1.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobile phone type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular/standard</td>
<td>55 (56.7)</td>
<td>43 (39.4)</td>
<td>1.44 (1.13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart</td>
<td>80 (68.9)</td>
<td>42 (40.4)</td>
<td>1.71 (1.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)One-tailed test reported for superiority design and there is no upper bound to the risk ratio.

\(^b\)\(P\) value for the interaction term between intervention and baseline sociodemographic characteristics.

Mobile Phone Text Message Delivery and Reading Status

For the 213 mothers in the intervention group, 852 mobile phone text messages were dispatched successfully from the automated system for the subsequent 4 vaccination appointments. In addition, the actual delivery status of the text message to the participants was confirmed by Ethio telecom. Out of the automatically sent 852 mobile phone text messages, 764 (89.7%) were delivered successfully to the study participants. Among the 213 participants, 176 (82.6%), 11 (5.2%), 10 (4.7%), and 7 (3.3%) received 4, 3, 2, and 1 text message reminder, respectively (Figure 2). At the end of the study, we conducted a telephone survey of the participants in the intervention group to assess the reading status of the text message reminders. We attempted to contact the 204 participants in the intervention group for whom at least one text message was delivered. Among the 204 participants, 192 participants responded to our phone call. Of the total 728 text messages sent to 192 participants, 635 (87.3%) mobile phone text message reminders were seen by the study participants.
Figure 2. Mobile phone text message delivery status in the intervention group (n=213).

Study Power Analysis
For this particular trial, post hoc study power was calculated using STATA 14 software. Taking into account the superiority design of the trial, the power to detect the observed difference was 89.4% for full vaccination and 99.8% for on-time full vaccination.

Discussion
Principal Findings
This trial assessed the role of implementing a locally developed mobile phone text message reminder system to improve the immunization program in the Ethiopian context. The findings of our study indicated that text message reminders have a positive significant effect on the timely completion of routine vaccinations. This study also showed that text message reminders significantly improved timely vaccination uptake in the sixth week, tenth week, fourteenth week, and ninth month after childbirth for specific vaccines. In the intervention, of the automatically sent 852 text messages, 764 (89.7%) were delivered successfully to the study participants. This trial showed that a significantly higher proportion of infants in the intervention group were fully vaccinated as compared to infants in the usual care group (one-tailed $P=.002$). Based on our previous baseline assessments in the study area, where 34.5% of the caregivers reported nonattendance at child vaccination appointments owing to forgetfulness [40], text message reminders might help to track the schedules and improve attendance to child vaccination services. The full vaccination coverage at 12 months in both the intervention and usual care groups was lower than the national targets, indicating the importance of additional interventions to strengthen the immunization program. Our findings were consistent with those reported in studies from Bangladesh [66], Nigeria [68], and Côte d’Ivoire [71], which reported that SMS text reminders improved childhood vaccination coverage. Our findings were also in line with those of other studies that observed that mobile phone–based reminders significantly improved attendance to different health service appointments [61,75,99-101]. On the contrary, community-based studies from Ethiopia [75], Kenya [67], and South Africa [76] reported that the effect of SMS-based mobile phone interventions on full vaccination coverage was not statistically significant at 5% significance level. This difference can be explained by the differences in the study settings and differences in the study populations. It is also worth highlighting that the nature of the mHealth intervention packages might explain the observed differences. A systematic review conducted in an African setting also reported that the effect of one-way SMS reminders is uncertain and only some studies have shown the impact of SMS reminders on child vaccination attendance [57].

In this trial, infants from the intervention group had higher vaccination coverage for specific vaccines as compared to the infants from the usual care group. This finding is in line with that of other studies conducted in Zimbabwe [44], Kenya [43], and the United States of America [102,103]. However, randomized clinical trials conducted in the United States of America [73], Guatemala [74], Pakistan [70], and Nigeria [68] observed a higher vaccination coverage for specific vaccines attributable to text message reminders, which are not statistically significant at 5% significance level. The differences in the effect of the text message reminders could be related to the way text messages had been developed and delivered to the study participants.
In this study, we also observed that mobile phone text message reminders have a statistically significant effect on the timely completion of childhood vaccinations (one-tailed $P < .001$). This implies that text message reminders could serve as a valuable tool to track scheduled vaccination appointments in which the text reminders sent to clients one day before the due date of the scheduled vaccinations promptly notifies them to plan and vaccinate their child on time. Studies from Bangladesh [66], Australia [92], and Nigeria [68] corroborate this finding and reported that text reminders significantly improved the timely receipt of all scheduled vaccinations. A clustered randomized controlled trial conducted in rural Kenya also reported that SMS reminders coupled with incentives significantly improved the timeliness of vaccination [67]. However, this particular study observed that one-way mobile phone text message reminders without incentives have no statistically significant effect at 5% significance level on the timely vaccination of infants [67].

Methodological differences in measuring the timeliness of vaccination, delivery of the intervention package, and the study context may explain the effect size differences. In this study, mobile phone text message reminders increased the attendance of infants who received the recommended specific vaccines on time. Studies from the United States of America [104,105] and Nigeria [68] also reported similar findings.

In the subgroup analysis, this study showed that the intervention had positive effects on the subgroups of the sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants. This means that the intervention package appears to be beneficial for almost all mothers in the intervention group, implying that the proposed intervention has the potential to improve timely vaccination in the larger community. Besides, in the subgroup analysis, household wealth index was identified as a significant effect modifier with which mothers from middle or rich households were more benefited from the text message reminder intervention. This study also pointed out that using text message reminders is not without difficulties that need to be addressed. This was evidenced by findings of other studies that reported similar barriers [73,104]. As confirmed by phone calls at the end of the study, the majority (635/728, 87.3%) of the mobile phone text message reminders were seen by participants during the study period while the remaining text messages sent to mothers were not seen by the participants. In a real-world setting, this could be a common phenomenon, and our analysis considered the modified intention-to-treat analysis principle instead of the per-protocol analysis to declare the effectiveness of the mobile phone text message reminders in the routine EPI.

### Implications for Practice and Research

Our results add unique findings to the body of literature on the impact of mobile phone text message reminders on timely vaccination, which has not been studied so far in the Ethiopian context. Our findings indicated that mobile phone–based text message reminders can be added to the arsenal of other interventions as a supplement to existing immunization programs. Moreover, the results of this study could help in guiding the future adoption and implementation of mHealth interventions in low-income countries such as Ethiopia. Program managers could consider using this system to improve child immunization service uptake as the mobile phone–based reminder system for this trial was designed in Ethiopia with the local context. This study was limited to only those mothers who have mobile phones. In implementing a text messaging reminder system, the needs of those mothers who have no mobile phones need to be addressed. Mobile phone ownership may also increase as mobile services and mobile phones become increasingly ubiquitous in low-income countries. The digital health literacy of the end users might also influence the effective implementation of mHealth interventions in different contexts, which demand prior assessment in future trials. To scale up the mobile phone–based text message interventions in the national EPI of a resource-constrained setting, further studies that guide large-scale implementation are recommended.

### Strengths and Limitations

This study has the following strengths. In this trial, the study participants were allocated randomly, which resulted in balanced demographic characteristics between the study groups. This study also applied allocation concealment during the enrollment of the study participants. In addition, objective measures were used for ascertaining the vaccination status as an outcome and outcome assessors were also blind to the intervention.

Our study had the following limitations. We enrolled mothers who owned a mobile phone and presented for infant vaccination in health facilities of Gondar city, which may limit the generalizability of our findings to the general population. Owing to the nature of this study, blinding of study participants was not possible. Further, the possibility of information contamination among the participants across the study groups cannot be ruled out. The automated mobile phone text message reminder system was not set to provide information on whether mothers had received and read the text message reminders or not. Hence, the reading status of the text message reminders was confirmed at the end of the study via phone calls, which might have a possibility of recall bias.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, mobile phone text message reminders significantly improved complete and timely receipt of all recommended childhood vaccinations in the study setting. Moreover, text message reminders had a significant effect in improving timely receipt of specific vaccines provided in the sixth week, tenth week, fourteenth week, and ninth month after childbirth. Thus, text message reminders can be an additional tool to usual care for improving timely completion of childhood vaccinations in resource-limited settings. Locally developed mobile phone text message reminders as a new evolution may contribute to strengthening the routine immunization program and should be considered by policy makers and program managers to improve timely completion of vaccinations. Further rigorous interventional studies in different contexts with more focus on implementation challenges of mHealth interventions are recommended.
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Abstract

Background: Currently, high-speed digital imaging (HSDI), especially endoscopic HSDI, is routinely used for the diagnosis of vocal cord disorders. However, endoscopic HSDI devices are usually large and costly, which limits access to patients in underdeveloped countries and in regions with inadequate medical infrastructure. Modern smartphones have sufficient functionality to process the complex calculations that are required for processing high-resolution images and videos with a high frame rate. Recently, several attempts have been made to integrate medical endoscopes with smartphones to make them more accessible to people in underdeveloped countries.

Objective: This study aims to develop a smartphone adaptor for endoscopes, which enables smartphone-based vocal cord imaging, to demonstrate the feasibility of performing high-speed vocal cord imaging via the high-speed imaging functions of a high-performance smartphone camera, and to determine the acceptability of the smartphone-based high-speed vocal cord imaging system for clinical applications in developing countries.

Methods: A customized smartphone adaptor optical relay was designed for clinical endoscopy using selective laser melting–based 3D printing. A standard laryngoscope was attached to the smartphone adaptor to acquire high-speed vocal cord endoscopic images. Only existing basic functions of the smartphone camera were used for HSDI of the vocal cords. Extracted still frames were observed for qualitative glottal volume and shape. For image processing, segmented glottal and vocal cord areas were calculated from whole HSDI frames to characterize the amplitude of the vibrations on each side of the glottis, including the frequency, edge length, glottal areas, base cord, and lateral phase differences over the acquisition time. The device was incorporated into a preclinical videokymography diagnosis routine to compare functionality.

Results: Smartphone-based HSDI with the smartphone-endoscope adaptor could achieve 940 frames per second and a resolution of 1280 by 720 frames, which corresponds to the detection of 3 to 8 frames per vocal cycle at double the spatial resolution of existing devices. The device was used to image the vocal cords of 4 volunteers: 1 healthy individual and 3 patients with vocal cord paralysis, chronic laryngitis, or vocal cord polyps. The resultant image stacks were sufficient for most diagnostic purposes. The cost of the device including the smartphone was lower than that of existing HSDI devices. The image processing and analytics demonstrated the successful calculation of relevant diagnostic variables from the acquired images. Patients with vocal pathologies were easily differentiable in the quantitative data.

Conclusions: A smartphone-based HSDI endoscope system can function as a point-of-care clinical diagnostic device. The resulting analysis is of higher quality than that accessible by videostroboscopy and promises comparable quality and greater
accessibility than HSDI. In particular, this system is suitable for use as an accessible diagnostic tool in underdeveloped areas with inadequate medical service infrastructure.

*(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e25816)*  doi:10.2196/25816
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**Introduction**

The endoscope enables optical visualization of internal organs and is a fundamental diagnostic device in clinical fields; unique properties of endoscopic systems are indispensable in diagnostics [1], biopsy [2], and minimally invasive surgery [3]. To acquire more accurate and specific diagnoses, endoscope systems have been developed with higher resolution [4], greater imaging speed [5,6], quantitative image analysis [7,8], and higher performance. With these advantages, endoscopy is now considered to be an essential procedure for a variety of clinical applications, including clinical voice evaluation.

Imaging of vocal cord vibrations is one of many techniques used for clinical voice assessment. It is commonly accepted that vocal cord vibration irregularities strongly correlate with voice disorders. However, since the frequencies of vocal cord vibrations are approximately 80-240 Hz, standard videostroboscopy at 60 frames per second (fps) does not permit the capture of vocal cord movement aside from stable and periodic states [9-11]. Alternative methods have been studied to overcome the limitations of videostroboscopy for imaging vocal cord vibrations. The most promising approach is high-speed digital imaging (HSDI) [12], which typically captures images between 4000 and 8000 fps [13]. The high frame rate of HSDI allows for the capture of vocal vibrations from 80-240 Hz, which cannot be observed in standard 60 fps imaging systems. Furthermore, HSDI provides a sufficient resolution of more than 256 × 256 pixels [14]. Although the resolution is hardly comparable to that of HD (high definition), it is sufficient for medical analysis. Use of HSDI for clinical voice evaluation has been extensively demonstrated [10,15,16]. However, despite several advantages, HSDI is not widely used in clinical diagnosis because systems with better performance than laryngostroboscopy are generally expensive, require specialized technology, and have large data footprints [13].

Endoscopic diagnosis is mostly inaccessible for patients in underdeveloped and developing countries. Indeed, even in the most developed and well-equipped hospitals in Nigeria, there may be only one functional gastro scope and/or colonoscopy, for which the accessories are often deficient. Furthermore, the majority of the teaching hospitals in Nigeria have no facilities for therapeutic endoscopy [17]; this leads to a lack of experience in endoscopy for medical students, which can lead to unsatisfactory or even fatal endoscopy procedures. Furthermore, more serious issues, including inadequate maintenance, cross-infections, and insufficient performance of devices, have also been found in developing countries. In facilities with insufficient endoscopic gastro scope and colonoscopy accessories, HSDI devices are difficult to furnish because of their high cost and additional technology requirements. This seriously restricts clinical voice evaluation in underdeveloped countries since HSDI has become an important part in the diagnosis of voice disorders. As with many problems regarding endoscopy in developing countries, affordable and more manageable endoscopic HSDI systems are urgently required.

Several point-of-care diagnostic devices have been invented in response to the demand in developing and underdeveloped countries. Prior to the age of integrated electronics, handheld point-of-care devices were largely restricted to diagnostic strips, which relied heavily on color changes due to chemical reactions [18]. With the ubiquity of smartphones, the rate of invention of electronic point-of-care devices has drastically increased. Modern smartphones offer a computing performance comparable to that of personal computers, and imaging quality similar to that of professional camera devices. Consequently, there have been many attempts to use smartphones for point-of-care diagnosis. For example, smartphone cameras can be used to distinguish reaction intensities, and several smartphone attachments have been introduced for biological analysis similar to that performed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) [19], fecal hemoglobin detection [20], and electrochemical monitoring of blood contents [21]. This represents an evolution of traditional point-of-care strip diagnosis, in which a smartphone can be used to distinguish the intensity of a biological reaction. Other proposed applications of the smartphone camera for point-of-care diagnosis include clinical microscopy, endoscopic imaging, video nasolaryngoscopy, flexible robotic endoscopy, etc [22-28].

In this study, we introduce a smartphone-based endoscopic imaging device and validate its imaging performance relative to videostroboscopy and HSDI. The smartphone adaptor was designed and manufactured using 3D design software and selective laser melting (SLM)–based 3D printing. This customized smartphone adaptor for the endoscope has minimal and low-cost optical components for easy maintenance and control. Unlike previous studies that only used smartphones for acquisition of regular images and videos, in this study, the specialized high-speed imaging function of the smartphone was applied for HSDI of vocal cord vibrations. With this functionality, high-speed vocal cord videos were acquired at 940 fps following standard clinical protocols and analyzed using postprocessed imaging techniques such as segmentation and registration to simplify diagnosis. The resultant images are quantitatively more revealing than videostroboscopy, and approach the quality of HSDI due to their high resolution and frame rate. In preclinical image analysis, several diagnostic variables were determined likely to assist in the diagnosis of common vocal cord pathologies. This custom simple, low-cost
adaptor promises to reduce the cost of high-speed clinical imaging by an order of magnitude when it can be used as a substitute for standard HSDI. The device demonstrates the promise and emerging capabilities of clinical diagnostic tools that incorporate commodity smartphone technology to bring point-of-care diagnosis to remote locations.

Methods

Development of a Smartphone-Adapted Endoscope

A smartphone adaptor was developed for use in clinical endoscopy (Figure 1) with a standard 70° rigid laryngoscope (Karl Storz Co). The adaptor consists of a smartphone holder, lenses, a lens tube, and mounts for the lens tube and for connection to the endoscope. The holders and connectors were designed using 3D modeling software (Solid Works) and printed by an SLM 3D printer (Objet260, Stratasys Ltd). A lens system was used to magnify the endoscope probe eyepiece image between the endoscope eyepiece and the smartphone camera and was held by 3D-printed parts. To make the system more cost-effective, a combination of 2 biconvex lenses was used instead of expensive achromatic lenses for magnification of the endoscopic image. The focal lengths of the 2 biconvex lenses were 50 mm and 15 mm, respectively; this optical setup could acquire images with approximately 1.5× magnification. Illumination was coupled into the illumination port on the endoscope. For endoscopic illumination, we used a broadband (360-770 nm) LED light source (X-Cite XYLIS, Excelitas Technologies Corp), which was connected to the illumination port of the endoscope with a liquid light guide.

Figure 1. Schematics of a customized smartphone-endoscope adaptor that enables high-speed laryngoscopy. (A) Smartphone camera and body specifications. (B) A photograph of the demonstrated smartphone adaptor incorporating a rigid clinical endoscope. (C) 3D models of the customized smartphone-endoscope adaptor. (D) Cross-sectional view of the customized smartphone-endoscope adaptor. (E) Schematic and lens simulations of the magnification lens system. AF: autofocus, FOV: field of view.

A specific smartphone model (Galaxy Note 9, Samsung) was used to capture endoscopic images at a high frame rate. The catalog specification provided by the manufacturer of the smartphone claims that this specific smartphone model can acquire high-speed images at 960 fps, for a maximum duration of 0.4 seconds, at 1280 × 720 pixel resolution [29]. The complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor sensor of the smartphone is specified for 12.0 megapixels (MP) and 1/2.55 inches (1.4 μm pixel size), and is packaged with a dual aperture mode.

Statistical and Data Analysis

The acquired high-speed vocal cord vibration images were segmented using the seeded region growing algorithm [30] to determine the amplitude of the vibrations on each side of the glottis [31]. Image analysis software was developed in MATLAB (MathWorks) to calculate the diagnostic parameters, including the vocal cord vibration frequencies, glottal edge phase shifts, and total glottal area changes.

Clinical Experiment

The developed smartphone-based imaging system was used to acquire a high-speed video of the vocal cords of 4 human subjects—1 healthy individual and 3 patients with known vocal pathologies. A board-certified otolaryngologist at Asan Medical Center with 15 years of experience followed common videokymography routines [11] to capture high-speed diagnostic videos in a clinical environment (Figure 2). The routine vocal cord examination was as follows: the first step was to interview the patient and assess their symptoms and voice status, and the
second step was videostrobolaryngoscopy or videokymography with an endoscope. Depending on the patient’s condition, additional tests such as computed tomography (CT) scans or a blood test may be required.

**Figure 2.** (A) A schematic representation of laryngeal imaging performed by the smartphone-based high-speed imaging system. (B) A clinical demonstration of the smartphone-based high-speed imaging system.

Before imaging human subjects, the smartphone-based HSDI system was adapted to the clinical endoscope by adjusting the fine focus and fixing the endoscope in place. Following confirmation that the received images were clear and focused, the clinician performed routine vocal cord evaluation using the endoscope-smartphone device. When the diagnosis routine was completed, the endoscope probe was wiped with a disinfectant to avoid cross-contamination between human subjects [32]. The whole procedure took less than a minute, thus avoiding undue burden on volunteers.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB #2020-0798) of Asan Medical Center, Seoul, under the Korean Bioethics and Safety Act and the Korean Medical Device Act.

**Results**

**System Characterization**

The US Air Force resolution target was used to evaluate the imaging performance of the smartphone-endoscope system (Figure 3A). The distance between the end of the endoscope probe and the resolution target was adjusted from 15 mm to 30 mm in order to determine the proper optical field of view (FOV) and resolution. At the highest resolution, the system resolved 23 line pairs per millimeter (lp/mm), which corresponded to 43.478 μm at a working distance of 15 mm. The resolution of the system was observed to decrease as the working distance was increased. In addition, the optical FOV was 7.8 mm × 7.8 mm at a 15 mm working distance, and 14.6 mm × 14.6 mm at a 30 mm working distance; thus the optical FOV increased approximately linearly with the working distance (Figure 3B).

**Figure 3.** (A) US Air Force target test image taken at 960 fps. (B) A graph of the measured image resolution and field of view as functions of working distance.

A custom frame rate test was designed to confirm the video frame rate of the customized high-speed system. An optical chopper wheel was used to create a time-dependent moving sample of known frequency. Two quadrants of a 4-quadrant optical chopper wheel mask were optically blocked, and the other 2 quadrants were left transparent as shown schematically in Figure 4. While the light source provided illumination from the endoscope, high frame rate videos were acquired with the optical chopper wheel rotating at 10 Hz. The acquired high frame rate videos were analyzed by image processing in
MATLAB, as light reflected from the chopper wheel was captured by the high-speed imaging system. The total intensity of light reflected from the 2 quadrants of the chopper wheel resulted in a series of image frames with a periodically modulated intensity signal that could be plotted frame by frame. The period of intensity modulation was calculated as the time interval between frames with peak intensities and was equal to half the rotational period of the optical chopper; this was measured to be 46.972 frames. Since the optical chopper was rotating at 10 Hz, the duration of a half-rotation was 0.05 seconds; therefore, the actual frame rate of the smartphone was 939.44 fps.

Figure 4. (A) Schematic of the system for high-speed imaging assessment. (B) Image series acquired over 50 frames. (C) A plot of the total image intensity by chopper wheel rotation. PC: personal computer.

Clinical Vocal Cord Vibration HSDI

The obtained HSDI vocal cord vibration videos were taken through a clinical diagnosis process. The results are presented in Figures 5 and 6. As depicted in Figure 5, the 940-fps HSDI video obtained from the clinical experiments was segmented into vocal cord area and glottal area for further analysis; this allowed us to obtain the parameters of the vocal cord with the largest contribution to clinical diagnosis. The parameters obtained from the vocal cord vibration HSDI data included the base vibration frequency of the vocal cord, the difference between the left glottal area and the right glottal area, and the total glottal area.

As shown in Figure 5, the data were obtained from the healthy subject, and patients with left vocal cord paralysis, chronic laryngitis, and right vocal cord polyp. The original RGB (red-green-blue) color image data were converted to grayscale, and the contrast was adjusted for glottal area segmentation using MATLAB. The seeded region growing method [30] was used to segment the glottal area from the image processed data. In addition, the anatomical midline of the vocal cord was drawn, after consultation with a clinician, to divide the left and right glottal areas.

The segmented glottal areas were analyzed in order to determine any differences between the data of the healthy subject and those of patients. From healthy subject data, normalized total glottal area changes are shown in Figure 6A. Using the fast Fourier transform, we were able to remove motion error and extract the base frequency of the healthy vocal cord. The fundamental frequency of the healthy subject, a 24-year-old female, was 224 Hz. The average fundamental frequency of adult females of this age is reported to be similar, at around 217 Hz [33]. Figure 6B shows the normalized total and lateral (left and right) glottal area changes in the vocal cords of a patient with left vocal cord paralysis. These data show that the amplitudes of the left vocal cord vibrations are significantly lower than those of the right vocal cord. The normalized total and lateral glottal areas of the patient with chronic laryngitis are shown in Figure 6C. Although the maximum amplitudes are similar to those of the healthy subject in both vocal cords, the minimum amplitude of each cycle differs from those of the healthy subject. The minimum amplitude value of each cycle in the healthy subject had almost zero area; this is because the vocal cord closes completely when the vocalizations are generated in a healthy subject. However, in the patient with chronic laryngitis, the minimum glottal area was larger than that in the healthy subject, because in chronic laryngitis the vocal cords do not close completely as sound is generated. Figure 6D shows the normalized total and lateral glottal areas for the vocal cords of a patient with a polyp on the right vocal cord. In this case, the vibrations of the right vocal cord were restricted by the polyp; therefore, the vibration amplitude of the right glottal area is less than that of the left glottal area. Similar to chronic laryngitis, the patient with the right vocal cord polyp had much larger minimum areas than the healthy subject; this phenomenon is caused by imperfect closure of the vocal cords due to the polyp.
Figure 5. High-speed images of the glottis and their segmentation. Raw vocal cord image (left), preprocessed image for segmentation (center), and area of the normal vocal cord by image segmentation (right). (A) The glottis of a healthy volunteer. (B) Images taken from a patient with left vocal cord paralysis. (C) Vocal analysis from a patient with chronic laryngitis. (D) Images from a patient with a right vocal cord polyp.
Figure 6. Plots of the normalized total glottal area (left) and a comparison of the normalized left and right glottal areas separated by the anatomical midline of the glottis over the course of a 0.4-second video at 940 fps (right) plotted for each patient: (A) normal healthy subject, (B) patient with left vocal cord paralysis, (C) patient with chronic laryngitis, and (D) patient with right vocal cord polyp.

Discussion

Principal Findings

Although many point-of-care devices have been developed based on smartphone systems, the full use of smartphone capabilities has been limited. Most smartphone-based point-of-care systems use chemical reactions of bodily fluids, including blood, saliva, sweat, and urine [18,34-36], and usage of smartphone functionalities are restricted to recognition of color changes or receiving electric signals generated by biochemical reactions. Most point-of-care devices are invasive, require bodily fluids, and their methods have limited applicability for noninvasive diagnostics. In these cases, the function of the camera is restricted to basic image acquisition.
Another way to integrate the smartphone into a point-of-care device would be by using the built-in functionality of a smartphone. Recently, consumer demand has resulted in rapid upgrades to embedded smartphone camera technology, resulting in unique functionality that can be incorporated into a special purpose imaging device.

Although commercialized HSDI devices enable unparalleled diagnoses, they also have several limitations. Their high cost and need for additional connection to video transmission processors like PCI-e (Peripheral Component Interconnect Express) frame grabbers make their application difficult for users in underdeveloped countries. In comparison, our smartphone-based HSDI system combines a printed endoscope-smartphone adapter and a low-cost commercial lens, demonstrating that even with low-cost components, it is possible to acquire high-speed digital images of vocal cord vibrations with existing clinical endoscopes.

Normally, images of vocal cord vibrations obtained by HSDI are postprocessed before being used in actual clinical practice. First, masking areas are drawn manually by the clinician, and the area is then plotted or processed for clinically relevant parameters. While this is traditionally performed by examining a series of individual frames superimposed on a laryngeal paralysis stroboscopic recording, or digital kymograms superimposed on wavefronts [37-39], modern computing makes it possible to extract glottal areas frame by frame using semiautomated image segmentation. As a result, the vocal cord areas and the glottal areas are divided and segmented as shown in Figure 5A. The clinical diagnostics obtained by the above process are the base cord and lateral phase difference of the vocal cord. The base cord is defined as the amount of change in the area of the entire glottal region, while the lateral phase difference is defined as the amount of change in the edge length of the left and right glottal areas [40].

The patient data obtained from our device made it possible to identify some well-known differences between patients with voice pathologies and the healthy subject. These results suggest that the smartphone-based HSDI equipment has the potential to be used to diagnose various voice diseases.

We first obtained clinical control data from a healthy female volunteer. The fundamental frequency appeared to be 224 Hz, which is similar to the average vocal cord fundamental frequency of adult females in this age range, which has been reported to be 217 Hz [33]. Although typical HSDI guidelines call for 7 to 10 frames per vibrational period, in this demonstration vocal cord period, amplitudes at normal speaking frequencies were successfully captured with 3- to 4-fold frame rates, demonstrating the suitability of this technology for clinical diagnostics.

Several clinically relevant features that differentiated the healthy control from the patients with voice pathologies were successfully observed. As shown in Figure 6, diagnosis of glottal pathologies can be easily obtained from plots of the vocal cord areas as calculated by segmentation of the glottal area in the images. In all patients, vocal impairments were shown by the limited amplitude of glottal vibration. In the patient with the one-sided vocal cord paralysis, the diagnosis presented as severely limited vibrational amplitude on one side, while chronic laryngitis presented with limited closure of the vocal cords. A polyp on one side of the focal cord displayed both limited closure and limited vibrational amplitude. Although our system does not achieve the high frame rate of clinical videokymography [37], the data analysis achieved from a 0.4 second chip is comparable in quality to that of videostroboscopy [38,41], capturing phase shifts of vibration, amplitude, vibrational asymmetry, and characteristic immobilization for diagnosis.

Commercially available HSDI systems, as shown in Table 1, have list prices of more than $10,000, and require installation of PCI cards to acquire an image series. Conversely, the smartphone-based HSDI system developed in this study can be configured for less than $500, which includes manufacturing of all 3D-printed parts and lens optics. The manufacturing costs of plastic parts could be further reduced by mass production. Furthermore, no additional connection interfaces are required to capture video, since the video interface used is included in the smartphone software. These calculations exclude the price of the smartphone, as smartphones are widely distributed in underserved markets. However, even if specific models of smartphone are required for smartphone-based HSDI systems, the price of a new smartphone is significantly less than that of a standard HSDI system. In addition, since smartphones can be connected to both Wi-Fi and mobile internet, users can easily transfer the captured video via wireless communication for analysis in remote regions. In developing countries, large hospitals may be significantly removed from the point of care, and this mobility can help to distribute medical service more equitably. Our system is simple and has intuitive maintenance requirements; therefore, it is easier to educate medical students in countries where medical schools are limited, although requirements for the cleaning of endoscopes complicate training and distribution.
Table 1. Comparison between a commercial high-speed digital imaging (HSDI) system and the smartphone-based HSDI system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Commercial HSDI system (FASTCAM MC2, Photron)</th>
<th>Smartphone-based HSDI system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame rate</td>
<td>4000 fps</td>
<td>940 fps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel count</td>
<td>512 × 512</td>
<td>1280 × 720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra connection interface</td>
<td>Gigabit Ethernet</td>
<td>USB 3.0 port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>&gt;USD $10,000, excluding computer</td>
<td>&lt;USD $400, excluding smartphone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Camera: 35 mm × 35 mm × 34 mm</td>
<td>Smartphone: 76 mm × 162 mm × 9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processor: 195 mm × 159 mm × 130 mm</td>
<td>Adaptor: 115 mm × 120 mm × 80 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Camera: 100 g</td>
<td>Smartphone: 201 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processor: 5000 g</td>
<td>Adaptor: 295 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total: 5100 g</td>
<td>Total: 496 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the smartphone-based HSDI system has a higher resolution than a traditional videostroboscope. Although the main focus of HSDI is a high frame rate, higher resolution enables the user to observe details that can assist with diagnosis. However, the advantages of mobility and price come with trade-offs in the form of device performance. Due to the limitations of the built-in smartphone functions, images can only be acquired at a maximum of 940 fps, which is considerably lower than that of the commercial HSDI system, which can achieve 4000 to 8000 fps. Typically, the fundamental vocal cord frequency for patients aged 17 to 25 years ranges from 115-132 Hz for males and 200-260 Hz for females [33]. Therefore, the smartphone-based system only captures 3 to 8 frames per vibration cycle, whereas 15 to 34 frames would be captured per vibration with the traditional HSDI. This frame rate is sufficiently high to detect the tendency of changes in the vocal cord area, but not sufficient to observe the details of each vibration cycle. This restriction may omit the detail necessary to diagnose certain conditions of the vocal cord. Therefore, additional filtering is required for frequency analysis.

Furthermore, the limitation on image capture duration to only 0.4 seconds at a time results in some pitfalls for clinical imaging, as it may be necessary for clinicians to repeat imaging in order to secure sufficient data for clinical evaluation. Although 0.4 seconds corresponds to 376 frames (47 vibrations), a clinician may have to take several HSDI videos from the smartphone to obtain sufficient data for analysis. Another drawback of the smartphone-based HSDI system developed in this study is that it requires a stronger endoscopic light source. As the charge-coupled device of smartphone cameras has lower photosensitivity than commercially available HSDI systems, a normal endoscope light source would not yield quality data. The stronger light source requires more power and can cause heat dissipation to become a consideration in the design of the device.

In the future, to overcome the aforementioned limitations, the development of more compact and optically shielded adaptors will be required. Emerging imaging solutions such as artificial intelligence–based image processing and deep learning could be applied to HSDI images of the vocal cord to overcome inadequate frame rates and acquisition times.

Conclusions
Recent advances in smartphone technology, particularly in the domain of image sensors, have produced technology which rivals the performance of existing commercial high-speed cameras. In this study, we investigated whether the HSDI system currently used for vocal cord assessment can be replaced to some extent by using the high-speed video acquisition function from a smartphone camera. In validating the imaging capabilities of our smartphone-based high-speed endoscopy system against existing HSDI technology, our results demonstrate that it is possible to process clinical images for useful diagnostic reference values of healthy subjects. Furthermore, the possibility of disease diagnosis for actual patients was presented. Further development of smartphone-based HSDI technology is necessary to improve the distribution of health care capabilities.
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Abstract

Background: Hospital admissions due to the acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) are costly for individuals and health services. Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) is known to reduce hospital readmissions when delivered after hospitalization, but the uptake and completion of PR following hospitalization remains poor (<10% of those eligible in the UK audit data). A web-based platform of the SPACE (Self-management Program of Activity Coping and Education) for COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) has previously shown promising results in patients with stable COPD but has not been tested following an AECOPD.

Objective: This study aims to assess the feasibility and acceptability of a web-based self-management program.

Methods: A nonrandomized feasibility study for patients with confirmed AECOPD who were deemed web literate was conducted. All patients consented during their hospitalization and received access to the website following discharge in addition to usual care. The program aims to facilitate patients to better understand and manage their condition through education and home-based exercises. Participants were asked to complete the Bristol COPD Knowledge Questionnaire at baseline and after 6 months. A total of 14 participants were also interviewed (n=8 completers; n=6 noncompleters) regarding their experiences with the web-based program and trial. The interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis.

Results: In total, 2080 patients were screened for eligibility, of which 100 patients (age: mean 71.2 years, SD 9.3 years; male: 55/100, 55%; forced expiratory volume in 1 second/forced vital capacity ratio: mean 0.46, SD 0.14; pack-years: mean 50.2, SD 31.0; current smokers: 35/100, 35%) were recruited (4.8% of those screened). The main reason for ineligibility was a lack of web literacy (1366/1980, 68.98%). In total, 18% (18/100) of patients had completed the web program by 6 months, with others still registered in the program (27/100, 27%), and more than half did not register (55/100, 55%). There was a mean change in Bristol COPD Knowledge Questionnaire scores at 6 months of 7.8 (SD 10.2) points. Qualitative interviews identified three main themes: preparing for, engagement with, and benefits of the study and program. A total of 57% (57/100) accepted a referral to PR on discharge and 19% (19/100) had completed the program after 6 months.

Conclusions: On the basis of the challenges of recruiting, retaining, and engaging participants in a web-based self-management program, it is not a feasible approach to roll out widely. This study acknowledges that this is a challenging time for patients with an AECOPD to engage in exercise and self-management education. However, for patients who were able to engage in such an intervention, the completion rate of PR was double the previous audit estimates from the United Kingdom, disease knowledge improved, and the intervention was of value to patients.

Trial Registration: ISRCTN Registry 13081008; https://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN13081008
Introduction

Background

Hospital admissions for the acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) represent a huge burden to the individual in terms of muscle dysfunction, breathlessness, and inactivity [1]. Furthermore, the AECOPD is costly to health services, particularly when an inpatient stay is required [2,3]. Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) is a high-value and cost-effective intervention that is safe and improves exercise capacity and quality of life [4], outplaying pharmacotherapy and telehealth [5], and may offer a survival advantage for those who complete the program [6]. As such, PR is recommended by national and international guidelines soon after an exacerbation [7,8]. Despite this guidance and the established benefits of PR, access to, uptake to, and completion of postexacerbation rehabilitation are very poor. In the United Kingdom, less than 10% of all hospital discharges for the AECOPD completed posthospitalization PR [9]. More recently, the UK National Audit found that only 3% of the audit caseload is for postexacerbation rehabilitation, with the rest attributed to patients with stable respiratory disease. We also know from data from the United Kingdom that often the suitability for rehabilitation is not assessed at discharge by the clinician in as many as 44% of cases [10]. Therefore, many potentially suitable patients are missing from this valuable intervention. This problem is not unique to the United Kingdom; indeed, recent figures from the United States suggest that only 1.9% of patients hospitalized for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbation receive PR within 6 months of discharge. The rate of uptake varies widely according to geographic region and ethnicity [11].

The reasons for nonuptake and poor completion are underpinned by complex reasons; some are related to the organization and system of delivery and others to patients’ individual choices [12,13]. A key problem in the postexacerbation phase is that patients feel too unwell or breathless to attend a hospital or community program [14]. At the time of starting this study, there had been no randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of interventions to increase the uptake of early rehabilitation following exacerbation. One quasi-randomized study (n=115) with a high risk of bias indicated greater program completion and attendance rates in participants allocated to PR alongside a tablet computer (support for exercise training) compared with controls (PR only [15]). Other studies have explored the role of changing the timing of postexacerbation rehabilitation by moving this into the perie exacerbation phase (inpatient [16]) or by delaying the start for 7 weeks [17] or 6 months [18]. These initiatives have had little benefit over control conditions, and recruitment was a challenge for delayed studies [17,18]. One feasibility study has also looked to reduce sedentary time in those hospitalized with an AECOPD using wearable technology (a vibration prompt to move at intervals throughout the day) for 2 weeks postdischarge [19]. Collectively, patients responded to 32.6% (106/325) of vibration prompts from the waist-worn device. Qualitative interviews indicated that being unwell and overwhelmed after an exacerbation was the main reason for not engaging with the intervention, and retention in this study was poor (52%).

To address the problem of uptake, over the past 10 years, we have developed home-based alternatives to attending a traditional center or community-based PR. These remote models have become increasingly relevant in the era of COVID-19, as the social distancing measures taken in many countries to suppress transmission of SARS-CoV-2 have had an immediate and profound effect on the provision of PR services [20]. SPACE (Self-management Program of Activity Coping and Education) for COPD is a self-management program of activity, coping, and education, which was coproduced as a 4-stage manual by health care professionals and patients [21]. In a series of studies, it has been shown to improve symptoms and exercise tolerance above usual care control groups and is noninferior to PR for improvements in quality of life [22,23]. When delivered in a hospital, SPACE for COPD was able to improve the quality of life and readiness for home above the control [24]. More recently, we have transitioned the SPACE for COPD program to a web-based format because there is a real ambition for health care services to engage with new technologies [25]. This has been driven by data showing that internet use in the >75-year age group is rising rapidly, closing the gap in the younger age groups [26]. Clearly, these would be the target age groups for rehabilitation interventions. To this end, we tested the web-based version of SPACE for COPD in secondary care and found the approach to be feasible and acceptable when compared with standard rehabilitation [27]. However, we have not yet tested the web-based version of SPACE for COPD in an acute, hospitalized population. We also know that patients are very inactive upon hospital discharge [28]; therefore, home-based solutions that act as a stepping stone to outpatient PR may be warranted. Otherwise, there is a drastic increase in patient expectations.

Objective

The primary aim of this study is to assess the feasibility and acceptability of a web-based program for individuals hospitalized with COPD exacerbation.

Methods

Population

This was a single-center, nonrandomized feasibility study. All patients admitted with an exacerbation of COPD to Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, were screened for eligibility by the specialist COPD nursing team and given at least 24 hours to consider the information. We included patients with an email address who were web literate (used a tablet, PC, laptop, or device at least
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once per week). To assess this, we asked patients about the types of web-based activities used, the time spent on the web, and the types of web-based activities for each patient (eg, emailing, internet banking, and web-based shopping). A decision on web literacy was at the discretion of the recruiting clinician. The program was predominately delivered via a tablet. Patients could use their own device or borrow an Android tablet, for instance, if they usually borrowed a family member or did not have access for the duration of the study. Borrowed devices were locked (SureLock software, 42Gears Mobility Systems Limited), other than for access to the SPACE for COPD website. People with significant neuromuscular or cardiovascular comorbidities limiting physical activity (typical exclusion criteria for PR) and those who were unable to read and write in English were excluded. Currently, the website is available only in the English language.

**Intervention**

Patients were given access to the SPACE for COPD program as an inpatient. A passport card with log-in information and staff contact details and a user manual were given to the patient on discharge along with a verbal introduction to the program (alongside viewing this on a tablet) by the COPD nursing team.

SPACE for COPD is an interactive web-based program that offers a comprehensive package of exercise and self-management education. The program was structured to guide the user through four stages, each of which has specific tasks that the user needs to achieve before progressing to the next stage. Tasks included creating and updating their own short-term goals, completing knowledge tests on COPD and exercising safely, and reading or watching videos on specific topics, such as inhaler techniques or healthy eating. The program was described in detail by Chaplin et al [27]. In stage 2, patients were asked to record their aerobic walking exercise, and for this study, we devised a symptom diary that linked to the patients’ individual exacerbation action plan. The web-based program usually takes approximately 11 weeks to complete for patients with stable COPD [27], although this patient cohort had access for 1 year, to promote long-term behavior change and maintenance. However, the outcomes were assessed at 6 months. Special features of the program include videoconferencing (where patients could have a live consultation with the COPD nursing team at an allocated time), a moderated blog section (where patients could share their experiences with others), and an ask the expert facility (to email the COPD nursing team). The ask the expert emails were monitored by the specialist COPD nursing team during working hours (Monday to Friday, 8 AM-4 PM). Prompts to log on to the website and record activity were automatically generated by the program and sent via email if patients failed to record activity for 7 consecutive days. Patients also received a telephone call from specialist COPD nurses within the first 5 days following discharge (as is usual care).

Usual care, including referral to and attendance at PR, was not affected by this trial; patients received a telephone call from the COPD nursing team within 5 days of discharge and had a scheduled follow-up appointment with a consultant within 3 months of discharge.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome was the feasibility of the intervention (uptake to the intervention: percentage of patients recruited out of the total number screened and completion rates of the Bristol COPD Knowledge Questionnaire [BCKQ]). This questionnaire was chosen because the authors felt that it was the least likely to be influenced by illness and natural recovery following the AECOPD. The secondary outcomes were the acceptability of the intervention and trial (qualitative interviews), intervention engagement (web usage statistics: number of log-ins and use of web features captured directly from the administrator section of the website), and uptake to outpatient PR (uptake and completion rates in those referred). All outcomes were assessed at baseline (in hospital upon enrollment to the study) and 6 months following enrollment in the study, regardless of whether the patient had engaged with the web-based program or PR during the 6-month period.

**Analysis**

**Quantitative Analysis**

Data are described as mean (SD), median (IQR), or frequency (%), as appropriate. No inferential statistics were obtained owing to the feasibility nature of the trial. As is convention with feasibility studies, a formal sample size is not required [29]. A total of 100 patients were recruited.

**Qualitative Analysis**

To measure patients’ views on the acceptability of the web-based program and the study, qualitative interviews were conducted with completers and dropouts on a purposive sampling basis (completer and noncompleter interview schedules are shown in Multimedia Appendices 1 and 2 respectively). Patients who agreed to be contacted for an interview were approached following the 6-month study time point, as it was anticipated that most patients would have accessed and/or completed the web-based program by this point.

The interviews were conducted by the qualitative researcher AB, who was relatively naïve to the web-based program and study processes. After each interview, the researcher wrote reflective and methodological notes to assist the analytic process and enhance the rigor of the results. The interviews were audio recorded and transcribed verbatim using an external source. Analysis of the interviews was conducted manually using the thematic analysis framework by Braun and Clarke [30]. The six phases of the framework were followed by AB, LHW, SJS, and MO, who independently coded the interviews. These phases included data familiarization, data coding, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining themes, and composing the narrative. Agreement of themes was made by the four coders.

**Results**

**Primary Outcome**

A total of 2080 patients were screened over 2 years (May 2015 to September 2017) to obtain a sample of 100 patients. The proportion of patients recruited as 4.8% of those screened...
The predominant reason for exclusion in approximately (1366/1980, 68.98%) of cases was that patients were not web literate or did not have an email address. Table 1 provides the reasons for exclusion or nonuptake.

### Table 1. Reasons for exclusion or nonuptake (N=1980).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Patients, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not web literate, no email address</td>
<td>1366 (68.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwilling</td>
<td>297 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities precluding involvement in the study</td>
<td>238 (12.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has done pulmonary rehabilitation or SPACE(^a) previously (did not want to do it again)</td>
<td>40 (2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On another research study</td>
<td>20 (1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to read English</td>
<td>19 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)SPACE: Self-management Program of Activity Coping and Education.

Of the participants recruited, the mean age of participants was 71 (SD 9) years; they had severe disease and a mean smoking pack-year history of 50.2 (SD 31.0) years (Table 2). There was a good split between male and female participants: 55% (55/100) males, 35% (35/100) were current smokers, and most had at least one other comorbidity (93/100, 93%).

### Table 2. Baseline characteristics of recruited participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Value, mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>71.2 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>28.1 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1)/FVC(^b)</td>
<td>46.2 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1) (% predicted)</td>
<td>44.8 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years</td>
<td>50.2 (31.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)FEV\(_1\): forced expiratory volume in 1 second.

\(^b\)FVC: forced vital capacity.

### Secondary Outcomes

#### Disease Knowledge

The change in the BCKQ score was 7.8 (SD 10.2) points, an increase of 21% (prescreening score: mean 37.1, SD 9.5; postscreening score: mean 44.9, SD 9.4). This was done in 42 patients who returned the questionnaires at 6 months.

Qualitative interviews with a sample of 14 patients (n=8 completers; n=6 noncompleters) identified three themes, with a total of eight subthemes. The relationships between the themes are presented in Figure 1. The identified themes were preparing for, engaging with, and benefits of the web-based program.

### Figure 1. Qualitative thematic map.
Preparing for: Doing It for Me

The patients described a number of reasons why the web-based program incited their internal motivation to engage with a telerehabilitation program. Some studies have described the fear they experienced from a recent COPD exacerbation as a catalyst:

...when you’re recovering from something that frightens you to death, you say yes to everything. So that’s why I said yes. [Participant 69, completer]

They also felt that the program offered an opportunity to learn how to manage their condition more successfully through the ongoing support of health care professionals:

I wanted to learn more and to see if there was anything that would be beneficial to the breathing obviously. Because I mean we know that the rehabilitation works. I can vouch for that. Unfortunately it has a very short shelf life unless you continue it. So I was hoping that just get information because there really isn’t that much information. Your own GP doesn’t seem to have, I mean I’ve got a fantastic GP, but he hasn’t got a lot of information on COPD. If you have a problem, he’ll refer you to the respiratory nurse who comes about once a year. [Participant 39, completer]

The appeal of a home-based program was also evident among patients. They felt it offered them the ability to complete the program at their own pace, and this flexibility allowed them to fit it into their established routines:

...she said you can do it at home on your laptop and in my own time. I haven’t got to go out the house, well I’ve got to go out the house to walk. [Participant 100, noncompleter]

Preparing for: Doing It for Others

A subset of patients described external influences as factors that motivated them to engage in the program. Some felt that the reassurance health care professionals offered was what they needed to engage with the program. Others felt that their families were instrumental in encouraging their engagement with the program. One patient described how the support of her family gave her the confidence to engage:

but I thought—like my children said to me, if you’re not doing that mamm, what are you going to be doing. Thought well, yeah, they’re right, why shouldn’t I do it. [Participant 52, completer]

For another, the program was viewed as an opportunity to share the learning materials with their loved ones in an effort to improve both their health:

...I was hoping that at the end of it I would have a bit more information as to - because my missus had got COPD as well and there was something we could learn from it. [Participant 39, completer]

Preparing for: Computer Literacy Skills and Suitability

Overall, patients felt that their computer literacy skills were vital to their ability to engage with the program. Despite the assessment of computer literacy skills before enrollment in the study, some patients felt that their age or generation acted as a barrier to their ability and many lacked confidence in their skills:

I mean for you and for your generation, you don’t even think about it do you, you just do it. For us, we never had it. It was chalk and slate! [Participant 39, completer]

Engaging With: Navigating the Program

All patients felt that the program was complex in nature and learning to navigate it felt like a steep learning curve. Some felt it was too steep a learning curve for their computer literacy skills, which resulted in reduced motivation to engage with the program or, for some, to disengage from the program entirely:

...you spend more time on the computer than walking. So that defeated the object with me anyway. [Participant 100, noncompleter]

To tackle this issue, some patients sought help from family members or health care professionals but still found the interface challenging and at times overwhelming:

It wouldn’t let me in. I tried it on Safari and it kept refusing me and then I finally got on...And I just couldn’t get on with it. I got it on in the end with the help from my daughter and then all the questionnaires, never ending, just one page after another...what’s your lung capacity? How do I know? What is it when you breathe in, what is it when you breathe out?—terrible! [Participant 100, noncompleter]

For those patients who persevered with the program, they felt self-discipline, self-motivation, and allocating time to learn how to navigate the program were essential to their progression:

As I say, after about three to four weeks I was very confident or confident, I’ve never been very confident at any of it, confident, but I must be honest it did take me about that long before I felt happy doing it. You know, I did it and I’d sit there for ages doing it and my missus would say to me have you done it yet? But, you know, you’d persevere.... [Participant 39, completer]

Some patients expressed frustration due to the interaction they had with the program, particularly the email reminders to exercise daily as they felt it lacked the acknowledgment of their individual internal and external barriers to exercise:

I found myself getting a bit wound up when I’d not carried out certain tasks to the satisfaction of the, you know, the interaction was you haven’t exercised this week. No I haven’t because I’ve not been well! [Participant 69, completer]

Other patients embraced the exercise reminders and enjoyed the little nudge, as it helped them develop exercise habits:

I found it very good because you’re doing it at your own pace. And it wasn’t excessive and it just gave you that little nudge in the back of your mind. You’d look at your emails and it would come up SPACE and
you’d think oh ah. It were more like, not a guilt trip, that’s wrong, but it just give you that little nudge and you think. But once you got into it, you know, you did it automatic which was a good thing. [Participant 39, completer]

A further facility to initiative interaction with health care professionals was the teleconferencing option, but this was not used by any participant. Some patients felt it was too advanced for them, others felt it lacked a personal approach from staff, and some were unaware of this option:

I think I did see it, but that, I’m not, I’m better off person to person, not person to screen. [Participant 78, noncompleter]

Engaging With: Exercise Component

For patients who reached the exercise component of the program (stage 2), many felt goal setting was beneficial, as it motivated them to exercise more, which in turn had a positive impact on their quality of life:

Yeah, my breathing is better when I’ve done the exercises, when I’ve done the walking. It don’t feel at the time, I’m gasping as hell. Later in the day you feel the benefits of it. It always clears your lungs out, it clears your lungs doing the walk. And I enjoy that. [Participant 100, noncompleter]

The daily recording and monitoring of the patients completed also helped some to identify patterns in their exercise behavior, and from these patterns, they could identify earlier onset of COPD exacerbations:

And what was interesting with that was if you were honest and put it down on the programme, you started to see a pattern building up. And I don’t know what the pattern meant or whatever but you could see when you were coming up to, you know, one of the and you needed the antibiotics, you could see it before. [Participant 39, completer]

However, patients felt that their ability to exercise was restricted by factors outside their control. For example, some did not prioritize exercise if they felt unwell or if the weather was deemed inclement. However, one patient who faced this scenario did not let this deter him from exercising:

I go on my bike when I can’t go out then I’ll stand and do a few knee exercises, stretches and bending and things. [Participant 100, noncompleter]

Engaging With: Education Component

Overall, patients felt that the education component developed their understanding of COPD and increased their knowledge and skills regarding self-management. They felt that the program highlighted the importance of exercise and gave them important practical skills:

The breathing, the way that you breathe. The necessity to exercise is the thing that comes out of all of it, even if it’s only small amounts. And if you can’t hit what you set yourself to do, don’t beat yourself up with it. Just do it, do the best you can, and that’s the thing that came out of it. If you can’t do what you want to do on that particular day, do what you can do. [Participant 39, completer]

Some patients felt that the program also helped them understand the relationship between COPD and mood. This understanding helped them recognize their feelings as a natural reaction to living with a long-term condition:

...one of the other things that came out about it was things like getting depressed. I don’t tend to get depressed, I tend to get fed up with it all and you think is this it, whatever? Which is again very normal but you don’t know that and you think what’s happening? They tell you that. [Participant 39, completer]

Engaging With: External Influencers

Some patients felt that their ability to complete the program was affected by factors outside of their control, which reduced their motivation and level of discipline. Exacerbations of COPD, comorbidities, and becoming a caregiver for a loved one were all described as reasons for noncompletion:

So once I got used to it I didn’t really have a problem with it. And I followed that religiously. And then we hit a snag, but it was nothing to do with the course. My missus had to go into hospital for three months because she had a brain haemorrhage. So when she came out, she still needed, we needed to put the time in to get her back to normal again, which was three, four, five months. So that then took a little bit of a backseat and I found then I couldn’t quite catch up. [Participant 39, completer]

Benefits of: Reflections and Testimonials

Patients who engaged in the program felt it gave them a focus and drive to take control of their health. Patients felt that the program helped them reassess their illness perceptions, which, in turn, made them feel less self-conscious, more confident in self-managing their COPD, and gave them hope for the future:

I feel in control of it. Instead of it controlling me [Participant 52, completer]

Benefits of: Changes in Behavior

Some patients felt that the program inspired them to challenge their health behaviors and encouraged them to make positive changes. Patients attributed increases in daily exercise to the program, and others found affirmation for smoking cessation:

[I’ve learned] Never to smoke again. [Participant 88, completer]

Program completers and noncompleters all felt that the program helped them find enjoyment in exercise, and this encouraged a continuation of exercise following program completion. To facilitate this, some patients purchased exercise equipment, downloaded fitness apps, recorded their daily exercise, and began to embed exercise into their daily routine. This increase in exercise meant that some participants were now more capable of carrying out their daily activities:
those with a lower socioeconomic status and those with more banking or navigating websites. For instance, older patients, years is increasing [26], this may range from simply sending we are aware that, although web usage among people aged >75 profession to practice preventative care provision self-efficacy and engagement and by supporting health care They perceived digital health technologies as potentially active role in self-management using digital health technologies. Slevin et al [31], patients reported a willingness to take a more up to the study; it may be that patients overestimated their population (stable—complete within 11 weeks [27]). The lack of engagement in the program was poor. At 6 months, only 18% (18/100) of participants had completed the web-based program, 27% (27/100) were still registered, and 55% (55/100) had not registered, despite prompts by email and a phone call from the nursing team within the first 5 days following discharge. The fact that one-fourth were still registered at 6 months suggests that the acute population may need longer to complete the program compared with a stable population.

Uptake to PR

Of the total 100 participants in the sample, 57 accepted a referral for rehabilitation. Of these, 47 were assessed, and 35 started a program; 19% (19/100) of the total population completed either a hospital or community outpatient rehabilitation program.

Discussion

Principal Findings

On the basis of the challenges of recruiting, retaining, and engaging participants in the SPACE for COPD web-based self-management program, it is not a feasible approach to roll out widely. In the face of organizational pressure to provide health information on the web and despite statistics suggesting otherwise, digital literacy in this group was lower than anticipated, as evidenced by the low number of patients deemed to be web literate.

Engagement in the program was poor. At 6 months, only 18% (18/100) had completed the web-based program, 27% (27/100) were still registered, and 55% (55/100) had not registered, despite prompts via email and phone calls from the nursing team. The fact that one-fourth of participants were still registered at 6 months perhaps indicates that an acute population takes longer to complete the program compared with a stable population (stable—complete within 11 weeks [27]). The lack of engagement is surprising, given that patients willingly signed up to the study; it may be that patients overestimated their information technology skills. In a recent qualitative study by Slevin et al [31], patients reported a willingness to take a more active role in self-management using digital health technologies. They perceived digital health technologies as potentially enhancing their self-management skills by improving self-efficacy and engagement and by supporting health care professionals to practice preventative care provision. However, we are aware that, although web usage among people aged >75 years is increasing [26], this may range from simply sending an email through to more complex tasks such as web-based banking or navigating websites. For instance, older patients, those with a lower socioeconomic status and those with more severe health needs are less likely to use technology or to handle eHealth-based tasks [32]. This is of concern given that the PR community has been challenged to rapidly provide innovative and alternative ways of delivering rehabilitation in the face of the COVID-19 epidemic, despite the evidence that the efficacy of digital self-management and rehabilitation programs in COPD is uncertain [33]. It may be that for this population, additional training on using the website will be required with a competency assessment built in to increase uptake, engagement, and completion.

The patient interviews revealed a strong divide among participants’ willingness to engage in the program. Those who engaged demonstrated competent computer literacy skills, attributed more value to the program, experienced more external motivation from it, and were able to use it flexibly to adapt to their health and other life events. Those who did not engage with the program experienced greater difficulty in navigating the website, which they felt reduced their internal and external motivation to complete the program. This finding was also true of the TELEKAT (Telehomecare, Chronic Patients and the Integrated Healthcare System) study [34], in which severe to very severe patients with COPD expressed commitment to the program if they had a prior interest in telehealth or new technologies. However, this commitment did waiver when patients experienced COPD flare-ups. Therefore, it would be helpful to identify patients who would benefit from a different approach, particularly around the time of an exacerbation.

These results suggest that patients’ exercise behavior was influenced by their intention to exercise, and this was further negated by their internal and external motivation, their opportunity to exercise, and their physical ability to exercise. These findings support the capability, opportunity, motivation, and behavior model of behavior change [35], which describes how behavior is driven by intention, which is further negated by motivation, opportunity, and capability. The model predicts that when one or more of these factors are reduced, the intention to carry out the behavior is also reduced.

However, in those recruited to this study, the completion rate of PR was 19%. This is double the previous audit estimates from the United Kingdom and significantly higher than the 1.9% proposed recently from the United States [9,11]. In addition, disease knowledge improved by 21% in this cohort. Although there is no accepted minimum clinically important difference for BCKQ, typical changes following outpatient PR are in the region of 18% [36].

Therefore, we would conclude that web-based strategies may be a viable stepping stone to postexacerbation PR in those able and willing to engage with the program.

Limitations

The main limitation of the study is that it was not an RCT; therefore, the effects of natural recovery were not considered. However, we chose to look at disease knowledge as a secondary outcome (rather than an outcome such as exercise tolerance or muscle strength), which is less likely to be influenced by exacerbation recovery. Furthermore, as this was a feasibility study, we did not intend to infer clinical effectiveness. We
accept that postal returns of questionnaires are not the most reliable way to return data, and we followed this up with a phone call prompt to increase data completion. It may have been interesting to examine the differences in outcomes such as hospital readmission and uptake to PR in a matched usual care–only cohort (ie, those not receiving the web program), but we did not seek ethical approval to do this.

This was also a single-center study, which may introduce selection bias and decrease the generalizability of the findings to other settings. Further concordance of qualitative themes between the four coders using statistical methods (eg, χ2) would have strengthened these data further.

As stated previously, patients or recruiting clinicians may have overestimated the patients’ ability to navigate the web program. This was despite strictly adhering to our screening criteria (must be web literate and must have a valid email address), a validated digital literacy measure would have been useful to aid screening.

The timing of enrollment in the study and introduction of the intervention were pragmatic and not standardized. We tried to do this as close to discharge as possible, but this was not always easy to predict in practice. We appreciate that a hospital admission may not be the best time for patients to be receptive to new information. In particular, it appears that cognitive function is specifically impaired during exacerbation but may recover [37]. Therefore, shifting our intervention introduction to a later time point following discharge may have been preferable.

Although the completion of postexacerbation rehabilitation in this study is impressive, it is of course with the caveat that these were self-selecting, enthusiastic research participants rather than all comers. Therefore, it is likely that these participants had a personal interest in self-management of their disease. In addition, because the web-based program acted as a graduated transition into outpatient PR, there may have been participants who stopped using the web-based program when they started PR or vice versa (ie, used the web program and did not attend PR). We have not been able to tease out these nuances in our data.

Comparison With Prior Work

Several gaps still exist in the literature on the topic of increasing access to and participation in PR. There may be instances where completion rates for PR are buried within manuscripts as a secondary outcome, but as it is not the primary outcome, it is unlikely to change guidance. This may be because we have no idea what an acceptable level of uptake or completion would be; therefore, studies tend to choose primary outcomes based on the quality of life or exercise measures, where we already have a wealth of data or minimum clinically important difference values. Therefore, high-quality research is needed to review complex interventions with uptake or completion as the primary outcome.

In addition, we might assume that many centers have adapted their programs in pragmatic service improvement initiatives to increase acceptability without robust testing of these methods. This would account for the gaps in the literature.

Since we have completed our study, one RCT has been published, which evaluated the effect of a co-designed (by patients and health care professionals) education video as an adjunct to usual care on posthospitalization PR uptake. PR uptake was 41% and 34% in the usual care and intervention groups, respectively (P = .37), with no differences in secondary (PR referral and completion) or safety (readmissions and death) outcomes. Unfortunately, 40% (6/15) of participants interviewed did not recall receiving the video.

Other digital health apps or telerehabilitation have been reported in the literature for COPD populations [38,39]. Of note, the myCOPD app is a web-based 6-week rehabilitation program, which was found to be noninferior to a conventional PR delivered in face-to-face sessions in terms of the effects on walking distance and symptom scores (COPD assessment test) at 7 weeks [38]. The program was safe and well tolerated; however, it is worth noting that the population recruited was milder with fewer comorbidities than is usually reported in PR studies and that adherence to exercise sessions was slightly lower in the web-based group than in the face-to-face sessions per week [38]. The same app has been routinely offered to stable patients in Hammersmith and Fulham Respiratory Clinics (London, United Kingdom). Although two-thirds of patients were eligible to use the app (64%, 163/253), this has not translated into uptake (56%); 15% (297/1980) of our population declined to part or engage with the PR program (10%), and this challenges the assumption that this digital app can be delivered as a suitable alternative to standard PR [40]. It is not clear what engagement referred to in this report, but if it was the completion of the app program, then a 10% noncompletion rate is disappointing and concurs with poor completion of the web program at 6 months in this study (18/100, 18%). Recently, Polgar et al [41] assessed the digital habits of patients referred to PR during the COVID-19 pandemic. There was significant heterogeneity in access to and confidence in using the internet, with 31% having never previously accessed the internet, 48% confident using the internet, and 29% reporting no interest in accessing any component of PR through a web-based application [41].

A recent qualitative work by Janaudis-Ferreira et al [42] has begun to set the stage to design a more acceptable PR program following an exacerbation of COPD. In this study, one-on-one interviews were conducted to explore the views of 13 patients and 11 health care professionals on PR after the AECOPD and how participation could be enhanced. Four main themes were identified: (1) uncertainty regarding the timing of PR; (2) tailored and flexible manner to deliver PR programs with a gradual start; (3) education for all; and (4) logistical, disease-related, and psychological barriers. Theme 2 is particularly interesting and aligns well with this study, as the web-based intervention may be thought of as a bridge to start a formal PR program. Theme 4 chimes with some of the participant quotes from our qualitative work.

Conclusions

On the basis of the challenges of recruiting, retaining, and engaging participants in this web-based self-management program, the SPACE for COPD web-based self-management program is not a feasible approach to roll out widely following an AECOPD. It appears that the COPD population may not be
equipped and ready for digital self-management interventions following an AECOPD, without additional training or support. This work acknowledges that this is a challenging time for patients with an AECOPD to engage in exercise and self-management education. However, for patients able to engage with such an intervention, the completion of PR was double the previous estimates from UK audit or research data, disease knowledge improved, and it encouraged positive behavior change and was of value to patients. Therefore, with further refinement, web-based (or other home-based) strategies may be a viable stepping stone for PR. Identifying the patients most likely to benefit from such strategies is warranted, particularly if social distancing measures for COVID-19 are to continue.
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Abstract

Background: Low back pain is one of the most common health problems and a main cause of disability, which imposes a great burden on patients. Mobile health (mHealth) affects many aspects of people’s lives, and it has progressed rapidly, showing promise as an effective intervention for patients with low back pain. However, the efficacy of mHealth interventions for patients with low back pain remains unclear; thus, further exploration is necessary.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of mHealth interventions in patients with low back pain compared to usual care.

Methods: This was a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials designed according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis) statement standard. We searched for studies published in English before October 2020 in the PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library databases. Two researchers independently scanned the literature, extracted data, and assessed the methodological quality of the included studies. Bias risks were assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration tool. We used RevMan 5.4 software to perform the meta-analysis.

Results: A total of 9 studies with 792 participants met the inclusion criteria. The simultaneous use of mHealth and usual care showed a better reduction in pain intensity than usual care alone, as measured by the numeric rating scale (mean difference [MD] –0.85, 95% CI –1.29 to –0.40; P<.001), and larger efficacy in reducing disability, as measured by the Rolland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (MD –1.54, 95% CI –2.35 to –0.73; P<.001). Subgroup analyses showed that compared with usual care, mHealth using telephone calls significantly reduced pain intensity (MD –1.12, 95% CI –1.71 to –0.53; P<.001) and disability score (MD –1.68, 95% CI –2.74 to –0.63; P<.001). However, without the use of telephone calls, mHealth had no obvious advantage over usual care in improving pain intensity (MD –0.48, 95% CI –1.16 to 0.20; P=.16) and the disability score (MD –0.41, 95% CI –1.88 to 1.05; P=.58). The group that received a more sensitive feedback intervention showed a significantly reduced disability score (MD –4.30, 95% CI –6.95 to –1.69; P=.001).

Conclusions: The use of simultaneous mHealth and usual care interventions has better efficacy than usual care alone in reducing pain intensity and disability in patients with low back pain. Moreover, the results of subgroup analysis revealed that mHealth using telephone calls might play a positive role in improving pain intensity and disability in patients with low back pain.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e26095) doi:10.2196/26095
KEYWORDS
mobile health; mHealth; low back pain; meta-analysis; pain intensity; disability

Introduction

Low back pain is one of the most common health problems worldwide, and is a main cause of disability according to the latest Global Burden of Disease Study [1]. In 2015, the global prevalence of low back pain with restricted mobility reached 7.3%, indicating that at any given time, low back pain affects 540 million people, representing a wide range of individuals. Low back pain occurs in high-, middle-, and low-income countries among all age groups, ranging from children to older adults [2]. Moreover, in industrialized countries, the lifetime prevalence of nonspecific low back pain is approximately 60%-70%. This not only affects people’s private lives but also causes activity restrictions and work absences. At the same time, low back pain imposes a high economic burden on society [3]. Although physical exercise, conventional therapies, and cognitive behavioral therapy are the most effective nondrug conservative treatments to improve symptoms of low back pain, judging from the implementation of the traditional clinical model of management, the results obtained with these methods are not in line with expectations [4,5]. This finding may be due to the high degree of patient participation required, and the identification of issues and self-management that require patients to follow and complete time-intensive treatment plans independently at home [6]. These components may be the neglected aspects of usual care, which could include occupational therapy; physical therapy; or advice from a general practitioner (eg, family doctor), a specialist, or guidelines.

The term mobile health (mHealth) refers to the use of mobile devices such as mobile phones, patient monitoring equipment, and other wireless devices to provide medical support and health management [7], which may benefit health care providers by exerting positive effects on patient education, diagnosis, and management as components of the health delivery processes [8,9]. The devices for mHealth also include mobile phone software, text messaging, telephone calls, real-time monitoring (eg, motion sensor biofeedback), and network-based game consoles (eg, Nintendo Switch, Nintendo Wii). Compared with the traditional clinical model of management, mHealth can increase the feasibility and rationality of clinical treatment expectations by promoting patients’ adherence to the treatment plan. mHealth can also provide a basis for formulating treatment plans and compensate for the traditional model’s shortcomings to a certain extent [10]. In addition, mHealth can help achieve universal health service coverage by overcoming geographical barriers, thereby increasing the number of paths by which patients can enter medical care systems, and providing medical care services to people in remote areas and communities with insufficient services and inadequate conditions. As no additional medical equipment or time utilization is needed to use mHealth interventions, the expenditures for clinical data monitoring and educational/information exchange between doctors and patients are lower than those of face-to-face services [11]. Moreover, mHealth has been used in many aspects of people’s lives to help them adapt to various health conditions and problems, including those related to mental health [12,13], heart failure [14], and smoking cessation [15,16].

At present, few meta-analyses have been performed on the efficacy of mHealth for patients with low back pain, and therefore the ability to provide more effective or accurate clinical advice is limited. In light of the various advantages of mHealth that are different from usual care and the current global status of low back pain, we performed a meta-analysis to clarify the efficacy of mHealth for patients with low back pain.

Methods

Search Strategy

Data were retrieved from the PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library databases. We searched for studies in English published until October 2020. The key search strings consisted of two concepts: mHealth and low back pain (Textbox 1). The reference lists of the retrieved studies were checked for identifying further relevant studies.

Textbox 1. Example of the search strategy (EMBASE).

- Search 1: (“mobile application” OR “telemedicine” OR “text messaging” OR “mobile phone” OR “smartphone” OR “social media” OR “internet”)/exp
- Search 2: (mobile OR “portable software application” OR tele* OR mhealth OR ehealth OR “e health” OR “mhealth” OR ?phone* OR text* OR “short message” OR sms OR app OR apps OR digital* OR web* OR internet* OR ?media OR wireless OR computer OR video* OR bluetooth OR blog* OR online OR electronic OR “mp3 player” OR “mp4 player” OR wechat OR whatsapp OR twitter OR “virtual reality” OR “interactive voice response” OR facebook OR networking): title/abstract/keywords
- Search 3: Search 1 OR Search 2
- Search 4: “low back pain”/exp OR “backache”/exp
- Search 5: (“low back pain” OR “low back ache” OR “low backache” OR “lower back pain” OR “back disorder” OR backache OR “back pain” OR lumbago OR dorsalgia OR coccyx OR coccydynia OR sciatica OR ischialgia OR spondylosis): title/abstract/keywords
- Search 6: Search 4 OR Search 5
- Search 7: Search 3 AND Search 6
Study Inclusion Criteria
The following inclusion criteria were used: (1) the study design was a randomized controlled trial (RCT); (2) mHealth (eg, mobile phone, computer, motion sensor biofeedback, and network-based game consoles) and usual care (eg, exercise and/or advice) were used simultaneously in the experimental group, and usual care or usual care and placebo were used in the control group; (3) participants were confirmed to have low back pain; and (4) the outcomes were measured using the numeric rating scale (NRS) and/or Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ), with data expressed as mean (SD). Two researchers selected the studies independently in accordance with the above criteria.

Study Exclusion Criteria
Studies were excluded from the meta-analysis if: (1) not all of the participants were diagnosed with low back pain; (2) the study population included pregnant women or patients recovering after spinal surgery; or (3) email had been used as an intervention for office workers in the workplace. The latter criterion was based on previous studies [17-20] indicating a consistently high degree of patient compliance. We believe that the specific combination of being an office worker and receiving email at the workplace conveys a highly sensitive nature of the feedback, resulting in high patient compliance. This finding is inconsistent with the general usage of email in mHealth and is not universal. Therefore, this meta-analysis did not include interventions for office workers using email in the workplace.

Data Extraction
The required data were extracted independently by two researchers and crosschecked to avoid potential data extraction errors. Disagreements during the extraction process were resolved by seeking the opinion of a third researcher. The extracted information included the first author’s name, year of publication, sample size, age, sex ratio, and participants’ scores on the NRS and RMDQ. The final postintervention data with the longest follow-up time was used in our analysis if the study (ie, [21-23]) reported results from a different period.

Data Analysis
We assigned participants who used telephone calls, internet/email, mobile phones, or other mHealth methods and usual care at the same time to the mHealth experimental group, and those who used usual care alone to the control group. RevMan 5.4 software was used for the meta-analysis, with the mean difference (MD), SD, and 95% CI as the statistics of interest. The overall pooled effect estimate was assessed using Z - statistics, and statistical significance was considered at P<.05. The \( \chi^2 \) test was used to examine the heterogeneity of the results: if \( P \geq 0.10 \) and \( I^2 \leq 50\% \), a fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis; otherwise, a random-effects model was used.

Given reports of positive effects of telephone calls on patients’ self-management and compliance [24], we defined subgroups based on two indicators: whether the intervention used telephone calls or more sensitive feedback methods (ie, motion sensor biofeedback). We examined the effect of telephone calls on the following outcomes: (1) whether the use of telephone calls affects NRS scores (the experimental group using mHealth was divided into two groups based on use of telephone calls and no telephone calls); and (2) whether the use of telephone calls or more sensitive feedback interventions affects RMDQ scores (the experimental group using mHealth was divided into three groups based on use of telephone calls, no telephone calls, and use of more sensitive feedback interventions such as motion sensor biofeedback).

Quality Assessment
The risk of bias was assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration Tool for Assessing Risk of Bias in Randomised Trials [25]. We evaluated seven aspects of the studies: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessments, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases. Risk of bias ratings of “low,” “high,” and “unclear” were assigned to each aspect of each study. Two researchers performed the quality assessments of the included studies. Differences in opinions of the two researchers were resolved through discussion and decision. A third researcher was consulted for disagreements, and a decision was made after a discussion.

Results
Study Selection
A total of 17,670 studies were identified during the initial examination. After all studies were screened and filtered using the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 9 studies were included in the final meta-analysis. These studies comprised 792 participants, 407 of whom were allocated to the mHealth group and 385 were allocated to the usual care group, as shown in the flowchart in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Flowchart of the screening and selection of studies.

Records identified by searching databases for key words (n=17,670): PubMed (n=4274), The Web of Science (n=4097), The Cochrane Library (n=1613), EMBASE (n=7686)

Excluded by titles or abstracts (n=17654)
  Duplicates articles (n=4964)
  Irrelevant articles (n=8875)
  Review and Meta (n=2077)
  Letters (n=25)
  Conference Abstract (n=1713)

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=16)

Full text articles excluded, with reasons (n=7)
  No data (n=7)

Studies included in meta-analyses (n=9)

Study Characteristics
Features of the included studies and outcome data are summarized in Multimedia Appendix 1 and Table 1, respectively. Of the 9 included studies, 3 from research institutions were performed in Australia [21,22,26]; 1 was performed in the United States [23]; and 1 study each was performed in India, China, Brazil, the United Kingdom, and Italy. The proportion of female participants ranged from 50% to 74%, and the mean age of the participants ranged from 40 to 68 years. The follow-up period of the included studies ranged from 4 weeks to 12 months.
Table 1. Pain and disability scores at baseline and after the interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Pain intensity: NRS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (score range 0-10), mean (SD)</th>
<th>Disability: RMDQ&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (score range 0-24), mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mHealth&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; group</td>
<td>Control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>After intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorim et al [26]</td>
<td>5.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernardelli et al [27]</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chhabra et al [28]</td>
<td>7.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damush et al [23]</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraghty et al [29]</td>
<td>1 : 4.0 (2.6); II : 3.6 (2.5); III : 3.1 (2.3)</td>
<td>3.6 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent et al [21]</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monteiro-Junior et al [30]</td>
<td>6.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrozzi et al [22]</td>
<td>5.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al [31]</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>NRS: numeric rating scale.
<sup>b</sup>RMDQ: Rolland-Morris Disability Questionnaire.
<sup>c</sup>mHealth: mobile health.
<sup>d</sup>NA: not applicable (not assessed).

Risk of Bias in the Included Studies

The Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool was used to assess the risk of bias of the 9 included studies. Seven studies used computer-generated random numbers [21,22,26-30] and 2 studies did not indicate use of a sequence generation method [23,31]. Two studies did not report allocation concealment [23,30]; therefore, the risk of selection bias was relatively low. The risk of performance bias was found to be relatively high. Participants were blinded to the treatment conditions in 4 of the studies [21,23,29,30], 2 studies lacked sufficient information about whether the participants were blinded [26,27], and participants were not blinded in 3 studies [22,28,31]. Investigators were blinded to the outcomes in all of the included studies. An unclear risk of attrition bias was found in 1 study [31] and a high risk was found in 2 studies, as the dropout rate was relatively high [26,29]. The risk for reporting bias was low in all studies, and the risk for other types of bias was high in 1 study [28].

The overall risk of bias was relatively low, but performance bias was relatively high, as 3 of the studies used a single-blinded method [22,28,31] (Figure 2). However, it should be noted that the effects of blinding on the results of studies in the fields of rehabilitation and physical therapy are currently unclear [32,33].
Figure 2. Risk assessment of bias in the included studies.

Meta-analysis

Comparison of Pain Intensity

Compared with usual care, the simultaneous interventions of mHealth and usual care were more effective in reducing pain, as indicated by the NRS scores of 404 participants in 5 studies (MD -0.85, 95% CI -1.29 to -0.40; $I^2=9\%$; $P<.001$) (Figure 3).
Comparison of Disability

Compared with usual care, the simultaneous interventions of mHealth and usual care had a larger effect on reducing disability in patients with low back pain, as indicated by the RMDQ scores of 885 participants in 8 studies (MD –1.54, 95% CI –2.35 to –0.73; I² = 31%; P < .001) (Figure 4).

Subgroup Analysis

We evaluated pain intensity, as measured by the NRS, to examine the efficacy of mHealth using telephone calls. We performed a subgroup analysis of participants in the mHealth experimental group that used telephone calls and those who were in an intervention group that did not use telephone calls. A difference was found between the telephone group and nontelephone group, although it was not statistically significant (I² = 48.5%, P = .16). Compared with usual care, mHealth using telephone calls significantly reduced pain intensity in 3 studies (MD –1.12, 95% CI –1.71 to –0.53; I² = 10%, P < .001); however, without the use of telephone calls, mHealth had no obvious advantage over usual care (MD –0.48, 95% CI –1.16 to 0.20; I² 0%, P = .16) (Figure 5).
group, the more sensitive feedback intervention group, and the nontelephone group ($I^2=69.3\%, P=0.04$). Compared with the group that received usual care, the experimental mHealth group that involved telephone calls showed a significantly reduced disability score in 4 studies (MD $-1.68, 95\%CI$ $-2.74$ to $-0.63; \Gamma=15\%, P<0.001$). The group that received a more sensitive feedback intervention showed a significantly reduced disability score in 1 study (MD $-4.30, 95\%CI$ $-6.95$ to $-1.69; P=0.001$), and the group that did not receive an intervention with telephone calls showed no significant difference in their RMDQ scores from the two other groups in 3 studies (MD $-0.41, 95\%CI$ $-1.88$ to $1.05; I^2=0\%, P=0.58$) (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Efficacy of telephone use and the more sensitive feedback intervention in reducing disability.

Publication Bias and Sensitivity Analysis
A sensitivity analysis was performed using one-by-one elimination of studies that reported the outcomes of the NRS and the RMDQ. No significant change was found in the outcomes, indicating that the results were stable. According to the Cochrane Group, a funnel plot to detect publication bias is not recommended when fewer than 9 studies are included in a meta-analysis [34]. Hence, a funnel plot was not used to detect publication bias because of the small number of studies in our meta-analysis.

Discussion
Principal Findings
This meta-analysis of 9 studies with 792 patients revealed a significant positive effect of the simultaneous interventions of mHealth and usual care compared with usual care only on patients with low back pain. The mHealth intervention performed significantly better than usual care on measures of pain intensity (MD $-0.85, 95\%CI$ $-1.29$ to $-0.40; \Gamma^2=9\%, P<0.001$) and disability (MD $-1.54, 95\%CI$ $-2.35$ to $-0.73; \Gamma^2=31\%, P<0.001$). The subgroup analysis of the scores on the NRS and RMDQ showed that the use of telephone calls or more sensitive feedback devices for the intervention might be superior to other types of mHealth interventions or usual care in terms of improving pain intensity and disability. This conclusion is consistent with a study by Niznik et al [24] who reported advantages of telephone calls, and concluded that telephone calls have positive effects on clinical disease management, patient management, and patient compliance. This study has similarities and differences with the results of another study [35] that examined differences in mHealth between a web-based health program and an mHealth-based program. No significant effects on pain or disability were found among participants in the web health program versus the controls. Compared with the controls, the participants in the trials on mHealth-based programs reported clinically significant effects on pain intensity and disability.

Our study demonstrates the importance of telephone calls in mHealth. We believe that telephone calls may be one of the main effective types of mHealth with great positive effects on patients in reducing pain and disability. As one of the mobile medical methods, telephone calls might be superior to other types of mHealth for the following reasons. First, according to Simblett et al [36], a major challenge of mHealth is the high dropout rate of participants with sensors and the usability of apps. Therefore, in contrast to other types of mHealth, we believe that active telephone calling from the treatment unit instead of the passive use of software and websites can greatly improve the enthusiasm and compliance of patients, thereby ensuring a positive impact of mHealth on patients. Another option is to use motion sensor biofeedback to achieve real-time communication and feedback to improve efficacy, which is consistent with the opinion of Sim [37]. Second, mHealth has potential to facilitate the achievement of universal coverage for...
health services by overcoming geographical barriers, increasing the number of pathways to medical care, and providing medical care services to people in remote areas and communities with insufficient services and inadequate conditions [11]. However, in most countries, especially in remote areas, the network infrastructure is far less stable than telephone calls. The costs in money and time of developing software or websites in business or medical settings are much higher than those associated with telephone calls.

To our knowledge, subgroup analyses of the efficacy of telephone calls have not been performed to date. Since few studies have examined the impact of mHealth on patients with low back pain, there are no related articles for comparison. Only a systematic review and meta-analysis of 5 articles performed by Du et al [35] on the effectiveness of mHealth in the self-management of patients with chronic low back pain was found in the published literature. The results revealed that mHealth-based self-management might play a positive role in improving short-term pain intensity and short-term disability in patients with chronic low back pain. After careful reading of this meta-analysis, we found similarities and differences with our study. The endpoint data of the included RCTs were also extracted by Du et al [35] for the meta-analysis. However, in contrast to their study, we included more articles in our meta-analysis, and calculated differences between the baseline and endpoint data of each included RCT. We believe that this method is more accurate and that it further supports our conclusion. Most previous meta-analyses related to mHealth did not distinguish between the use of mHealth alone and the simultaneous use of mHealth and routine care, nor did they restrict the intervention methods of the control group. We chose usual care and mHealth for the intervention group, and usual care only for the control group, and we believe that such a comparison yielded conclusions that are more reliable than other comparisons.

Establishing clinical relevance is the key to whether mHealth can be used in patients with low back pain. Yet, small effects (–0.85) are observed at the group level for pain intensity when compared to the control group, which do not meet the minimal clinically important difference criterion of –1.77 [38]. However, as one of the intervention methods used simultaneously with usual care, mHealth can significantly improve the curative effect in reducing pain intensity and disability in patients with low back pain, while reducing human resources and time costs. Therefore, this method is worthy of adoption.

The objective of this study was to examine the influence of mHealth interventions on the pain intensity and disability of patients with low back pain. Our investigation highlights differences between the intervention of usual care alone and the simultaneous use of usual care and mHealth. Compared with using usual care alone, the intervention of telephone calls had a significant beneficial effect on patients’ disability. These findings are expected to provide guidance for clinical decisions and contribute to this field.

Limitations
Our study has several limitations. First, this meta-analysis may be biased if the literature search failed to identify all trials reporting on differences between mHealth and usual care or if the selection criteria for including trials were applied in a subjective manner. To reduce these risks, we performed thorough searches across multiple literature databases and clinical trial databases, and used explicit criteria for study selection and data extraction and analysis. Second, mHealth may have specific effects that vary by the type of low back pain. That is, to better evaluate the efficacy, and save human resources and time costs, passive sensing in mHealth may be more suitable for chronic low back pain, whereas active sensing may be more suitable for acute low back pain, which can be administered multiple times a day to capture short-term variations in responses [37]. However, owing to the insufficient number of studies on acute and subacute low back pain, we were unable to perform a subgroup analysis according to the type of back pain, and therefore this issue should be examined in the future. Finally, as this was a study-level rather than participant-level meta-analysis, we were able to analyze univariate associations, but not multivariate associations of baseline features with outcomes.

Conclusion
The results of this meta-analysis suggest that the simultaneous interventions of mHealth and usual care, compared with usual care alone, are significantly better for reducing pain intensity and disability in patients with low back pain. The use of telephone calls or more sensitive feedback interventions may further increase the positive effects of these simultaneous interventions on the disability of patients with low back pain. The wider use of mHealth may contribute significantly to the population of patients with low back pain. Therefore, the simultaneous interventions of mHealth and usual care may be a promising method worth considering.
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Abstract

Background: Mobile health technologies have the potential to improve the reach and delivery of interventions for promoting long-term secondary prevention of coronary heart disease.

Objective: This study aims to determine the effectiveness of an SMS text messaging intervention (Text4HeartII) for improving adherence to medication and lifestyle changes over and above usual care in people with coronary heart disease at 24 and 52 weeks.

Methods: A two-arm, parallel, randomized controlled trial was conducted in New Zealand. Participants with a recent acute coronary syndrome were randomized to receive usual cardiac services alone (control, n=153) or a 24-week SMS text message program for supporting self-management plus usual cardiac services (n=153). The primary outcome was adherence to medication at 24 weeks, defined as a medication possession ratio of 80% or more for aspirin, statin, and antihypertensive therapy. Secondary outcomes included medication possession ratio at 52 weeks, self-reported medication adherence, adherence to healthy lifestyle behaviors, and health-related quality of life at 24 and 52 weeks.

Results: Participants were predominantly male (113/306, 80.3%) and European New Zealanders (210/306, 68.6%), with a mean age of 61 years (SD 11 years). Groups were comparable at baseline. National hospitalization and pharmacy dispensing records were available for all participants; 92% (282/306, 92.1%) of participants completed a 24-week questionnaire and 95.1% (291/306) of participants completed a 52-week questionnaire. Adherence with 3 medication classes were lower in the intervention group than in the control group (87/153, 56.8% vs 105/153, 68.6%; odds ratio 0.60, 95% CI 0.38-0.96; P=.03) and 52 weeks (104/153, 67.9% vs 83/153, 54.2%; odds ratio 0.56, 95% CI 0.35-0.89; P=.01). Self-reported medication adherence scores showed the same trend at 52 weeks (mean difference 0.3; 95% CI 0.01-0.59; P=.04). Moreover, self-reported adherence to health-related behaviors was similar between groups.

Conclusions: Text4HeartII did not improve dispensed medication or adherence to a favorable lifestyle over and above usual care. This finding contrasts with previous studies and highlights that the benefits of text interventions may depend on the context in which they are used.
Coronary heart disease (CHD) is a leading cause of premature death and disability worldwide [1]. Improved diagnosis, treatment, and management have substantially reduced the mortality rate of individuals living with CHD [2,3]. Following a cardiac event, clinical guidelines recommend people should participate in cardiac rehabilitation (CR), which is a multicomponent program that educates and supports self-management for the secondary prevention of CHD. CR aims to encourage people to make healthy lifestyle changes for reducing subsequent cardiac events. Lifestyle changes typically include initiating and maintaining regular physical activity, eating a healthy diet, stopping smoking, reducing harmful alcohol intake, and taking medications as per the prescribed regimen [4]. Appropriate self-management is critical for people with CHD to maximize treatment benefits [5].

Despite its benefits, participation in CR has been shown to be inadequate in all countries in which it has been measured [6]. In response, various alternative modes of delivery, including home-based CR and telehealth, have been developed, with similar reductions in cardiovascular risk factors as compared with hospital-based programs [7]. Most recently, mobile health technology has been used to better support the long-term self-management in people with cardiovascular disease [8]. Mobile health has the potential to be an extremely powerful tool for influencing behavior at a population level because it is widely available globally, inexpensive, and allows instant delivery of information [9].

SMS text messaging is the most widely used mobile phone intervention [10,11]. The strongest evidence for SMS text messaging in CHD is from the TEXT ME randomized controlled trial (RCT; n=710) [12], which reported statistically significant positive effects on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, with more sizable effects on various secondary outcomes. Despite its effectiveness, the study was limited to a single center in Australia and excluded many participants because they did not own a mobile phone, which limited generalizability. TextMe was also evaluated as a standalone strategy; thus, it was unclear whether the intervention was more or less beneficial to those in traditional programs.

To address these limitations, we conducted the Text4HeartII trial across 2 district health boards in Auckland, New Zealand. This trial extended our previous Text4Heart randomized controlled pilot trial (n=123) [13,14], which found a doubling of adherence to lifestyle behaviors at 3 months but not at 6 months. Here, we present findings from a larger effectiveness trial of Text4Heart using an objective measure of medication adherence.

### Methods

#### Overview

A two-arm, parallel RCT was conducted in 2 large metropolitan hospitals in Auckland, New Zealand between July 2016 and November 2019. The study was approved by the New Zealand Health and Disability Ethics Committee (15/NTA/205), and the protocol was registered and published before the conclusion of recruitment (Australasian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry, ID: ACTRN12616000422426. Registered, April 1, 2016). The trial was developed and reported in accordance with the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) statement (Multimedia Appendix 1). No changes were made to the methods after commencement of the trial.

#### Participants

Eligible participants were adults with an ACS (including those who had undergone a percutaneous coronary revascularization procedure), clinically stable, able to read English, and able to provide informed consent. Participants were excluded if they had untreated ventricular tachycardia, severe heart failure, life-threatening coexisting disease with life expectancy of less than 1 year, and significant exercise limitations other than cardiovascular disease. Given the high level of mobile phone penetration (there were 6.5 million mobile connections in New Zealand in 2020; population 5.0 million people), mobile phone ownership was not considered in the eligibility criteria [15].

#### Procedures

##### Overview

Research nurses recruited participants from 2 metropolitan hospitals in the Auckland region of New Zealand (Auckland City and North Shore Hospitals) before discharge from the hospital following an ACS or post discharge (within 6 weeks) via telephone. Potential participants were contacted in person to determine their interest in the study. Nurses undertook screening to determine their eligibility. Those who met the eligibility criteria were provided with a participant information sheet and consent form. For this trial, informed consent was obtained verbally or in person depending on when the participant...
agreed to participate. Interested participants contacted the research nurse to schedule a time for baseline assessments.

The trial was nested within the existing Australian and New Zealand Acute Coronary Syndrome-Quality Improvement (ANZACS-QI) program, which allowed routinely collected data to be accessed for baseline and follow-up assessments. ANZACS-QI [16] is a web-based app deployed nationally to securely gather data on every suspected patient with an ACS in New Zealand and is embedded in more than 90% of hospitals, including those involved in this study. ANZACS-QI provided in-hospital data on all people with an ACS, with risk stratification, diagnosis, investigation management, and complications [17]. Individual behavioral data (diet, exercise, alcohol consumption, and smoking status) were assessed via a telephone interview. In addition, during the 24-week follow-up call, participants were asked to respond to specific questions regarding their overall perceptions of the Text4HeartII program.

Sample Size

A total sample of 330 participants (165 per group) was estimated to provide 80% power at the 5% level of significance (two-sided) to detect an absolute between-group difference of 15% in the proportions of participants adherent to medication at the end of the 24-week intervention (assuming a control rate of 30%). The conservative control rate was based on self-reported medication data from our original Text4Heart study and on previous New Zealand research [18], which found that only 60% of patients had a medication possession ratio (MPR) >0.8 for statins; we believed that this value would be lower if all classes of medication (statins, antihypertensive, and antiplatelet therapy) were included.

Randomization, Allocation Concealment, and Blinding

Upon submission of baseline data, eligible participants were randomly allocated in a 1:1 ratio to the intervention or control groups using block randomization with variable block sizes of 2 or 4, stratified by hospital. The randomization sequence was generated by a biostatistician (YJ). Study investigators (but not participants) were blinded to the intervention allocation during the trial. Primary outcome data (prescribed medication) were obtained via the Ministry of Health National Data Linkage, thereby mitigating any bias associated with self-reported outcome assessments.

Control and Intervention

All participants received usual medical management and were offered center-based CR as per guidelines. CR offered at the participating hospital sites consisted of a 1-hour outpatient education program per week for 6 weeks at a hospital or community center covering a range of topics, such as cardiovascular risk factors, lifestyle changes, and psychosocial support. Patients were also encouraged to attend a 16-session supervised exercise program at the participating hospital or outpatient center. Participants could also participate in usual care CR from the point of discharge to 24 weeks after their cardiac event. In addition to usual care, the intervention group received a 24-week program of automated daily SMS text messages commencing within a week of the baseline assessment. All participants were telephoned at 24 and 52 weeks post randomization to obtain follow-up self-reported outcome data.

Intervention

Text4HeartII comprised a personalized, automated program of self-management that was delivered via SMS text messages over 24 weeks (full details are provided in the study protocol) [19]. The overall goal of the intervention was to have individuals adhere to the New Zealand treatment guidelines for an ACS [20]. Specifically, Text4HeartII included core Heart Health content comprising education and support to encourage regular taking of medication, eat a healthy diet (including moderating alcohol consumption), manage stress, and exercise regularly (total 126 messages). Additional SMS text messages were delivered based on the suboptimal behavior participants wanted to modify (eg, physical activity, heart healthy diet, stress management, and stop smoking); each module contained 35 text messages. Modules were discussed with research nurses at baseline to identify participants’ preferences. Participants were only able to choose one additional module; however, smokers were prioritized to receive messages providing cessation support. All content was grounded in established psychological (Common Sense Model) [21] and behavior change (social cognitive) theory [22]. The content was focused on modifying people’s perceptions of the symptoms, timeline, cause, consequences, personal control over, and the ability of treatment to prevent cardiovascular disease [23] as well as altering the key mediators of behavior change, including self-efficacy, social support, and motivation. The intervention content was based on the original Text4Heart pilot program, with some modifications. In Text4HeartII, we did not include a user website, as this feature was seldom used in the original pilot study [14]. We also revised the message content from weeks 12 to 24 to promote maintenance of the behaviors and relapse prevention.

Participants received a minimum of 1 core heart message per day for 24 weeks, with an additional 35 messages sent over the first 12 weeks; all messages were sent from a centralized server. Messages were sent at times to suit the participants and were personalized with the participant’s name. Messages were predominantly unidirectional, but participants were able to text the research team to share their progress if they wanted (eg, goals achieved). Brief training was offered to all participants at enrollment on how to read a text message and how to delete or save messages. No changes were made to the intervention content or delivery during the study period. Examples of the SMS text messages are provided in Textbox 1.
Textbox 1. Examples of SMS text messages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4H: Know your numbers – when is the last time you’ve had your cholesterol or blood pressure checked? Ask next time you see your GP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4H: High cholesterol or high blood pressure is not good for your heart condition. Your medications will help improve these.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4H: Think about your future health. How do you want to feel in 6 months? Try setting small goals with your GP or support person to reach that.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4H: It can be scary to think about the chance of having another heart problem. Taking your pills and changing your lifestyle can lower the risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4H: It’s important to take your medications regularly. To help remember make this part of your daily routine, such as after brushing your teeth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4H: Changing it up can improve your fitness. Do long slow walks, then head for some hills, then hit the track for speed. Start slow &amp; build.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4H: Sometimes, it is hard to exercise when it is raining, try an indoor activity or grab an umbrella and wrap up.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4H: Be wary of low-fat food. Not all are good for you, some are still high in sugar. Read your food labels and compare using the 100g column.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4H: To increase your servings of fruit &amp; veg, add a can of tomatoes to your dish or pour frozen veggies into stews/soups/risottos.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4H: Draw a habit map. When I smoke at work its because..., when I smoke at home its because..., think of the reasons, fix the causes!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4H: Find yourself a quit buddy who you can call or txt if you get down. You can make each other feel better with encouragement &amp; share tips.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress and Relaxation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4H: If you feel discomfort after your heart event, such as feeling angry, sad or withdrawn, consider talking to a health professional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4H: If you feel stressed, close your eyes and imagine a scene where you feel calm. It might be a tropical beach, a forest, or a favorite spot.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcomes

All outcomes were assessed at 24 and 52 weeks post randomization. The primary outcome was patient adherence to prescribed medication at 24 weeks, defined as an MPR of 80% or more for 3 medication classes, namely, antiplatelet agent (aspirin), statin, and antihypertensive therapy (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor [ACEI] or angiotensin receptor blocker [ARB] and/or a β-blocker), consistent with the guideline-recommended therapy [20]. To obtain the primary outcome, MPR was calculated for each drug class and then combined to determine adherence across the 3 combined classes. The choice of primary outcome was driven by the positive effect observed on self-reported medication adherence at 6 months in our pilot study and by the ability to provide an objective assessment of medication adherence (namely MPR). The MPR approach has been used successfully in New Zealand to assess statin use [18]; we adapted this approach for the other medication classes. MPR reflects the number of days the drug was assumed to be in a patient’s possession (based on dispensed drugs) divided by the number of days spent out of hospital and alive over the assessment period. In a previous study in New Zealand, the possession of medications during 80% or more of follow-up time (ie, MPR ≥0.8) was indicative of maintenance [18]. This proportion was based on post hoc analyses from previous trials on the effect of statin compliance on coronary events and all-cause mortality [24]. To calculate the MPR, community pharmacy dispensing records were linked using the encrypted National Health Index (NHI) via the National Pharmaceuticals Collection database.

Secondary Outcomes

Objective Secondary Outcomes

The MPR for each class of medication (aspirin, statins, ACEI/ARB, and/or β-blockers) was also assessed at 52 weeks using the same approach as used at 24 weeks. Blood pressure, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol data were obtained from the ANZACS-QI registry; these were based on routinely collected data. Self-reported outcomes were measured by a trained research assistant during a telephone call at 24 and 52 weeks. Self-reported medication adherence was assessed using the Morisky 8-item Medication Adherence Scale [25] (0=high, 1-2=medium, and 3-6=low adherence). A license for use was obtained in this study. Adherence to recommended lifestyle behaviors was measured using a composite health behavior score adapted from the European Prospective into Cancer–Norfolk prospective population study [26]. For this study, we used New Zealand–relevant questions to capture all 4 health behaviors. This approach differed slightly from the European Prospective into Cancer–Norfolk study, which used plasma vitamin C >50 mmol/L to indicate fruit and vegetable intake of at least five servings per day. In our study, the
The following measures were used to determine participants’ health behavior scores:

1. Smoking status was measured using 3 items from a validated smoking history questionnaire [27] and included “have you ever smoked, have you had a puff in the last week and when they quit smoking” (if appropriate).
2. Physical activity level was assessed using items adapted from the New Zealand Health Survey to assess the daily time spent in light-, moderate-, and vigorous-intensity activities [28]. The time spent in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) was calculated and used to indicate adherence to guidelines [29].
3. Alcohol consumption was measured using the alcohol use disorders identification test of alcohol consumption questions [30], a screening tool designed to assess the units of alcohol consumed per week and to identify people who are hazardous drinkers. Index cards referencing standard drink sizes were used to reduce comprehension errors.
4. Fruit and vegetable intake were assessed by 2 New Zealand–specific questions used in the 2006/2007 New Zealand Health Survey (N=12,488, including adults with CHD) [28].

Participants received a score on a 4-point scale for each of the key risk factors, with 1 point each assigned for being a current nonsmoker, meeting physical activity guidelines to achieve some health benefits (≥150 min of MVPA per week), consuming 14 or fewer standard alcoholic drinks per week, and consuming at least five servings of fruits and vegetables per typical day. A total score of 3 or 4 was considered adherence to healthy behaviors. No changes were made to study the outcomes once the trial commenced.

Health-related quality of life was assessed using the European Quality of Life 5 dimensions [31] measure of health status.

Perceptions of Text4HeartII

During the 24-week follow-up telephone call, participants were asked to respond to specific questions about Text4HeartII. Questions were based on those used in the Text4Heart pilot trial and included their perceptions of the program, technical issues experienced, and whether they changed behaviors. Participants who responded that they had changed behaviors were asked to indicate which specific behaviors they had changed.

Adverse Events

All participants were telephoned at 24 and 52 weeks by a trained researcher to determine whether the participants had experienced any serious adverse events during the course of the study. Serious adverse events were reported to a registered medical physician to determine whether they were associated with the study treatment and to determine the course of action (if needed).

Statistical Analysis

Trial data collected from all eligible participants were linked to the national database using encrypted NHIs for the purpose of analysis. Treatment evaluations were performed according to the intention-to-treat principle. There were no missing data on the MPR (primary outcome), which were obtained via national data linkage. Continuous variables were summarized as mean and SD, and categorical variables were summarized as frequency and percentage. Logistic regression was conducted to evaluate the main treatment effects on medication adherence at 24 and 52 weeks, adjusting for hospital (stratification factor). Odds ratios (ORs) and associated 95% CI were reported at each visit. The same regression models were used for adherence to healthy behaviors at 24 and 52 weeks. The analysis of covariance regression model was used to evaluate the treatment effect on continuous secondary outcomes, adjusting for the baseline value and hospital. Model-adjusted mean differences were reported with 95% CI. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.). All statistical tests were two-sided at a 5% significance level.

Results

Overview

Figure 1 presents the flow diagram of the progress through the phases of the trial. A total of 739 people were screened between July 2016 and September 2018. The last trial participant completed the 24-week follow-up on October 2, 2019. Study data collection was completed in November 2019. A total of 306 eligible participants were randomized (153 per group), 282 participants were followed up at 24 weeks (141 per group), and 291 participants were followed up at 52 weeks (intervention: n=145 and control: n=146). All participants had MPR data at both 24 and 52 weeks, which were obtained directly from national medication dispensing records using the linked encrypted NHIs.
The participants were predominantly male (113/306, 80.3%) and New Zealand European (210/306, 68.6%), with a mean age of 61 years (SD 11) years. The groups were comparable at baseline (Table 1). Most participants were employed full-time (115/306, 37.6%) or retired (132/306, 43.1%). Most participants were married or living with a partner (230/306, 75.2%).
Table 1. Baseline demographic, clinical, and behavioral data of all randomized participants (N=306).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Control (n=153)</th>
<th>Intervention (n=153)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>61 (11)</td>
<td>61 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>113 (73.8)</td>
<td>123 (80.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand European</td>
<td>102 (66.7)</td>
<td>108 (70.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori</td>
<td>11 (7.2)</td>
<td>12 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>8 (5.2)</td>
<td>5 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>13 (8.5)</td>
<td>11 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19 (12.4)</td>
<td>17 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>33 (21.6)</td>
<td>29 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time employment</td>
<td>55 (35.9)</td>
<td>60 (39.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time employment</td>
<td>9 (5.9)</td>
<td>5 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>46 (30.1)</td>
<td>46 (30.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (full-time homemaker, student, unemployed, or beneficiary)</td>
<td>10 (6.5)</td>
<td>13 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or living with partner</td>
<td>124 (81)</td>
<td>106 (69.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated, divorced, or widowed</td>
<td>24 (15.7)</td>
<td>33 (21.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>5 (3.3)</td>
<td>14 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical data, mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.21 (5.6)</td>
<td>29.74 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cholesterol (mmol/L)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4.74 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.07 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.19 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.63 (1.1)</td>
<td>2.99 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>129 (16)</td>
<td>129 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>74 (10)</td>
<td>75 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behavioral risk factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting MVPA&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; guidelines, n (%)</td>
<td>70 (46)</td>
<td>75 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>140 (91)</td>
<td>138 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of drinks ≤14 per week, n (%)</td>
<td>132 (86)</td>
<td>133 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and vegetables serves ≥5 week, n (%)</td>
<td>84 (55)</td>
<td>71 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRQoL&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;—health state score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>64 (22)</td>
<td>62 (22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
<sup>b</sup>LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
<sup>c</sup>MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.
<sup>d</sup>HRQoL: health-related quality of life.

Adherence to the 3 medication classes (aspirin, statin, and any antihypertensive) in the intervention group was worse than that in the control group at 24 weeks (OR 0.60, 95% CI 0.38-0.96; P=.03). Differences in adherence to all 4 medication classes (aspirin, statin, β-blocker, and ACEI/ARB; OR 0.67, 95% CI 0.42-1.05; P=.08) and for individual classes of aspirin, statins, and β-blockers (Table 2) were not statistically significant, except for adherence to ACEI/ARB (OR 0.49, 95% CI 0.30-0.82; P=.006), which was worse in the intervention group than in the control group.
The composite measure of adherence with lifestyle behaviors was similar for both the intervention and control groups at 24 weeks (OR 1.11, 95% CI 0.65-1.90; \( P = .70 \)) and 52 weeks (OR 0.97, 95% CI 0.58-1.62; \( P = .90 \); Table 3). In terms of individual behaviors, the number of participants who did not smoke at 24 weeks was higher in the intervention group (n=138) than in the control group (n=132; OR 5.75, 95% CI 1.08-30.61; \( P = .04 \)). No other differences were observed between these groups. At 52 weeks, there was a trend for differences in time spent in vigorous-intensity activity (mean difference 44.8 min; 95% CI 3.9 to 93.5; \( P = .07 \)) and MVPA (mean difference 100.3 min; 95% CI 16.6 to 217.3; \( P = .09 \)), which favored the intervention group. A total of 75 serious adverse events were reported during the trial; however, none of them were related to the study. Only 1 participant died during the study period.

**Table 2.** The effect of intervention on medication adherence at 24- and 52-week follow-up (full cohort).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adherence to medication classes</th>
<th>24 weeks (N=306)</th>
<th>52 weeks (N=306)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control (n=153), n (%)</td>
<td>Intervention (n=153), n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 3 drug classes (aspirin, statin, and any BP(^b)-lowering drug): primary outcome</td>
<td>105 (68.6)</td>
<td>87 (56.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 4 drug classes (aspirin, statin, β-blocker, and ACEI/ARB(^c)/ARB(^d))</td>
<td>71 (46.4)</td>
<td>56 (36.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>131 (85.6)</td>
<td>122 (79.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>124 (81.0)</td>
<td>122 (79.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocker</td>
<td>103 (67.3)</td>
<td>100 (65.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>119 (77.7)</td>
<td>97 (63.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP-lowering drugs (ACEI/ARB and/or β-blocker)</td>
<td>137 (89.5)</td>
<td>122 (79.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \text{OR: odds ratio; odds ratio compares the estimated odds between intervention and control groups.} \)

\( \text{BP: blood pressure.} \)

\( \text{ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor.} \)

\( \text{ARB: angiotensin II receptor blockers.} \)

Similar results were found for medication adherence at 52 weeks (secondary outcome), with higher adherence to 3 medication classes in the control group than in the intervention group (OR 0.56, 95% CI 0.35-0.89; \( P = .01 \)). The difference in adherence to the 4 medication classes was not significant (OR 0.68, 95% CI 0.43-1.08; \( P = .10 \)). Similarly, there were no significant differences for individual classes of aspirin, statins, and β-blockers (Table 2), but adherence to ACEI/ARB was less in the intervention group than in the control group (OR 0.42, 95% CI 0.25-0.71; \( P = .001 \)). These data are mirrored to some extent by the self-reported medication adherence data. At 24 weeks, there was a small effect on self-reported medication adherence, which favored the control group (adjusted mean difference 0.15; 95% CI −0.15 to 0.45; \( P = .33 \)), with a larger effect observed at 52 weeks (adjusted mean difference 0.30; 95% CI 0.01-0.59; \( P = .04 \).
### Table 3. The effect of intervention on adherence to lifestyle risk factors (secondary outcomes) at 24- and 52-week follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adherence to</th>
<th>24 weeks (n=282)</th>
<th>52 weeks (n=291)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (^a) (95% CI)</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size, n</td>
<td>Participant, n (%)</td>
<td>Sample size, n</td>
<td>Participant, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle behaviors (composite)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>94 (66.7)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>100 (70.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity guideline</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>68 (48.3)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>79 (56.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>132 (93.6)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>138 (97.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low alcohol consumption</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>132 (94.3)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>130 (92.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and vegetable guidelines</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>67 (47.5)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>64 (45.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)OR: odds ratio; odds ratio compares the estimated odds between intervention and control groups.

### Perceptions of Text4HeartII

Table 4 shows participants’ responses to questions regarding the Text4HeartII intervention. Overall, most participants (136/139, 97.8%) did not experience any technical issues and would recommend the program to others. Most (114/138, 82.6%) participants felt that the program helped them manage their heart disease, but perceptions of whether the program influenced the behavior were mixed.
Table 4. Participants’ responses on Text4HeartII (n=139).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Sample size, n</th>
<th>Participants, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Did you have any technical problems with the program?”</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>136 (97.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Would you recommend the program to other people who have had a heart event?”</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>134 (97.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Did taking part in this program help you learn about heart condition?”</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>86 (62.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Did taking part in this program help you in the recovery from your heart condition?”</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>114 (82.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Did taking part in this program help you change your behaviors?”</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>78 (56.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“If yes, which behaviors did you change?”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I became physically active”</td>
<td>41 (52.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I ate more fruit and vegetables”</td>
<td>34 (43.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I ate less saturated fat”</td>
<td>21 (26.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I took my medication regularly”</td>
<td>19 (24.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I drank less alcohol”</td>
<td>10 (12.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I ate less salt”</td>
<td>10 (12.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I lowered my level of stress”</td>
<td>9 (11.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I lost weight”</td>
<td>7 (9.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I stopped smoking”</td>
<td>5 (6.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I ate more healthy fat”</td>
<td>4 (5.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I had regular GP(^a) checks”</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I watched less TV(^b)”</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I got more adequate sleep”</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)GP: general practitioner.
\(^b\)TV: television.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

The Text4HeartII trial extended our pilot trial to determine the effectiveness of an SMS text messaging–based intervention to improve adherence to medication and lifestyle behaviors at both 24 and 52 weeks. Overall, we found no evidence to support the effectiveness of the program on dispensed medication or on adherence to a composite measure of lifestyle change over and above usual care.

The strengths of this trial were the RCT design and the objective assessment of medication adherence. Our study addressed criticisms of previous SMS text messaging trials [32,33], including enhanced generalizability. Our trial was of sufficient duration to elicit behavior change, and the follow-up assessments (24 and 52 weeks) were long enough to determine the sustained effect of the intervention. An additional strength of this trial was the complete data on the primary outcome. A possible limitation could be using MPR as an outcome, which reflects the number of days a drug was assumed to be in a person’s possession (based on dispensed drugs) rather than a measure of whether a person took their medication or not. Nevertheless, previous studies have used MPR as a proxy measure of medication adherence [20].

**Comparison With Other Studies**

The lack of a positive effect on medication adherence was surprising and contrary to previous research. A meta-analysis of 16 RCTs (N=2742) showed that SMS text messaging significantly improved medication adherence across a range of conditions (OR 2.11, 95% CI 1.52-2.93; \(P \lt 0.001\)) [34]. The effect was not sensitive to study characteristics (intervention duration or type of disease) or text message characteristics (personalization, 2-way communication, or daily text message frequency). The most commonly used method to assess adherence was self-report (9 studies), followed by the medication event monitoring system (4 studies).

Our findings differ from previous SMS text messaging trials in people with cardiovascular disease. A recent review (Text2PreventCVD) involving 9 trials (N=3779) and individual participant data (5 trials; n=2612) meta-analysis of SMS text messaging interventions demonstrated positive effects across a host of risk factors (BMI, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure) [35]. Without directly comparing the messages within the respective interventions, it is difficult to know where the differences in effect may exist. However, a recent systematic description and comparison of the development processes of the interventions included in that systematic review highlighted that the Text4HeartII development process was comparable with that of previous trials [36]. Moreover, our Text4Heart pilot trial was included in the Text2PreventCVD systematic review.
and comparison of development processes. Like similar trials, the development of Text4HeartII involved consultation with experts, users, or other stakeholders; was based on literature reviews; included relevant theory; and involved primary research with end users [36]. The behavior change techniques used in this study were similar to those used in previous studies [36].

Given the existing body of evidence supporting SMS text messaging on medication adherence, it is clear that Text4HeartII did not have the desired effect on the population and setting in this trial. This may be attributed to personal factors associated with our cohort; randomized participants appeared to be more motivated to adhere than those in previous studies. The results showed that the difference between the control and intervention groups was relatively small and driven predominantly by MPR for antihypertensive medication. Despite similar days in hospital between groups at 24 weeks (approximately 1 day), adherence to all medication classes for both groups was higher than our proposed control rate (30%); approximately 41.5% (127/306) of the participants had an MPR >0.8. Our original control rate was based on previous research, which found that 59.3% (8028/13,520) of patients had an MPR >0.8 for statins only [18]. However, in this study, adherence to statins was higher; 82.6% (253/306) of participants had an MPR >0.8. Second, in our study, participants were recruited by cardiac nurses, and all participants were offered CR services, with good access to follow-up. The lack of marked differences between groups may have reflected organizational factors with higher levels of available support (including CR) at the 2 participating metropolitan hospitals. Thus, the effectiveness of SMS text messaging interventions may be strongly influenced by the population and context in which they are applied. This highlights the need to better understand the context in which SMS text messaging interventions are delivered and how individual- and organizational-level factors may affect the adoption, implementation, and effectiveness of these types of interventions. It also emphasizes the importance of evaluating interventions in the setting they are likely to be used before widespread adoption. Third, it is also possible that our text messages were not potent enough to evoke changes in medication adherence over and above usual cardiac care. Many previous SMS text messaging interventions have targeted single behaviors, such as smoking behavior, exercise, and medication adherence [32,34,37,38]. Thus, the lack of potency may have resulted from our approach to target multiple behaviors rather than targeting medication adherence alone. This is consistent with a previous qualitative research, which showed that conversations about changing multiple health behaviors were perceived to be overwhelming for patients and difficult to implement for health care professionals [39]. The lack of potency hypothesis is in line with qualitative responses from participants in this study, which showed that although 82.6% (114/138) of intervention participants responded that participating in this program had helped them recover from their heart condition, only 24.3% (19/78) felt the messages helped them to take their medications regularly. Furthermore, 56.5% (78/138) of the intervention participants responded that the program helped them change their health-related behaviors. Fourth, it is possible that the SMS text messaging program did not fully address the needs of participants [40]. Previous authors have highlighted the need for more personalized messages, depending on diagnosis (atherosclerosis, spontaneous coronary artery dissection, and Takotsubo cardiomyopathy) and key risk factors such as current smoking status. Although the proposed message library developed by Marshall et al [40] was similar to ours in terms of advice on a graduated exercise program, nutrition, smoking cessation, stress management, and medication, their proposed content also included messages around support groups and information about respective diagnoses. Patients could also send a message, which prompted a response from a CR nurse. The results of that study have not been published and were therefore not available for direct comparison with this study.

Surprisingly, the effects observed on lifestyle behaviors differed from those in our original pilot study [14]. In this study, we showed no clear differences in our composite measure of lifestyle change or individual behaviors, beyond those reported. The lack of effect may be attributed to the following issues. First, it is possible that there was a ceiling effect, with approximately 59.1% (181/306) of participants reporting adherence to 3 or more lifestyle behaviors at baseline. This could reflect self-reported bias, which was evident in the measurement of physical activity. Second, our measure of physical activity in this study differed from that in the pilot study. In this study, we used 3 items to capture the time spent in light-, moderate-, and vigorous-intensity activities. This approach was used to provide a better metric for adherence to guidelines for physical activity in adults (≥150 min of MVPA per day). Descriptive data suggested substantial overreporting of physical activity levels at all time points. For example, participants at baseline in both groups reported more than 300 minutes of daily MVPA, which is considerably higher than the standard population estimate for adults in New Zealand. Third, the lack of effect may have been related to the fact that both groups had well-managed risk factors at baseline (eg, few smokers, well-managed blood pressure), which limited the potential for change. Fourth, the lack of effect may also be attributed to changes made from the original intervention, which involved removing the website, which allowed people to set goals, review previous text messages, and access other resources related to CHD. We decided to remove the website component as it was infrequently used, and fewer than half of the participants in the pilot study felt using a website was a good way to deliver the program [14]. In the original study, we also issued pedometers and allowed people to track step counts, which was not a feature of this study. These features may have allowed for more interaction with the program but were removed because of pragmatic reasons (costs and distribution) related to the potential to scale as a national program.

Future Research

Despite the lack of effect observed in our trial, SMS text messaging as an intervention has the potential to improve outcomes in people with CHD and other conditions. Findings from this study suggest that the context in which SMS text messaging interventions are delivered is important to consider and may have a significant impact on whether an intervention is effective or not. Future studies need to explore both individual- and organizational-level factors that may affect the
adoption, implementation, and effectiveness of such interventions. For example, the RE-AIM (Reach, Effectiveness, Adoption, Implementation and Maintenance) framework [41,42] is a widely used evaluative framework for guiding the evaluation and reporting of health intervention effectiveness. It emphasizes collecting information about the reach, effectiveness, adoption, implementation, and maintenance of an intervention across both individual- and setting- or staff-level variables. RE-AIM aligns with systems-based approaches and allows for the assessment of vertical (eg, adoption decisions within a given organization) and horizontal (eg, adoption across different sectors) components. The application of RE-AIM or a similar framework would help in providing a clear understanding of the key factors that may affect the effectiveness of future text-based messaging interventions.

Conclusions

There was no evidence to support the effectiveness of Text4HeartII on dispensed medication or adherence to a favorable lifestyle over and above usual care. In its current form, Text4HeartII cannot be used to augment existing services. The findings of this study are in contrast with those of previous studies and highlight the importance of evaluating interventions in the setting they are likely to be used before widespread adoption. Changes to the intervention program are warranted to justify its future implementation.
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Abstract

Background: Addiction to opiates and synthetic opioids poses a major threat to public health worldwide, with pharmaceutical opioids prescribed to manage pain constituting the main problem. To counteract this threat, suitable pain management strategies should be implemented in health care. Monitoring pain management seems to be feasible using telemedicine with a certain degree of resource intensity and digitization. As a communication channel for this type of monitoring, SMS appears to be a valid alternative.

Objective: The aim of this systematic literature review was to (1) provide information on the state of research regarding postoperative pain management via SMS, (2) establish a basic understanding of SMS-based pain management, and (3) provide insight into the feasibility of these management strategies. The research question was as follows: Is postoperative pain management feasible and effective utilizing SMS?

Methods: A systematic literature review was performed mainly following the PRISMA guidelines and another guide on performing a systematic literature review for information systems–related research. A search string was developed based on the objectives and research question, and eight databases were searched.

Results: The initial search resulted in 2083 records, which could be narrowed down by applying various exclusion criteria. Thereby, 11 articles were identified as relevant, which were accordingly analyzed and evaluated by full-text screening. In all articles, pain management interventions were performed using SMS communication between health care professionals and patients or their legal guardians. A prospective approach was predominantly chosen as the study design (91%) with the leading research objective of determining the intervention’s feasibility (73%). The primary reason for sending SMS messages was to monitor patients (64%). Overall, the use of SMS improved adherence, acceptance, and satisfaction regarding postoperative pain management. With an average response rate of approximately 89.5% (SD 3.8%), the reliability of SMS as a communication and monitoring tool was further emphasized. This response rate is significantly higher than that for email interventions (66.63%, P<.001).

Conclusions: This study provides a comprehensive picture of the current status on postoperative pain management by SMS. Communication via SMS was beneficial in all interventions, even preoperative. Six SMS interventions could be certified by the respective institutional review board and three were Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act–compliant. Therefore, the results of this study could be leveraged to address the opioid epidemic. Overall, the research question could be confirmed. Future research should extend this systematic literature review regarding preoperative pain management. Based on these findings, a pre- and postoperative communication model should be developed to address the opioid epidemic effectively.
systematic literature review; pain management; opioid; short message service (SMS); postoperative

Introduction

Background

Globally, the increasing use of opiates and synthetic opioids poses a major threat to public health [1,2]. In 2017, approximately 53 million people took opioids at least once in the past year, with the highest prevalence of nonmedical opioid use estimated in North America [2]. More than 700,000 people died from drug overdoses in the United States between 1999 and 2017, and approximately two-thirds of these cases involved opioids [3,4]. The leading causes of this epidemic are opioid misuse, an overall increase in opioid prescriptions, shifted patient expectations, inadequate medical education and practice, insufficient guidelines, and the highly addictive nature of opioids [1,3-8]. The major opioids of concern remain pharmaceutical opioids used for pain control [1,2], which are typically prescribed for postoperative pain management [9]. Therefore, suitable pain management strategies need to be developed and implemented to adequately address and counteract this opioid epidemic [10-12].

Almost every aspect of these pain management strategies, and the efficiency and quality of health care rely on effective communication [13,14]. Poor health care professional–patient interactions can lead to adverse clinical outcomes, insufficient patient understanding, poor patient compliance, and consequently negative outcomes [15,16]. Improving communication and implementing postoperative monitoring appears to be a practical approach, since less than half of patients report adequate postoperative pain relief [17]. However, resource-intensive pain management is difficult to implement in the health care sector due to the constant and increasing pressure to provide patient care most efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible [18]. These demands could be fulfilled by increasing telemedicine interventions and measures [19-21].

Mobile health, delivered through mobile instant messaging apps or SMS texting, has particular potential in this regard. SMS is utilized more frequently [22], as SMS communication provides various features and proven benefits for health care applications. Unlike mobile instant messaging apps, no smartphone or internet access is required for SMS [22-24]. In the United States, 96% of the population already own a mobile phone and 97% of smartphone users have sent at least one SMS message within the week. With approximately 6 billion SMS messages sent daily, it is the most popular and widely used communication feature [25,26]. Furthermore, SMS is a low-cost, provider-independent, scalable, ubiquitous, reliable, secure, widely accepted, and simple communication means [22,23,26,27].

Objective and Structure of the Study

To address the ongoing opioid epidemic, pain management combined with SMS as a communication medium appears to be very viable, whereby the postoperative phase seems to be unusually decisive. Therefore, the aim of this study was to structure the current state of the literature regarding postoperative pain management via SMS. To our knowledge, there is currently no specific literature review on SMS-based pain management and no synthesized results. Accordingly, this study examined the following research question: Is postoperative pain management feasible and effective utilizing SMS? By answering the research question through a systematic literature review, a conceptual framework for future research is provided.

To gain a valid answer to the research question, the paper is structured as follows. In the Methods, we describe the process of performing the systematic literature review, along with a detailed description of the specific selection and exclusion criteria. The results of the selected literature are compiled accordingly in the Results. The Discussion explains the principal insights from the included studies, along with the limitations of this review. Finally, we provide recommendations for action based on the conclusions, and highlight research gaps for researchers, clinicians, and other health care professionals.

Methods

Design

The goal of this literature review was to provide comprehensive insight into postoperative management via SMS. The review should (1) provide information on the state of research, (2) establish a basic understanding of SMS-based pain management, and (3) provide deep insight into the feasibility of these management strategies. To ensure completeness and transparency, a systematic literature review process was followed in all stages of the study. The methodology is mainly based on the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [28] and a guide for systematic literature reviews in information systems research [29]. A detailed and well-structured protocol was initially drafted, which fully defines the procedures to ensure validity and accuracy [28,30]. The protocol can be found in Multimedia Appendix 1.

Search Strategy

In consideration of the research question, a search string was designed using Boolean operators (AND, OR) for the selection of relevant literature. Various keywords connected the decisive aspects regarding text messages, postoperative care, and pain management. The conclusive search string is documented in the protocol (Multimedia Appendix 1) and is composed in the detailed form of “text message OR ‘short messag*’ OR ‘sms’ AND ‘postoperative care’ OR ‘postoperative care’ OR ‘surge*’ OR ‘surgeon*’ OR ‘operat*’ AND ‘pain’ OR ‘medicat*’ OR ‘opioid*’ OR ‘analgesic’.” The searches were performed in three databases in the medical field (PubMed, Medline, and CINAHL), three interdisciplinary databases (Web of Science, Scopus, and Science Direct), and two databases
covering the field of computer science (IEEE and AISeL) (Figure 1). The search string was adapted to the specific characteristics and requirements of the respective database. The search was performed between March and April 2019.

Figure 1. Systematic literature review flowchart. PM: pain management; PT: postoperative treatment.

Selection and Extraction
The initial search in the eight databases resulted in 2083 matches. The screening process narrowed down this result by applying a variety of exclusion criteria. Initially, only articles that were published in academic journals or conferences were considered. Further, all non-English articles were excluded. By applying these two criteria, 1305 articles were already excluded. Next, all duplicates were identified by DOI or title alignment and deleted for the next steps (n=143). The remaining 635 articles were screened by title, abstract, and keywords for their relevance to the research question. Accordingly, the papers needed to describe and analyze pain management, and to clearly emphasize postoperative care and SMS. Articles that interpreted abbreviations such as SMS differently or focused on issues not relevant to the review were further excluded. During this screening process, a total of 382 articles could be identified as irrelevant, as these articles neither focused on pain management, postoperative treatment, nor SMS. Three articles were published in the journal Telemedicine and e-Health [32-34], representing the interface between health and informatics. The remaining eight articles were published in various health-related journals. The average 2018 impact factor of the journals was 2.51, with the best-ranked journal at 6.03.

Results
Characteristics of Selected Studies
Of the 635 articles analyzed in the screening process, 554 (87.2%) were published in the last 5 years, since 2014. This reflects the increasing relevance of digitalized postoperative pain management in recent years. Correspondingly, this is apparent in the final pool of 11 articles, with 45% (n=5) published in 2018 and 36% (n=4) published in 2019. Three articles were published in the journal Telemedicine and e-Health [32-34], representing the interface between health and informatics. The remaining eight articles were published in various health-related journals. The average 2018 impact factor of the journals was 2.51, with the best-ranked journal at 6.03.

Ten of these 11 (91%) studies adopted a prospective design to investigate postoperative pain management in conjunction with
SMS. Patients were grouped as cohorts, and investigated for pain and similar outcomes. One study was a nonblinded randomized control trial [35]. The main research aim of 8 of the 11 (73%) studies was to determine the intervention’s feasibility (Table 1).

### Characteristics of the 11 studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Research aim</th>
<th>Automation</th>
<th>Age (years), mean (SD)</th>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony et al [32]</td>
<td>Prospective multicenter cohort</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>49.6 (13.7)</td>
<td>Hand surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony et al [33]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>46.0 (22.0)</td>
<td>Lower extremity fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth et al [36]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>30.7 (5.5)</td>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brix et al [35]</td>
<td>Nonblinded randomized control trial</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>47.5 (16.5)</td>
<td>Knee arthroplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier et al [37]</td>
<td>Prospective multicenter cohort</td>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>57.0 (n/a)</td>
<td>Colorectal surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [38]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>8.5 (n/a)</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day et al [39]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>6.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>Humeral fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson et al [40]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>6.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton and Sulman [41]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>59.4 (10.9)</td>
<td>Total hip or knee arthroplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premkumar et al [42]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>59.4 (10.9)</td>
<td>Total hip or knee arthroplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahanda et al [34]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>59.4 (10.9)</td>
<td>Total hip or knee arthroplasty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a n/a: not available; the article did not provide corresponding information.

### Characteristics of Study Populations

Overall, 4195 patients were supported by a pain management system tailored to the surgery performed and to the patients’ characteristics such as age or physical condition. The number of study participants ranged from 21 to 3049, with a mean of 381 participants and a median of 85. To select these patients, the researchers applied various selection criteria. For instance, 9 of the 11 (82%) research teams excluded patients without a mobile phone, 6 (55%) excluded patients who could not communicate via SMS, and 5 (45%) excluded patients with a language barrier. At the beginning of the intervention, the sex and age, and other demographic data of all participants were determined in 8 of 11 (73%) studies. The percentage of female participants ranged between 33% and 100%. The intervention was directed at adult patients with a mean age between 30.7 and 59.4 years in 6 of 8 (75%) studies. The other 2 studies analyzed pain management in children between 6.1 and 8.2 years of age (Table 1). The educational level and work status were determined in 4 of these 8 (50%) studies. The percentage of participants with an educational level above a bachelor’s degree varied between 23% and 43%, and the proportion of participants with full-time employment ranged between 40% and 75%. The race, BMI, and surgical history of the patients were identified in 3 of the 8 (38%) studies. Six of the 11 (55%) studies carried out a software demonstration.

### Intervention Characteristics of Selected Studies

Nine of the 11 studies used automated pain management systems for their interventions and the other 2 studies did not provide any relevant information on this aspect [36,41]. For 7 of the 11 (64%) studies, the primary reason for sending text messages was to monitor patients’ postoperative pain. The remaining 4 (36%) studies intended to determine adherence to the pain treatment, which was combined with a teaching purpose in 2 of these studies and with a monitoring assignment in the other 2 studies. The content of the text messages and other monitoring aspects was directly linked to the various surgeries performed (Table 2). Four (36%) studies examined different outcomes after knee or hip surgery, two each following tonsillectomy or fracture (18%), and one each after a C-section, hand surgery, or colorectal surgery (9%) (Table 1).
Table 2. Overview of the interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Message purpose</th>
<th>Time (postoperative days)</th>
<th>Opioids</th>
<th>Pain scale</th>
<th>Alerts</th>
<th>Reminders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony et al [32]</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>yes(^a)</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony et al [33]</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth et al [36]</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brix et al [35]</td>
<td>Adherence/monitoring</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier et al [37]</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>yes(^a)</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [38]</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>yes(^a)</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day et al [39]</td>
<td>Education/adherence</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>yes(^a)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton and Sulman [41]</td>
<td>Education/adherence</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>yes(^a)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premkumar et al [42]</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>yes(^a)</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahanda et al [34]</td>
<td>Adherence/monitoring</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>yes(^a)</td>
<td>0-9</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)No specific information about the type of opioid used given.

**Process of the Interventions**

Whether the goal of the intervention was for patient monitoring or to analyze the adherence to pain management, the studies defined different timeframes. With 60 intervention days, one study was distinctly longer than the others [36] and can therefore be considered an outlier. The average timeframe of the remaining 10 interventions was 14.7 days for postoperative care. The individual outcomes were determined by various message blocks consisting of several SMS messages sent on different postoperative days. Eight of the 11 (73%) interventions transmitted at least one of these blocks daily, 1 study sent a message every second day [37], and 2 studies sent each segment messages at a certain time interval [40,41]. The first intervention informed patients daily in the first week, and then on the 10th, 14th, and 21st postoperative day [40]. The other intervention sent messages daily for the first 3 postoperative days, and then on the 5th, followed by the 7th to 9th postoperative days daily [41]. Within these time intervals, six interventions measured the outcome with one message block, usually in the morning. Two interventions sent one message block each morning and evening to the patients [40,42]. Three interventions sent an additional block at 12 PM [32,33,35]. Each of the blocks consisted of at least one question sent by SMS. In 7 of the 11 (64%) interventions, one block was subdivided into at least three SMS.

For 7 of the 11 (64%) studies, the message blocks’ primary outcome was the monitoring of the post-surgical pain level of the investigated patients. However, 3 of the 11 (27%) studies focused primarily on adherence to the treatment [34,35,41], and 1 (9%) study focused on the satisfaction level of the patients [39]. Further secondary results included drug intake, patient satisfaction, or the number of alert messages, among others. In total, 9 of the 11 (81%) studies measured current pain levels, either as a primary or as a secondary outcome. For this purpose, 8 of these studies used a pain scale from 0 to 10, and one used a scale from 0 to 9 [34]. Response 0 always reflects little or no pain, and 9 or 10 indicates the most severe pain. Three interventions focused on postoperative pain management for children, thereby involving legal guardians in the process [38,40,41]. For this monitoring, two studies utilized Wong-Baker Faces [38,40], which is a valid and effective method of assessing pain in children [43]. Postoperatively, patient groups received opioids in 10 interventions. Percocet [33], oxycodone [33,40], morphine [33,36], or fentanyl [36] was prescribed depending on the intensity of pain and severity of the procedure (Table 2).

Four out of the 11 (36%) interventions sent additional reminders to patients, and 4 interventions (36%) also sent alerts to patients and physicians (Table 2). Patients were reminded when they missed answering questions for several days [36], and one intervention provided three daily reminders regarding medication intake [35]. Alerts were triggered whenever various thresholds or schedules were exceeded, or when communication with the system occurred at an unscheduled time [32,34,37,38]. Once alerted, health care professionals organized the appropriate actions, initially by contacting the patients directly. Depending on these alerts, reminders, current symptoms, and responses to the respective message blocks, the pain management could be adjusted. For instance, alerts could lead to a change in medication or a follow-up examination.

**Intervention Results of Selected Studies**

All studies identified a positive effect of SMS on pain management, thereby indirectly providing various recommendations for action. First, 7 interventions measured response rates, each with concrete results. Overall, between 8 and approximately 400 messages were sent to patients or legal guardians. With an average response rate of approximately 89.5% (SD 3.8%), the reliability of SMS as a communication and monitoring tool is evident, especially in comparison to conventional communication methods (Table 3). One study referred to two interventions where the response rate was 63%...
for telephone calls and 72% for a mobile app [36]. The response rate via SMS was significantly higher than that for email interventions (66.63%, P < .001) [42]. However, the researchers were unable to establish an association between response rate and age, level of education, and working status of the patients. Further, the response rate was confirmed to decrease steadily over the intervention duration, and the majority of unanswered messages occurred within the last postoperative days [33,37,38]. The highest pain levels were measured in the first postoperative days [32,33,38,40] and then decreased daily to clinically unimportant levels [38,40]. One study showed that postoperative opioid use had a strong positive correlation with the reported pain (r = 0.972, P < .001) [40]. All interventions that assessed medication intake confirmed this trend [32,33]. Six studies were verified and approved by respective institutional review boards (IRBs). Utilizing SMS for data collection was further deemed to be compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in three studies [32,33,40] (Table 3).

### Table 3. Summary of systematic literature review results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>SMS messages sent, N</th>
<th>Response rate (%)</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony et al [32]</td>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>Highest pain level within first 48 h; average use of 15.9 prescription opioids</td>
<td>~19</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>IRB HIPAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony et al [33]</td>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>Response rate, pain, and medication intake decline over time</td>
<td>~22</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>IRB HIPAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth et al [36]</td>
<td>Positive impact</td>
<td>Rate especially powerful compared to traditional methods</td>
<td>~400</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>IRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brix et al [35]</td>
<td>Positive and efficient</td>
<td>Nonsignificant trend for better adherence</td>
<td>~8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier et al [37]</td>
<td>Positive impact</td>
<td>Intervention led to earlier detection</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [38]</td>
<td>Positive impact</td>
<td>Real-time monitoring possible</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day et al [39]</td>
<td>Positive impact</td>
<td>High satisfaction rate, high adherence and acceptance among patients</td>
<td>~18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson et al [40]</td>
<td>Positive impact</td>
<td>Less medication intake, pain decreased daily</td>
<td>~20</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>IRB HIPAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton and Sulman [41]</td>
<td>Positive impact</td>
<td>Improved adherence and communication quality, less anxiety, positive educational effect</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premkumar et al [42]</td>
<td>Positive impact</td>
<td>Real-time, highly accepted, and available data collection method</td>
<td>~80</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yahanda et al [34]</td>
<td>Positive impact</td>
<td>Improved adherence and satisfaction</td>
<td>~18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IRB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aIRB: institutional review board.  
bHIPAA: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.  
cn/a: not available; the article does not provide corresponding information.

### Discussion

#### Principal Results

SMS-based pain management is highly applicable and efficient for postoperative communication between health care professionals and patients or legal guardians. Furthermore, alarms and reminders via SMS can improve and maintain communication, while supporting patients or their legal guardians. This support function is desirable for effective pain management [44]. Three of the 11 (27%) interventions even preoperatively communicated with patients [34,39] or their legal guardians [41]. This preoperative communication via SMS yields equally positive results as postoperative pain management; various studies confirmed this conclusion. Patients appear to be satisfied with preoperative preparation before treatment [45], while the legal guardians considered an automated SMS system as a beneficial support system [46]. One study utilized days 7, 4, 2, and 1 [39], whereas another used days 14, 4, 2, and 1 before surgery [41] for the intervention. Both studies relied on SMS for providing additional information regarding the surgery and specific process steps. Moreover, the text messages functioned as reminders to adhere to schedules and specific requirements or prerequisites of the intervention. The third study used two preoperative SMS messages to ensure that the prescribed medication was purchased and used correctly by the patient, starting 6 days before surgery [34]. Accordingly, 10 interventions averaged 17.4 days for pre- and postoperative care, and 14.7 days for purely postoperative care.

SMS technology was associated with positive results for all studies. The ubiquity of SMS makes it a cost-effective and straightforward method for pain management that is valid and less intrusive [35,38,40,42]. In addition to the very high response rate, the patients also responded quickly. One study determined an average response time of fewer than 12 minutes [37], further demonstrating the increased acceptance and utilization of SMS. This could enable the critical drivers of the opioid epidemic, such as inadequate medical education and guidelines, to be addressed directly, and most likely very effectively and
efficiently. Nine interventions successfully monitored pharmaceutical opioids, and individually adjusted the pain management according to pain perception and response to the respective message blocks. The SMS systems improved adherence to pain management, and one study even reported less medication intake overall [40]. Patients could be reliably contacted, facilitating valuable information, education, and further questions. Therefore, an implementation of SMS-based pain management could combat the opioid crisis. Toward this end, process automation could be a crucial aspect. Automated SMS systems for pain management enable more robust data collection without consuming limited health resources, especially regarding personnel.

Furthermore, the use of SMS can prevent potential bias, and ensure the consistency and timeliness of messaging to patients [32,33,35,37,42]. Automatic postoperative communication has already been shown to reduce opioid intake in patients with orthopedic trauma [47]. One study also emphasized that automated alerts have enabled the more efficient and effective detection of postoperative complications [37]. These included, among others, pain above a certain level, no responses, missing acquisition of medications, and specific symptoms [34,37]. The information elicited through SMS had a net benefit in fewer telephone calls, saving time and personnel costs [39]. Generally, the constant messages and communication led to a positive patient experience. SMS improved the patients’ understanding and responsibility, and reduced their anxiety regarding the operation [39,41].

SMS-based pain management allows for simple pre- and postoperative extensions such as easily integrable and more specific questions about the operation and possible symptoms. In addition, reminders and alerts can be triggered automatically by SMS systems. Nevertheless, the response rate was confirmed to decrease steadily over the intervention duration, and the majority of unanswered SMS messages occurred within the last postoperative days [33,37,38]. Therefore, the extensions should be limited and the pain management period should be as short as possible, depending on the operation. The development of pain intensity and medication intake during each intervention supports this assumption. In conclusion, depending on the operation and the associated pain intensity, a monitoring timeframe and a medication schedule should be defined.

**Limitations**

This study is subject to various limitations. First, only a basic quality analysis of the identified studies was applied. A more detailed analysis could clarify whether the final pool is rigorous, relevant, and credible. However, demand for high-quality research approaches such as randomized control studies is identified, as only one study was a nonblinded randomized control trial [35]. Second, the utilized Boolean search string could be defined more precisely. For instance, the results indicated that preoperative pain management has a decisive influence on an intervention’s success. Therefore, keywords such as “preoperative care*” or “presurgical care*” should be added. By enriching the search string, a more accurate result could be obtained.

**Conclusions**

This study provides a comprehensive review of the current status of the literature on postoperative pain management by SMS. SMS utilization as a communication channel appeared to be favorable and feasible in pain management in the postoperative phase. According to three studies, SMS also seems to be useful for preoperative pain management, especially for additional information on medication or schedules, or as reminders. SMS resulted in excellent patient response rates, better adherence to pain treatment, higher patient satisfaction, and less medication intake. Six SMS interventions were certified by the respective IRBs and three were HIPAA-compliant. This indicates that SMS is capable of meeting health care requirements and is suitable for a health care–specific application. All of these benefits could be leveraged to address the opioid epidemic directly, effectively, and efficiently. The ability to create efficient pain management via SMS ensures comprehensive monitoring and communication. Key drivers of the opioid epidemic, such as medication abuse, shifted patient expectations, inadequate medical education, or inadequate guidelines, could be adequately addressed. In conclusion, the research question could be confirmed: SMS is effective, very well-suited, and feasible for postoperative pain management.

Future research should extend this systematic literature review regarding preoperative pain management. Based on this, a pre- and postoperative communication model should be developed to address the opioid epidemic effectively. This model should be generally applicable and adaptable to the individual clinical situation.
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Abstract

Background: Physical inactivity is a global issue that affects people’s health and productivity. With the advancement of mobile technologies, many apps have been developed to facilitate health self-management. However, few studies have examined the effectiveness of these mobile health (mHealth) apps in motivating exercise adherence.

Objective: This study aims to demonstrate the enhanced action design research (ADR) process and improve the design of mHealth apps for exercise self-management. Specifically, we investigate whether sending motivational messages improves adherence to exercise plans, whether the motivational effect is affected by personality, the impact of message type and repetition, and the process of involving a field experiment in the design process and learning new design principles from the results.

Methods: This formative research was conducted by proposing an enhanced ADR process. We incorporated a field experiment into the process to iteratively refine and evaluate the design until it converges into a final mHealth app. We used the Apple ResearchKit to develop the mHealth app and promoted it via trainers at their gyms. We targeted users who used the app for at least two months. Participants were randomly assigned to 1 of the 12 groups in a 2×3×2 factorial design and remained blinded to the assigned intervention. The groups were defined based on personality type (thinking or feeling), message type (emotional, logical, or none), and repetition (none or once). Participants with different personality types received tailored and repeated messages. Finally, we used the self-reported completion rate to measure participants’ adherence level to exercise plans. By analyzing users’ usage patterns, we could verify, correct, and enhance the mHealth app design principles.

Results: In total, 160 users downloaded the app, and 89 active participants remained during the 2-month period. The results suggest a significant main effect of personality type and repetition and a significant interaction effect between personality type and repetition. The adherence rate of people with feeling personality types was 18.15% higher than that of people with thinking types. Emotional messages were more effective than logical messages in motivating exercise adherence. Although people received repeated messages, they were more likely to adhere to exercise plans. With repeated reminders, the adherence rates of people with thinking personality types were significantly improved by 27.34% (P<.001).

Conclusions: This study contributes to the literature on mHealth apps. By incorporating a field experiment into the ADR process, we demonstrate the benefit of combining design science and field experiments. This study also contributes to the research on mHealth apps. The principles learned from this study can be applied to improve the effectiveness of mHealth apps. The app design can be considered a foundation for the development of more advanced apps for specific diseases, such as diabetes and asthma, in future research.

(JMIR MHealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e19941) doi:10.2196/19941
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Introduction

Background

In modern society, many people live a fast-paced, high-stress lifestyle and do not engage in regular physical activity. A study showed that 80% of adults in America lack physical exercise [1]. A lack of exercise is the main cause of most chronic diseases [2] and the leading cause of death worldwide [3,4]. Research also suggests that regular exercise improves the mood and self-reported performance of white-collar workers [5]. With the advancement of mobile and wearable technologies, many mobile health (mHealth) apps have been developed to enhance exercise adherence and facilitate a healthy lifestyle. mHealth apps are defined as “mobile applications that assist consumers in self-management of overall wellness, disease prevention, and disease management” [6]. The mHealth app market has been steadily growing over the past few years. According to an industry report [7], more than 318,000 mHealth apps are available in the top app stores worldwide, and more than 200 apps are added daily.

Despite the popularity of mHealth apps, questions remain regarding their sustained effectiveness. According to a recent survey [8], 87% of patients used mHealth apps, but more than one-quarter of them stopped using these apps because of ineffectiveness in helping achieve their health goals or yielding tangible results. Prior research has also noted that many mHealth apps lack theory-based motivational techniques, rendering it difficult for these apps to sustain over the long term [9]. Moreover, mHealth apps are often designed and treated as black boxes; the design is not evidence-based [10,11]. These findings suggest that mHealth app developers must design tools to be more engaging for users. However, few studies have examined the quality of mHealth apps in terms of their effectiveness in motivating health-related behaviors or the design principles of these apps from the user perspective [12-14].

Prior Work

Overview

Previous research discussed the optimal means of designing and evaluating persuasive systems and suggested a set of persuasive principles to design and evaluate these systems [15]. Persuasive principles are specific design techniques such as providing reminders, tailored and personalized information, social support, or suggestions. By including persuasive principles, mHealth apps can more effectively motivate users to adhere to their plans [16]. For example, a study conducted by Middelweerd et al [17] reviewed 64 of 41,246 mHealth apps available on Google Play and iTunes stores and suggested that techniques such as self-monitoring, receiving feedback on performance, and setting goals were most frequently used for persuasion. A review of mHealth apps that applied persuasive technology to improve physical exercise indicated that users were persuaded and became more involved in disease control or health management when persuasive design principles were applied [18]. Informed by the literature, in this study, we apply 3 persuasive design principles when designing an app and examined their effectiveness in motivating exercise. These principles included (1) tailored communication, (2) motivational messages, and (3) repetition of messages.

Tailored Communication

Past literature has suggested that the impact of health communication is generally enhanced when it is tailored to a specific individual [19]. However, the principles of audience segmentation are far less discussed in health care than in advertising, marketing, and social marketing [20,21]. In early work in this field, segmentation was often based on demographic differences. For example, different self-help guides for smoking cessation have been designed for blue-collar and minority smokers [22], African Americans [23], older smokers [24], pregnant women [25], and women with young children [26]. However, few studies have investigated the effectiveness of health communication by using personality type as a central tailoring variable. In this study, we followed the psychological type theory [27] and the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) test [28] to specifically consider 2 personality types, namely, the thinking type and the feeling type. The psychological type theory suggests that a person’s seemingly random behavior is based on their inner preferences regarding perceiving and organizing information to form conclusions. The MBTI is a self-report questionnaire that can be used to assess people’s psychological preferences in perceiving the world and making decisions. The MBTI is a well-adopted method used to quantify psychological types and is widely used in empirical studies to measure people’s decision-making behavior [29-33]. The MBTI assesses personality types by considering a person’s preference based on the following 4 pairs of psychological types: extraversion and introversion assess how people direct their energy either outwardly toward people and activities or inwardly toward thoughts and ideas; sensing and intuition refers to two ways of gathering information and understanding situations; thinking and feeling are two ways in which people organize and structure information and draw conclusions; and finally, judging and perceiving describes how people prefer to live their outer life. By adapting the MBTI, we were interested in investigating the impact of personality on the effectiveness of mHealth apps in promoting adherence to exercise plans. Therefore, thinking and feeling were selected as this pair represents the key dimension measuring how people organize and structure information and make decisions. People with a thinking personality type prefer applying analytical and logical principles to make objective decisions by following clear and consistent principles, whereas people with a feeling personality type may opt to make decisions by referencing their own and others’ values and place more weight on personal concerns.

Motivational Messages

Several studies have investigated the effectiveness of motivational or persuasive messages in promoting targeted behaviors in the fields of psychology [34,35], marketing [36,37], public health [38,39], and health management [40-43]. Research has examined emotional versus rational messages [36,44,45], type of elaboration [37], positive versus negative messages, gain versus loss framing [39-41,46,47], and source credibility and likability [34]. The results of these studies consistently show that persuasive messages promote intended behaviors. In this
research, we were specifically interested in the effect of messages that generate positive emotions (emotional messages) compared with that of messages based on facts (logical messages). According to the Toulmin model of argumentation [48] and research conducted by Kim and Benbasat [49], a good argument with grounds (data), claims, and warrants leads to the highest level of trusting belief. We define logical messages as logical arguments consisting of a claim, data (ie, facts that support the claim), and backing (ie, data credibility). For example, “Don’t forget to exercise today! Research shows that even one session of exercise will enhance your positive mood.” The claim “Don’t forget to exercise today,” “even one session of exercise will enhance your positive mood” represents the data, and “research shows” provides the backing. In contrast, research has also shown that manipulating emotions accompanying a persuasive message affects the effectiveness of the message. People tend to adjust their beliefs to fit their emotions as people treat feelings as evidence [50]. Emotional stimuli can influence judgments without the judge’s awareness of such stimuli [51]. In contrast to logical messages, emotional messages do not provide facts to support the claim but focus on triggering positive emotions (ie, claims plus positive emotion stimuli). For example, “It’s time for your exercise! You are doing a fabulous job!”

**Repetition of Messages**

In addition to the message content, previous research has examined the impact of technical features of messages, such as message length [36], position [40,52], and repetition [37,53]. Among all the features, the most relevant and customizable feature in our context is repetition. The effect of repetition is referred to as the mere repeated-exposure effect in sociology, a psychological phenomenon involving people’s tendency to develop a preference merely because they are repeatedly exposed to something [54]. A vast body of literature has shown that the repeated-exposure effect is a robust phenomenon demonstrated across cultures and diverse stimulus domains [55-60]. There are many applications of the mere repeated-exposure effect. In marketing, for example, many studies have tested the effects of advertisement repetition [61-63]. The repeated-exposure effect has also been studied in many other social and human decision-making contexts [62,64-66]. For example, in a study related to reminders using computer systems, Malone [67] suggested that displaying high-priority tasks more frequently is an effective reminder strategy. Therefore, we were interested in investigating the impact of message repetition on exercise adherence.

**The Goal of This Study**

This study’s purpose was to improve the design of mHealth apps for exercise self-management by using an innovative research approach that combines field experiments with action design research (ADR). The literature examining adherence to exercise plans has defined and measured adherence as the percentage of completion of an exercise plan—often pertaining to plans agreed upon by patients and care providers in the case of medical studies [68,69]. We adopted this definition and measurement method by defining adherence to an exercise plan as the percentage of the exercise plan completed, and adherence was used as the dependent variable in this study. Notably, in this study, participants established their own plans. As they had very different health conditions and physical capabilities, it was not realistic for the researcher to create a universal plan. As the study participants likely had the desire to exercise, they set goals that they deemed beneficial to their health.

Specifically, our research objectives included (1) investigating whether sending motivational messages could improve adherence to exercise plans, (2) considering whether the motivational effect was impacted by personality, (3) testing message type (logical vs. emotional) and repetition impact, and (4) exploring the possibility of involving a field experiment in the design process, learning new design principles from the results.

**Methods**

**Overview**

We followed an ADR approach to conduct this study. ADR, proposed by Sein et al [70], conceptualizes the research process as comprising the inseparable and inherently interwoven activities of building the information technology artifact, intervening in the organization, and concurrently evaluating the artifact. The process includes the following stages:

- **Stage 1: problem formulation.** In this stage, researchers and stakeholders determine the initial scope, decide the roles and scope of practitioner participation, and formulate the initial research questions.
- **Stage 2: building, intervention, and evaluation.** In this stage, based on the problem framing and theoretical premises adapted in stage 1, the research team builds the initial information technology artifact. The process should be performed as an iterative process in a targeted environment.
- **Stage 3: reflection and learning.** The reflection and learning stage proceeds conceptually from building a solution for a particular scenario to applying learning to a broader class of problems.
- **Stage 4: formalization of learning.** This stage generalizes the principles learned to a class of field problems.

To examine the effectiveness of an mHealth app in promoting adherence to an exercise plan, we enhanced the ADR process by adding a field experiment step. In this stage, we used artifacts to examine the specific design principles. By understanding how users interact with the artifact, we were able to link the behavior study with design research and then verify and correct the design principles. We demonstrate the research process in Figure 1 and detail the process in the following section.
Stage 1: Problem Formulation

On the basis of a literature review, review of web-based comments for mHealth apps, and focus groups with users, we identified mHealth apps as lacking theoretical guided design and found that few mHealth apps are focused on motivation, which is one of the main problems, along with the lack of evaluation of effectiveness, as detailed in the Introduction section. We identified app developers, users, and health care professionals (ie, gym trainers in our research settings) as stakeholders. We recruited 3 gym trainers and their trainees during the process of forming our initial research scope. While working with the researchers (among them, a participant was also the developer of the research app), all stakeholders provided input during the process. The gym trainers contributed their thoughts based on their prior experience with exercise management, that is, persuasive principles, such as daily progress reports of diet and exercise plans to supervise performance of trainees. We also interviewed trainees to learn about their prior experience using mHealth apps and summarized several necessary functions, such as event schedulers, alarm reminders, and progress reports. By understanding the mechanisms motivating the trainees, we and the developer discussed the executability of certain mHealth functionalities and how to maximize the effectiveness of motivational messages. The stakeholders can benefit from using our designed mHealth app as a tool to monitor and manage their exercise plans and learn persuasive principles. We defined the scope of the project as follows: develop an mHealth app to remind and motivate users to adhere to their exercise plans and examine the impact of personality and message characteristics on adherence. We intended to generate design principles for health self-management and other motivational applications.

Stage 2: Building, Intervention, and Evaluation

Message Selection

On the basis of the definition of logical and emotional messages detailed in the Introduction section, we selected and edited motivational messages from web-based forums. We first selected several popular sites where users post motivational messages related to exercise and fitness; then, messages that were clearly emotional or logical were manually selected. Next, we ensured that the messages selected were shorter than 128 characters to fit into a text message. We used lab testing to validate the message type classification, as described in the following sections.

Stage 3: Field Behavior Experiment

Message Type Cross-validation

To classify the messages into emotional and logical types, we conducted 2 rounds of tests. Each round included 5 judges, who were students and faculty members in an information systems department and were not involved in the project. In the first round, the judges first read the definitions of logical and emotional messages and then classified each message as either an emotional or logical message. Any message that was not correctly classified by all the judges was removed from the message pool; we retained 30 logical and 30 emotional messages after the first round of classification. In the second round, we had another 5 judges to classify retained messages.

The interrater reliability measured by Cohen $\kappa$ statistics was higher than 0.86 for any pair of judges (no more than 1 disagreement). After the 2 rounds of tests, we decided that the reliability of the classification of the messages had met the standards [71], so we used the messages in the following steps.

Pretest of the Message Impact

To pretest the impact of motivational messages, we recruited 100 college students to participate in pretesting. The participants took the MBTI test to determine whether they had a thinking or feeling personality type. Participants then read the motivational messages selected in the previous step and rated the perceived motivational level of each message on a 7-point Likert scale (strongly disagree to strongly agree with the effects of the motivational messages).

The average rating (mean 5.8, SD 1.6) of all messages suggested that participants found the messages motivational. Participants with a feeling personality type rated both emotional and logical messages higher than participants with a thinking personality type. The messages used in the final field experiment are presented in Multimedia Appendix 1.

Building the App

On the basis of the literature review and theoretical background detailed in the previous section, we envisioned an effective mHealth app that could set up alarm reminders for exercise (ie, message repetition) and send personalized motivational messages (ie, emotional or logical messages) based on users’ personality types (ie, thinking type or feeling type). We used the Apple ResearchKit to develop an mHealth app named ActiveTrack. The idea behind the Apple ResearchKit is for scientists and drug developers to build mobile or wearable apps that suit their particular needs, whether for the collection of...
research data, patient recruitment, or the collection of informed consent. A prototype of the app was built with the initial design and released to the Apple app store, and user activity data were saved in a web-based database. By observing app users’ usage patterns, we identified points at which users were more likely to stop using the app. We also recruited users who provided feedback about the design and adjusted it based on these inputs. For example, in the initial design, users had to answer survey questions that collected their information, such as demographics, medical histories, and the MBTI questions, before entering the main page of the app. We observed that this caused most users to stop using the app. In total, 35 of the 79 inactive users dropped out at the beginning stage because of the overwhelming questions. Therefore, we reduced the number of questions and made the process shorter. We also made setting up reminders easier.

In the beta cycle, more users used ActiveTrack. Owing to the adjustments made, these users remained active for a longer time than the initial users. We hosted focus groups with some users and incorporated more suggestions into the design.

**Design Artifact**

The mHealth app (ActiveTrack) finalized for the field test offered the following features and materials (screenshots are provided in Multimedia Appendix 2):

- **Study information and participant consent pages.** This page provided the basic information of the study; the user could indicate their consent for participation in the study at the end of the page.
- **Survey of participants’ demographic information, living situation, initial motivation level, and exercise habits.** This section allowed users to skip any question they did not want to answer.
- **MBTI survey.** This page provided a questionnaire to determine personality type.
- **Exercise plans and alarms.** Users could enter any number of exercises they wanted to perform every day, such as running for 10 minutes at 6 AM and running for 15 minutes at 6 PM. They could also decide the number of days they wanted to exercise per week. We allowed users to set their goals to ensure that they were tailored to their health conditions. We defined adherence to exercise plans as adherence to users’ own plans instead of a universal plan, as, in reality, users have very different health and living conditions, and it is not realistic to establish a universal plan.
- **Display of motivational messages** (settings vary based on the experimental groups, as described in the Field Experiment section). An alarm would ring at the time the user set for exercise; a message would be pushed to the main screen (unless the user was in a group that did not receive messages). The alarm could be snoozed 3 times for 5 minutes each. For the groups with repetition, the first notification (with or without a message) appeared 30 minutes in advance to remind users of their plans; then, the reminder appeared again at scheduled times.
- **Record of the exercise plan was achieved.** The app asked whether the exercise plans were followed a few hours after the scheduled time, and users could click yes or no for each exercise item.

**Stage 3: Field Experiment**

In this research, we designed a field experiment and received approval from the institutional review board of the University of Illinois. We conducted a field experiment using the mHealth app ActiveTrack. ActiveTrack is an exercise planning mobile app designed based on our theory-based message tailoring method that aims to examine the effects of (1) tailored communication, (2) motivational messages, and (3) repetition of messages on users’ adherence to their goal settings. ActiveTrack was available to anyone for download from the Apple store. We also promoted our app through gyms, where many members participated in weight loss programs. Our partner trainers introduced ActiveTrack to their trainees but were not authorized to monitor their trainees’ behavior on the app. We targeted users who used the app for at least two months. The experiment included the following steps:

- Participants downloaded the research app (the user needed to have an iPhone).
- Participants were randomly assigned following simple randomization procedures (computerized random numbers) to 1 of 12 groups when they downloaded the app (randomization was achieved by embedding the random assignment process in the app development). The groups were defined as follows:
  - personality type: thinking type or feeling type
  - message type: no message, emotional message, or logical message
  - repetition: not repeated or repeated once (ie, 1 reminder 30 minutes before the scheduled alarm)

Therefore, we had a 2×3×2 design with 12 groups. Participants remained blinded to the assigned intervention to prevent them from being influenced by such knowledge:

- Participants were presented with the study’s information (ie, purpose, procedures, voluntary nature, confidentiality, risks and benefits, and contact information) and signed a consent form (the declaration can be found in Multimedia Appendix 3).
- Participants provided background information such as age, sex, race, weight, and exercise times per week through the app (the information page is available in Multimedia Appendices 2 and 4).
- Participants completed the MBTI questions through the app to allow us to determine their personality types. As we focused on only one dimension of the MBTI test, as described in the Tailored Communication section, we selected only the questions that could determine a person’s thinking or feeling personalities (MBTI assessment in Multimedia Appendices 2 and 5).
- Participants entered their exercise plans (open-ended text entry) and alarms (specific time). Exercise could be performed any number of times per week and per day based on individual health conditions. Example screenshots can be found in Multimedia Appendix 2.
Participants received tailored messages. On the basis of our 2×3×2 research design, there were 12 scenarios. Participants with thinking or feeling personality types may receive emotional, logical, or no messages with or without message repetition. Examples of the message display can be found in Multimedia Appendix 2.

Participants used the app and self-reported whether each exercise item was completed (completion rate). Example screenshots are shown in Multimedia Appendix 2.

All user inputs, alarms, and reminders were recorded in a web-based database. For privacy protection, we did not collect information that could be used to identify users.

**Results**

**Participants**

In total, 160 users downloaded the app during the 2-month period; after excluding users who stopped using the app in the middle of the process, 89 participants remained. The attrition rate was 44.4% (71/160). We compared the characteristics of those who dropped out (inactive users) and those who remained (active users) and did not find any significant differences. Of these active participants, 55 were female and 31 were male (3 did not indicate their sex). Participants’ ages ranged from 19 to 56 years, with a mean age of 28.8 (SD 8.3) years. Among all active users, results showed no significant difference in adherence level across sexes and ages. In addition, we found that white-collar workers had 17% higher adherence level to exercise plans than blue-collar workers ($t_{63}$=-2.045, two-tailed; $P=.04$). Moreover, higher exercise frequencies per week were associated with a higher adherence level ($t_{63}$=2.341, two-tailed; $P=.02$). We further tested the difference in adherence between those who downloaded the app following their trainers’ recommendations and those who downloaded the app voluntarily, and the results showed no significant differences between the 2 groups ($t_{63}$=-0.254, two-tailed; $P=.80$).

We also compared the characteristics of those who dropped out (inactive users) and those who remained (active users). We found no significant difference in age and exercise frequency between the active and inactive groups. We used a chi-square test to examine any differences between active and inactive users in sex, job type, and working hours. There were no significant differences in sex and working hours between the 2 groups. However, in the active group, we found that white-collar workers had a higher adherence rate than blue-collar workers. In the inactive group, white-collar workers were more likely to quit. The job type was a critical factor that affected users’ retaining behavior.

The sample size was relatively small because of the difficulty of recruiting active users; however, the sample size was similar to that in research in the health care domain, which investigated the effectiveness of using mobile phones for health management. In a review of the effectiveness of mHealth and technology-based health behavior management interventions, all 7 studies related to physical activity behaviors included 17-150 participants [72]. Payne et al [13] systematically searched and described the literature on mobile apps used in health behavior interventions; 17 of the 24 studies reviewed had a sample of fewer than 100 participants. In addition, there was a limited impact of the low power caused by the small sample size in this study, as detailed in the following sections. The number of active participants in each group and the mean and SD of plan achievement are presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the active participants in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personality and message type</th>
<th>Message repetition</th>
<th>Adherence rate, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Active participants, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thinking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>41.67 (45.78)</td>
<td>12 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>77.31 (29.72)</td>
<td>14 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>57.51 (46.10)</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>92.99 (14.54)</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>73.80 (17.18)</td>
<td>11 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>86.58 (16.40)</td>
<td>11 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feeling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>83.33 (28.87)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>91.67 (16.67)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>95.24 (8.25)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>83.73 (21.10)</td>
<td>6 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91.75 (10.45)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>94.21 (9.48)</td>
<td>6 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Model Testing Results**

**Overview**

To examine the effects of personality type, message type, and repetition of reminders as well as the two-way interaction effects between the variables on adherence to exercise plans, we used a three-way analysis of variance. To meet the assumption of homogeneity of variance of error, we used a square operation to transform the dependent variable. The $F$ test for heteroskedasticity suggests that the equal variance of the error assumption is met ($F_{1,85}=1.26; P=.26$). The results of the analysis of variance model are presented in Table 2. The results suggested a good overall fit of the main effects of personality type and repetition and a significant interaction between personality type and repetition. To understand the main effects, we conducted a Tukey honest significant difference posthoc analysis to compare the mean difference across the different groups; the results are presented in Table 2 and Figures 2-4.

Table 2. Model testing results.$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III sum of squares</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>$F$ test (df)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personality type</td>
<td>73,471,244</td>
<td>73,471,244</td>
<td>6.537 (1)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message type</td>
<td>32,216,925</td>
<td>16,108,463</td>
<td>1.433 (2)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition</td>
<td>93,902,535</td>
<td>93,902,535</td>
<td>8.355 (1)</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality type$x$message type</td>
<td>13,568,399</td>
<td>6,784,200</td>
<td>0.604 (2)</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality type$x$repetition</td>
<td>38,011,469</td>
<td>38,011,469</td>
<td>3.382 (1)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message type$x$repetition</td>
<td>3,427,468</td>
<td>1,713,734</td>
<td>0.152 (2)</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality type$x$message type$x$repetition</td>
<td>12,183,242</td>
<td>6,091,621</td>
<td>0.542 (2)</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>865,416,174</td>
<td>11,239,171</td>
<td>N/A$^b$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$R$^2=0.28$; adjusted R$^2=0.20$.
$^b$N/A: not applicable.
Personality Main Effect

From Figure 2, we can see that independent of other variables, people with a feeling personality type were significantly more likely to adhere to exercise plans. This is an interesting finding that suggests that the effectiveness of mobile apps on influencing behavior might be different for people with different personality types. Two-sample t test of mean difference of the dependent variable by personality types shows that the mean of the group with thinking type personality (mean 71.65%, SD 34.24%) is significantly lower (95% CI −31.28 to −5.03; \( P = .007 \)) than that of the feeling type personality group (mean 89.79%, SD 16.39%).
Repetition Main Effect

Figure 3 shows that participants who received repeated messages had significantly increased adherence to exercise plans. Two-Sample t test of mean difference of the dependent variable by repetition shows that the mean of the group with one more notification (mean 86.12%, SD 20.92%) is significantly higher (95% CI 7.78-31.56; \( P = .001 \)) than that of the group without repetition (mean 63.38%, SD 38.33%).

Interaction Between Personality Type and Repetition

We then investigated the significant interaction between personality type and repetition, as reported in Table 3 and Figure 4. The results suggested that (1) when there is no repetition, people with a feeling personality type have a significantly higher completion rate than people with a thinking personality type and, (2) when there is repetition, the completion rate of people with a thinking personality type significantly improves, there is no significant change in the completion rate of people with a feeling personality type, and the 2 groups have no significant difference in terms of completion rate. This is an interesting finding; combined with a previous finding, it suggests that although people with a thinking personality type might have less commitment to the plans they set for themselves, with repeated reminders and messages, these people can achieve a similar completion rate as those with a feeling personality type. This might be because the mere exposure effect impacts a person with a thinking personality type more than a person with a feeling personality type.

Table 3. Comparison of personality type and repetition groups based on estimated marginal means.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group compared</th>
<th>Mean difference (%)</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thinking, repetition-thinking, no repetition</td>
<td>27.34</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>8.95 to 45.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling, repetition-feeling, no repetition</td>
<td>−0.83</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>−31.41 to 29.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling, no repetition-thinking, no repetition</td>
<td>33.21</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>5.31 to 61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling, repetition-thinking, repetition</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>−17.21 to 27.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling, repetition-thinking, no repetition</td>
<td>32.38</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>9.65 to 55.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking, repetition-feeling, no repetition</td>
<td>−5.86</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>−33.38 to 21.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of Results

Our results suggest that mHealth apps can be effective in promoting adherence. People with a feeling personality type were more likely to adhere to exercise plans than those with a thinking personality type. When receiving repeated reminders and messages, the adherence rates of people with a thinking personality type significantly improved and showed no significant difference from those of people with a feeling personality type. These results are consistent with prior studies that suggest that different personality traits are linked to certain behavioral tendencies, such as proneness to addiction [73] and excessive use of the internet [74]. Our study suggests that personality can contribute to the persistence of adherence to exercise plans.

Additional Findings

Although the purpose of this research was to examine the effects of tailored messages based on personality and repetition, other tailoring criteria might be selected to motivate exercise behaviors. For example, demographic tailoring has been found to have effects that are independent of theory-based tailoring [75,76]. To further enhance our understanding of the effects of message tailoring and justify the applicability of our model, we conducted an additional analysis to investigate the interactions between sex and message type. We found that emotional messages to women and logical messages to men had a 35.56% higher adherence level (\( t_{df}=3.278; P = .002 \)) than the other way around (emotional messages to men and logical messages to women). These findings warrant further analysis.

Robustness Check

In the behavior research field, the issue of violation of parametric tests’ statistical assumptions is rather common, such as skewed distribution, heteroskedasticity, and violation of the independence of errors. Consequently, nonparametric tests have been proposed and widely used to address these issues because of their advantage of not being limited to the assumptions of distribution or homogeneity and because they can be applied to a small sample size [77]. Resampling methods, permutation (randomization test), and bootstrapping are common nonparametric methods. Permutation tests use all possible permutations of a treatment variable or dependent variable, whereas all other independent variables are fixed to construct the exact null distribution using the available data to determine how extreme the observed test statistic of research interest is against the null distribution. The randomization test [78] relies on the same idea as permutation tests; however, these tests compare the observed statistic against the approximate null distribution generated by repeating a large number of permutations (eg, 10,000-time default in the R package) rather than all possible permutations. As the null distribution is generated empirically from the observed samples and makes no assumptions regarding the population, permutation (randomization test) is beneficial for evaluating the statistical significance or treatment effect of any variable of interest. Another resampling method, which is bootstrap, repeats the available sample and draws from the same sample with replacement to calculate the test statistics and construct an empirical distribution. In experiments, the bootstrap method is a useful way to examine the treatment effects of a designed experiment [79,80].
We used a randomization test and bootstrap resampling method to assess the main effects of the 3 factors and their interactions. By permuting 10,000 times in the randomization test and resampling with replacement 10,000 times for the bootstrap test, our robustness check of the treatment effects shows that the 2 main effects of personality and repetition and the interaction effect between personality and repetition were significant. The results are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Comparison of resampling methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of treatment</th>
<th>Base model(^a), (P) value</th>
<th>Randomization test, (P) value</th>
<th>95% bootstrap CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional message</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-17.63 to 55.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No message</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>-56.63 to 30.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>0.07 to 77.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>0.85 to 74.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional message×personality</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>-83.03 to 17.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No message×personality</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>-61.64 to 46.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional message×repetition</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>-65.14 to 13.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No message×repetition</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>-49.51 to 45.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality×repetition</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-91.90 to -6.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional message×personality×repetition</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>N/A(^b)</td>
<td>-9.81 to 81.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No message×personality×repetition</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>N/A(^b)</td>
<td>-36.88 to 85.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Base message type in the regression model is a logical message. 
\(^b\)N/A: not applicable.

We also used a randomization test and bootstrap resampling method to examine the effect of sex-message tailoring. The effect was significant, with a 95% CI between 17.07% and 55.74% and \(P=.004\) in the randomization test. Both resampling methods show consistent results in terms of the treatment effect.

**Discussion**

**Learning With ADR**

According to our research process indicated in Figure 1, the evidence collected from the field experiment allowed us to summarize the insights presented in Figure 5. The design principles were revised based on the knowledge from the design and experimental effort and are described in Figure 5. These design principles were used to generate a series of app development problems, such as motivation for other behavioral changes and apps adapted to individual differences. These generalized principles are also presented in Figure 5.
In this research, we enhanced the ADR framework by developing the ActiveTrack iOS app and incorporating a behavior experiment into the process. When participants downloaded the app, they were randomly assigned to one of the groups for the behavioral experiment. On the basis of the users’ real-time responses, we revised the functions and designs of the app. As a result of the field experiment, we updated the design principles through the ADR process. Our field experiment results suggested that (1) the mobile app was effective in motivating adherence to exercise plans among users with both thinking and feeling personality types, (2) personality type was associated with the likelihood of adherence to exercise plans, and (3) repetition improved the exercise plan adherence rate of people with a thinking-type personality, and with repeated reminders, the adherence rate of people with a thinking personality type becomes similar to that of people with a feeling personality type. Our additional findings further imply that users’ behavior may depend on their (1) job types, (2) exercise habits, and (3) gender. By adding the behavior experiment as a component of ADR, we were able to learn design principles from the behavior experiment results and generalize these principles to a class of design problems: how to effectively motivate users through the personalized design of apps.

This study makes several contributions to the field. First, this research contributes to the literature on mHealth by investigating the effectiveness of mobile apps in motivating health behaviors. Second, this research improves our understanding of the impact of individual personality, message type, and repetition on the effectiveness of motivation. Specifically, through the field experiment, we were able to follow the participants and capture actual behavior change over time, which has been a challenge in behavior-related studies [81]. Third, field surveys, behavior intervention experiments, and the design science approach were normally used separately in the research. In this study, we used all of the above approaches to address the research questions. Combining these methods enabled us to actively design the app by observing usage data and using the design artifact to carry out the research. Thus, by incorporating a field experiment into the research process, we propose an enhanced ADR process. This research process enables us to better connect research with practical and relevant problems. Finally, the study provides several design principles that can be applied to the design of other mHealth and motivational apps, such as apps for lifestyle management, energy-saving behaviors, and adherence-to-treatment plans.

Lessons Learned From the Apple ResearchKit

In this research, we used the Apple ResearchKit to build artifacts. Apple released ResearchKit, an open-source software framework for medical research, in March 2015. The ResearchKit framework comes with some predefined modules commonly used in research procedures, such as informed consent, surveys, and active tasks, to make the development of mobile apps feasible and more convenient for research. This framework has gained much attention from research institutions and companies owing to its global release [82-84]. However, using ResearchKit and similar development frameworks is still a novel method for scientific research projects, and there are many challenges in conducting research using this method. Through this project, we learned that some of those challenges include difficulty promoting the app because of the number of apps available in the same category in the Apple store, verifying the validity of inputs, and ensuring data security and privacy. In future research, some of these challenges can be overcome.
during the study design phase. For example, using the heart rate module, the app could more accurately estimate exercise completion. If a study targets a specific group of users, such as people with certain types of disease, it would be a good practice to promote the use of the app among targeted audiences, such as online patient support groups. It is convenient to download the app from the app store, so the barrier for a targeted population to use the app is low regardless of their physical locations.

Limitations and Future Research

This study has several limitations that can be addressed and improved in future studies. First, the sample size of this research was relatively small because of the difficulty in recruiting active users over the 2 months. However, the sample size was in line with that of a similar study. To address this issue, we used both a randomization test and bootstrap resampling methods to test our treatment effects. The consistent results showed that the main effects of personality and repetition and the two-way interaction between personality and repetition were statistically significant. Future researchers can collaborate with developers, health care providers, and health social networks to increase the potential of participant recruitment. Second, self-reported adherence might be biased. Although we implemented strategies such as reminding participants that the data would not be shared with anyone and honest responses would improve the design of the messages, the validity of self-reported exercise levels could not be verified. Some smartphone features, such as the tracking of heartbeats, can be used for more accurate estimates of exercise in future studies. Third, we attempted to limit the impacts of other confounding factors that may influence the behavior of the users through random assignment to groups; however, there might still have been influences from confounding factors. This is a difficulty that similar research has always faced, as it is difficult to make behavior change in such experiments totally independent of external factors [81].

Potential topics for future research include other strategies for personalized app design and motivation, such as using different theory-based tailoring methods, testing strategies to retain users by analyzing their churn behavior, and identifying ways to use the data collected from these apps to promote health and well-being (ie, different metrics to measure users’ lifestyle change). For example, we found that 14% (12/84) of the users achieved higher average exercise frequencies than their original exercise habits after 2 months. This finding implies that users may change their lifestyles using our mHealth app. Future research can also focus on the clinical validation of the experimental results, ways of using economic incentives to promote healthy lifestyles, new business models for health care providers and insurance companies to motivate adherence, and the use of other wearable devices, such as smartwatches, to motivate health behaviors.
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Abstract

Background: Parkinson disease (PD) is a common, multifaceted neurodegenerative disorder profoundly impacting patients’ autonomy and quality of life. Assessment in real-life conditions of subjective symptoms and objective metrics of mobility and nonmotor symptoms such as sleep disturbance is strongly advocated. This information would critically guide the adaptation of antiparkinsonian medications and nonpharmacological interventions. Moreover, since the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic, health care practices are being reshaped toward a more home-based care. New technologies could play a pivotal role in this new approach to clinical care. Nevertheless, devices and information technology tools might be unhandy for PD patients, thus dramatically limiting their widespread employment.

Objective: The goals of the research were development and usability evaluation of an application, SleepFit, for ecological momentary assessment of objective and subjective clinical metrics at PD patients’ homes, and as a remote tool for researchers to monitor patients and integrate and manage data.

Methods: An iterative and user-centric strategy was employed for the development of SleepFit. The core structure of SleepFit consists of (1) an electronic finger-tapping test; (2) motor, sleepiness, and emotional subjective scales; and (3) a sleep diary. Applicable design, ergonomic, and navigation principles have been applied while tailoring the application to the specific patient population. Three progressively enhanced versions of the application (alpha, v1.0, v2.0) were tested by a total of 56 patients with PD who were asked to perform multiple home assessments 4 times per day for 2 weeks. Patient compliance was calculated as the proportion of completed tasks out of the total number of expected tasks. Satisfaction on the latest version (v2.0) was evaluated as potential willingness to use SleepFit again after the end of the study.

Results: From alpha to v1.0, SleepFit was improved in graphics, ergonomics, and navigation, with automated flows guiding the patients in performing tasks throughout the 24 hours, and real-time data collection and consultation were made possible thanks to a remote web portal. In v2.0, the kiosk-mode feature restricts the use of the tablet to the SleepFit application only, thus preventing users from accidentally exiting the application. A total of 52 (4 dropouts) patients were included in the analyses. Overall compliance
(all versions) was 88.89% (5707/6420). SleepFit was progressively enhanced and compliance increased from 87.86% (2070/2356) to 89.92% (2899/3224; \( P=.04 \)). Among the patients who used v2.0, 96% (25/26) declared they would use SleepFit again.

**Conclusions:** SleepFit can be considered a state-of-the-art home-based system that increases compliance in PD patients, ensures high-quality data collection, and works as a handy tool for remote monitoring and data management in clinical research. Thanks to its user-friendliness and modular structure, it could be employed in other clinical studies with minimum adaptation efforts.

**Trial Registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02723396; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02723396

**KEYWORDS**

Parkinson disease; ecological momentary assessment; finger-tapping test; subjective scales; sleep diaries; tablet application; home-based system

**Introduction**

Parkinson disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disorder affecting 1.5% of subjects aged older than 60 years [1]. Its prevalence in the general population is estimated between 1/10,000 and 4/1000 [2]. A progressively impaired motor function leads to loss of autonomy in daily living and reduced quality of life of PD patients [3]. Besides motor symptoms, PD also features nonmotor symptoms involving, among others, cognition, emotional state, autonomic functions, and sleep. From 65% to 95% of PD patients report disturbed sleep or daytime sleepiness [4,5], which further impairs their quality of life or the quality of life of their families [6,7]. Moreover, a substantial proportion of patients with PD report prominent spontaneous, transitory improvements in mobility after sleep and before taking the first morning dose of dopaminergic medications. This phenomenon is referred to as “sleep benefit” [8].

To characterize the wide variations of motor and nonmotor symptoms within the same day and their day-to-day variability in individual patients, multiple repeated assessments are necessary. Moreover, prospective assessment of subjective symptoms is essential for the collection of data that is unbiased by patients' recall. This issue can be critical in patients with PD, who may have subclinical cognitive dysfunction [9]. In fact, a sizeable proportion of patients tend to over or underestimate their symptom severity in retrospect at hospital consultations [10]. Tracking subjective symptoms and their variation is crucial for clinical follow-up of patients with PD. Information derived from patients' subjective perceptions is as important as objective motor features to optimally adapt pharmacological and nonpharmacological treatments [11].

In addition, remote monitoring has very recently become a strong and urgent need for clinical care since many national health authorities have encouraged patients with chronic diseases not to attend their follow-up consultations unless seeking urgent care in the attempt to limit the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Ecological momentary assessment is a technique involving repeated, prospective sampling of subjects’ current behaviors or experiences in real time in his or her natural environment. Ecological momentary assessment aims to study various phenomena in real-world contexts, minimize recall bias, and maximize ecological validity [12].

This approach has been applied to collect both subjective and objective data from patients with PD in only two preliminary studies, to the best of our knowledge. In the study by van Gilst et al [13], objective metrics of motor performance were collected using an electronic test, while a self-administered pen-and-paper motor diary was used to record subjective symptoms. In a feasibility study by Bot et al [14], only objective metrics of motor features were prospectively collected.

To ensure that patient symptoms are collected prospectively, self-assessed information needs to be recorded at specific time points during the day and integrated with objective assessment in a holistic way. Electronic and information technology devices are thus essential for ecological momentary assessment. However, digital technologies can be impractical for PD subjects, who often have impaired hand dexterity.

Although software apps for smartphones exist for PD [15,16], their use can be challenging for the patients, mainly because of the small screen size on these devices. We are not aware of any tablet-based applications designed for patients with PD that take into account the ergonomic issues specific to this population.

Several systems have been developed to date to collect information on mobility and motor symptoms directly in the home of patients with PD [14-20]. These home-based systems are designed to collect objective [14-20] or subjective [14,19] metrics of motor features. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are currently no available software applications that prospectively record both objective and subjective metrics of motor and nonmotor features of PD.

To meet the need for a tool capable of collecting both objective and subjective metrics of motor and nonmotor symptoms that was handy for PD patients, our group developed an application for tablets called SleepFit. This new application, designed specifically for patients with PD, combines subjective motor scales, a sleepiness scale, and a sleep diary. SleepFit proposes questions and tasks to the patients at specific time points during the day and attributes an exact timestamp indicating when the data are collected. The data collected with SleepFit are automatically stored in a remote server and can be retrieved, integrated with data from other sources, and managed by means of a web application, the SleepFit Researcher Portal. We aim here to introduce this home-based monitoring system and the improvements that were made in v2.0, the most recent version discussed in the paper. In addition, we outline specific

https://mhealth.jmir.org/2021/6/e16304
requirements for software applications targeted to patients with PD. We then share the lessons learned through an iterative development centered on the real needs of patients with PD in real-life conditions. Finally, we evaluate patient compliance and satisfaction with this new tool.

Methods

Participants

This study was conducted on the first 56 consecutive participants enrolled in the Sleep & Move study between March 2016 and December 2018. Participation in the study was proposed to all consecutive patients meeting the eligibility criteria who were attending the outpatient department of the Movement Disorder Unit of the Neurocenter of Southern Switzerland in Lugano, Switzerland. Other patients volunteered to participate after advertisement of the study in the magazine of the Swiss Parkinson patients’ association and in public conferences organized by the same association. Eligibility criteria were mild to moderate idiopathic PD (no atypical parkinsonism) [21] (Hoehn & Yahr stage >1 and ≤3) [22], no cognitive impairment (Mini-Mental State Examination score ≥26/30) [23], no active depression (Beck Depression Inventory score <14/63) [24], no deep brain stimulation.

The initial visit was performed at the hospital by a senior neurologist (PLR) expert in sleep medicine and movement disorders. Each patient underwent a full general clinical and neurological examination including the Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale with the motor part (III) performed during the “on” phase in patients with motor fluctuations. During the initial visit, each patient was given instructions for the use of the SleepFit application. The 14-day home period started at the end of the initial visit for each patient. A follow-up visit was performed at the hospital at day 14 by the same person. The satisfaction questionnaires were collected during this follow-up visit. No economic compensation was provided to the participants. Each participant was offered a reimbursement of the travel expenses for the two visits.

Sleep & Move Study

This usability study was conducted in the framework of the Sleep & Move study [25], which focused on the characterization of sleep benefit in PD. A second objective of Sleep & Move was to test the usability and acceptability of the SleepFit application by patients with PD and add improvements based on patient experience and feedback. Participation in this study was on a voluntary basis. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and written consent was provided by all participants. The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethical Committee of the Canton of Ticino (PB_2016-00056) and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov [NCT02723396] before recruitment began. All participants were asked to use the SleepFit home-based system for 14 consecutive days. The system is composed of an Android tablet on which the SleepFit application is installed and a portable wireless keyboard used for a specific motor task (Figure 1).
**Figure 1.** The SleepFit home-based system with application installed on a tablet (top) and portable wireless keyboard used to perform motor task (eg, after napping; bottom).

**Sessions**

Four sessions daily were to be completed by the patients: the “on waking” session was scheduled 30 minutes after waking up in the morning; “after medications”, 1 hour after the intake of the first dose of dopaminergic medications in the morning; “afternoon”, in the afternoon before taking dopaminergic medication (where applicable); and finally, “evening”, just before bedtime.

The timing of these 4 daily sessions was chosen considering several disease-related and practical considerations. Four time points a day is the optimum to reliably characterize the daily profile of fluctuation of PD motor or nonmotor symptoms without excessively interfering with the patients’ daily routines. Moreover, these 4 moments of the day are the most adapted, from a clinical standpoint, to seize both spontaneous fluctuations of PD and the ones related to dopaminergic medication intake and end-of-dose effects. This format is also in accordance with
routine clinical practice for patients in movement disorder units. An additional session (“nap”) could be performed after any nap taken by the patient during the day at his or her request.

**Specific Tasks**

In each session, the patient was asked to perform 2 sets of tasks: subjective scales and a motor test. Subjective scales measure motor status, sleepiness, and emotional state. They include questions 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the Scales for Outcome in Parkinson’s Disease Diary Card [26], a visual analog scale (score 0 to 10) [10,27] for perceived overall mobility, tremor, mood, and anxiety level (one scale for each), and the Stanford sleepiness scale [28]. An example of the 14-day patient answer evolution is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Answers to “How would you rate your capability to move right now?” collected on SleepFit for a single patient.

The motor test consists in a digital finger-tapping test that we named the Fit Test, which is based on the previously published Bradykinesia-Akinesia Incoordination test [29,30]. In this test, the subject is asked to strike 2 keys on an external keyboard at 10 cm from each other repeatedly and alternatively for 30 seconds using one hand at a time (Figure 1). We computed the same parameters validated in Noyce et al [29] (see Figure 3 for an example of a parameter computed for a single subject).

Figure 3. Objective data collected during the execution of a Fit Test by a single patient.

Finally, a 24-question sleep diary was completed once a day during any of the 4 daily sessions. If the patient did not complete the sleep diary during the after medications session, a prompt would appear at each of the following sessions on the same day. The sleep diary includes a characterization of day-to-day sleep habits; use of stimulating or sleep-promoting beverages,
substances, and drugs; and PD-specific or nonspecific nocturnal and diurnal sleep-related symptoms. An example of sleep diary data is shown in Figure 4. Only fully completed tasks (subjective scales, Fit Test, and sleep diary) are recorded and stored by SleepFit.

Figure 4. Answers to “Overall, I slept...” (line with squares) and “This morning, upon awakening, I feel...” (line with diamonds) collected from the sleep diary for a single patient.

SleepFit System
The purpose of SleepFit is twofold: to provide PD patients with a handy home-based system (SleepFit tablet application) suitable for completing the clinical tasks described above (subjective scales, Fit Test, and sleep diary) and provide physicians and researchers with a tool (SleepFit Researcher Portal) to access and consult the data recorded by the application to integrate them with other data sources in the framework of clinical research projects and retrieve them all easily at any time. The modular structure of the SleepFit system enables easy integration of new clinical tasks, functionalities, and external sensors. SleepFit is meant to be shared with other research groups upon mutual agreement.

SleepFit Application and Patient Interface
SleepFit user interface was developed with an iterative and user-centric strategy to take into account the ergonomic issues specific to this population. We opted for a physical keyboard instead of using the in-application touchscreen keyboard since the motor task was validated on a physical keyboard as well. In addition, the following aspects were also considered in favor of the external keyboard: (1) patients included in our study are mainly elderly subjects who may be more familiar with physical keyboards rather than touchscreens; (2) touchscreen keyboards may raise issues related to skin conductivity (eg, sweaty hands) that could prevent correct key touches from being recorded; and (3) patients may experience a better tactile or sensory feedback and more confidence when dealing with physical buttons. Three versions of the application have been released and tested on patients: alpha, v1.0, and v2.0. The relevant differences and enhancements between versions are described in Table 1.

Table 1. Feature improvements between main versions of SleepFit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Alpha version</th>
<th>Version 1.0</th>
<th>Version 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphics</td>
<td>Static background, plain graphics</td>
<td>Dynamic contextual pictograms and wallpapers, session, and task progress bar</td>
<td>Same as v1.0 + font size adapted to the content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergonomics</td>
<td>Numerical answers inserted by virtual keyboard</td>
<td>One question per page layout, large-size buttons, predefined numerical answers, +/- buttons for numerical entries</td>
<td>Same as v1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation</td>
<td>Session selection relying on the patient</td>
<td>Automatic presentation of sessions, customizable texts and default values, reminders</td>
<td>Same as v1.0 + kiosk mode, multiple language support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data storage</td>
<td>Remote database (internet connection required)</td>
<td>Store and forward (local + remote database)</td>
<td>Same as v1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote control</td>
<td>Direct access to remote database</td>
<td>Built-in web application for data querying</td>
<td>Same as v1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The alpha version was conceived as a basic software application for tablets bringing together several ad hoc tests, scales, and questionnaires employed in clinical routine. Ideas on how to implement the application from the alpha version to v1.0 and subsequently v2.0 arose after direct clinical observation of patients interacting with the application during initial and follow-up visits, comments we received from the participants, and analysis of the more common mistakes they tended to make while using the application interface.

From the prototype (alpha) version to v1.0, the main improvements involved graphics, ergonomics, and navigation. While the layout of alpha version was similar to a paper questionnaire, with plain background, multiple questions on the same page, and standard navigational buttons, graphical and ergonomic improvements in v1.0 included (1) larger, well separated, and accurately positioned buttons to avoid common keypress errors by the participants; (2) ad hoc contextual pictograms and wallpapers; (3) 1 question per page layout; (4) customizable texts, questions, and reminders; and (5) avoidance of open-text fields, which often cause PD patients some difficulty. Regarding navigation, selection of the session to be performed at given times of the day relied on patient choice in the alpha version. From v1.0, a workflow setup guided the patient along the 4 daily sessions by subsequently proposing the correct task to be performed. Additionally, as of v1.0, SleepFit included a log feature, making it possible to record the patient’s in-application behavior (clicks on buttons, touches with corresponding x and y screen coordinates, and timestamp of each action taken by the patient). Furthermore, SleepFit v1.0 was equipped with a local database, which ensured that data was saved even in the absence of an internet connection.

Several questions were added to each session of the subjective scales of v1.0 and retained in v2.0 in order to better describe clinically meaningful features that might influence momentary motor performance or its perception such as mood and fatigue, based on clinical observation and patient feedback. This resulted in increased workload for the patients from alpha to v1.0 and v2.0.

Based on patient experience and feedback using SleepFit v1.0, further implementations were included in the September 2018 release of v2.0. In addition to a fine-tuning of ergonomics, the main upgrade to v2.0 was the so-called kiosk-mode feature, which restricts the use of the tablet to the SleepFit application, thus preventing users from accidentally exiting the application and hypothetically interrupting the study. Examples of the SleepFit patient interface are shown in Figure 5.
SleepFit Researcher Portal
The SleepFit Researcher Portal was conceived and implemented in v1.0 to retrieve and download the data acquired from patients using SleepFit. This portal automatically organizes each patient’s data in sessions and tasks, enabling remote viewing of data from multiple patients. Via the portal, researchers can monitor patient compliance in real time, apply different custom filters (patient ID, date, daily session, etc) to call up specific data, and download data in comma-separated values (CSV) format.

In v2.0, the Researcher portal was further developed as a handy all-in-one tool for data management to be employed in clinical studies using SleepFit. The portal now allows automatic
synchronizing and integrating in the study database of the data collected in the case report form generated by the Research Electronic Data Capture application [31,32], uploading of data in CSV format from other sources or devices, or performing intermediate analyses.

A screenshot of the portal is presented in Figure 6. Each button on the action bar opens a different window to consult, filter, or download data from different sources and of different types: Fit Test, subjective scales, sleep diary, timestamps of bedtime and wake times of the 14 days at home, electronic case report form, and intermediate analyses from video-polysomnographic recordings. The researcher can easily filter the data by selecting the patient ID, date, session, and the hand the test was performed with. The filters patient username, date, session, role, and data categorization (H=data collected at home, V0=data collected at the initial visit; V1=data collected at the first follow-up visit) can be applied, and filtered data can be downloaded in CSV format.

**Figure 6.** Overview of the SleepFit Researcher Portal for an individual patient: A) Fit Test and B) subjective scales.
Participant Workload

The study was designed in line with the main objective of the Sleep & Move study, which was to systematically characterize potential spontaneous variations in mobility in relation to sleep. We considered that 9 tasks grouped into 4 sessions per day would achieve a good compromise between acceptable patient workload and sufficiently detailed characterization of mobility and sleep symptoms over a 24-hour period. Each patient was therefore asked to complete 9 tasks per day for 14 days: Fit Test (4 times per day), subjective scales (4 times per day), and sleep diary (once per day).

These 9 tasks were split into the 4 daily sessions according to the Sleep & Move study protocol. Patients executed the tasks at specific times during the day. If a patient did not complete a specific session task within a certain maximum time (which varied based on the session itself), the session expired, and that task could not be performed anymore during that day. In the context of the subjective scales and sleep diary, the alpha version proposed 49 and v1.0 and v2.0 proposed 68 questions per day to each patient.

We included in the analyses the tasks performed from the “evening session” of the first day to the “after medications” session of the 14th day. By the end of the home evaluation period, each patient was expected to have completed 124 tasks (55 subjective scales, 55 Fit Test, 14 sleep diaries), answering a total of 680 questions (alpha version) or 941 questions (v1.0 and v2.0). On-demand nap sessions (performed only if the patients had napped and activated the session request themselves) were not included in the analyses as they could not be scheduled a priori.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the R statistics package (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) [33] and Python programming language (Python Software Foundation) [34]. Patient compliance was calculated on the total of the participants and for each of the 3 versions of SleepFit. Two additional analyses were performed on a subgroup of patients having used v1.0 and v2.0.

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

To investigate possible differences in the demographic and clinical characteristics of groups of patients having used different versions of SleepFit (between alpha and v1.0 and between v1.0 and v2.0), we assumed samples coming from a normal distribution and used a t test for unequal variances for numeric variables and a proportion test for percentages.

Compliance

To assess patient compliance, we computed the ratio of the total number of tasks completed by each patient to the total number of tasks proposed by the application during the home period, as detailed above. The compliance rate is expressed in percentage. The improvement from alpha to v1.0 and v2.0 is evaluated with a classical 1-tailed proportion test.

Familiarity With SleepFit Interface

Patient familiarity with the application was computed by analyzing behavior during application use and keeping track of all user interactions with the touchscreen of the tablet, independently of whether the patient clicks on a zone of the screen associated with a command or not. To do this, we compared the number of hit targets (ie, clicks on the parts of the screen where buttons are located) with the total number of touches on the screen. The total screen touches were tracked thanks to the log feature included as of v1.0 of SleepFit. The target ratio, calculated as the ratio between these 2 values, provides an estimate of how accurate the patients were during application use and how well the user interface has been designed. For average target ratio calculation, we excluded the evening session of the first day and the 2 morning sessions (on waking and after medications) of the 14th day because we included only fully completed days in this analysis. The average target ratio was calculated for each patient and further averaged among all patients in order to provide an overall accuracy value.

Satisfaction

At the end of each subject’s participation, a survey regarding patient satisfaction with the home-based study using SleepFit v1.0 and v2.0 was administered (see Multimedia Appendix 1). In this analysis we included 7 questions. Three questions focused on user-friendliness of the application: (1) “Did you encounter difficulties using the SleepFit application?” (2) “Did you encounter difficulties in understanding what to do in the different situations proposed?” (3) “Did you encounter difficulties when inputting the answers to the questions proposed (button selection, timing and quantities, sliders)?” Three other questions assessed the graphical interface of the application: (1) “Were texts clearly legible?” (2) “Were the answer and navigation buttons clear?” (3) “Were wallpapers, pictograms, and colors useful for understanding what to do in each different situation proposed?” One final general question assessed the patient’s potential willingness to use the SleepFit application again in the future: “Would you like to use the SleepFit application again in the future if your neurologist proposed it for your clinical follow-up?” All answers were categorical, ranging from 1 (low satisfaction) to 4 (high satisfaction).

Results

Participants

Of the 56 patients included, 51 completed all study procedures; 1 patient dropped out on day 2 because of an inability to use the tablet (alpha version of SleepFit) and 4 patients prematurely terminated their participation because they perceived an excessive burden due to the study protocol (n=3) or because of physical ailments (n=1). Analyses were conducted on 52 patients (14 females), corresponding to 92.9% of the initial population. The first 19 participants tested the alpha version of SleepFit, 7 used v1.0, and 26 used v2.0. Figure 7 depicts the participant flow. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 2.
Figure 7. Participant flow.

- **n = 66** Eligible patients who met the study inclusion criteria
  - **n = 20** Used Alpha version
    - **n = 1** was hospitalised for physical ailments
    - **n = 1** was unable to use the Tablet
  - **n = 19** included in analysis of Alpha version
  - **n = 1** felt protocol too burdensome

- **n = 8** Used version v1.0
  - **n = 7** included in analysis of version v1.0
  - **n = 2** felt protocol too burdensome

- **n = 28** Used version v2.0
  - **n = 26** included in analysis of version v2.0
  - **n = 2** felt protocol too burdensome

**n = 52** total patients included in analyses
Table 2. Patient demographic and clinical characteristics for each version of SleepFit and all versions together.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Alpha version (n=19)</th>
<th>Version 1.0 (n=7)</th>
<th>Version 2.0 (n=26)</th>
<th>All versions (n=52)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>61.9 (9.5)</td>
<td>68.9 (11.5)</td>
<td>66.7 (9.8)</td>
<td>67.8 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female), n (%)</td>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>10 (39)</td>
<td>14 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active worker, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
<td>8 (31)</td>
<td>13 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smartphone user, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (63)</td>
<td>6 (86)</td>
<td>22 (85)</td>
<td>40 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempts to independently use SleepFit, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson disease duration (years)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.1)</td>
<td>7.8 (7.8)</td>
<td>7.9 (6.5)</td>
<td>7.1 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoehn &amp; Yahr stage</td>
<td>2.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS-UPDRS I</td>
<td>8.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>10.6 (5.0)</td>
<td>8.9 (4.8)</td>
<td>8.9 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS-UPDRS II and IV</td>
<td>10.2 (5.7)</td>
<td>13.7 (8.2)</td>
<td>12.3 (7.7)</td>
<td>11.7 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS-UPDRS III</td>
<td>37.3 (12.7)</td>
<td>26.1 (9.9)</td>
<td>27.3 (12.4)</td>
<td>30.8 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levodopa daily equivalent dose (mg)</td>
<td>507.7 (271.0)</td>
<td>561.5 (476.9)</td>
<td>666.2 (447.4)</td>
<td>594.2 (395.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIRS-G total score</td>
<td>8.7 (4.2)</td>
<td>5.4 (4.2)</td>
<td>6.5 (4.2)</td>
<td>7.0 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index</td>
<td>6.1 (3.1)</td>
<td>6.4 (3.2)</td>
<td>6.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>6.5 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue Severity Scale</td>
<td>3.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.5)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MDS-UPDRS: Movement Disorder Society–Sponsored Revision of the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale.*

**General Design and Development Principles**

The implementation of SleepFit brought us to some general considerations which might be helpful for the conception and development of software applications dedicated to patients with PD:

- Tablet format seems to be particularly suitable for software applications to be used by patients with PD
- When different sessions are required to be performed during the day, the software should guide patients through them automatically to avoid mistakes
- Presenting 1 question per page helps patients focusing their attention on the question being asked
- Ergonomics should be considered carefully: large-size buttons, predefined answers, avoiding free-texts answers, simplified navigation to improve usability
- When researchers or clinicians directly provide hardware support, kiosk-mode results are particularly advantageous for those patients not familiar with information technology
- Store-and-forward technology to a cloud-based database helps minimize data loss
- A web platform for data querying allows the investigators/clinicians to provide the patients with remote assistance during the ongoing data collection
- A tool to integrate, synchronize, and retrieve all study data, such as the SleepFit Researcher Portal, might be very useful for data management
- In order to meet the needs of physicians, the structure of the system should be modular and customizable, allowing easy integration of new clinical tests, functionalities, or external sensors

**Demographic and Clinical Characteristics**

Demographic and clinical characteristics of each group of patients using different versions of SleepFit are summarized in Table 2. No significant difference among groups was found except for PD duration, which showed a difference between v1.0 and v2.0 (*P*=.03); we interpret this difference to be due to the small size of the sample.

**Compliance**

The total expected number of tasks of all patients taken together was 6420. Our analysis revealed that 88.89% (5707/6420) were effectively completed. Detailed estimates of compliance for each version of SleepFit are reported in Table 3. Considering all 9 daily tasks together, the average daily workload for each patient was 8 minutes and 41 seconds for the alpha version of SleepFit, and 11 minutes and 15 seconds for v1.0 and v2.0. The last 2 versions of the application required more time because the number of questions on the subjective scale task increased from 5 (alpha) to 11 (v1.0 and v2.0) to assess additional motor and sleep-related symptoms. The average total time spent by each patient performing study procedures over the duration of the study was 2 hours and 35 minutes.
Table 3. Patient compliance for each version of SleepFit and all versions together. Compliance is reported as the percentage of the tasks completed by the patients over the total of proposed tasks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Alpha version (n=19) 680 questions in 14 days: 8 mins per day</th>
<th>Version 1.0 (n=7) 941 questions in 14 days: 11 mins per day</th>
<th>Version 2.0 (n=26) 941 questions in 14 days: 11 mins per day</th>
<th>All versions (n=52)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-scale (%)</td>
<td>Fit test (%)</td>
<td>Sleep-diary (%)</td>
<td>Sub-scale (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On waking</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>___a</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After medications</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>___</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>___</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>___</td>
<td>___</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total per test</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aNot applicable.

Familiarity With SleepFit Interface

The first subscale analysis concerning patient behavior during application use was performed on the 33 patients who used v1.0 and v2.0 of SleepFit (ie, the versions equipped with the log feature). This analysis revealed that, on average, the target ratio across all patients was 90.1 (SD 10.4; range 33.3-100). The average target ratio during the home evaluation period for each subject is shown in Figure 8.
Figure 8. Average target ratio over the home period for the 33 subjects who used SleepFit v1.0 and v2.0.

Satisfaction
According to the satisfaction questionnaires (completed by the 26 patients who used v2.0 of SleepFit only), 96% (25/26) would use SleepFit again for clinical purposes for the same period (17/26, 65%) or even for longer (8/26, 31%). Only one patient declared that he would not use it again. For what concerns the usability aspect, 71% (55/78) declared no difficulty, 24% (19/78) slight difficulty, 4% (3/78) moderate difficulty, and 1% (1/78) high difficulty. Finally, the patients rated the graphical interface as follows: 92% (72/78) optimal, 7% (5/78) good, and 1% (1/78) could be better; no one rated the interface very poor.

Discussion
Principal Findings
To the best of our knowledge, SleepFit is the first tablet application and remote monitoring system specially designed

to be employed in real-life settings for patients with PD that collects subjective and objective clinical data on motor and nonmotor symptoms and subjective sleep information. SleepFit went through several phases of development before reaching v2.0. This innovative, iterative, and user-centric approach targeted to patients with PD is the result of a very close and fruitful collaboration among clinicians, patients, information technologists, and biomedical and telecommunication engineers. A home-based system could be perceived as intrusive by patients with PD in their daily routines and, thus, may not always be well accepted. Analyzing patient compliance provides a measure of how well these systems are accepted and integrated into the everyday life of patients. We assume this provides an estimate of expected compliance in clinical practice and research too. Compared with the data presented in the literature [35], SleepFit represents a valid alternative to pen-and-paper questionnaires. In fact, it shows higher overall compliance. This result is all the more noteworthy considering that, compared with the study by Stone et al [35], our population includes patients with PD and older age individuals who may experience greater difficulties in dealing with technological devices compared with younger, healthy people. Moreover, the workload required for patients in our study is somewhat greater than in previous studies to date [14-16,20], of which, however, only one evaluated compliance and satisfaction with the system when used on a strictly scheduled protocol [14]. Indeed, our patients answered 68 questions and performed 4 Fit Test tasks every day, for a total of 941 questions and 55 Fit Test tasks by the end of the 14th day. Patients were also asked to respect the time constraints, which could have made it harder for them to adhere to the study since they performed the 4 daily sessions at specific times of the day and had a maximum time allowed for the execution of each task. These aspects may have negatively influenced patient compliance. In spite of this, we observed higher compliance on similar workloads and time constraints compared with studies that used similar technological tools [16].

According to our analysis, there was a significant ($P=0.04$) increase of about 2% in compliance between patients who used v1.0 and v2.0 of SleepFit. The sleep diary was completed with high compliance, at 99.7%, in SleepFit v2.0. This value may appear extremely high at first glance but is actually plausible and accurate. The reason is that the sleep diary questionnaire was proposed to the patient at each session throughout the day until it was completed. This means that there were no time constraints on completing the sleep diary, and therefore, better compliance is expected. Conversely, the decrease in compliance during the day from the first morning session to the evening session was not negligible. This may suggest that incremental fatigue accumulating during the daytime may have negatively influenced patient motivation to perform the tasks.

The data collected from the satisfaction questionnaires also provided useful information about patient acceptance of the SleepFit application. Patient willingness to use SleepFit again seems to indicate that this tool might be suitable for clinical follow-up of patients and also for research studies requiring longer participation periods. In fact, almost 31% of the patients who completed the survey reported that they would be willing to use SleepFit again, even for a longer period. The target ratio analysis performed on 33 subjects provided a more objective measure of the usability of SleepFit. We found that the patients were satisfactorily accurate when using the application, with more than 90% of screen touches done on target locations of the screen. This suggests that the design of the graphical interface of SleepFit is suitable for patients with impaired movement capabilities and tremor issues.

**Limitations**

We did not distinguish in our analysis if noncompleted tasks were due to technical bugs or a true lack of compliance by the patient. Therefore, our analysis may have underestimated actual compliance, since all missing data were treated as not provided by the patients. Finally, a further limitation of this study is that the conditions in which the tests were performed at home were not verified. However, this limitation is intrinsic in all home-based assessment methods and could only be overcome by means of an increased patient burden (eg, if a researcher came to the patients’ homes during each test session), which would seriously hamper the utility of a home-based approach.

**Future Implementations**

Future developments of SleepFit will include data quality checks based on the use of synchronized accelerometric data from wearable sensors. We also foresee the possibility of integrating other tasks and metrics such as electronic tests to assess cognition or other subjective scales exploring motor or nonmotor symptoms of PD. Due to the modular structure of SleepFit, adding new tests or scales is straightforward. SleepFit can thus be easily adapted to different research protocols and for clinical use. The results of our study provide valuable information on the possibility of using SleepFit in other contexts. For instance, a 2-week study paradigm including tests and assessments performed 4 times a day appears to be well accepted by PD patients. SleepFit might also be suitable for clinical follow-up of patients living in remote areas, for chronic patients during the confinement imposed by health authorities in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, or for people with mild cognitive dysfunction, thanks to its user-friendliness.

**Conclusion**

SleepFit is an easy-to-use tool that can accurately collect subjective and objective data from patients with PD. It was developed and improved with an iterative user-centric approach. From the lessons learned in this process, essential suggestions emerged for future software application development tailored to PD patients. Although the use of SleepFit should be further tested in larger populations, both for clinical follow-up and in other home-based research studies, this application proved to be a very promising tool to increase patient compliance and assist researchers in surveying patients during data collection and data management.
Acknowledgments

The development of SleepFit v1.0 received the contribution of the DOS Group (Mr Raffaele Calabrese and Mr Piero Bozzolo). We would like to thank all the patients who took part in this study. Many thanks to Dr Stephany Fulda for her support in the first conception of the Sleep, Awake & Move research project and to Miss Simona Bonoli and Miss Lucia Guglielmetti for their help in patient recruitment. A special thanks to Mr Gregor Ruggel for the German translation of the SleepFit texts and Miss Aurélie Davin for linguistic revision of the French version. We also acknowledge the invaluable contribution of Prof Moustapha Dramé for his advice on the methodological revision of the final manuscript, and we really appreciate the linguistic revision made by Mrs Fiona Ecarnot. We also thank all the colleagues and coworkers who supported this project and shared our enthusiasm: Dr Ninfa Amato, Dr Salvatore Galati, Dr Claudio Staedler, Dr Filippo Scacchi, Mr Marco Bosetti, Mr Luigi Fiorillo, Mr Pierluigi Lurà, Mrs Nicole Vago-Caputo, Mr Matteo Pereno, Mrs Simona Perrotta, Mr Giuliano Filippini, and Mrs Yasmin Belloni.

Authors' Contributions

EKC and YL conceived and developed the alpha version of SleepFit; v1.0.0 and following were conceived by AP, MM, PLR, AM with the support of AKL and CF and developed by AM.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Satisfaction questionnaire for the SleepFit app.

References

Abbreviations

CSV: comma-separated values
PD: Parkinson disease
©Alessandro Mascheroni, Eun Kyoung Choe, Yuhan Luo, Michele Marazza, Clara Ferlito, Serena Caverzasio, Francesco Mezzanotte, Alain Kaelin-Lang, Francesca Faraci, Alessandro Puiatti, Pietro Luca Ratti. Originally published in JMIR mHealth and uHealth (https://mhealth.jmir.org), 08.06.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR mHealth and uHealth, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://mhealth.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.
Challenges With Developing Secure Mobile Health Applications: Systematic Review

Bakheet Aljedaani1,2, MSc; M Ali Babar1,3, PhD

1Centre for Research on Engineering Software Technologies, School of Computer Science, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
2Computer Science Department, Aljumum University College, Umm Alqura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia
3Cyber Security Cooperative Research Centre, Adelaide, Australia

Corresponding Author:
Bakheet Aljedaani, MSc
Centre for Research on Engineering Software Technologies
School of Computer Science
The University of Adelaide
North Terrace
Adelaide,
Australia
Phone: 61 883135208
Email: bakheet.aljedaani@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract

Background: Mobile health (mHealth) apps have gained significant popularity over the last few years due to their tremendous benefits, such as lowering health care costs and increasing patient awareness. However, the sensitivity of health care data makes the security of mHealth apps a serious concern. Poor security practices and lack of security knowledge on the developers’ side can cause several vulnerabilities in mHealth apps.

Objective: In this review paper, we aimed to identify and analyze the reported challenges concerning security that developers of mHealth apps face. Additionally, our study aimed to develop a conceptual framework with the challenges for developing secure apps faced by mHealth app development organizations. The knowledge of such challenges can help to reduce the risk of developing insecure mHealth apps.

Methods: We followed the systematic literature review method for this review. We selected studies that were published between January 2008 and October 2020 since the major app stores launched in 2008. We selected 32 primary studies using predefined criteria and used a thematic analysis method for analyzing the extracted data.

Results: Of the 1867 articles obtained, 32 were included in this review based on the predefined criteria. We identified 9 challenges that can affect the development of secure mHealth apps. These challenges include lack of security guidelines and regulations for developing secure mHealth apps (20/32, 63%), developers’ lack of knowledge and expertise for secure mHealth app development (18/32, 56%), lack of stakeholders’ involvement during mHealth app development (6/32, 19%), no/little developer attention towards the security of mHealth apps (5/32, 16%), lack of resources for developing a secure mHealth app (4/32, 13%), project constraints during the mHealth app development process (4/32, 13%), lack of security testing during mHealth app development (4/32, 13%), developers’ lack of motivation and ethical considerations (3/32, 9%), and lack of security experts’ engagement during mHealth app development (2/32, 6%). Based on our analysis, we have presented a conceptual framework that highlights the correlation between the identified challenges.

Conclusions: While mHealth app development organizations might overlook security, we conclude that our findings can help them to identify the weaknesses and improve their security practices. Similarly, mHealth app developers can identify the challenges they face to develop mHealth apps that do not pose security risks for users. Our review is a step towards providing insights into the development of secure mHealth apps. Our proposed conceptual framework can act as a practice guideline for practitioners to enhance secure mHealth app development.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e15654) doi:10.2196/15654
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Introduction

Background

The use of mobile apps in health care has gained widespread adoption [1,2]. Lack of health professionals, especially in rural areas, is an excellent motivator for mobile health (mHealth) app adoption [3]. mHealth apps rely on the portability and context-sensitivity of mobile computing to improve access to health care services that are cost-effective, scalable, and pervasive [4]. Leveraging mHealth apps would improve access to health care services, lower the cost, and increase patients’ health awareness [5]. According to the World Health Organization, mHealth is defined as “medical and public health practice supported by mobile devices, such as mobile phones, patient monitoring devices, personal digital assistants (PDAs), and other wireless devices” [6]. There are several types of mHealth apps developed for health purposes ranging from general health apps such as decision, support, vital, and reproductive health apps through fitness apps providing an activity tracker, nutrition tracker, and mindfulness [5]. The number of mHealth apps has grown massively following the launch of centralized mobile app repositories (ie, Google Play and Apple Store) in 2008. It has become easier for mobile developers to distribute their apps to a wide range of users [7]. Research2guidance, an organization for providing research and consultancy for digital health, reports that 78,000 new mHealth apps were added to apps stores in 2017. The report also showed that mHealth app downloads reached 3.7 billion, and the market revenue for digital health reached US $5.4 billion in 2017 [8].

The security of mobile apps in general and mHealth apps in particular has become one of the primary concerns since mobile apps are more vulnerable to attacks [9]. Most mobile apps collect, process, store, and transmit user and device data in and out of a device over various networks [5]. Compromising the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of such data would lead to severe consequences, including but not limited to compromised device data and leading to financial loss [10]. In mHealth apps, security becomes a significant concern due to health-critical data privacy and integrity [5,11]. An attack to falsify clinical measurements can lead to unnecessary care for patients as they think they are sicker than they actually are and can cause medical, legal, and social concerns [12].

Health professionals are increasingly relying upon health data collected via mHealth apps to make their decisions, such as dermatologic care [13], chronic illnesses management [14,15], and clinical practice [16]. Data manipulation can significantly impact treatment, causing serious results (eg, worsened morbidity or death) [17,18]. While health regulations and laws (ie, The US Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA], European General Data Protection Regulation [GDPR]) strive to protect medical integrity and patients’ privacy by focusing on hospitals, doctors, and insurance firms, little attention has been paid to support mHealth app developers by providing them with suitable guidelines for developing secure apps [5,19].

A large part of mHealth app security relies on developers’ experience with designing and developing secure apps. We use the term developer in our research to refer to professionals who are engaged in engineering and development of mHealth apps. According to previous studies [1,12,15,20,21], most mHealth apps have not fully implemented mechanisms to protect health data. Studies have also claimed that mHealth developers may fail to appropriately implement basic security solutions such as authentication, encryption for data at rest, and encryption for data in transit. It is being recognized that it is critically important to thoroughly train mHealth app developers in implementing suitable security mechanisms to protect patients’ data from being stolen or compromised [12,20]. Hence, it is crucial to identify and synthesize the reported challenges of developing secure mHealth apps as a body of knowledge for research and practice. We have reviewed the relevant literature to determine the security challenges by focusing on developers rather than the solutions. Our research question for this literature review is: What are the challenges that developers of mHealth apps face with respect to implementing security?

This review’s primary contribution is identifying the challenges that hinder the development of secure mHealth apps, such as the lack of security guidelines and regulations for developing secure mHealth apps and developers’ lack of knowledge of and expertise with secure mHealth app development. This review’s results can be beneficial to researchers and practitioners (eg, mHealth app developers, managers, research engineers) for supporting research and development of emerging and next-generation, secure mHealth apps.

Previous Work

The challenges for developing secure software have been receiving increasing attention in recent years. A review by Kanniah and Mahrin [22], which included 44 studies, identified the factors that influence secure software development practices. The study found that security skills, expertise, tools, and development time are among the factors that impact secure software development. The identified factors were classified into institutional context, people and action, project content, and software development process factors. Thomas et al [23] addressed the issues that security auditors face during application review for security bugs. The study recommend further support for the development process by providing security-related tools and effective communication tools for developer interactions. Further support for software developers has also been recommended by providing motivation (eg, reward or recognition) and providing solutions for technical challenges such as using third-party library issues. The authors recommended recruiting security experts within teams and make them available for answering questions. Raghavan et al [24] presented a model for achieving security during the software development lifecycle (SDLC). Their model suggests the following factors: security policy, management support, security-related training for developers, and development process control. Weir et al [25] studied the positive factors that enhance the development of secure software. The work identified the interventions that lead to achieving security by performing a threat model, organizing motivational workshops to engage team members, and continuous reminders for developers. The study also highlighted other interventions that need to be considered, such as component choice for security.
tools, performing static analysis, developer training, and performing penetration testing and code review.

Some studies also aimed to help mobile app developers develop secure apps by providing guidelines for the development process [6,26,27]. Given the increasing realization of the need to provide developers of mHealth apps with appropriate knowledge, training, and support for developing secure apps, there is a critical need to identify and analyze the challenges that prevent them from developing secure apps. Our findings would contribute to a body of knowledge about the challenges that mHealth app developers face with respect to security.

**Comparison With Prior Studies**

Prior reviews [28,29] have focused more on investigating the security measures and technical solutions employed by developers. However, a few challenges were raised in [28,29]. Katusiime and Pinkwart [28] systematically reviewed privacy and usability issues and solutions in mHealth systems. The study considered developers’ lack of security knowledge and lack of a security framework as external factors that need to be considered. Another review by Marquez et al [29] was more on the security issues of telehealth systems. The study focused on classifying security (ie, attacks, vulnerabilities, weaknesses, and threats) and presenting security strategies (ie, detect attacks, stop or mitigate attacks, and react to attacks) of telehealth systems. Also, the study reported some security practices that need to be ensured, such as having a discussion about architectural styles (eg, security patterns) and engaging stakeholders during the development of an app. To the best of our knowledge, there is no systematic literature review (SLR) that explicitly investigates the challenges faced by mHealth app developers when implementing security for mHealth apps. Thus, we aimed to fill the gap and provide insights into the development of secure mHealth apps.

**Methods**

This research has been undertaken as an SLR. It is one of the most widely used research methods of evidence-based software engineering. An SLR provides a well-defined process for identifying, evaluating, and interpreting all available evidence relevant to particular research. We followed the guidelines of Kitchenham et al [30] to perform an SLR that involves 3 main phases: defining a review protocol, conducting the review, and reporting the review. In this section, we briefly describe the main components of the review protocol and its implementation. Our review protocol has 6 components, including research question, search strategy, data source, study selection process, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and data extraction and data synthesis. Figure 1 presents a flow diagram of the literature search and article selection results.

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram for the selection of articles. IoT: Internet of Things; mHealth: mobile health; WSN: wireless sensor network.
Research Question
Our review’s objective was to identify and codify the challenges that hinder mHealth app developers from developing secure apps. This review’s findings would enable us to identify the potential gaps that need to be further investigated based on the developers’ perspectives.

Search Strategy
We used the following strategies to form our search string: (1) identifying the major terms based on the study focus and the research question, (2) identifying all the possible keywords and related synonyms based on our experience and previous work, (3) using the Boolean “AND” to join major terms and the Boolean “OR” to join alternative terms and synonyms. Hence, our search string for this review was as follows: (“security” OR “insecure” OR “secure”) AND (“mobile health” OR “mobile healthcare” OR “mobile health-care” OR “mobile health care” OR “telehealth” OR “mhealth”).

Data Source
We used the Scopus digital library as our primary search library as there are many successful examples of other researchers (eg, [30]) limiting their search to Scopus. The Scopus indexing system has the advantages of facilitating the formulated complex search string, being frequently updated, and keeping track of a large number of journals and conferences in software engineering studies. Furthermore, Scopus is an indexing database that provides name, keywords, and abstract for all published articles. Any pointed articles can be further searched and downloaded to review the whole article regardless of which database in which it actually exists.

Study Selection Process
As illustrated in Figure 1, we followed several criteria to exclude studies in our SLR as detailed in the following sections.

Phase 1: Automatic Search
We ran our search string in the Scopus digital library. Thus, we retrieved a total of 1867 potential articles.

Phase 2: Title and Keyword-Based Selection
We carefully reviewed the title and keywords to decide whether each of the retrieved articles was relevant to our SLR. We retained the papers for the next inspection when we could not decide by reading the titles and keywords. Thus, we excluded 1402 articles and included 465 articles for the next phase.

Phase 3: Abstract and Introduction-Based Selection
We read the abstract and introduction for each article. This phase enabled us to include 192 articles and discard 273 articles.

Phase 4: Full Paper Scanning-Based Selection
We scanned the entire article to ensure that it was relevant to our SLR objective. Thus, we included 95 articles and excluded 97 articles.

Phase 5: Critical Review-Based Selection
We critically reviewed the included papers and excluded duplicates (eg, extended versions of the studies were included, and shorter versions were excluded). Thus, we excluded 63 articles and included 32 studies, referred to as S1 to S32. A list of the included papers is presented in Multimedia Appendix 1.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
For the purpose of this review, we applied predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria for paper selection. We included primary studies that focused on the development process of secure mHealth apps, studies written in English published from January 2008 to October 2020 since major app stores (Google Play and Apple Store) were launched in 2008, and peer-reviewed publications (ie, journals, conferences, workshops, and book chapters).

Besides excluding non-peer-reviewed studies (ie, lecture notes, summaries, panels, and posters) and studies that were not written in English, we excluded studies that contained irrelevant content for our review such as studies that focused on investigating technical solutions (eg, encryption methods, authentication mechanisms, access control) for mHealth apps; studies providing technical solutions to connect mHealth apps to Internet of Things (IoT) devices or cloud computing technology; studies that focused on sensor layers (eg, wireless sensor networks), developing algorithms, or network protocols for mHealth apps; studies that focused on mHealth app quality or gathering functional requirements; and studies that examined user experiences with some mHealth apps (eg, patient management apps).

Data Extraction and Synthesis
We divided the extracted data into 2 categories: study characteristics and the challenges for developing secure mHealth apps. Our data extraction form is shown in Multimedia Appendix 2. We performed descriptive statistics to analyze the demographic data. To answer our research question, we used the Endnote tool to manage the bibliography and utilized Excel spreadsheets to extract and synthesize the data. We used thematic analysis, a qualitative analysis technique, to analyze and synthesize the extracted data to derive the results for this review [31]. We mainly followed the thematic analysis method’s 5 steps: (1) familiarizing oneself with the data, which involved trying to read and examine the extracted data items; (2) generating initial codes, which involved extracting the initial lists of challenges; (3) searching for themes, which involved trying to combine different initial codes generated from the second step into potential themes; (4) reviewing and refining themes, which involved checking the identified challenges from step 3 against each other to understand what themes had to be merged with others or dropped; and (5) defining and naming themes, which involved defining a name for each challenge. Figure 2 demonstrates an example that was taken from S4 [32] of how our final list of challenges was identified.

To further enhance our analysis, we developed a conceptual framework to present the correlation among the identified challenges. We followed the steps of Regoniel [33] to develop a conceptual framework that involves 4 steps: choose the topic, do a literature review, isolate the important variables, and generate the conceptual framework. It should be noted that the initial coding was done by the first author and was reviewed and revised (followed by a discussion wherever required) with
2 independent researchers, Dr Leonardo Iwaya and Dr Faheem Ullah, who are experts in the field of mHealth apps and doing SLR studies to avoid potential bias.

**Figure 2.** Example of the steps of applying the thematic analysis to the qualitative data. mHealth: mobile health.

### Results

We now present the findings of our SLR. We classified the findings into demographic information, challenges for developing secure mHealth apps, and the conceptual framework for the identified challenges.

**Study Characteristics**

In this subsection, we present the study characteristics based on the outlet (ie, journal, conference, or workshop) of the selected papers, as shown in Table 1.

Providing such information would be helpful for new researchers interested in conducting research in this particular area. We selected 32 primary studies for this review. The complete list of the reviewed articles is available in Multimedia Appendix 1. All selected studies mainly discussed the security aspects of mHealth apps. Table 1 shows the distribution, year of publication, and different outlets. It should be noted that our reviewed studies were published from 2012 to 2020. Of 32 studies, we noticed that 23 studies (72%) were published as journal papers; 7 studies (22%) were published in conferences, while 2 studies (6%) were published as workshop papers. Furthermore, we noticed that 11 studies (34%) were published in JMIR and JMIR mHealth and uHealth, and 2 studies were published at International Conference on Future Internet of Things and Cloud Workshops (2017, 2019).
Table 1. The number of selected studies published per year and their distribution by outlet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Journals, n</th>
<th>Conferences, n</th>
<th>Workshops, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Challenges With Developing Secure mHealth Apps

This subsection reports the results based on our analysis to answer the study research question: “What are the challenges that developers of mHealth apps face with respect to implementing security?” Our analysis identified 9 challenges (referred to as C1 to C9) that hinder app developers from developing secure mHealth apps. The identified challenges were ordered based on their frequency within the reviewed studies. Table 2 illustrates the identified challenges, the key points that led us to consider them from the reviewed studies, and the frequency of each challenge.
According to Nurgalieva et al. [53], the available security guidance (e.g., Open Web Application Security Project [OWASP]) for ensuring mobile app security includes numerous security guidelines for cryptography, handling user input, and properly layered and searchable, with good coverage of all aspects of secure coding. They contain accessible information, mostly for inexperienced developers, and help them adopt effective security practices and deal with legal obligations, policies, and procedures [S32 [4]].

Security guidelines refer to a set of suggested actions or recommendations for things to do or avoid during software development [52]. Security guidelines help app developers, mostly inexperienced, adopt effective security practices and write secure codes. They contain accessible information, properly layered and searchable, with good coverage of all aspects of secure coding (e.g., cryptography, handling user input and privileges [26]). It would be ideal to clarify that there are numerous security guidelines for ensuring mobile app security (e.g., Web Application Security Project [OWASP]). According to Nurgalieva et al. [53], the available security guidance for developing secure mobile apps can be categorized into (1) guidelines, recommendations, or principles; (2) app development practices (i.e., applied security mechanisms) to ensure mHealth security; and (3) models of user behavior and preferences related to security or privacy. Such guidelines (e.g., GDPR) have had the effect of raising awareness and establishing a minimal set of expectations. However, they do not address the issue of the development of systems that meet privacy and security requirements [53]. Additionally, Assal and Chiasson [54] indicated that security guidelines do not exist or are not mandated by the companies or that developers might lack the ability or proper expertise to identify vulnerabilities despite having general security knowledge. Our reviewed studies, including S3 [12], S4 [32], S12 [9], and S20 [39], have pointed toward the lack of security guidelines, regulations, direct laws about the security requirements, secure design, security testing, security features that need to be employed in mHealth apps [S4 [32], S5 [17], S6 [34], S7 [35], S10 [36], S12 [9], S13 [37], S15 [38], S16 [19], S20 [39], S22 [20], S23 [1], S26 [40], S29 [41], S31 [42]]; lack of framework or standards (e.g., standardized policies and methodologies to ensure the security standards are met) for developing secure mHealth apps [S2 [43], S3 [12], S29 [41], S31 [42]]; lack of compliance with the available guidance and/or standard (S25 [44], S29 [41]); challenges for the developers to deal with legal obligations, policies, and procedures [S32 [4]].

### Table 2. Challenges with developing secure mobile health (mHealth) apps (identified from 32 studies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge number and description</th>
<th>Key points from reviewed studies</th>
<th>Frequency, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1. Lack of security guidelines and regulations for developing secure mHealth apps</td>
<td>Lack of security guidelines, regulations, direct laws about the security requirements, secure design, security testing, security features that need to be employed in mHealth apps [S4 [32], S5 [17], S6 [34], S7 [35], S10 [36], S12 [9], S13 [37], S15 [38], S16 [19], S20 [39], S22 [20], S23 [1], S26 [40], S29 [41], S31 [42]]; lack of framework or standards (e.g., standardized policies and methodologies to ensure the security standards are met) for developing secure mHealth apps [S2 [43], S3 [12], S29 [41], S31 [42]]; lack of compliance with the available guidance and/or standard (S25 [44], S29 [41]); challenges for the developers to deal with legal obligations, policies, and procedures [S32 [4]].</td>
<td>20 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2. Developers’ lack of knowledge of and expertise with secure mHealth app development</td>
<td>Insufficient knowledge of software developers about the security risks of mHealth apps [S12 [9], S17 [45], S18 [46], S27 [47]]; lack of developers’ security awareness (e.g., towards the potential threats of mHealth apps; S3 [12], S9 [11], S14 [18], S21 [15], S28 [48], S32 [4]); developers’ lack of knowledge towards secure coding practices, using secure APIs, and utilizing up-to-date libraries [S18 [46]] or secure third-party services by mHealth app developers that could misuse users’ health data (S1 [21], S11 [5], S19 [2], S24 [49]); developers’ lack of knowledge towards utilizing security measures (e.g., TLS security for servers, proper protection for user passwords) of mobile devices (S3 [12], S8 [50], S22 [20], S25 [44]); lack of experience in secure software development for developers (S4 [32]); lack of auditing security knowledge and review what knowledge they have (S25 [44])</td>
<td>18 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3. Lack of stakeholders’ involvement during mHealth app development</td>
<td>Lack of stakeholders’ participation during the development lifecycle of mHealth apps (S5 [17], S10 [36], S20 [39], S29 [41], S30 [51]); lack of security understanding by health professionals when they engage in the development process causing poor elicitation of security requirements (S5 [17])</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4. No or little attention by developers towards the security of mHealth apps</td>
<td>Developer’ assumption that users are not concerned about security (S32 [4]); security is not a concern of developers’ (S11 [5], S21 [15]); security issues should be resolved by the testers (S32 [4]); developers with no security focus skip all security measures (S18 [46]); developers are not considering secure design principles and privacy guidelines (S31 [42])</td>
<td>5 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5. Lack of financial resources for developing secure mHealth apps</td>
<td>No low budget assigned for employing security measures (S32 [4]); unavailability of security tools (S32 [4]); developers lack training about developing secure mHealth apps (S4 [32], S5 [17]); lack of research and development efforts to facilitate developing secure mHealth apps (S14 [18])</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6. Time constraints during mHealth app development process</td>
<td>Rushing to market, which leaves vulnerabilities in mHealth apps (S18 [46], S26 [40], S32 [4]); the long process of gaining consent or approving the development choices of the developers (S7 [35])</td>
<td>4 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7. Lack of security testing during mHealth app development</td>
<td>Lack of security testing (S32 [4]); lack of proper security testing (e.g., vulnerability scan) for mHealth apps (S6 [34], S18 [46], S23 [1])</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8. Developers lack motivation and ethical considerations</td>
<td>Lack of motivations for developers during the development process of mHealth apps (S27 [47]); developers lack ethics during the development process of mHealth apps (S10 [36], S30 [51])</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9. Lack of security experts’ engagement during mHealth app development</td>
<td>Lack of collaboration and discussion with security experts from the beginning of the development lifecycle of mHealth apps (S18 [46], S32 [4])</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aAPIs: application programming interfaces.

bTLS: transport security layer.

**C1: Lack of Security Guidelines and Regulations for Developing Secure mHealth Apps**

Security guidelines refer to a set of suggested actions or recommendations for things to do or avoid during software development [52]. Security guidelines help app developers, mostly inexperienced, adopt effective security practices and write secure codes. They contain accessible information, properly layered and searchable, with good coverage of all security aspects (e.g., cryptography, handling user input and privileges [26]). It would be ideal to clarify that there are numerous security guidelines for ensuring mobile app security (e.g., Open Web Application Security Project [OWASP]). According to Nurgalieva et al. [53], the available security guidance for developing secure mobile apps can be categorized into (1) guidelines, recommendations, or principles; (2) app development practices (i.e., applied security mechanisms) to ensure mHealth security; and (3) models of user behavior and preferences related to security or privacy. Such guidelines (e.g., GDPR) have had the effect of raising awareness and establishing a minimal set of expectations. However, they do not address the issue of the development of systems that meet privacy and security requirements [53]. Additionally, Assal and Chiasson [54] indicated that security guidelines do not exist or are not mandated by the companies or that developers might lack the ability or proper expertise to identify vulnerabilities despite having general security knowledge. Our reviewed studies, including S3 [12], S4 [32], S12 [9], and S20 [39], have pointed...
out a general lack of security guidelines for developing secure mHealth apps. Zubaydi et al [9] called for effective guidelines that can help developers build secure mHealth apps (S12 [9]). Even though there are guidelines to protect health data (ie, HIPAA), they do not provide specific instructions for developing secure mHealth apps. Furthermore, it has also been claimed that there is a lack of security frameworks, standards, compliance checklists, and regulations (S22 [20], S18 [46], S13 [37], S20 [39], S2 [43], S9 [11]). Legal restrictions (ie, obtaining security certification) ensure that mHealth app development organizations are not developing vulnerable mHealth apps (S11 [5], S12 [9]).

C2: Developers’ Lack of Knowledge of and Expertise With Secure mHealth App Development

The security knowledge of mobile app developers plays a significant part in developing secure mHealth apps. Lack of security knowledge would result in creating an insecure app that may leak health-critical data to attackers. The reviewed studies indicated that mHealth app developers do not have enough security education covering important security aspects. Consequently, developers follow insecure programming practices (eg, employing improper security solutions; S22 [20], S19 [2], S25 [44]) or improper handling for mHealth app permissions (S23 [1]). Furthermore, developers’ lack of security knowledge may lead to incorrect security choices when attaching a particular device with mHealth apps (eg, tracking device that helps monitor user behavior; S11 [5], S12 [9], S18 [46]) or integrating an app with other systems (S13 [37]). Making an incorrect security decision may allow health apps to share health-critical data with other mobile apps, untrusted apps, or external hosts (S12 [9]). mHealth app developers may make security-centric decisions based on their best assumption or strategies (S24 [49]). Thamilarasu and Lakin (S18 [46]) conducted a vulnerability scan that revealed 248 vulnerabilities in the top 15 Android-based mHealth apps. The study revealed that the 3 most common vulnerabilities were not errors in systems, but instead, errors in developers’ choices (ie, selecting a suitable cipher, choice of permissions to request on a mobile device). The study concluded that most vulnerabilities could have been prevented through proper coding and secure engineering practices.

Keeping in mind that the threat landscape is changing rapidly, dealing with the volatile environment requires developers to keep their security knowledge sharp. Even security experts need to update their knowledge [55]. Despite the fact that mHealth app vulnerabilities are frequently announced in security-relevant knowledge banks (eg, National Vulnerabilities Database, data breach reports) to advise developers, for some reason (ie, difficult to use), these security alerts are not followed or ignored. As a result, unfixed bugs might allow attackers to perform malicious activities (eg, illegally access health-critical data by exploiting sensor permissions, enabling them to extract data or transfer malware to an app [56]). Announcements of identified security bugs are one way of encouraging mHealth developers to keep up to date with the threat landscape. Möthling et al [2] and Dehling et al [18] indicated that mHealth app developers use out-of-date security measures (S14 [18], S19 [2], S23 [1]). As a result, some mHealth apps even have previously exposed security errors (S23 [1]). Despite the realization of the importance of keeping mHealth developers aware of the latest security issues, there is a little evidence that developers get regular formal security training to maintain their security knowledge (S24 [49]). Lack of auditing among developers to maintain and review their security knowledge can create a knowledge gap and lead to out-of-date security knowledge (S25 [44]).

C3: Lack of Stakeholders’ Involvement During mHealth App Development

Involving stakeholders in security requirement engineering is being recognized as key to software success and getting effective and impactful outcomes [22]. Indeed, stakeholders’ involvement contributes to the elicitation and specification of security requirements of the developed software. Yet, it is difficult, as developers would first exert significant effort to understand the complexity of a problem domain [57]. Also, more time and resources would be required. For mHealth apps, developers should refer to stakeholders (eg, medics, patients) throughout the development process to ensure that the technology meets their needs (S10 [36], S30 [51]). Further, stakeholders need to be involved earlier in the development process of mHealth apps. However, development practices often include clinicians and experts but more rarely involve the target audience until evaluation (S29 [41]). At the same time, it would be challenging for some stakeholders to have an understanding of security due to their capabilities when engaging them in the development process. As a result, this causes poor elicitation of security requirements (S5 [17], S20 [39]).

C4: Lack of Financial Resources for Developing Secure mHealth Apps

The development process of mHealth apps can be supported by using security resources to enhance secure mHealth app development. Lack of necessary resources, such as technology, is a challenge that can directly impact developing secure mHealth apps. For example, security tools (eg, Zed Attack Proxy, Android Debug Bridge, Codified Security, White Hat Security, and Quick Android Review Kit) are resources to facilitate writing secure code and testing apps during the development process. They help developers catch errors that they might be unaware of and adjust their code accordingly before releasing an app. Wurster and van Oorschot [58] argued that not all software developers are security experts, and there is a need to use suitable security tools during a development project. Security tools for mobile apps have received a lot of attention from researchers. A security tool called FixDroid [59] can show warning messages with recommendations to fix errors during the coding phase. It has proven to be effective in improving the security of the written code, is limited to Android app developers, and is not widely known. Similarly, software libraries can be used as supportive resources to facilitate the software development process. Such libraries help developers reuse specific code for certain goals and support access to hardware and software that might be needed. Yet, it can be challenging for developers to know which library to trust while developing mHealth apps. There can be a risk of data leakage by using untrusted libraries (S16 [19], S13 [37]). Some
libraries, especially the open-source libraries, may collect data about users without developers being aware of it, leading to data privacy breaches [60]. Furthermore, using untrusted third-party libraries to integrate mHealth apps with electronic health records can result in attackers gaining unauthorized access to patients’ data (S13 [37]).

Older versions of security resources (ie, tools and libraries) also contain known vulnerabilities (S18 [46]). Most of the security resources are often updated to address security-related issues and introduce new functions; hence, it is important to be aware of and use the latest security tools and libraries. Therefore, developers’ security knowledge of the adopted security resources can significantly impact the developed app’s security. Besides being aware of the relevant security resources, it can be difficult for developers to learn to use them within the time and resources available for a project (S25 [44], S17 [45]).

C5: No or Little Attention by Developers Towards the Security of mHealth Apps

Incorporating security should ideally be considered throughout SDLC from requirement analysis to the deployment phase [61]. In fact, addressing security at later stages of app development or after app release in the form of security patches can be a costly exercise and can introduce new vulnerabilities [62]. Studies, such as S11 [5], S21 [15], and S31 [42], found that mHealth app developers pay little or no attention to the security of mHealth apps. This issue can be seen for a few reasons, including (1) developer assumption that users are not concerned about security, (2) developers’ assumption that security should be handled by app testers, and (3) developers with no security focus would even skip all security measures to resolve other quality attributes including usability and performance (S18 [46], S32 [4]). Therefore, it is important to come up with effective mechanisms for overcoming developers’ lack of attention towards security.

C6: Time Constraints During mHealth App Development Process

Due to business pressures (eg, rushing to market), delivering an app on time tends to be the main aim. mHealth apps developers try to satisfy for customers and avoid extra costs. High workload and tight timeframes require mHealth app developers to put more effort in meeting functional requirements as a primary task (S18 [46], S26 [40], S32 [4]). It also affects their attitude and behavior towards addressing security (eg, underestimating risks, assuming attackers will not realize the weaknesses) and dealing with security after releasing an app [61]. This approach leads to insecure mHealth apps, increases the cost, and introduces new vulnerabilities after fixing the existing vulnerabilities [63]. It is estimated that the cost can be 30 to 100 times more expensive to retrofit security compared with incorporating security from the beginning [64]. Besides, the speed of delivering apps will not allow team members to share and convey security knowledge among mHealth app developers [65]. Furthermore, the long process of gaining consent or manager approval of the developers’ choices can be an issue (S7 [35]). As a result, this lengthens the process of getting their opinion on a specific task. Hence, this leads to skipping security issues that need to be fixed.

C7: Lack of Security Testing During mHealth App Development

Security testing is one of the essential phases of the mHealth app development lifecycle. Security testing helps determine the quality of apps by ensuring all the security requirements are met. Security testing for mHealth apps, in particular, will help figure out how an app will react against different attacks (eg, unauthorized access to health data, tampering with health data, or reporting invalid health data to health professionals; S11 [5]). Security testing of mHealth apps can be overlooked since it can be a challenging task for developers. Several factors can affect performing security testing, including the absence of security testing tools, lack of effective and well-known testing guidelines, cost of performing app testing by a third-party organization, or lack of a security expert within a software development organization (S23 [1], S18 [46], S6 [34]). Consequently, this would release mHealth apps without conducting security testing, leaving an app at high risk [66]. Wurster and van Oorschot [58] indicated that security testing is not a first-choice task for developers, and their main job is completing the required features.

C8: Lack of Security Experts’ Engagement During mHealth App Development

A security expert, security leader, or security champion within an organization plays a vital role during the mHealth app development process (S7 [35]). Besides the development activities, they direct mHealth app developers on secure development practices and perform a security review to ensure their code does not have security defects. A security expert can encourage developers to achieve security goals and educate other developers about the potential threats and solutions (S14 [18]). Lack of security experts within a software development team can lead to failures in applying proper security controls by mHealth app developers. Besides, the lack of availability of security experts would be a challenge for developers (S7 [35]). As a result, there is a lack of constructive feedback that prevents developers from (1) acquiring security knowledge, (2) gaining hands-on experience, and (3) developing apps that are secure by design.

C9: Developers’ Lack of Motivation and Ethical Considerations

Motivation refers to the driving force behind all the actions of developers during development. It has been recognized as a critical success factor for software projects. Motivation can be seen differently based on developers and an organization’s size [67]. The research on security practices indicates that many security incidents are mainly caused by human rather than technical failure [68]. Developers with low motivation were found to be one of the most frequently cited causes of software development project failures [69]. Xie et al [70] presented the reasons that make software developers make security errors. The study concluded that most software developers have a “not my problem” attitude, which indicates that software developers are the source of security errors due to their attitudes and behaviors. In particular, in mHealth app development, studies such as S10 [36], S27 [47], and S30 [51] reported that
developers’ lack of motivation and ethical considerations is a challenge that hinders developing secure mHealth apps.

**Conceptual Framework**

Based on our analysis of the extracted data, we propose a conceptual framework, as in Figure 3, that represents the challenges for developing secure mHealth apps. Jabareen [71] defined a conceptual framework as “a network, or a plane of interlinked concepts that together provide a comprehensive understanding of a phenomenon or phenomena.” Figure 3 presents a conceptual framework for correlating the identified challenges.

**Figure 3.** A conceptual framework for correlating the challenges in developing secure mHealth apps.

Based on the results of Table 2, we identified the most critical challenges for developing secure mHealth apps. Critical challenges can be determined if a specific challenge has a frequency of ≥50% of the selected studies. This criterion has been used by other researchers in different domains [72]. As in Table 2, the frequencies are shown for each challenge in the reviewed studies. By using this criterion, we concluded that there are 2 main critical challenges: lack of security guidelines for developing secure mHealth apps (20/32 studies, 63%) and developers’ lack of knowledge and expertise for secure mHealth app development (18/32 studies, 56%).

Despite the fact that other challenges were given less attention by the reviewed studies (ie, 19% for C3, 16% for C4, 13% for C5-C7, 9% for C8, and 6% for C9), some challenges have a direct relationship with other challenges as we indicated earlier (eg, poor security decisions during mHealth app development are related to insufficient security knowledge by developers). Consequently, there will be an impact on the development process of mHealth apps. Therefore, we believe identifying these challenges would help mHealth app development organizations evaluate their security practices and readiness in implementing security in mHealth app projects.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

While mHealth apps enable health care services, the security of end users’ health data remains a challenge. This review aimed to identify the challenges that prevent development of secure mHealth apps based on the existing literature. We identified 9 challenges based on the analysis of the data extracted from 32 articles. The identified challenges include (1) lack of security guidelines and regulations for developing secure mHealth apps, (2) developers’ lack of knowledge of and expertise with secure mHealth app development, (3) lack of stakeholders’ involvement during mHealth app development, (4) no or little attention by developers towards the security of mHealth apps, (5) lack of resources for developing secure mHealth apps, (6) project constraints during the mHealth app development process, (7) lack of security testing during mHealth app development, (8) developers’ lack of motivation and ethical considerations, and (9) lack of security experts’ engagement during mHealth app development. We noticed from the literature that there is an emphasis on presenting the security issues of mHealth apps and how they can be resolved (eg, presenting security framework, providing secure mHealth app development recommendations, evaluating the security for existing mHealth apps). However, little attention has been given to the human factor during the development process of mHealth apps (ie, nontechnical solutions). Hence, it would be critical to recognize the security
challenges that mHealth app developers face during the development process.

Sufficient security knowledge for mHealth app developers is one of the key factors that would help develop secure apps. Security knowledge can be discussed as the type of required security knowledge and the sources of acquiring that knowledge. According to Barnum and McGraw [52], there are 7 security knowledge categories for developing secure software, including knowledge of principles, guidelines, rules, attack pattern, vulnerability, exploit, and historical risk. While the presented set of security knowledge provides a strong foundation for enhancing security, it would be a bit challenging for developers since security knowledge is scattered all around. By considering security knowledge of vulnerabilities as an example, attackers can find a single vulnerability to exploit an app (ie, launching an attack). In contrast, developers should be aware of all security vulnerabilities and apply proper security measures and patches, which can be a daunting task. mHealth apps, more specifically, are connected to IoT devices, which makes securing the apps a challenge. Sikder et al [56] indicated that attackers could illegally access health data by exploiting sensors’ permissions, which could enable them to extract data and transfer malware to an app. Therefore, further support for mHealth app developers’ security knowledge is needed to cope with the rapid changes in security knowledge.

Likewise, using trusted sources (ie, tools and libraries) would be challenging for developers to be aware of their secure usage. So, we suggest further required improvement to facilitate mHealth app developers’ jobs by exploring the list of trusted sources. Identifying trusted sources with their policies, terms, and conditions of usage and the proper ways of receiving updates would help mHealth app developers to develop secure apps. At the same time, this approach would help disseminate and provide security knowledge for mHealth app developers through trusted sources.

The Role of Security Experts Within mHealth App Development

“A critical challenge facing software security today is the dearth of experienced practitioners” [52]. A report by Ponemon Institute showed there is a dearth of security experts in mobile app development. Only 41% of the participants indicated that their organizations had sufficient security expertise [64]. Hence, having a security expert can be a strategic advantage for an organization. The role of security experts is quite crucial in developing secure mHealth apps. We conclude from the conceptual framework (ie, Figure 3) that a lack of security experts is already linked to most challenges. Without security experts on a team, the required security knowledge will be missing (ie, what security guidelines need to be followed, what security tools are available to be utilized, and which libraries can be trusted). As a result, developers’ security knowledge would remain insufficient. Lack of security experts within mHealth app development organizations can lead to poor coding practices, rushing to deliver an app without even performing security testing. Furthermore, collaboration and social interactions with security experts and other team members would significantly impact security. As a result, removing the boundaries and stimulating common interests, in turn, support exchanging knowledge and ideas [67]. Also, it is good practice to exchange security knowledge, leverage that knowledge within the project, and acquire new knowledge.

Importance of Security Knowledge and Expertise to Develop Secure mHealth Apps

Our analysis shows that developers’ lack of security knowledge and expertise for secure mHealth app development is correlated with most of the identified challenges. For instance, developing secure mHealth apps requires good knowledge about security guidelines, security tools, and the trusted libraries (ie, awareness of how, when, and why they should utilize them). It is worth mentioning that development of secure mHealth apps has become complex and challenging. mHealth apps require connection with external sensors or devices (eg, wearable devices, implantable devices) [56]. Nevertheless, providing the required learning resources can be underestimated by mHealth app development organizations [65]. Thus, organizations are required to provide security material to allow developers to learn to connect mHealth apps with emerging technologies (ie, IoT). Providing resources to support secure mHealth app development would contribute to filling the security knowledge gap and help open developers’ mindset to security errors that need to be avoided [55].

Future Work

The results of our review enabled us to propose the following areas that warrant future research on the secure development of mHealth apps.

Challenges With and Practices of Developing Secure mHealth Apps With Real-World Practitioners

In this review, we identified the challenges that hinder developing secure mHealth apps based on SLR. We plan to conduct an empirical study to investigate the challenges with real-world practitioners to validate our results. The planned future research would enable us to compare the identified challenges identified from the literature with real-world practices for better understanding. Further, we aim to study the practices that real-world practitioners use to overcome the identified challenges. As a consequence, this would allow us to define which challenges are correlated with which practices. Hence, identifying the challenges and practices would help us to extend the current conceptual framework and provide a body of knowledge for secure mHealth app development.

Developers’ Motivations and Ethical Considerations for Developing Secure mHealth Apps

Since motivations and ethical considerations play an essential role in the secure mHealth app development process, we assert that there is a need to conduct an empirical study to understand developers’ motivational factors and what inspires them to ensure the security of mHealth apps (eg, security leaders, reward, recognition, career path, or promotion). Such a study can be further investigated by collecting quantitative data (eg, hypothesis testing) or qualitative data. This would create a better understanding and help mHealth app development organizations to realize and focus on the motivational factors.
Limitations
One of the potential threats for our SLR can be missing or excluding relevant studies. To mitigate this threat, we used Scopus library as our data source. Scopus is considered the largest indexing system that provides the most comprehensive search engine, among other digital libraries [73]. Scopus enabled us to get a reasonable number of studies (1867 articles). Furthermore, we tested our search string based on the pilot search to improve it and reach the relevant studies for this review. We selected the studies based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. However, including and excluding studies can be impacted by researchers’ subjective judgement. To mitigate this threat, the reasons for excluding the papers were recorded and reviewed by 2 independent researchers (who were previously mentioned).

Our research can be influenced by the researcher’s bias in extracting data from the reviewed studies, which may negatively affect the findings. To overcome this threat, we extracted data based on a predefined data extraction form (see Multimedia Appendix 2). To mitigate the researcher’s bias in data extraction and synthesis, the second author and the 2 independent researchers randomly verified the key points and themes derived by the first author through discussions.

Conclusion
This review was motivated by the growing amount of attention paid to mobile apps, particularly mHealth apps. We aimed to analyze and synthesize the literature to identify the challenges that hinder mHealth app developers from developing secure apps. Our review followed an SLR approach and selected 32 studies that we believed were relevant to our study. We identified and discussed 9 challenges faced by mHealth app developers to develop secure apps. We also provided a conceptual framework for the identified challenges and presented several challenges linked to the body of knowledge found in this literature review. Our findings can be valuable for researchers and practitioners (eg, mHealth app developers, managers) to support research and development of secure mHealth apps. For researchers, this review can help formulate and test hypotheses. Furthermore, ideal and innovative solutions can be proposed to address these challenges. For practitioners, our review can help understand the existing challenges for developing secure mHealth apps from the literature. This would help resolve these challenges at the early stages of the mHealth app development process.
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Abstract

Background: Physical inactivity is a major contributor to the development and persistence of chronic diseases. Mobile health apps that foster physical activity have the potential to assist in behavior change. However, the quality of the mobile health apps available in app stores is hard to assess for making informed decisions by end users and health care providers.

Objective: This study aimed at systematically reviewing and analyzing the content and quality of physical activity apps available in the 2 major app stores (Google Play and App Store) by using the German version of the Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS-G). Moreover, the privacy and security measures were assessed.

Methods: A web crawler was used to systematically search for apps promoting physical activity in the Google Play store and App Store. Two independent raters used the MARS-G to assess app quality. Further, app characteristics, content and functions, and privacy and security measures were assessed. The correlation between user star ratings and MARS was calculated. Exploratory regression analysis was conducted to determine relevant predictors for the overall quality of physical activity apps.

Results: Of the 2231 identified apps, 312 met the inclusion criteria. The results indicated that the overall quality was moderate (mean 3.60 [SD 0.59], range 1-4.75). The scores of the subscales, that is, information (mean 3.24 [SD 0.56], range 1.17-4.4), engagement (mean 3.19 [SD 0.82], range 1.2-5), aesthetics (mean 3.65 [SD 0.79], range 1-5), and functionality (mean 4.35 [SD 0.58], range 1.88-5) were obtained. An efficacy study could not be identified for any of the included apps. The features of data security and privacy were mainly not applied. Average user ratings showed significant small correlations with the MARS ratings (r=0.22, 95% CI 0.08-0.35; P<.001). The amount of content and number of functions were predictive of the overall quality of these physical activity apps, whereas app store and price were not.

Conclusions: Apps for physical activity showed a broad range of quality ratings, with moderate overall quality ratings. Given the present privacy, security, and evidence concerns inherent to most rated apps, their medical use is questionable. There is a need for open-source databases of expert quality ratings to foster informed health care decisions by users and health care providers.
Introduction

Physical inactivity is a significant risk factor for noncommunicable diseases such as cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, or chronic respiratory diseases and is estimated to cause 6%-10% of these diseases worldwide [1,2]. Insufficient physical activity is also a leading risk factor for mortality and was reported to be associated with 9% of premature death cases in 2008 [2]. The World Health Organization recommends at least 150 minutes of moderate or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity physical activity per week for adults [3]. However, about 30% of adults do not follow this recommendation and are physically inactive [4].

Evidence indicates that regular physical activity results in physical, social, and mental health benefits such as better quality of sleep, lower depressive symptomatology, higher well-being, and a reduced risk of a large number of noncommunicable diseases [5-7]. Mobile apps might be a cost-effective and scalable option to foster behavior change in daily life [8]. Apps can also be beneficial as a supplement to behavioral interventions [9]. Additionally, fitness apps are very popular in the general population; a survey conducted in the United States in 2015 showed that about 58% of mobile phone users had downloaded a health app [10]. Of these, the most common categories were fitness and nutrition apps, and most respondents were using them daily. Moreover, health app users were more likely to meet the World Health Organization recommendations concerning physical activity [3,11] and apps were found to be efficacious in promoting physical activity with moderate effect sizes [12]. In 2 recent meta-analyses of apps for increasing physical activity, there was an increase in objectively measured physical activity in the app groups compared to that in the control groups [13,14]. However, these differences were not significant. Regarding the content and quality of apps promoting physical activity, previous reviews focused mainly on the use of behavioral change techniques (BCTs) developed by Abraham and Michie [15-21]. The most often provided BCTs were feedback on performance, self-monitoring, and goal setting [15,16,18,19].

Regarding the quality of apps, Schoeppe and colleagues [22] used the standardized Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS) [23] to evaluate apps for improving diet, physical activity, and sedentary behavior. However, the mentioned reviews show some limitations as these reviews mostly evaluated apps with specific characteristics (eg, only apps that are connected to an electronic activity monitor) [18], apps especially developed for children and adolescents [20,22], a limited number of apps (eg, only the 20 top-ranked apps, random selection of apps, or apps with a star-rating of at least 4) [16,19,22], or apps with certain contents and features (eg, only apps with feedback and apps that follow the official World Health Organization recommendation for physical activity) [15]. Overall, most studies evaluating apps for health behavior change use self-developed evaluation checklists and do not assess privacy and security features [24]. The only validated evaluation tools that were used are the BCT taxonomy and, in a few studies, the MARS [15,16,18,22,24].

Besides the evaluation of theory-based content, applied techniques or functions, and effectiveness, it is important to consider the risks of mobile health app use, such as inadequate protection of data and privacy or lack of informed consent [24,25]. Many physical activity apps are available, particularly via the 2 largest app stores, Google Play store and the App Store but there is only limited information on the quality and data security of these apps [24,26]. Initial studies concerning data security of medicine-related, depression, and smoking cessation apps reveal worrying results as sharing medical health data with third parties is routine and mostly not made transparent [27,28]. The only study evaluating the safety of personal data in physical activity apps also revealed substantial shortcomings [19]. In terms of quality, user ratings seem to be a questionable indicator as they seem to be mostly influenced by usability and functionality [26,29]. However, a recent evaluation revealed a positive correlation between a broad range of app quality ratings and user star ratings [30].

The aim of this study was to conduct a systematic and objective investigation of the physical activity apps available in 2 major app stores by using the German version of MARS (MARS-G). The MARS-G is a multidimensional instrument specifically developed to assess app quality on the dimensions engagement, functionality, aesthetics, and information quality [31]. Furthermore, privacy and security measures as well as the general characteristics and functions of physical activity apps will be assessed. The following research questions are addressed:

1. What is the quality of the apps promoting physical activity regarding engagement, functionality, aesthetics, and information?
2. What are the general characteristics, content, functions, privacy, and security measures of the apps promoting physical activity?
3. Are the user ratings in agreement with the expert quality ratings?
4. Which app features can predict app quality?

Methods

Search Strategy and Eligibility Criteria

A web crawler (automated web search engine) was used to scan the European Google Play store and App Store to search for eligible apps. The search was carried out on February 20, 2018 by using the following search terms: (1) active, (2) endurance, (3) exercise, (4) fitness, (5) gymnastics, (6) muscle, (7) shape, (8) strength, (9) training, and (10) workout. The search string to identify apps targeting physical activity was developed in an expert discussion (EMM and HB). The web crawler searches for each term and app store. Duplicates were automatically...
removed. After identification, a two-step procedure was applied by 2 independent researchers: (1) checking eligibility based on app title and description and (2) checking eligibility based on information in the downloaded app. In the first step, all identified apps were screened for whether their title, description, and images indicated that the app was developed for promoting physical activity (with at least 50% of the content focusing on physical activity); the app was available in German or English; the app was downloadable through the official Google Play store or App Store; the app could be used without further equipment, devices, or programs; and the app was primarily developed for adults. In the second step, all downloaded apps were assessed in detail to check whether they met the abovementioned eligibility criteria. If apps did not work after the download (checked with 2 different mobile phones) or were explicitly developed for children (explicitly stated in the title, description, or aims of the app), they were excluded. The other exclusion criteria were (1) app bundle, (2) only working with additional device (eg, Garmin connect), or (3) targeting specific person groups (eg, employees of a specific company).

Rating Procedure
Each app was rated by 2 independent raters between February and October 2018. All raters undertook a free online training [32] (training module last updated on November 25, 2019). Raters were recruited from an interdisciplinary expert team (sports science, sport psychology, clinical psychology, information technology: EMM, YT, SP, LS, JL, SC, SB, LK, AK, DS, SS, KP, RP, and RW). Each app was tested and used for at least 15-20 minutes before the rating. The interrater reliability between the raters was computed for quality assurance.

Outcome Measures
The MARS includes a multidimensional quality rating consisting of 4 dimensions: engagement (5 items: fun, interest, individual adaptability, interactivity, and target group), functionality (4 items: performance, usability, navigation, and gestural design), aesthetics (3 items: layout, graphics, and visual appeal), and information quality (7 items: accuracy of app description, goals, quality of information, quantity of information, quality of visual information, credibility, and evidence base) [23,31]. Hereby, the evidence base (dimension: information quality) was identified by app description and developer’s or provider’s websites. Items are rated from 1 (inadequate) to 5 (excellent). Besides these objective scales, subjective quality (recommendation, frequency of use, willingness to pay, overall star rating) and perceived impact on the user (awareness, knowledge, attitudes, intention to change, help-seeking, behavioral change) were assessed. Furthermore, the assessment includes a classification section to examine the app characteristics. The following variables were extracted: (1) app name, (2) store link, (3) platform (Google Play store and App Store), (4) content-related subcategory, (5) aims, (6) price, (7) user rating, (8) content, strategies, and functions (abbreviated as functions) in the following, assessed with 22 items; ie, information/education, monitoring/tracking, goal setting, gamification, reminder) and (9) privacy and security features [23,31]. Privacy and security features were rated on a descriptive level (ie, presence of privacy policy, contact information or imprint, log-in with a password). Only information that was displayed within the app was used for evaluation. In this study, MARS-G was used [23,31]. The validation of the MARS-G yielded excellent internal consistency (ω=0.84, 95% CI 0.77-0.88) and high levels of interrater reliability (intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC] 0.83, 95% CI 0.82-0.85) [31].

Statistical Analysis
To evaluate consistency, the ICC between the raters was calculated for quality assurance. Rater agreement was examined by ICC based on a two-way mixed-effects model [33]. An ICC of <0.50 is considered poor, 0.51-0.75 as moderate, 0.76-0.89 as good, and >0.90 as excellent [34]. A minimum ICC of 0.8 was predefined as a sufficient ICC in this study. For quality evaluation, means and standard deviations were calculated for each dimension of the MARS separately and overall. For all calculations, the mean of both raters was used. Further correlation between user ratings provided by Google Play/App Store and the MARS rating was calculated. For correlations analysis, an alpha level of 5% was defined. P values were adjusted using the procedure proposed by Holm [35]. To determine relevant predictors for overall quality, exploratory multiple linear regression analysis was conducted. Price, store, and the number of functions were used as predictors, as they were significant predictors in other systematic app reviews (eg, older adults, mindfulness, depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, rheumatoid arthritis) [36-40]. Dichotomous predictors were dummy coded. Regression estimates represent unstandardized regression coefficients.

Results

Search Results
The search in the Google Play store and App Store yielded 6159 apps without duplicates. Screening resulted in the inclusion of 1817 apps. After downloading and assessing the eligibility criteria in detail, 1495 apps had to be excluded. The remaining 312 apps were included in the analyses (see Figure 1 for further information).
Figure 1. Flowchart of the inclusion and exclusion processes.

**App Characteristics**

Of the 312 included apps, 143 (45.8%) were available in Google Play store and 169 (54.2%) were available in the App Store. The average user’s star rating was 4.29 (SD 0.70) (range 0-5). The costs for the included apps ranged from free for 96.8% (302/312) of the apps to €10.99 (mean cost €3.54 [SD 2.97]; €1=US $1.20). Regarding the store categories, the majority of the apps (300/312) were listed under health and fitness (multiple categories were assigned in stores). The further assigned categories were sports (n=83), lifestyle (n=69), business (n=4), entertainment (n=3), medicine (n=2), social (n=2), food and drink (n=1), and travel (n=1). Apps provided a broad range of functions (Figure 2). Most apps offered physical exercises (237/312, 75.9%) followed by goal setting (186/312, 59.6%) and monitoring/tracking (173/312, 55.4%). Apps further provided reminders (104/312, 33.3%), assessments (97/312, 31.1%), information (66/312, 21.2%), strategies/skills (66/312, ...
21.2%), tips/advice (65/312, 20.8%), feedback (24/312, 7.7%),
gamification (7/312, 2.2%), tailoring (7/312, 2.2%), relaxation
(4/312, 1.3%), breathing techniques (1/312, 0.3%), serious
games (1/312, 0.3%), and others (7/312, 2.2%). On average, an
app provided 3.34 (SD 2.29) functions (range 0-10). App
description and developers’ or providers’ websites indicated
that no app was certified according to the medical device law.

Figure 2. Content and functions of the included apps.

Data Security and Privacy Features
Of the 312 assessed apps, 67 (21.5%) had an imprint/contact
information, 60 (19.2%) provided a visible privacy policy, 25
(8.0%) were only accessible with a personalized log-in, 20
(6.4%) utilized a passive informed consent (eg, by continuing
you accept our privacy policy), 15 (4.8%) contained an active
informed consent (eg, active opt-in to data collection/transfer),
16 (4.8%) had a password option, and 5 (1.6%) gave information
about the financial background or made conflicts of interest
transparent. No app had embedded emergency features (eg, in
case of an accident).

App Quality
The ICC agreement between the raters was high (ICC 0.87,
95% CI 0.86-0.874). The average overall quality of the apps
for physical activity was 3.60 (SD 0.59) (range 1-4.75) with
average quality of information (3.24 [SD 0.56], range 1.17-4.4)
and engagement (3.19 [SD 0.82], range 1.2-5). Aesthetics (3.65
[SD 0.79], range 1-5) was good and functionality was excellent
(4.35 [SD 0.58], range 1.88-5). Of all the 312 included apps,
16 (3.2%) reached a MARS score of above 4.5. The evidence
item (based on app description, developer’s and provider’s
websites) indicated that no app was scientifically evaluated.
The MARS quality ratings are summarized in Figure 3. The
overall subjective quality reached an average rating of 2.34 (SD
0.78) and the overall perceived impact on the user was rated as
2.32 (SD 0.60). The details can be found in Table 1. The 10
apps with the highest quality ratings are presented in Multimedia
Appendix 1.
Figure 3. Quality of the apps. MARS: Mobile App Rating Scale.

Table 1. Subjective quality ratings and ratings of the perceived impact on the users of mobile app rating scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Score, mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subjective quality rating</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendable(^a)</td>
<td>2.67 (1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use next 12 months(^b)</td>
<td>2.38 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay(^c)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star rating(^d)</td>
<td>3.06 (0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.34 (0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceived impact on user</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase awareness</td>
<td>2.16 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase knowledge</td>
<td>2.65 (0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes</td>
<td>2.26 (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fosters intention to change</td>
<td>2.47 (0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empowers help-seeking</td>
<td>1.31 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fosters behavior change</td>
<td>3.07 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.32 (0.60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Rated on a 5-point scale (from 1: I would not recommend the app to anyone, to 5: I would recommend the app to everyone).
\(^b\)Rated on a 5-point scale (1: never; 2: 1-2; 3: 3-10; 4: 10-50; 5: >50).
\(^c\)Rated on a 3-point scale (1: I would not buy this app if it cost anything; 2: I might buy this app if it cost anything; 3: I would buy this app if it cost anything).
\(^d\)Rated on a 5-point scale (from 1: one of the worst apps I have ever used, to 5: one of the best apps I have ever used).
User and Expert Agreement Toward Quality

Small correlations were found between the user ratings in the stores and the MARS. The correlations are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Correlation between mobile app rating scale and user rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mobile app rating scale dimension</th>
<th>User rating, r (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>0.25 (0.11-0.38)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality</td>
<td>0.15 (0.01-0.28)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>0.14 (0.02-0.24)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information quality</td>
<td>0.14 (0.02-0.26)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.22 (0.08-0.35)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted P value for multiple testing [35].

Exploratory Regression Analysis

Exploratory regression analysis indicated that app quality could be predicted by the number of functions integrated into the app. Price and store had no predictive value. The results of the regression analyses are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of the regression analysis showing the predictors of app quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.15 (310)</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Store</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.94 (310)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of functions/amount of content</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.64 (310)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>22.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Principal Findings

In this study, the quality, general characteristics, privacy and security features, and content/functions of apps that promote physical activity in the commercial European app stores were systematically assessed. The included 312 apps showed a moderate overall quality (3.60 [SD 0.59], range 1-4.75). Moreover, several apps showed very high ratings, and there was a large range of quality ratings. The assessments of the 10 best-rated apps are described in detail in Multimedia Appendix 1. Functionality was the dimension with the highest rating, followed by aesthetics, information quality, and engagement. These results corroborate those of Schoeppe and colleagues [22] who evaluated diet and physical activity apps for children and adolescents (overall quality, mean 3.6).

The apps offered a variety of different functions (15 out of 22 functions were used). On average, 3 functions were applied per app, and the most common ones were exercises, goal setting, and monitoring/tracking. This is partly in line with previous reviews for apps promoting physical activity [15,16,18] or weight management [29]. Functions differed from the most frequently used BCTs in another review that evaluated apps for diet, physical activity, and sedentary behavior developed for children and adolescents (the top 3 functions provided instructions, general encouragement, contingent [22]). This discrepancy might be explained by the different target groups. Studies have already shown that BCTs incorporated in apps for health behavior change differ between adults and children/adolescents [20]. The average number of the 3 applied functions was lower compared to that in other reviews that reported 5-8 comparable BCTs [15,16,19,22]. This could be due to the broader range of the included apps in this study.

No randomized controlled trial evaluating the effectiveness of one of the included apps could be identified. This lack of a solid evidence base for the use of health apps is in line with that reported in other systematic reviews of app quality (eg, older adults, mindfulness, depression, rheumatoid arthritis, and posttraumatic stress disorder) [36-40]. These systematic reviews showed that the proportion of the scientifically evaluated apps ranges between 0% and 4.8%. Overall, this indicates a gap between research and health practices. Although there are several randomized controlled trials that investigate the efficacy of sport app use to foster behavior change, these apps are not available in the app stores [41,42]. Of note, a vast majority of apps are downloaded from the Google Play store and App Store [43]. This might stem from the lack of sustainable structures at universities (eg, end of funding, frequent job changes). Furthermore, this imposes a risk for safe sport app use as the evidence base is the gold standard for assuring quality and efficacy. Moreover, data privacy and security features were also rated as low. Only 19.2% (60/312) of all the apps provided a privacy policy, and 21.5% (67/312) of the included apps provided any contact information or an imprint. All other privacy and security features were fulfilled by less than 20% (range 0-60) of all the apps. In contrast, Bondaronek and colleagues [19] stated that almost 70% of the 65 included physical activity apps had a privacy policy. This might be because they searched for the best-ranked apps. Taken together, the ratings of information quality (including correctness, credibility, and scientific evidence) and the ratings of data privacy were lower compared to that in other reviews that reported 5-8 comparable BCTs [15,16,19,22]. This could be due to the broader range of the included apps in this study.

Studies have already shown that BCTs incorporated in apps for health behavior change differ between adults and children/adolescents [20]. The average number of the 3 applied functions was lower compared to that in other reviews that reported 5-8 comparable BCTs [15,16,19,22]. This could be due to the broader range of the included apps in this study.

No randomized controlled trial evaluating the effectiveness of one of the included apps could be identified. This lack of a solid evidence base for the use of health apps is in line with that reported in other systematic reviews of app quality (eg, older adults, mindfulness, depression, rheumatoid arthritis, and posttraumatic stress disorder) [36-40]. These systematic reviews showed that the proportion of the scientifically evaluated apps ranges between 0% and 4.8%. Overall, this indicates a gap between research and health practices. Although there are several randomized controlled trials that investigate the efficacy of sport app use to foster behavior change, these apps are not available in the app stores [41,42]. Of note, a vast majority of apps are downloaded from the Google Play store and App Store [43]. This might stem from the lack of sustainable structures at universities (eg, end of funding, frequent job changes). Furthermore, this imposes a risk for safe sport app use as the evidence base is the gold standard for assuring quality and efficacy. Moreover, data privacy and security features were also rated as low. Only 19.2% (60/312) of all the apps provided a privacy policy, and 21.5% (67/312) of the included apps provided any contact information or an imprint. All other privacy and security features were fulfilled by less than 20% (range 0-60) of all the apps. In contrast, Bondaronek and colleagues [19] stated that almost 70% of the 65 included physical activity apps had a privacy policy. This might be because they searched for the best-ranked apps. Taken together, the ratings of information quality (including correctness, credibility, and scientific evidence) and the ratings of data privacy were lower compared to that in other reviews that reported 5-8 comparable BCTs [15,16,19,22]. This could be due to the broader range of the included apps in this study.
The only relevant predictor for overall app quality was the number of functions, which is in line with previous results for apps reviews aiming at weight management, diet, physical activity, and sedentary behavior [16,22,29]. This association needs to be addressed in future studies, as it is highly likely that not only the number of functions but also their quality is crucial for overall quality. Furthermore, there might be an optimal number of functions; too many functions might be overwhelming, especially for inexperienced users. Owing to the lack of identified randomized controlled trials, no conclusions about the relationship between quality/functions and effectiveness or side effects can be drawn.

Limitations and Future Research
In this review, apps were only searched in the Google Play store and App Store and, thus, this review does not cover all the available apps promoting physical activity. However, 90% of all the apps are downloaded in these stores [45]. Owing to the broad search strategy and no focus on only the most popular apps or a cut-off concerning user ratings, this review, including 312 apps, can be seen as comprehensive. The search terms were selected after a discussion between psychologists rather than sport scientists. However, EMM is a state-certified coach (Federal Sports Academy Austria). Furthermore, EMM and HB are experts in the field of app ratings with the MARS. The stated search terms provided a more comprehensive quality analysis of apps for physical activity than previous reviews (range 13-167) [15-18]. Since new apps are being developed rapidly and the content of existing apps might have changed, the presented results can only be seen as a snapshot of the current state of the offered apps. Meanwhile, several new apps may be available and some of the included apps may be unavailable by now or may have been updated. Furthermore, apps were not tested for several hours or days. Thus, some features may not have been discovered, and some obstacles may have reappeared hidden. Previous reviews evaluating apps for physical activity [15,16,29] assessed BCTs that are common to many health behavior theories [21]. Even though some of these BCTs were included in the content and functions of this review (eg, self-monitoring, feedback on performance, goal setting, or provision of information), a comparison to results concerning BCTs of previous studies is limited. The comparability between systematic app reviews in different health domains should be enhanced by using the functions included in the MARS [38,39,44]. In this systematic review, privacy and security measures were assessed on a descriptive level. Data security and privacy information were only checked based on information within the app. An in-depth analysis of privacy and security features and more elaborated strategies (eg, evaluating whether data collection and transfer are conducted according to privacy policies) are needed [28]. Future studies should extend the findings of this study by using such procedures. Lastly, it should be highlighted that the regression analyses in this study were exploratory. Thus, the results should be interpreted carefully. Confirmatory studies with adequate study designs and power are needed to identify features that are crucial elements for high app quality. Looking at the number of functions—as specified in the exploratory analyses in this study—or investigating persuasive design might be promising to begin with [46,47].

Conclusions
There is a wide range of apps offered to foster physical activity and they show overall moderate quality. High-quality apps have been presented in Multimedia Appendix 1. However, users should be aware of the broad quality range, the lack of evidence, and low ratings in privacy and security features. Thus, recommendations for the use of physical activity apps can only be given with major limitations. The contents and functions correlated positively with quality ratings. Furthermore, user ratings showed small correlations to the quality ratings and might be a limited indicator for end users. However, it seems necessary that developers use evidence-based content and scientifically developed and evaluated apps find their way into the app stores. Since the field of mobile health is rapidly growing, there is a need for continuous up-to-date evaluations of apps to provide and inform end users about data protection, privacy regulations, and evidence base. Central databases such as digital apothecaries [48-50] could help the user find high-quality apps and be protected against misinformation and abuse. However, there is also a need for novel methodological frameworks such as continuous evaluations [51] that allow for the assessment of multiple or evolving app versions.
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**Abstract**

**Background:** As a computerized drug–drug interaction (DDI) alert system has not been widely implemented in China, health care providers are relying on mobile health (mHealth) apps as references for checking drug information, including DDIs.

**Objective:** The main objective of this study was to evaluate the quality and content of mHealth apps supporting DDI checking in Chinese app stores.

**Methods:** A systematic review was carried out in November 2020 to identify mHealth apps providing DDI checking in both Chinese iOS and Android platforms. We extracted the apps’ general information (including the developer, operating system, costs, release date, size, number of downloads, and average rating), scientific or clinical basis, and accountability, based on a multidimensional framework for evaluation of apps. The quality of mHealth apps was evaluated by using the Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS). Descriptive statistics, including numbers and percentages, were calculated to describe the characteristics of the apps. For each app selected for evaluation, the section-specific MARS scores were calculated by taking the arithmetic mean, while the overall MARS score was described as the arithmetic mean of the section scores. In addition, the Cohen kappa (κ) statistic was used to evaluate the interrater agreement.

**Results:** A total of 7 apps met the selection criteria, and only 3 included citations. The average rating score for Android apps was 3.5, with a minimum of 1.0 and a maximum of 4.9, while the average rating score for iOS apps was 4.7, with a minimum of 4.2 and a maximum of 4.9. The mean MARS score was 3.69 out of 5 (95% CI 3.34-4.04), with the lowest score of 1.96 for Medication Guidelines and the highest score of 4.27 for MCDEX mobile. The greatest variation was observed in the information section, which ranged from 1.41 to 4.60. The functionality section showed the highest mean score of 4.05 (95% CI 3.71-4.40), whereas the engagement section resulted in the lowest average score of 3.16 (95% CI 2.81-3.51). For the information quality section, which was the focus of this analysis, the average score was 3.42, with the MCDEX mobile app having the highest score of 4.6 and the Medication Guidelines app having the lowest score of 1.9. For the overall MARS score, the Cohen intrarater κ was 0.354 (95% CI 0.236-0.473), the Fleiss κ was 0.353 (95% CI, 0.234-0.472), and the Krippendorff α was 0.356 (95% CI 0.237-0.475).

**Conclusions:** This study systematically reviewed the mHealth apps in China with a DDI check feature. The majority of investigated apps demonstrated high quality with accurate and comprehensive information on DDIs. However, a few of the apps...
that had a massive number of downloads in the Chinese market provided incorrect information. Given these apps might be used by health care providers for checking potential DDIs, this creates a substantial threat to patient safety.

**Methods**

This review followed the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) systematic review protocol [11,12].

**Search Strategy**

We used the “crawling” method to interact directly with app stores’ mHealth repository to avoid any personalized search results that might have been determined by a previous search query. Compared to traditional methods using the search query, creating a health-related app repository allowed us to perform a more thorough and reliable search [10,13]. The crawling method has been applied in searching for weight management [14] and nutrition-related apps [15]. First, we created an app repository by crawling all apps from the health and fitness category in the Chinese iTunes app store webpage (Apple Inc) [16] and the health category in the Chinese Android app store webpage (Google) [17] on November 15, 2020. We then extracted the detailed information for the apps that were crawled from the app stores, including the app name, description, user rating, and the number of downloads [16]. Two raters, CW and GM, screened the description of apps independently to select those that supported DDI checks.

To avoid potential omissions, we also carried out an extensive keyword search in both iOS and Android app stores. The search terms included “drug interaction*,” “pill interaction*,” “medication interaction*,” and “DDI*,” following previous app review studies [9]. The inclusion criteria were the following: updated after 2018 and health care professionals as the targeted users. The exclusion criteria were the following: without any DDI information, duplicate apps, and not available in Mandarin Chinese.

CW and MG independently searched in an iPhone or Android phone and selected the apps for inclusion according to the selection criteria. In situations where there was a discrepancy, a third senior rater (CYS) reviewed the app description, and a consensus was made after a thorough discussion. All 3 raters are pharmacists who are currently practicing in the hospital.

**Data Extraction**

We first extracted data on the general information about the apps, including the developer, operating system (iOS, Android, or both), costs (free or paid), release date, size (in megabytes), number of downloads, and average rating in the app stores. For mHealth apps supporting a DDI check, the information quality and content accountability are critical for patient safety. Hence, we also extracted specific information related to the scientific contents underpinning the best available evidence are rarely assessed, especially in non–English-speaking countries [10].

To our best knowledge, there is no published study evaluating the DDI-related mHealth apps available in Chinese app stores. As using incorrect drug information can have serious consequences, the aim of this study was to systematically evaluate DDI-related mHealth apps in Chinese app stores.
or clinical basis and accountability [10], according to the multidimensional framework for the evaluation of apps.

The scientific or clinical basis refers to the scientific quality of the content and was evaluated by the following metrics: accordance with existing evidence (yes or no), presence of citations (yes or no), clinician involvement (yes or no), affiliation with credible organization (yes or no), and expert assessment (yes or no) [10].

Accountability relates to the credibility of the app developer and was assessed by the presence of the following information: regulatory approval (yes or no), copyright information (yes or no), date of the last update, contact information, and disclaimer [10].

Quality Assessment

To assess the different factors related to app quality, we used the Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS), a multidimensional instrument for systematically evaluating the quality of mHealth apps [18]. The MARS is a validated tool for evaluating the quality of mHealth apps [18] and has been used in a wide range of fields, such as cardiovascular disease [19], rheumatology [20], mental health, diabetes [21], pediatrics [22], and weight management [14]. MARS is a 23-item expert-based evaluation tool, consisting of multiple dimensions to evaluate different aspects of apps, including end-user engagement, functionality, aesthetics, and information quality [18]. Each question uses a 5-point Likert type scale with a range from 1 to 5 (1 indicates inadequate and 5 indicates excellent; Multimedia Appendix 1). MARS has demonstrated high internal consistency and strong interrater reliability [18]. Procedures performed in previous research informed our method for evaluating the accuracy and comprehensiveness of DDI information [9,23-27]. We used a list of 35 DDI pairs, including 28 true-positive and 7 false-positive examples as the objective example in MARS (Multimedia Appendix 2). Based on clinical pharmacists’ input, we selected these drug combinations because they have significant clinical impact and are commonly used in the existing questionnaires testing clinicians’ knowledge of DDIs [9,23-27].

Before rating the apps, all raters read the MARS protocol and viewed the training video, following the MARS developer’s recommendations [18]. In addition, all raters reached a consensus on the evaluation of the first app.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics, including numbers and percentages, were calculated to describe the characteristics of the apps. For each app selected for evaluation, the section-specific MARS scores were calculated by taking the arithmetic mean, while the overall MARS score were calculated as the arithmetic mean of the section scores [18]. In addition, overall scores and section-specific MARS scores were also described by their mean, median, and IQR. The interrater agreement was examined by Cohen kappa coefficient (κ) [28] according to following scoring scheme informed by Cohen and more recent analysis [29]: κ ≤0 indicated no agreement; 0.01-0.20 indicated poor agreement, 0.21-0.40 indicated fair agreement, 0.41-0.60 indicated moderate agreement, 0.61-0.80 indicated substantial agreement, and 0.81-1.00 indicated almost perfect agreement. Spearman correlation was calculated for the relationships among 4 sections of the MARS score, price, and number of downloads. A significance level of $P < .05$ was used in this study. All analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute).

Results

Systematic Search Results

A total of 296 and 498 apps were identified in the iOS and Android App stores, respectively (Figure 1). After duplicates were removed, 701 apps remained. Of these, 689 apps were removed because they did not contain DDI information, and 4 were removed because they could not be downloaded, leaving a total of 7 apps for this evaluation.
Characteristics of mHealth Apps

Table 1 summarizes the general information of the apps. Out of the 7 apps included in the analysis, 6 (86%) were available free of charge. The MCDEX mobile app provides a 7-day free trial at a price of ¥89 yuan renminbi (US $13.97) per month after trial. Two apps, Medication Assistant by DXY and Medication Assistant of People’s Health, offer a free version with limited access to DDI information, with a VIP subscription providing more drug information costing up to ¥30 yuan renminbi (or around US $4.71) per month. Six apps were available on both the iOS and Android platforms, and 1 app was only available on the Android platform. The average size of apps was 108.6 MB, ranging from 2.38 MB to 334.96 MB. The release dates for the Android apps were from January 29, 2012, to June 9, 2017.

Of the 6 apps with a download count available, 3 (50%) had been downloaded more than 1 million times. The average rating score for android apps was 3.5, with a minimum of 1.0 and a maximum of 4.9, while the average rating score for iOS apps was 4.7, with a minimum of 4.2 and a maximum of 4.9.

As shown in Figure 2, 3 (43%) of the 7 apps indicated sources of information (ie, citations) and provided information which was in accordance with existing evidence. Of the 7 apps, 4 (57%) indicated the involvement of clinicians in the app development, 3 (43%) had been assessed by experts in the related field, and 6 (86%) were affiliated with credible organizations. None of the apps received regulatory approval, but the MCDEX was developed under the Committee of Experts on Rational Drug Use of the National Health Commission of China, and Medication Assistant of People’s Health was affiliated with the People’s Medical Publishing House Co, Ltd, which is the leading professional medical publishing company in China. The date of the latest app update ranged from March 3, 2020, to November 15, 2020. Two apps, Medication Reference and Yi Mai Tong, were developed by the same developer. The detailed information of the apps is presented in Multimedia Appendix 3.
### Table 1. General information on the mobile health apps included in the review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>App name in English</th>
<th>Target market</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Size (MB)</th>
<th>Cost per month, RMB&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (USD)</th>
<th>Release date</th>
<th>Downloads, n&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mean user rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCDEX mobile</td>
<td>Health care professionals</td>
<td>iOS &amp; Android</td>
<td>13.06</td>
<td>89 (13.97)</td>
<td>3/5/2015</td>
<td>11,193</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Assistant by DXY</td>
<td>Health care professionals</td>
<td>iOS &amp; Android</td>
<td>169.16</td>
<td>30 (4.71)</td>
<td>11/17/2012</td>
<td>55,585,879</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Reference</td>
<td>Doctors, pharmacists, and other HCPs</td>
<td>iOS &amp; Android</td>
<td>334.96</td>
<td>free</td>
<td>7/10/2012</td>
<td>___</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Assistant of People's Health</td>
<td>Doctors, pharmacists, nurses, and other HCPs</td>
<td>iOS &amp; Android</td>
<td>86.52</td>
<td>12 (1.88)</td>
<td>6/9/2017</td>
<td>227,021</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Guidelines</td>
<td>Health care professionals</td>
<td>Android</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>free</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>750,760</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXY</td>
<td>Health care professionals</td>
<td>iOS &amp; Android</td>
<td>262.67</td>
<td>free</td>
<td>1/29/2012</td>
<td>66,298,525</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi Mai Tong</td>
<td>Health care professionals</td>
<td>iOS &amp; Android</td>
<td>73.67</td>
<td>free</td>
<td>9/26/2013</td>
<td>8,900,870</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Apps in Chinese app stores were searched on November 15, 2020.<br><sup>b</sup> RMB: yuan renminbi.<br><sup>c</sup> Number of downloads was not available for the iOS platform.<br><sup>d</sup> HCP: health care provider.<br><sup>e</sup> Data not available.

### Figure 2. Information quality and accountability of the mobile health apps.

**Quality Assessment**

Overall, the mean MARS score was 3.69 (95% CI 3.34-4.04; Figure 3), with a lowest score of 1.96 for Medication Guidelines and a highest score of 4.27 for MCDEX mobile (Multimedia Appendix 4). A substantial variation in the MARS scores was observed among the 4 sections, with the greatest variation being observed in the information section, ranging from 1.41 to 4.60. The functionality section showed the highest mean score of 4.05 (95% CI 3.71-4.40), whereas the engagement section had the lowest average score of 3.16 (95% CI 2.81-3.51).
For the information quality section, which was the focus of this analysis, the average score was 3.42; the MCDEX mobile app had the highest mean score of 4.6, while the Medication Guidelines app had the lowest average score of 1.9. Of note, in the evaluation of information accuracy, the average score was 2.2 out of 5, or only 44% of the DDI pairs were described correctly. Only 1 of the 7 apps (14%), MCDEX, identified all 35 DDI pairs correctly, while 4 (57%) failed to describe half of the DDI pairs. For those DDI pairs with interactions, the average score was 2.35 out of 5, while the average score was 1.70 out of 5 for those drug pairs without DDIs, indicating these apps had a relatively higher false-positive rate. In the evaluation of the comprehensiveness of information, the average score was 2.9. Out of the 7 apps, 6 (86%) provided incomplete DDI information, while 4 apps covered less than half of the DDIs. The detailed results are presented in Multimedia Appendix 4.

For the overall MARS score, the κ coefficient was 0.354 (95% CI 0.236-0.473), the Fleiss κ was 0.353 (95% CI 0.234-0.472), and the Krippendorff α was 0.356 (95% CI 0.237-0.475). Based on the cutoff level of the κ statistic commonly cited in the literature, a κ of 0.354 was interpreted as fair agreement [29]. Multimedia Appendix 5 shows the detailed interrater reliability results.

Table 2 shows the relationship between the MARS scores and general characteristics of the 7 apps included in the analysis. There was an association between the price of mHealth apps and the MARS scores of information sections, but this did not reach statistical significance (P=.08). The number of downloads was negatively correlated with the prices of apps, even though this did not reach statistical significance. Statistically significant associations among the 4 sections of MARS scale were observed, except for the information section.
Table 2. Correlation matrix among MARS scores, price, number of downloads, and average user rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>MARS(^a) scores</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Number of downloads(^b)</th>
<th>Mean user rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARS scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionality</td>
<td>0.68(^d)</td>
<td>0.68(^e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>0.95(^f)</td>
<td>0.80(^j)</td>
<td>0.73(^k)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>0.68(^g)</td>
<td>0.94(^b)</td>
<td>0.82(^i)</td>
<td>0.73(^k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.70(^j)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of downloads(^b)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average user rating</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)MARS: Mobile App Rating Scale.
\(^b\)Number of downloads was not available for the iOS platform.
\(^c\)Not applicable.
\(^d\)P=.09.
\(^e\)P=.001.
\(^f\)P=.03.
\(^g\)P=.09.
\(^h\)P=.002.
\(^i\)P=.02.
\(^j\)P=.08.
\(^k\)P=.096.

Discussion

This systematic review found there to be an acceptable quality of mHealth apps with a DDI check in Chinese app stores, with an average MARS score of 3.63. However, the quality of the information section was polarized among apps included in the review. Specifically, nearly half of the investigated apps that aimed to identify any significant interaction associated with concurrently administered drugs showed relatively poor quality in scientific information. On the other hand, the MCDEX mobile app, developed under the supervision of the China National Health Committee, demonstrated high quality in content accuracy and comprehensiveness, highlighting the importance of the scientific or clinical basis and accountability dimensions.

To our best knowledge, this study was the first analysis to systematically evaluate apps for DDI checks in China and underscores the importance of regulation in the mHealth apps, which is becoming a major source of information for health care providers in China, based on our ongoing survey exploring physicians’ knowledge and sources of information on DDIs.

In this in-depth analysis, the information quality of mHealth apps with a DDI check feature showed great variety. The total MARS score ranged from 1.97 to 4.23, whereas the MARS score for the information section ranged from 1.41 to 4.60, suggesting there was a certain proportion of apps with relatively low quality. These findings were consistent with another app review conducted in Canadian app stores [9]. Furthermore, 4 out of 7 investigated apps failed to identify over 50% of the tested DDI pairs. The low quality of the information section can be partially explained by a lack of evidence. The MCDEX mobile app, which was based on the knowledge bases developed in China, demonstrated relatively high-quality scientific information. A moderate score in the information section was observed in 3 apps that used both product information (package inserts) and existing treatment guidelines as the major source of information, while a poor score was observed among those apps using product information as the sole source of DDI knowledge. The reason why this is a low-quality information source is that package inserts are very likely to be outdated and cannot provide the best-available evidence. In addition, clinicians or drug experts are not commonly involved in the process of app development. The DDI check feature could be helpful in preventing ADEs but can only operate properly when the medication list is accurate and complete. Therefore, these findings call for immediate action to address the low scientific quality of mHealth apps, which is a potential threat to patient safety.

Our study also suggested that prices could be an important factor influencing the information quality of mHealth apps with DDI features. The MCDEX mobile app, which required the highest subscription fee, scored highest in the information section by providing accurate and comprehensive information in DDIs. However, 4 apps available for free provided unsatisfactory drug information. Of further note, these free apps were very popular in the market, making patient safety a serious concern.

In this review, only 7 mHealth apps were identified in Chinese app stores, fewer than those available to Canadians in English (n=26) according to a similar review conducted in 2018 [9].
This may be explained by the fact that the Canadian review targeted consumer apps with DDI check features, but the DDI check function was not included in consumer apps in China. In comparison with apps found in Canadian app stores, the Chinese apps had slightly higher MARS scores (3.63 vs 3.05) [9]. A potential explanation for this is the fact that the Chinese apps target health care professionals and thus require more rigorous clinical evidence. In terms of information quality measured by MARS, considerable variation was also observed. One-third of the Canadian apps scored lower than 1 out of 5, but around 15% (1/7) of the apps in Chinese app stores had the same score. For the functionality and aesthetic sections, similar results were reported in the Chinese and Canadian apps, but the Chinese apps scored higher in the engagement section than did the Canadian apps. If the investigated apps are mainly used as a reference for DDI information, it is not necessary to have a high score in the engagement section because these apps do not intend to engage users to effect behavioral changes [14].

This study has the following strengths. First, this is the first systematic review for DDI-related apps using the advanced crawling method for ensuring a more comprehensive search [10]. This review focused on non-English apps rather than English apps that have already been assessed [10]. Second, by using tested DDI pairs with high clinical importance, we evaluated the accuracy and comprehensiveness of the DDI checks available in the investigated apps to ensure the assessment process was as objective as possible. Finally, this review was conducted by clinical pharmacists who have extensive experience in medicine, especially DDIs.

There are also several limitations to our study. First, we searched the apps at a certain time point, and we cannot exclude the possibility that newly released apps might have been missed in the search. Second, our search might not have been sufficiently thorough. However, 2 raters performed an independent review with the consultation of a third rater, and thus the possibility that certain apps were missed should have been minimal. Third, despite the efforts to make raters familiar with the MARS scale by watching videos and reading protocols, the rating scores might have been subjective, which makes it difficult to compare across different apps. A higher score reported for a certain app may not indicate higher quality; instead, it may suggest that this app was overscored by the raters. To address this concern, we also used 35 drug pairs to assess the information quality in a more objective way.

In conclusion, this study provided a comprehensive overview of the mHealth apps with a DDI check function available in Chinese app stores. Using the multidimensional framework for the evaluation of apps, we found that the quality of mHealth apps was acceptable although a limited number of apps provided inaccurate and incomplete information about DDIs. The majority of investigated apps provided accurate and comprehensive information. A few of the apps that had large number of downloads offered a relatively low quality of drug information. As most of the apps found in Chinese app stores targeted health care professionals who may use these apps as a reference for DDI information, our findings underscore the importance of providing accurate scientific information in mHealth apps, as DDIs can have serious consequences.
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Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS).
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**Multimedia Appendix 2**

List of drug–drug interaction pairs for the Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS) number 15 and 16.
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**Multimedia Appendix 3**

Detailed results of information quality and accountability.
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**Multimedia Appendix 4**

Detailed results of Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS) number 15 and 16.
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**Multimedia Appendix 5**

Detailed interrater reliability results.
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Abstract

Background: The success of antiretroviral therapy has led to an increase in life expectancy and an associated rise in the risk of cardiometabolic diseases (CMDs) among people living with HIV.

Objective: Our aim was to conduct a systematic review to synthesize the existing literature on the patterns of use and effects of mobile health (mHealth) interventions for improving treatment adherence and outcomes of care for CMD among people living with HIV.

Methods: A systematic search of multiple databases, including PubMed-MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, Scopus, Web of Science, African Journals online, ClinicalTrials.gov, and the World Health Organization Global Index Medicus of peer-reviewed articles, was conducted with no date or language restrictions. Unpublished reports on mHealth interventions for treatment adherence and outcomes of care for CMD among adults living with HIV were also included in this review. Studies were included if they had at least 1 component that used an mHealth intervention to address treatment adherence or 1 or more of the stated outcomes of care for CMD among people living with HIV.

Results: Our search strategy yielded 1148 unique records. In total, 10 articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in this review. Of the 10 studies, only 4 had published results. The categories of mHealth interventions ranged from short messaging, telephone calls, and wearable devices to smartphone and desktop web-based mobile apps. Across the different categories of interventions, there were no clear patterns in terms of consistency in the use of a particular intervention, as most studies (9/10, 90%) assessed a combination of mHealth interventions. Short messaging and telephone calls were however the most common interventions. Half of the studies (5/10, 50%) reported on outcomes that were indirectly linked to CMD, and none of them provided
reliable evidence for evaluating the effectiveness of mHealth interventions for treatment adherence and outcomes of care for CMD among people living with HIV.

**Conclusions:** Due to the limited number of studies and the heterogeneity of interventions and outcome measures in the studies, no definitive conclusions could be drawn on the patterns of use and effects of mHealth interventions for treatment adherence and outcomes of care for CMD among people living with HIV. We therefore recommend that future trials should focus on standardized outcomes for CMD. We also suggest that future studies should consider having a longer follow-up period in order to determine the long-term effects of mHealth interventions on CMD outcomes for people living with HIV.

**Trial Registration:** PROSPERO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews CRD42018086940; https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42018086940
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mHealth; HIV; cardiometabolic disease; text messaging; mobile; systematic review; telephone calls; wearable devices; smartphones; desktop; web-based; mobile apps

**Introduction**

Cardiometabolic diseases (CMDs) represent a huge threat to the global progress that has been achieved in reducing mortality and morbidity among people living with HIV [1,2]. With the successes of antiretroviral therapies (ARTs), there has been increased life expectancy and a reduction in the burden of opportunistic infections among people living with HIV [3-5]. However, both HIV and ART are independently associated with an increased risk of CMD [6-8]. The incidence of CMD among people living with HIV ranges from 1.19 per 1000 person-years to 11.3 per 1000 person-years [9,10]. People living with HIV show consistent patterns of increased risk for diabetes [11-13], stroke [14], sudden cardiac death [15], heart failure [16], coronary heart disease, and myocardial Infarction [17-19]. Furthermore, established CMD risk factors like tobacco smoking and alcohol use are prevalent among people living with HIV [20,21].

The use of mobile technology, especially the use of mobile phones, has increased tremendously worldwide. The majority of the over 7 billion mobile phone users reside in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where the burden of HIV and AIDS is the heaviest [22]. The improved access to mobile technology presents an immense opportunity for promoting the health of people living with HIV, and mobile technology is increasingly being used to provide support for people living with HIV and promote adherence to ART [23-25].

Research on CMD among people living with HIV has increased significantly over the last 2 decades, and significant proportions of these studies have assessed the use of mobile health (mHealth) interventions to promote HIV care [7-12]. Systematic reviews on the topic have shown that most research in this field focuses on mobile phone interventions that aim to promote ART adherence and other forms of direct HIV and AIDS outcomes [25-30]. In a paper that systematically reviewed mobile phone SMS text messaging interventions for HIV and other chronic diseases, many of the studies with chronic disease outcomes did not include people living with HIV [30]. Thus, the evidence for analyzing the patterns of use and effects of mHealth interventions for CMD outcomes among people living with HIV remains unclear. This review aims to synthesize existing literature on the patterns of use and effects of mHealth interventions for treatment adherence and outcomes of care for CMD among adults living with HIV.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

For this review, we followed the guidelines from the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses) Statement [31]. The study protocol was also registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (trial ID number: CRD42018086940). We relied on data obtained from other studies that conducted primary research; therefore, obtaining institutional ethical approval was not required. We made use of deidentified data that were stored in a password-protected database. We ensured that the data presented in this systematic review did not violate the privacy of the patients.

**Eligibility Criteria**

**Inclusion Criteria**

To ensure that we captured all articles that described patterns of existing mHealth interventions for CMD outcomes, we did not limit our search by study type or design. We included studies that described any mHealth interventions if the following criteria were met: (1) the study was conducted among adults aged ≥18 years living with HIV; (2) the study involved the use of mobile phones or had any mHealth components embedded in its design; and (3) the study was designed to influence adherence to treatment or outcomes of care for 1 or more CMD (ie, hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, stroke, coronary heart disease, diabetes mellitus, and metabolic syndrome). Articles published in any language with English abstracts were eligible for inclusion.

**Exclusion Criteria**

A study was excluded if (1) it was an opinion piece, (2) it was a publication that lacked primary data, and (3) it had no explicit method description. In the case of duplicate publications of the same material in more than 1 journal or conference proceeding, the most complete and recent version was used.
Definitions
The diagnosis of HIV was based on positive reports from screening tests or participants’ self-reported doctor diagnoses. The CMDs reviewed included hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, obesity, stroke, coronary heart disease, and metabolic syndrome. This study was a systematic review for which no primary data were collected. The diagnosis of HIV and cardiometabolic outcomes were based on reports from the studies included in this review.

The outcome measures included differences in adherence to treatment or outcomes relating to any of the listed CMDs; differences in mortality due to the listed CMDs; differences in blood pressure, glycemic control, and blood lipid levels; and reductions in CMD risk or BMI waist circumference and waist-hip ratios.

With regard to mHealth, for the purpose of this review, we used the Global Observatory for eHealth definition, which defines mHealth as a medical and public health practice supported by mobile devices, such as mobile phones, patient monitoring devices, PDAs, and other wireless devices. mHealth involves the use and capitalization of a mobile phone’s core utility in terms of voice messaging services and SMSs as well as more complex functionalities and applications, including general packet radio services, third and fourth generation mobile telecommunications (3G and 4G systems), GPSs, and Bluetooth technology [32].

Search Strategy for the Identification of Relevant Studies
Electronic searches of the following databases were conducted from inception to September 2019: PubMed-MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, Scopus, Web of Science, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Global Health (Elton B. Stephens Company), the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, African Journals online, the Association for Computing Machinery, World Health Organization (WHO) reports, ClinicalTrials.gov, The Pan African Clinical Trials Registry and mHealth alliance, and the WHO Global Index Medicus. The search terms used included HIV-related terms (eg, HIV infections, HIV/AIDS, HIV-positive, and HIV infected), mobile device–related terms (eg, mobile health, mHealth, mobile phone, and short message) and CMD-related terms (eg, Hypertension, Diabetes, stroke, metabolic syndrome, and cardiometabolic). No language, publication type, or date limits were applied to the initial searches. The first author (OOO) and the librarian (LO) collaboratively developed the search strategy. The full list of search strategies is available in Multimedia Appendix 1.

Reference Lists and Grey Literature
We searched for additional relevant articles in the reference lists of the retrieved key articles and reviews. We contacted authors of included studies to acquire other data related to our outcomes of interest that may have been unpublished, informally published, or undergoing ongoing analysis. Principal investigators of registered clinical trials whose published results were not found within our search were contacted by email to share their results.

Data Collection and Processing
Search results were saved into EndNote (Clarivate Analytics) files by the librarian (LO). All EndNote files were deduplicated, collated, and transferred into Rayyan (Rayyan Systems Incorporated) [33] for subsequent processing. A pilot screen of 100 articles was performed by each reviewer to ensure the consistent interpretation of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Two sets of reviewers (set 1: BI and KA; set 2: OO and OU) conducted an initial independent screening and eligibility assessment of articles’ titles and abstracts by using the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. A third reviewer (OOO) resolved disagreements. Full-text copies of the selected articles were obtained for further review and assessed using the same process as the title and abstract screens. The flowchart for the study selection process is shown in Figure 1. Two independent reviewers (BI and OO) used a pretested data extraction form that was adapted from the Cochrane data extraction template for intervention reviews of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and non-RCTs [34] (Multimedia Appendix 2) to extract the data from the full texts [35-38]. The information extracted included the study title, author, year, country, study design, sample size, study population and setting, intervention type and delivery, components of the intervention, concurrent non-mHealth interventions or medications (if any), duration of intervention, cardiometabolic outcome, and secondary outcome measures. The results were synthesized and presented as a narrative synthesis of the details regarding the study type, intervention characteristics, study outcomes, and location. Due to the limited number of studies and the wide variability in the outcome measures, we were unable to perform a meta-analysis.
Methodological Quality of Included Studies

The quality of included studies was assessed by using the Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool [39]. Specifically, we assessed the quality of each included study by using the criteria from the seven domains of the tool (ie, random sequence generation, the blinding of study participants and key personnel, the blinding of the outcome assessment, selective outcome reporting, allocation concealment, incomplete outcome data, and the presence of bias from other sources) [39]. For each of these domains, each study was identified as having a low, high, or unclear risk. In total, 2 studies did not report on the blinding of the outcome assessment [35,36], while 3 studies had incomplete outcome data [35,36,38]. Two investigators (BI and OO) independently assessed the quality of included studies, and a third reviewer (OOO) resolved discrepancies.

Results

Included Studies

Our search yielded 1148 unique records, of which 1129 were excluded for the reasons specified in Figure 1. Most of the excluded records (1129/1148, 98.34%) were not related to mHealth, not related to our CMD outcomes of interest, or not conducted among people living with HIV. Of the 19 articles that were fully reviewed, 4 were unrelated to the CMD outcomes of interest, 3 were not about mHealth interventions, 1 was not conducted among people living with HIV, and 1 was a duplicate study. In total, 10 articles met the inclusion criteria.

The 10 included studies and their key study characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Of these included studies, 7 were RCTs [35,36,40-43]. In 90% (9/10) of studies, study participants only included people living with HIV. The remaining 10% of studies included a combination of both people living with HIV and people living without HIV. Most studies (4/10, 40%) had an average study period of about 12 months [36,37,40,43]. It is noteworthy that 6 of the 10 included studies were registered clinical trials that had unpublished results at the time of conducting this review [40-45]. The principal investigators of the included registered clinicals trials were contacted in order to ascertain the progress of the trials; however, no response was received at the time of concluding this review. The number of studies with unpublished results and the variation in outcomes across studies made it difficult to conduct a meta-analysis.
Table 1. Summary of the key characteristics of included studies (N=10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (author, year, country)</th>
<th>Study design and methods</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Reported results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morillo-Verdugo et al [36], 2018, Spain</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>Aged &gt;35 years; on antiretroviral therapy with at least 1 drug prescribed for the treatment of hypertension, dyslipidemia, angina pectoris, cardiovascular prophylaxis, or type 2 diabetes mellitus; and at a moderate or high risk of cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>Periodic text messages on mobile phones</td>
<td>Cardiovascular risk index, smoking reduction, blood pressure control, and medication adherence</td>
<td>20.7% of patients in the intervention group vs 12.5% of patients in the control group reduced their Framingham risk score from high/very high to moderate/low (P=.02), and the number of patients with controlled blood pressure increased by 32.1% (P=.01). 37.9% of patients overall stopped smoking (P=.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglada-Martinez et al [35], 2016, Spain</td>
<td>Single-arm, prospective pre- and postintervention study</td>
<td>Patients on treatment for heart failure, hypertension, or dyslipidemia for &gt;1 month and those aged &gt;18 years</td>
<td>Medplan smartphone app and weekly motivational messages</td>
<td>Medication adherence, cholesterol, triglycerides, and blood pressure control</td>
<td>The proportion of missed doses decreased significantly for patients using the Medplan app (P&lt;.05). There was no difference in the health outcomes of patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roos et al [37], 2014, South Africa</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>On antiretroviral therapy for &gt;6 months, aged 20-65 years, ambulatory without assistive device, and had an elevated risk of ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>Pedometer, activity diary that included education materials and documents for self-monitoring, and 1 monthly cell phone SMS message for motivation</td>
<td>The pedometer step count of both groups improved significantly.</td>
<td>The pedometer step counts of the control and intervention groups improved significantly (P=.03 for both groups) at 6 months, but this improvement was not significant at 12 months (P=.33 and P=.21, respectively). Significant between-group effects were observed in 6-minute walk test distances (P&lt;.01), waist-to-hip ratios (P&lt;.01), glucose levels (P&lt;.01), and high-density lipoprotein levels (P&lt;.01) over the 12-month period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuniga et al [38], 2019, United States of America</td>
<td>1-group pre- and posttest design</td>
<td>Aged &gt;18 years and had HIV and type 2 diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>6-hour educational instruction implemented as 2 3-hour meetings followed by weekly telephone support calls for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Diabetes self-management skills and knowledge about HIV or diabetes</td>
<td>There was a 34% increase in diabetes self-management skills from pretest to posttest, but there were no changes in knowledge about HIV or diabetes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinspoon [40], 2006, United States of America</td>
<td>Randomized case control study</td>
<td>Aged 18 to 65 years and had 3 of the following 5 characteristics: (1) waist circumferences of &gt;102 cm (40 in) for men and &gt;88 cm (35 in) in women; (2) triglyceride levels of ≥150 mg/dL or current antilipolytic drug treatment; (3) high-density lipoprotein levels of &lt;40 mg/dL for men and &lt;50 mg/dL for women; (4) blood pressure of ≥130/85 mmHg or current antihypertensive drug treatment; and (5) fasting glucose level of ≥110 mg/dL</td>
<td>1-time counseling session with nutrition staff at the baseline visit and monthly unsupervised phone calls</td>
<td>Waist-hip ratios and cardiovascular indices (total cholesterol; low-density lipoprotein, high-density lipoprotein, and triglyceride cholesterol levels; blood pressure; cardiac enzymes; C-reactive protein; tissue plasminogen activator; plasminogen activator inhibitor; insulin; and glucose metabolism)</td>
<td>The results of the study have yet to be published.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All included studies had an mHealth component, although some studies used a multimethod mHealth intervention approach, wherein support telephone calls were combined with educational instructions [38,41] or the use of mobile phone apps were combined with user interviews [44]. However, short messaging [36,37,42,45] and telephone calls [38,40,41,43] were the most common mHealth interventions. Furthermore, 2 studies used mobile apps as their mHealth intervention component [35,44].

Although studies had varied outcomes, most had treatment adherence (1/10, 10%) [44], cardiometabolic outcomes (5/10, 50%) [37,40-43], or both (3/10, 30%) [35,36,45] as their primary or secondary study outcomes. The other studies had a combination of other outcomes that were not limited to treatment adherence or cardiometabolic outcomes, such as physical activity and the self-management of diabetes [38,42].

With regard to the function of mHealth interventions, 4 studies used mHealth for medication adherence purposes [35,36,44,45], 3 studies used mHealth to improve physical activity and thereby reduce cardiovascular risk [37,41,42], 2 used mHealth for health promotion and health coaching purposes to reduce cardiovascular risk [37,38], and 1 used mHealth for the self-management of diabetes mellitus [38].

The majority of the studies (9/10, 90%) were conducted in hospital or clinic settings [35-38,40-43,45], whereas 10% (1/10) of the studies were conducted in a community setting in a rural area [44]. Furthermore, most of the studies were conducted in the high-income countries of Spain (2/10, 20%), the United States of America (6/10, 60%), and Africa (2/10, 20%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (author, year, country)</th>
<th>Study design and methods</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Reported results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jaggers et al [41], 2013, United States of America</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>Aged &gt;18 years, had a sedentary lifestyle, had a viral load of &gt;75 copies/mL, was capable of performing required exercise regimen, and had daily access to a telephone for approximately 10 months</td>
<td>Home-based physical activity intervention: The intervention included a 60-min, individual, face-to-face session; telephone counselling calls; and educational workbooks and pedometers for the self-monitoring of physical activity.</td>
<td>The effect of the intervention in terms of decreasing modifiable risk factors and increasing physical activity among people living with HIV and the effect of the intervention in terms of decreasing modifiable risk factors, such as fat distribution, blood lipids, and cardiopulmonary fitness outcomes, were assessed.</td>
<td>The findings of the study have yet to be published.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooke [42], 2017, United States of America</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>People living with HIV</td>
<td>Personalized, automated, interactive mobile phone text message intervention</td>
<td>Physical activity and dietary assessments; polyunsaturated fatty acids, carotenoids, and other biomarkers in plasma; and total cholesterol, triglyceride, and high- and low-density cholesterol</td>
<td>The study is still ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodson et al [43], 2016, Australia</td>
<td>Cluster randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>Aged &gt;30 years, was receiving care from a participating doctor, was not diagnosed with cardiovascular disease, and had not participated previously in an HIV-specific self-management or coaching program</td>
<td>Health map website for (1) routine clinic visits involving the sharing of health records with a doctor; (2) access to own health record and information from home; (3) access to telephone and web-based self-management support; and (4) access to a peer-mediated, web-based group chat program.</td>
<td>10-year risk of nonfatal acute myocardial infarction or coronary heart disease death, as estimated by a Framingham Heart Study risk equation and the Positive and Active Engagement in Life Scale from the Health Education Impact Questionnaire</td>
<td>The findings of the study have yet to be published.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oduor et al [44], 2018, Kenya</td>
<td>Contextual user interviews</td>
<td>Patients living with HIV and hypertension</td>
<td>Integrated desktop and mobile app</td>
<td>Improved efficacy, safety, and personalization of medication prescription</td>
<td>Descriptive study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kengne [45], 2019, South Africa</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>Adult South Africans with comorbid HIV and hypertension</td>
<td>Automated text messaging</td>
<td>Mean difference in systolic and diastolic blood pressure at baseline and follow-up, uptake and adherence to blood pressure medications, mean change in lipid variables, and mean change in adiposity variables</td>
<td>The results of this study have yet to be published.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
States of America (4/10, 40%), and Australia (1/10, 10%). Only 3 studies were conducted in the LMICs of South Africa and Kenya in Sub-Saharan Africa, where the burden of HIV is quite significant.

Effectiveness of mHealth Interventions

With regard to the effects of mHealth interventions on treatment adherence and cardiometabolic outcomes in the 4 studies with published results, 2 studies reported a decrease in cardiovascular risk [36,37] and significant group effects for cardiometabolic outcomes, such as those on glucose level and high-density lipoproteins, between intervention and control groups [37]. In total, 1 study reported a 34% increase in diabetes self-management skills among participants [38], and 1 study reported no differences in effects on treatment adherence among study participants [35].

Assessing the Risk Of Bias

Figure 2 shows the assessment of the risk of bias in the included studies.

Selection Bias (Random Sequence Generation and Allocation Concealment)

Among the 10 included studies, the assessment of bias was conducted in only the 4 studies that were completed (Anglada-Martínez et al [35], Morillo-Verduogo et al [36], Roos et al [37], and Zuniga et al [38]). In the study by Morillo-Verduogo et al [36], the participants were randomized into control and intervention groups with a specific software that was used to generate a sequence of random numbers. Roos et al [37] used a simple randomization formula in Microsoft Excel 2010 for randomization. The method for selecting participants was unclear in the study by Anglada-Martínez et al [35], as the patients were followed during the preintervention phase in accordance with their usual schedule. Zuniga et al [38] selected 25 participants by using a convenient sampling method. This was because the Zuniga et al [38] study had to be completed in 12 months due to the guidelines of their funding mechanism as well as the constraints from budget limitations. During the allocation of participants, Roos et al [37] used an academic who was not directly involved in the study to carry out allocation concealment via sequentially numbered envelopes.
In the studies by Zuniga et al [38] and Anglada-Martinez et al [35], allocation concealment was not carried out for participants. This was because the Zuniga et al [38] study was a single-group study with a pre- and posttest study design, and in the Anglada-Martinez et al [35] study, the sequence generation was not described.

**Performance and Detection Bias (Blinding of Participants, Personnel, and the Outcome Assessment)**

Only 1 out of the 4 completed studies carried out blinding, and this was the blinding of the study personnel. In that study the research assistant performed all of the assessments and was blinded to the group allocation. The assessment forms were also coded to ensure anonymity, and the first author conducted the intervention [37].

The studies by Anglada-Martinez et al [35] and Morillo-Verdugo et al [38] did not record the blinding of participants and personnel; however, this was unlikely to influence the outcomes of those studies. The blinding of participants and personnel was not conducted in the Zuniga et al [38] study, as the graduate research assistant already knew the participants because they recruited, enrolled, and collected the baseline and follow-up data. This may likely have had an influence on the outcome of the study.

With regard to detection bias, the study by Anglada-Martinez et al [35] noted that the participants were not blinded to the measurement of the outcomes. This may have resulted in a Hawthorne effect, whereby the participants may have modified their behaviors because they were aware that their adherence to medication was being observed, thereby influencing the outcome of the study. Although the assessment forms were noted to be coded in the study by Roos et al [37], the blinding of the participants in the intervention group was not performed. These participants had 5 contact sessions in which they were educated on ischemic heart disease risk factors and the benefits of and methods for increasing physical activity levels. The participants in the control group experienced an increase in their pedometer step counts, which may have been linked to the fact that they were aware of their behavior (the Hawthorne effect). These factors were likely to influence the outcome of the Roos et al [37] study. Additionally, during the completion of the study, the intervention participants reported that wearing the pedometer motivated them to increase their activity levels [38].

The studies by Morillo-Verdugo et al [36] and Zuniga et al [38] failed to describe whether any form of blinding for the outcome assessor was performed.

**Attrition and Reporting Bias (Incomplete Outcome Data and Selective Reporting)**

In the study by Anglada-Martinez et al [35], there was a loss to follow-up of 20 participants. They were lost as a result of changing their addresses (n=3), transitioning to the use of a non-Android or non-iOS device (n=2), having incompatible Android and iOS operating systems (n=6), dying (n=1), not attending follow-ups (n=7), and withdrawing from the study (n=1). It was also noted that the adherence rates recorded with the use of the app did not correlate with the adherence rates that were recorded by analyzing the proportion of days in which participants took their medication. Some participants experienced problems such as their reminders not working properly (50%), and some believed that the use of the app resulted in extra work (fourth month: 60.2%; this decreased to 56.6% in the sixth month). Further, pending Medplan alerts, which appear during the day, disappear at midnight; hence, patients who took their medication before midnight were unable to confirm that they had done so after midnight (57.1%) [35]. The prespecified outcomes of interest were however reported in accordance with the study protocols [35].

In the study by Morillo-Verdugo et al [36], there was loss to follow-up of 5 participants, and 1 died from causes that were beyond the scope of the study. It was also noted that because of the low number of patients with diabetes in the study, glycosylated hemoglobin was not included in the analysis. The prespecified outcomes of interest, such as reductions in cardiovascular risk indices based on Framingham scores, adherence to ART, and lifestyle modifications, were reported in accordance with the study protocol [36].

In the study by Roos et al [37], there were losses to follow-up in the control group at 6 months (n=8) and 12 months (n=10) and in the intervention group at 6 months (n=3) and 12 months (n=6). In the control group, 3 participants did not return for their second baseline assessment, and their baseline blood results were managed by imputing the mean value of the cohort’s results. Additionally, some data were noted to be missing completely at random, and these were managed by imputing the last observation that was carried forward [37]. The prespecified outcomes of interest, such as perceived stress, physiological measures, physical activity, physical function capacity, biochemical measures, and Framingham risk scores, were reported in accordance with the study protocol [37].

In the study by Zuniga et al [38], 15 out of 25 patients participated in the baseline fasting blood tests, but only 1 completed the blood drawing for the collection of follow-up data. Therefore, the effects of the intervention on HIV or diabetes control could not be recorded. The reasons for losses to follow-up were not described; however, the reporting of prespecified outcomes of interest, such as the knowledge of diabetes and HIV and diabetes self-management activities, were reported in accordance with the study protocol [38].

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

This paper presents a narrative synthesis of mHealth interventions for treatment adherence and outcomes of care for CMD among adults with HIV. A total of 10 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the review. The majority of studies included in this review were conducted in high-income countries (7/10, 70%) [35,36,38,40-43], and only a handful of studies were from LMICs (3/10, 30%) [37,44,45].

Based on our review, the categories of the interventions that were used in the studies ranged from short messaging and telephone calls to wearable devices and smartphone and desktop web-based mobile apps. However, the two most common interventions that were provided to people living with HIV were...
short messaging and telephone calls. This finding is similar to those from another systematic review on the impact of mHealth chronic disease management on treatment adherence and patient outcomes, which found that 40% of the studies included in their review had used short messaging to track medication adherence in patients with chronic diseases [46]. We also found that across the different categories of interventions, there were no clear patterns in terms of consistency in the use of a particular intervention, as most studies (9/10, 90%) [35,36,38,40-45] assessed a combination of mHealth interventions.

Overall, authors reported that the use of mHealth interventions for treatment adherence and outcomes of care for CMD among adults living with HIV was effective. However, studies varied widely in terms of research questions, target groups, study outcomes, and settings [36,37,41,43,44]. The risk of bias varied from study to study. Of the 4 studies that assessed the risk of bias, 2 controlled for selection bias by randomization [35,37], and only 1 study performed the blinding of both participants and research personnel for the control of performance bias [37]. All 4 studies reported their prespecified outcomes of interest in accordance with their study protocols.

Most existing studies on mHealth interventions for people living with HIV have addressed ART adherence outcomes, and only a few have assessed mHealth interventions for CMD outcomes. In theory, the use of mHealth interventions to monitor treatment adherence and outcomes of care for both ART and CMD should make the process of care more efficient. However, research in this area is still very limited. This highlights the need to generate evidence to promote the use of integrated models of care for outcomes such as ART adherence and CMD outcomes. In addition, our findings from this review revealed that most studies did not report outcomes such as a reduction in the incidence of CMD, which should be the ultimate goal, given the increasing life expectancy of people living with HIV resulting from ART. However, this could be explained by the short follow-up periods that were used in these studies. We highlight the existing mHealth interventions that specifically target CMD outcomes among people living with HIV and draw attention to the gaps and opportunities in mHealth interventions for comorbid CMDs.

Although we purposefully used broad inclusion criteria to capture all studies evaluating any type of mHealth intervention for CMD among people living with HIV, we were limited by the low number of studies that met our inclusion criteria or reported on our predefined key outcomes. Furthermore, many of the studies that fit our inclusion criteria were old. Therefore, they may not have reflected the current state of the effectiveness of mHealth interventions. We also could not conduct a meta-analysis due to the heterogeneity of the included studies in terms of their methods and reported outcomes. However, it is important to point out that a number of clinical trials are underway, and their results can be incorporated in a follow-up review within the next few years. We recommend that future trials should focus on standardized outcomes for CMD to enable the conduction of a meta-analysis. We also suggest that future studies should consider using an integrated approach and a longer follow-up period in order to determine the long-term effects of mHealth interventions on outcomes of care.

**Conclusion**

Studies using mHealth interventions that specifically target CMD outcomes for people living with HIV are limited, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa, where the burden of HIV is the greatest. In this review, although several of the mHealth interventions were found to be effective, there appears to be no clear pattern in the use of mHealth interventions for CMD outcomes. Short messaging was the most used intervention. More studies that assess the use and effectiveness of mHealth interventions other than short messaging, such as mobile apps and wearable health devices, are needed in this study area.
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Data extraction template [<xref ref-type="bibr" rid="22ref35">35</xref>-<xref ref-type="bibr" rid="22ref38">38</xref>].
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Abstract

Background: Adherence to treatment is critical for successful treatment outcomes. Although factors influencing antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence vary, young adults are less likely to adhere owing to psychosocial issues such as stigma, ART-related side effects, and a lack of access to treatment. The Call for Life Uganda (CFLU) mobile health (mHealth) tool is a mobile phone–based technology that provides text messages or interactive voice response functionalities through a web interface and offers 4 modules of support.

Objective: This study aims to describe the acceptability and feasibility of a mobile phone support tool to promote adherence to ART among young adults in a randomized controlled trial.

Methods: An exploratory qualitative design with a phenomenological approach at 2 study sites was used. A total of 17 purposively selected young adults with HIV infection who had used the mHealth tool CFLU from 2 clinics were included. In total, 11 in-depth interviews and 1 focus group discussion were conducted to examine the following topics: experience with the CFLU tool (benefits and challenges), components of the tool, the efficiency of the system (level of comfort, ease, or difficulty in using the system), how CFLU resolved adherence challenges, and suggestions to improve CFLU. Participants belonged to 4 categories of interest: young adults on ART for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission, young adults switching to or on the second-line ART, positive partners in an HIV-discordant relationship, and young adults initiating the first-line ART. All young adults had 12 months of daily experience using the tool. Data were analyzed using NVivo version 11 software (QSR International Limited) based on a thematic approach.

Results: The CFLU mHealth tool was perceived as an acceptable intervention; young adults reported improvement in medication adherence, strengthened clinician-patient relationships, and increased health knowledge from health tips. Appointment reminders and symptom reporting were singled out as beneficial and helped to address the problems of forgetfulness and stigma-related issues. HIV-related stigma was reported by a few young people. Participants requested extra support for scaling up CFLU to
make it more youth friendly. Improving the tool to reduce technical issues, including network outages and a period of software failure, was suggested. They suggested that in addition to digital solutions, other support, including the promotion of peer support meetings and the establishment of a designated space and staff members for youth, was also important.

Conclusions: This mHealth tool was an acceptable and feasible strategy for improving ART adherence and retention among young adults in resource-limited settings.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02953080; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02953080

(Objective)
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Introduction

Background

In 2018, of the 3.9 million young people living with HIV globally, 80% were in Sub-Saharan Africa [1]. The 2017 Uganda Bureau of Statistics report indicated that more than half of Uganda’s population (55%) is aged under 18 years [2]. The burden of HIV among youth in Uganda is high. In Uganda, HIV prevalence triples from those aged 15-19 years (1.1%) to those aged 20-24 years (3.3%), suggesting that new infections remain an issue in this age group [3]. Among young adults (aged 15-24 years), there is a disparity in HIV prevalence by sex. HIV prevalence is almost 4 times higher among women than men aged 15-19 years and 20-24 years [4].

HIV care and treatment services in the region should adapt to adequately meet the antiretroviral therapy (ART) demand to suppress the viral load to undetectable levels and improve health outcomes. Suboptimal ART adherence over time predicts virological failure, development of drug resistance, and death [5–8]. Adolescents and young adults have poorer rates of adherence to ART and retention in care than older adults [9]. Young adults are less likely to adhere to ART medication if they have psychosocial issues such as stigma, previously experienced side effects with the treatment, and lack of access to treatment [10–12].

In 2018, 50% of the population (24.7 million) were phone subscribers (fixed or cellular) [13]. Compared with adults (40%), young adults (66%) are more likely to use mobile phone SMS text messaging [13]. Mobile phones have become a common accessory for most young people in Uganda. Self-reported quantitative survey data collected in 2008–2009 from 1503 secondary school students in Mbarara, Uganda, suggest that 27% currently had mobile phones and about half (51%) of all students and 61% of those who owned a mobile phone believed that they would access an SMS text messaging–based HIV prevention program [14]. One study assessed the patterns and dynamics of mobile phone use among an ART cohort in rural Uganda, ascertained its feasibility for improving clinic attendance, and found that mobile phones have the potential for use in resource-constrained settings to improve the clinical management of people living with HIV [15]. Texting-based and other mobile health (mHealth) interventions could be particularly suitable for young adults who, irrespective of their socioeconomic status, are typically competent users of mobile phones and text messages. Globally, mHealth interventions have resulted in improvements in treatment adherence for patients with asthma, diabetes, and tuberculosis [16].

Objectives

Various mHealth studies have shown improved virological outcomes [17,18], lower risk of nonadherence [19–21], and good retention in care before ART initiation [15]. However, there is little specific evidence on the effect of mHealth on young adults living with HIV. We used qualitative methods to describe the acceptability of using Call for Life Uganda (CFLU, an adherence support tool offering interactive voice response [IVR] or SMS) to promote adherence to ART for young adults in a randomized controlled trial (RCT).

Methods

Study Design

We implemented an exploratory qualitative design using a phenomenological approach to describe the acceptability and feasibility of a mobile phone support tool to promote adherence to ART among young adults. The study was nested within an open-label RCT titled Improving Outcomes in HIV Patients Using Mobile Phone–Based Interactive Software Support. The technology evaluated in this study is connect for life (CfL), a MOTECH-based, open-source platform developed by the Grameen Foundation and the University of Southern Maine. It was supported by Janssen, the Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson and Johnson, and was released under the terms of MOTECH’s open-source license agreement. We adapted CfL for use among people living with HIV and named the system CFLU. CFLU allows a computer to interact with patients using voice and tone input via keypad (IVR) or by SMS, with the choice made by the patient. All content was developed in English and 2 additional local languages, following the Uganda HIV treatment guidelines. People living with HIV in the control arm received standard of care comprising face-to-face facility appointments, no remote adherence or appointment reminders, and no remote symptom reporting. In the intervention arm, the people living with HIV received standard of care and daily adherence IVR call or SMS text messaging at the preplanned times of taking ART. In the intervention arm, the people living with HIV also received a dedicated call offering a health education message (a health tip) weekly. Patients received IVR calls or SMS text message appointment reminders on or before the scheduled appointment date. The CFLU platform allows patients to report symptoms at the end of the scheduled call, or at any time, through a toll-free line. Multimedia Appendix 1

https://mhealth.jmir.org/2021/6/e17418
illustrates the call flow from the CFLU tool to the end user. Participants chose the preferred languages, time, and frequency of receiving calls.

In this qualitative study, we used in-depth interviews (IDIs) and 1 focus group discussion (FGD) to gain insights into individual and community perspectives about the CFLU tool. The purpose of the FGD in this study was to stimulate group conversations on specific themes, to assess the differences and similarities in perceptions, values, norms, and preferences among young adults. We explored only the normative and topics that were not considered sensitive in the FGD [22].

**Study Participants and Selection**

Participants were enrolled from 2 HIV clinics acting as study sites for the CFLU RCT (the Infectious Diseases Institute clinic at Mulago National Referral Hospital, Kampala, and Kasangati Health Centre facility level IV, a periurban government health facility). Purposive sampling of young adults with HIV infection aged 18-25 years was carried out from these 2 clinics.

The total number of young adults was 161. We undertook purposive purposive sampling within this group to recruit them for this study. Inclusion criteria were registration in the CFLU RCT, had access to a mobile phone, had the ability to use basic mobile phone functions such as making and receiving calls, and willingness to comply with scheduled visits. Patients who understood 1 of the 2 local languages or English were eligible for the study. Young adults who were critically ill; those aged under 18 years; and those whose clinical condition interfered with the appropriate use of their mobile phone, such as deafness or severe cognitive impairment, were excluded from the study. The young adults could also belong to other groups of interest enrolled in the study, including pregnant women receiving ART for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission, those switching to or on second-line ART, those initiating first-line ART, and positive partners in a discordant relationship.

**Data Collection**

We made telephone calls to potential young adults to brief them about the study and invite them for the study. We negotiated an appropriate time, place, and day for the interview with the IDI and FGD participants and booked secure private locations to conduct interviews at the Infectious Diseases Institute clinic and Kasangati, as appropriate. Clinic study staff, a counselor with social science expertise and Good Clinical Practice, and a postdoctoral scholar trained in FGD note taking collected the data. The FGD had a range of 6-12 participants. The clinic study staff contacted potential participants by phone, described the study, and extended an invitation to participate. We conducted 2 interviews in English and 10 in the local language (Luganda) at the Infectious Diseases Institute and Kasangati in private locations (IDIs) and community centers (FGDs). Before data collection, we translated the topic guides from English into Luganda, the main language spoken in the catchment area of the study clinics. Each IDI and FGD lasted for approximately 1 hour and were audio recorded and complemented by written notes. We examined the following topics in IDIs and FGD: (1) experience with the CFLU tool (likes and dislikes), (2) components of the tool (health tips, pill and appointment reminders, and symptom reporting), (3) efficiency and willingness to pay for the system (level of comfort, ease, or difficulty in using the system), (4) how CFLU resolved adherence challenges, and (5) suggestions to improve CFLU. All young adults had 12 months of daily experience using the tool. Young adults were recruited and interviewed until no new themes emerged, and saturation was reached when there was repetition of the previously mentioned themes.

**Data Analysis and Interpretation**

All audio recordings from IDIs and FGDs were transcribed verbatim after translation by independent professionals. The research team read the typed transcripts several times and filled in the gaps by listening to the audio recordings. Data were managed and analyzed using NVivo version 11 (QSR International Limited). Each independent transcript was read and reread by a senior social scientist for emergent themes and recurrent ideas and then aggregated into themes. Codes were assigned to relevant segments of the text; similar codes were aggregated to form themes, which were then used to address the research questions and develop coherent narratives. The team developed an explicit codebook describing each category and theme. In the next step, the team sorted the quotes based on themes. The team then examined the degree to which these themes were distributed across gender, age group, and social target group. After team members read the interview transcripts, data were coded into meaning units and major themes were developed. Quotations and key phrases are highlighted in the findings.

**Ethical and Regulatory Approval**

The CFLU RCT was approved by the Makerere University School of Public Health Higher Degrees Research and Ethics Committee (Number 378) and the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology (Number Health Sciences 3005) and was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02953080). All the study procedures, compensation, benefits, potential risk of participation, and voluntary and confidential nature of participation were discussed. Written informed consent was obtained from all respondents before enrollment in the qualitative study. For young adults with low literacy, we used a thumbprint in the presence of a witness.

**Results**

**Overview**

A total of 82 young adults who used the CFLU tool were given a telephone call, inviting them for FGD or IDIs (Multimedia Appendix 2). Of the 82 young adults, 37 were found ineligible as their age limit was slightly more than that required for young adults (26-28 years) and 28 could not make it for the discussion because of various reasons such as busy schedules and phones not available owing to poor network. A total of 21 young adults agreed to participate, 17 on the intervention arm and 4 on the control arm (analyzed separately and not included here (Multimedia Appendix 2).

A total of 11 IDIs and 1 FGD with those on the intervention arm were conducted. A total of 6 young adults were from the Infectious Diseases Clinic, whereas 11 were from Kasangati...
Health Center IV. The age range of young adults was 18-25 years; the majority were females, and more than 81% (17/21) of them were in a steady sexual relationship. Most young adults had a formal education, with only 14% (3/21) having reached the tertiary level (Table 1).

The results covered 4 major themes related to CFLU. These were attitudes toward CFLU, components of CFLU, barriers to and challenges of CFLU, and suggestions or recommendations from youth to improve CFLU. The following sections organize the results into 3 levels: personal and household factors, facility or service delivery factors, and community factors (Table 2).

Table 1. Demographics of participants who took part in the qualitative study (N=21).a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population demographics</th>
<th>Participants, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-19</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>18 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a relationship</td>
<td>17 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education and primary education</td>
<td>15 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary education</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing information</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFour young adults were excluded because they had no experience with the tool.
Table 2. Frequency of each theme for young adults on CFLU\(^a\) (N=21).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme and categories</th>
<th>Patients, n (%)</th>
<th>Number of responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive perceptions toward the CFLU(^a) tool</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFLU system perceived as an adherence supporter</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of HIV stigma–related challenges</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased psychosocial support</td>
<td>10 (48)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthened clinician-patient relationship</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The kind tone of voice of the system</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidentiality and privacy</td>
<td>9 (43)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of system use</td>
<td>7 (33)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Components of the CFLU tool</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic visit call reminders</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms reporting</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health tips call</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily pill reminder call</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Barriers to and challenges of the CFLU tool</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical issues with the system</td>
<td>11 (52)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor access to mobile phones</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of HIV-related stigma</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suggestions to improve the CFLU tool</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolving adherence challenges</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggesting additional health tips</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing adolescent peer support</td>
<td>7 (33)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling up CFLU</td>
<td>8 (38)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitizing about CFLU and HIV</td>
<td>9 (43)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making CFLU appealing</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting the designated space for CFLU</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting the designated staff for CFLU</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolving the stigma</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combining the CFLU appointment with routine clinic appointment</td>
<td>31 (4)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other suggestions</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)CFLU: Call for Life Uganda.

Positive Perceptions Toward CFLU

**Personal and Household Related**

**CFLU System Perceived as an Adherence Supporter**

Most young adults from both sites, but mainly from Kasangati, perceived the CFLU system as an aid to adherence through its pill reminders, especially for youth who had trouble taking their medication and who forgot to take their medication because of busy work and school schedules.

> It gives me strength, when I had just started my treatment I was very scared. So, I didn’t know...how to take my medicine....The pill was very large...but the way the answering machine speaks, it gives me courage to really take my pills. [FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention, Kasangati]

CFLU comes in as a treatment supporter to remind one to take medication; it is like a parent asking, “Hey, did you swallow your medication?” It is a voice message but sounds like a person who is aware of one’s HIV status.

**Resolving Forgetfulness**

Both male and female youth across different groups admitted to poor adherence to ART in the past and believed that CFLU would resolve most of the barriers to adherence, such as forgetfulness. The reminder call was deemed critical when a young adult slept off or during a busy school or work schedule:
I used to forget to take pills on time. But the doctor cares so much and calls to remind me to take my medication...majority miss taking drugs but each time a call comes through, it reminds you, most youth get tired mentally; they forget. [IDI, 18 years, male, intervention, Kasangati]

CFLU is good because it helps me take my pills on time, whenever I am very tired and fatigued: I sleep off before the time for taking pills... when my phone rings, I wake up immediately, and straight away I take my drugs. [FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention Kasangati]

There was a perception among most young adults from both sites that the tool improved their health through viral load suppression. Most youth on CFLU said that pill reminders helped them take drugs properly, daily, and on time, which led to viral suppression compared with when they were not on the system:

It’s helpful to improve pill adherence & keeping appointments [that] will cause improvement of health, if the viral load is reducing then the opportunistic infections are reducing. [IDI, female, 24 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

Management of HIV Stigma–Related Challenges

Most young adults, mainly from Infectious Diseases Institute clinic, stated that CFLU resolved the fear of stigma through its health tips that continuously educated them about how to overcome stigma and emphasized the importance of disclosure to avoid stigma and the importance of adherence to ART. Adherence to ART was easier in the context of young adults on CFLU who had not disclosed their HIV status:

Call for life does continuous education about overcoming stigma. It advises and teaches us to overcome stigma which encourages us in our HIV situation, we (youth) cease to be fearful. [IDI, 24 years, intervention, Mulago]

We follow positive living health tips, they tell us “you don’t have to worry about your status when you are HIV positive” you know we are always worried, some of us have stigma. They just teach us to stay and live positive, eat a balance diet. [FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]

The fact that we are not supposed to talk back after receiving the call, sometimes when we are in public places, we do not have to worry that someone will hear what you are saying you just listen and keep following the prompts and others will not know anything. It works for me personally. [FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]

Increased Psychosocial Support

Most young adults, mainly from Kasangati, expressed that they received psychosocial support from the tool, especially in those starting ART. Emotionally, the youth on CFLU felt that they were not alone. Through interaction with the loving voice that instructed them on how to take treatment, they were able to obtain coping support and gain hope for the future. The counseling provided during CFLU reduced high-risk behavior and promoted their own psychological well-being:

There is a way you do not lose hope when you are HIV infected on CFLU....Personally, I have liked it because it encourages, counsels and one does not lose hope. [IDI, female, 22 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]

Before I had multiple sexual partners but now ever since I was taught about risk reduction through health tips, I realized that I was wasting my life then I stopped.... [IDI, female, 23 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

Facility or Service Delivery Factors

Strengthened the Clinician-Patient Relationship

The young adults, mainly from IDI, expressed that the tool improved the relationship between health care workers and patients, making the patients feel cared for. The youth said that there was a good relationship and interaction between patients and CFLU doctors. Paying attention to detail, politeness, care, and treatment, as well as offering transport refund by doctors enhanced proper treatment adherence that would lead to health improvement for youth:

There is that element of a good relationship. You see the moment you get used to someone, you try to open up about your challenges; there is that bridging of the gap, that closeness that is created between the two parties. [IDI, male, 24 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

The Kind Tone of Voice of the System

Most participants from both sites perceived that the tone of voice and the wording used through the system was kind and conveyed friendliness, trust, and care by the health providers. They looked forward to the call that reminded them to take their drugs properly on time:

It’s so soothing and comforting and it makes you feel good. The man says, hallo my beloved, by this time you should have taken your medicine, for sure, you really feel loved and cared for. I really like that voice system. [FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention, Kasangati]

The one speaking speaks in a calm and thankful manner, says “beloved thank you for listening,” and the voice is good. [IDI, female, 23 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

Most youth liked CFLU because it taught them how to swallow medicine on time and how to live positively through the routine health tips.

Community Factors

Confidentiality and Privacy

Most participants from both sites, but mainly from Kasangati, liked confidentiality and privacy through the use of the individualized secret pin code. Young adults were taught how
to use the system, especially how to enter their secret pin number, and they found it easy to use:

\begin{quote}
I was taught, when they call, I have to enter my pin number. Then that is when they will speak. If you don’t put in the pin it won’t talk. [IDI, female, 23 years, intervention arm, Mulago]
\end{quote}

Reducing the volume of the phone as they listened to voice communication maintained their HIV status privacy, as one participant said:

\begin{quote}
I reduce the volume of my phone, even if someone notices me answering the call, they can’t know what I’m up to, and they may even ask, eh, you have received a call, how come you are not talking? [IDI, female, 19 years, intervention arm, Mulago]
\end{quote}

Ease of Use for the System

The majority of young adults from both sites found the system easy to use and attributed this to the training or orientation they had received. The system also allows convenient settings for young adults, including individualized pill reminders and time to receive health tips:

\begin{quote}
They asked me to give them a time that is convenient for me and I choose 9:05 o’clock, and when that time comes, the phone rings to remind me to swallow my medicine. [IDI, female, 19 years, intervention arm, Mulago]
\end{quote}

Components of CFLU

Beneficial Clinic Visit Call Reminders

All young adults described CFLU as a call system that emphasized mainly pill reminders, health information tips, clinic appointment reminders, and symptom self-reporting, and they were all useful as far as adherence support was concerned.

Most young adults, but mainly from IDI, said that CFLU enhanced keeping routine clinic appointments that promoted ART adherence. Clinic appointment reminders were considered beneficial for those who misplaced cards or forgot appointments. Clinic visit reminders enabled young adults to plan for payment for transport:

\begin{quote}
When in a faraway place, so when you get a reminder 2 days before the appointment, you plan for transport. [FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]
\end{quote}

Appointment reminders are going to be helpful because I have ever missed an appointment, by the time I checked the card the time had passed, so it’s helpful if am reminded. [IDI, male, 23 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

Value of Symptom Reporting

Through symptom reporting, young adults from both sites, but mainly from IDI, were able to seek treatment and medical advice for themselves or their child. Young adults reported symptoms such as headache, diarrhea, fever, chest pain, abdominal pain, cough, and constipation:

\begin{quote}
I saw its advantage when I fell sick...CFLU is responsible, when you report your symptom such as cough or fever. You get better or advice and you are told to seek medical attention if the symptom worsens.... [FGD, female, young adults, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]
\end{quote}

I had a running stomach one time and a fever...Then I pressed the option for one with a symptom. The doctor called me and told me to come to the clinic the next day and they diagnosed me. [IDI, female, 19 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

However, delayed responses from health care workers were a problem for most young adults after self-reporting a symptom. By the time the doctor called back, the symptoms often had disappeared. They recommended that the doctor should call back within 20-30 minutes:

\begin{quote}
I had a headache, I reported in the night and the doctor called back in the morning but when she called I had swallowed some pain killers. [FGD, female, 18-25 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]
\end{quote}

Use of Health Tips Call

Access to information through health tips increased patient knowledge in young adults from both sites, but mainly IDI. The most frequent health tips selected were positive living, HIV, nutrition, avoidance of risky sexual behaviors such as having multiple partners, alcohol and drug abuse, breastfeeding options, and prevention of mother-to-child transmission. Few young adults reported the fear of stigma and discrimination that was addressed in the health tips messaging:

\begin{quote}
Initially, at home, it was worse; I suffered low self-esteem due to HIV, as a result, I used to keep everything to myself because I did not want my family members to see me take HIV medication and point fingers at me. I feel I am now a free person after listening to the health tips on avoiding stigma. Call for life reminds me to take my drugs without any body noticing. [IDI, male, 19 years, intervention arm, Mulago]
\end{quote}

The belief that antiretroviral therapy (ART) cause undesirable side effects that may affect drug adherence was reported by most youth at IDI. Through health tips, young people received basic ART education:

\begin{quote}
When you have just started drugs let’s say within a month ...one may get dizziness and begin noticing changes..., when they educate us before taking drugs they tell us, expect side effects. You may expect weird dreams, dizziness, that’s why they say you have to choose your appropriate time. [IDI, female, 22 years, intervention arm, Mulago]
\end{quote}

Majority of young adults attributed good health outcomes to these health tips. For example, one young woman who recently delivered an HIV-negative baby said:

\begin{quote}
If you take drugs properly without missing, you reduce the chances of infecting the baby. I personally gave... [FGD, female, young adults, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]
\end{quote}
birth to a negative baby; I took drugs from here. [IDI, female, 22 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]

However, few young adults felt there could be more variation in the health tips offered or that the tips were not relevant to them:

They should not give the same health tips every day, they should ask one, if you want to listen to tips about relationships, you press 1, if you want about pregnancy, then you press that option. [IDI, female, 22 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

Majority of young adults requested more diverse and additional health information. Positive female partners in discordant relationships requested for more health information about healthy relationships, disclosure in sero-discordancy, and conception in sero-discordant relationships. Textbox 1 summarizes the different requests.
Textbox 1. Health tips requested by young adults to be added to the Call for Life Uganda system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health tips and illustrative quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV discordancy and relationship advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Let call for life explain to us more about Prophylactic use of ARVS in a discordant relationship. If one is in a discordant relationship and has been on ARVs for a while, when viral load is fine, the doctors still insist on condom use and you are like for sure! For how long?” (Focus group discussion [FGD], female, 18-24 years, intervention, Kasangati)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female condom use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “I want CFL to add tips on how to use female condoms...I totally failed to use them.” (FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Actually, talk about the relationships. I think C4L can come in and educate when to have a partner and how to identify and choose a rightful partner.” (in-depth interview [IDI], male, 24 years, intervention arm, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overcoming the stigma and discrimination (how to disclose the HIV status)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Teach us how to manage stigma. I have seen some people...who insult the positive ones. They keep saying so and so is sick and many more words...teach us how to respond to other people in case they stigmatize us.” (IDI, female, 19 years, intervention arm, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual maturation (how to manage body odor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Young people these days have bad odours, so call for life can come in and sensitize on how to ensure good body hygiene. Teach us how to manage and prevent that foul smell.” (IDI, male, 24 years, intervention, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanation on viral load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Personally, I take a while to know about my viral load. They can tell us whether it is high or low and its implications.” (IDI, male, 24 years, intervention arm, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangers of alcohol use and drug abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Advise youth not to take alcohol and drugs. There are some who use cigarettes and marijuana, there is need to teach them avoidance of harmful habits like alcohol especially when one is on drugs” (IDI, female, 24 years, intervention arm, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Teach us when and how to swallow the drugs. If there is any side effect, explain how to manage them. I think that education can be helpful.” (IDI, male, 24 years, intervention arm, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic antiretroviral therapy (ART) education and emphasis on ART adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Re-emphasize ART adherence...if you don’t swallow your pills you will get such and such problems or if you don’t swallow your drugs on time, you will get such and such problem.” (IDI, female, 23 years, intervention arm, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How to overcome low self-esteem, self-pity, and stress management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “They should teach me how I should conduct myself in public. I have that problem of low self-esteem.” (IDI, female, 19 years, intervention arm, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income generation activities or value of vocational skills (art and crafts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Teach us value of income generation activities, teach us vocational skills such as Art and crafts, and Candle making to earn a living.” (FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention, Kasangati)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy and breastfeeding options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Pregnant women need antenatal reminders through call for life system. Just the way routine appointment reminders are done by system.” (IDI, female, 22 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “They should teach us about family planning...how it works some of us who want to access family planning. We were told they have to first check our blood to determine the appropriate method...personally I was on FP but I got an unintended pregnancy.” (IDI, female, 22 years, intervention arm, Mulago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbal treatment (risks and benefits)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Add tips like, you don’t have to use herbal remedies educates me about the risks and benefits of herbs that I was ignorant about.” (IDI, female, 23 years, intervention arm, Mulago)

- Good nutrition
- “You can decide that today I want good nutrition tips and they tell you the food categories that will improve the CD4.” (IDI, male, 19 years, intervention, Kasangati)

Daily Pill Reminder Call
Youth, mainly from Kasangati, found that the twice daily remainder call that came through at an agreed specific time was found to be useful in promoting adherence:

*What I love the most is the pill reminders because before I joined, I used to take when it’s already past the time, but now I take my drugs on time. That’s what I like most about it.* [IDI, female, 22 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]

Barriers to and Challenges of the CFLU System

Technical Issues With the System
IDIs and FGD elicited challenges related to the tool. According to most young adults, these were mainly the temporary technical problems that they faced during an upgrade to a newer version. This led to interruptions in calls and the system calling beyond the time scheduled.

Youth from both sites, mainly those from Infectious Diseases Institute Clinic, reported blocked pin codes, the failure of the system to complete outbound health tips, and poor pin recognition (nonresponse after entering a pin code). Most youth reported that the irregularities or inconsistencies of the system were frustrating:

...it was recently messed up a bit. I had stopped picking up the phone calls because every time the call comes through and I put in the pin number, it goes off. [FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

Poor Access to Mobile Phones
Lost phone problems and battery issues made it difficult for some young people to access CFLU:

*There was a time when my phone was stolen which required me to buy another replacement. I was off air for one month. I found it a problem because the system was helpful...* [IDI, male, 19 years, intervention arm, Mulago]

Fear of the HIV-Related Stigma
There was a shared perspective from IDIs and FGD young adults from both sites about the fear of stigma and discrimination associated with HIV. The perception that HIV is a fatal disease associated with promiscuity led to low self-esteem and poor adherence among youth:

*Us youths...are shy...proud and boastful with a lot of pride. We don’t want others to know we are positive. If they receive call and accidentally insert the pin code...if accidentally the phone is in loud it can be shameful, one can stop medication...that leads to opportunistic infections.* [IDI, male, 19 years, intervention, Kasangati]

Youth fear to be stigmatized. For instance, I had a friend but once she found out about my status, she stopped sharing food with me on the same plate, drinking from the same cup, because she did not want to catch HIV. [IDI, 24 years, female, intervention, Mulago]

Majority of young adults from the interviews feared being seen taking medicine or answering or responding to a CFLU call because it would be associated with HIV. Few youth feared to be found by their peers or neighbors during their routine clinic visits. Moreover, a few young adults complained of noisy pill tins because they attracted attention. They also worried about their friends spreading rumors about their HIV status:

*For me I had a bad chance, the people I found were very ill, I asked for Wednesday, that’s the day, I found that lady who spread rumours. I felt small I was not comfortable even at my work place it still affects me.* [FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention, Kasangati]

*People pin point at us; the youth, so we fear to take pills. The people may be in the surroundings be it at work or at home, someone may come and rub it your face that you are HIV+. It has happened to me before and I stopped taking my pills.* [IDI, 24 years, female, intervention arm, Mulago]

Suggestions and Recommendations From Youth to Improve CFLU

Promote Peer Support Meeting
Although there was a positive response to the tool, most young people provided suggestions to improve CFLU. They requested extra support to scale up the CFLU system, such as promotion of peer support meetings among youth with HIV infection on CFLU. This would help them break stigma trends by sharing experiences and attaining peer mentorship. Majority of youth said that peer support meetings would promote youth’s economic capacity to become financially independent. This could be led by empowering them to start an income generation activity as a way of addressing some of the adherence challenges:

*Teach us vocational skills like arts and crafts, through peer support meetings we could be given vocational skills of which we can learn to make products for sale to earn a living...CFLU could help and train us who can learn different skills...for transport to hospital...* [IDI, 20 years, female, intervention, Mulago]
and self-care. [IDI, 22 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]

**Community Sensitization About HIV and CFLU**

Young adults, mainly from Kasangati, suggested community sensitization about HIV to create awareness. They said extensive community sensitization about HIV reduced the stigma associated with HIV, which could subsequently make it easier for youth to adhere perfectly to their drugs:

...get a day and invite all people whether positive or not to retest for HIV then enrol them on call for life.

[IDI, female, 22 years, intervention arm, Kasangati]

**Establish Youth-Friendly HIV Services and Scale Up CFLU**

Youth requested for additional health tips, setting up of a designated space and staff for CFLU youth, resolving technical issues, and supporting youth to overcome stigma and discrimination, as summarized in Textbox 2 and Table 3.
**Textbox 2. Suggestions by young adults to improve the use of the Call for Life Uganda (CFLU) system.**

**Suggestions and illustrative quotes**

- **Encourage young people to maintain the same phone line**
  - “Many young adults change their phone lines and fail to inform the health providers about it. Tell them stick to one line or in case they change, they should inform the health providers about the new phone lines so that they don’t miss the call.” (Focus group discussion [FGD], female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)

- **Resolve technical errors**
  - “In order to maintain the standard, ensure the call for life staff resolve the technical issues about the system. Staff should ensure the daily calls come through and call at the agreed time. For instance, I take my pills at 10pm but they call me 30 minutes later daily.” (In-depth interview [IDI], female, 24 years, intervention arm, Mulago)

- **Make CFLU appealing to young people and explain the benefits**
  - “Us young people need a TV and games at the clinic waiting areas to occupy us when we arrive as we wait for the call for life doctors to welcome us, health educate us and treat us.” (FGD, female, young adults, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)

- **Scale up CFLU to all adults and youth in other facilities**
  - “First, enrol all the adults and young people on call for life system so that they can benefit from it...when they use the system it will help remind them to take their daily medicine on time.” (IDI, male, 19 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)

- **Create champions of CFLU to influence other youth to adopt CFLU**
  - “Organise a day for young people on call for life...to share experiences with fellow youth who are not experienced on call for life system, us on the system are the champions. We can learn from each other about adherence, avoidance of self-pity and benefits of ART...Another thing it is encouraging for us young people when we associate with fellow peers, we can influence youths to join the system. (IDI, female, 19 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)

- **Establish a designated space and day for CFLU**
  - “We need a designated space where we can wait. It is a big challenge for us when we come here. We do not have a call for life premise and usually we met the wrong doctors when we come here. You hear young people say, there is a doctor who called me; I don’t know how to locate the doctor.” (FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)

- **Establish a designated staff to handle young adults enrolled on CFLU at Kasangati Health facility.**
  - “The call for life staff people should let us know the focal person to talk to when we come here...It’s by luck to find the right doctor and room to go to...we should get a permanent call for life space, so that we know that once we come we go right away to that place or room, instead of wasting time looking around.” (FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)

- **Sensitize all patients about the CFLU system and do it as a team—doctors, nurses, and patients. Make announcements on television and radio**
  - “You have to work as a team, doctors, nurses, counselors, tell the patients about the benefits of the system. If you sensitise more people on TV or radio, very many people will come up and join the system. It’s just about creating awareness regarding how the system works and its related benefits.” (IDI, 24 years, intervention arm, Mulago)

- **Support young people to overcome the stigma**
  - “Teach us (young people) how to overcome stigma and respond to people who point fingers at us.” (FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)

- **Combine research study appointments with routine general HIV clinic so that patients do not come twice**
  - “Suggest that doctors Combine study appointments with general clinic so that patients do not come twice. The return appointment for call for life system should be recorded in the system and in the general file...When one comes for call for life clinic visit...prior to return appointment, they should supply drugs once for all to avoid confusion.” (FGD, female, 18-24 years, intervention arm, Kasangati)
Table 3. Suggestions and adherence mitigation strategies from young adults through the Call for Life Uganda (CFLU) system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject and factors hindering ART(^a) adherence</th>
<th>Strategies proposed through CFLU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal barrier</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forgetfulness secondary to:</td>
<td>Mitigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Busy work schedule</td>
<td>Daily pill reminder function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School schedules</td>
<td>Daily pill reminder function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports activities</td>
<td>Daily pill reminder function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dancing and alcohol</td>
<td>Daily pill reminder function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychosocial</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondisclosure of serostatus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stigma (fear to be seen taking pills and raising suspicion from people)</td>
<td>Health tips call; psychosocial support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental issues (low self-esteem as a result of having HIV)</td>
<td>Health tips on Psychosocial support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pride or irresponsibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misconception about HIV results (go off drugs when they improve and the viral load is undetected)</td>
<td>Counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of psychosocial support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pill related</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-related side effects, for example, dizziness and big size of the pill</td>
<td>Symptom reporting and management through the CFLU system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound or noise by pill hitting the pill bottles</td>
<td>Repacking of pills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socioeconomic status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missed clinic appointments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missed clinic appointments because of lack of transport</td>
<td>Joining community drug distribution points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)ART: antiretroviral therapy.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In this study, we sought to describe the acceptability of a mobile phone support tool to promote adherence to ART in young adults in an RCT. Understanding the acceptability of a mobile phone support tool to promote adherence to ART in young adults was important to enhance adherence intervention strategies. Four themes emerged from interviews with young adults, including the positive attitude toward CFLU, which included improvement of medication adherence, management of problems of forgetfulness, management of stigma-related issues, and psychosocial support. Negative attitudes toward CFLU were reported under barriers to and challenges of the CFLU theme, including technical issues, poor access to mobile phones, fear of stigma, and financial constraints. One theme was about the components of CFLU and suggestions and recommendations from youth to improve CFLU. This study reveals that the CFLU system supports adherence, which is a critical challenge for youth on ART. The most common barriers to ART adherence mentioned by the young adults in this study included forgetfulness, nondisclosure of serostatus, ART-related side effects, stigma, and pill burden. Forgetfulness is a major factor previously pointed out in other mHealth studies as a barrier to ART adherence [23]. Majority of young adults in this study said that CFLU resolved most of the adherence challenges such as forgetfulness, missed pills and clinic visits, and fear of stigma.

Fear of stigma and discrimination associated with HIV was reported as a challenge to CFLU, which is similar to previous mHealth studies [24]. Health tips emphasized the importance of disclosure to avoid stigma that would affect drug adherence. Patients suggested the desire for CFLU to continue educating youth about HIV and how to overcome stigma through continuous health tips.

Results revealed that CFLU resolved most of the barriers to adherence, such as forgetfulness. A major barrier to ART adherence was also tied closely with daily routine, as reported in some other study [25]. There is a need to make CFLU appealing to the youth so that it can be scaled up to eradicate barriers to ART initiation and adherence, such as fear of disclosing HIV status to partners, drug-related factors (side effects and the big size of the tablet), and HIV stigma [26].

This is in agreement with mHealth interventions that were first deployed in noncommunicable diseases and later used in infectious diseases [27]. Evidence reviews suggest that mHealth interventions delivered in low-income and middle-income countries can be effective in improving health outcomes for people living with chronic diseases [28]. A systematic review of mHealth interventions for monitoring chronic disease by Watkins et al [29] found articles on the monitoring of...
hypertension, stroke, and people living with HIV from Kenya, Pakistan, Honduras, Mexico, and South Africa. The 6 components of mHealth found in all 4 interventions included reminders, patient observation of health state, motivational education or advice, provision of support communication, targeted actions, and praise and encouragement [29]. Communicating with young adults about health issues and adherence and explaining the possible side effects are important in enhancing their informed decision making.

Concerns about CFLU reported ranged from technical issues, poor access to mobile phones, fear of stigma, and financial constraints. The disadvantages of CFLU reported by young adults were similar to those reported in other studies that reported the cost and convenience of SMS text message, given that its low cost is well suited for supporting the treatment of conditions managed over extended periods compared with interactive voice calls [23]. In the WelTel Kenya 1 trial, SMS intervention was considered inexpensive, and each SMS costed approximately US $0.05, equivalent to US $20 per 100 patients per month, and follow-up voice calls averaged US $3.75 per nurse per month [19]; however, SMS can only be applicable in a population with some literacy [30]. One study in Zanzibar showed that behavioral change was significantly higher with pushed SMS enrollees than with voice messaging enrollees [31]; this was not assessed in our study. However, of the 300 young adults enrolled in the CFLU mHealth intervention arm, only 2 opted for SMS text messaging and were not among the young adults.

Lost phone problems and battery issues made it difficult for some young people to access CFLU, and few young adults preferred messages that they could retrieve after restoring the phone battery. Similar studies report that message delivery rates are far more successful among SMSs than among voice enrollees. Pushing voice messages to clients with personal phones is a complex process that requires the client to answer the phone at the time of delivery, whereas SMS messages can be delivered at any time, including when the phone is turned off. This is the major reason that SMS messages pushed to personal phones have a higher delivery success rate than voice messages [32]. The disadvantage of SMS text messaging reported by other studies includes breaches of confidentiality, and the majority of the patients have a fear of revealing their HIV serostatus, which makes voice calls superior to SMSs unless messages are coded.

The use of the individualized secret pin code enhanced the confidentiality and privacy of most young adults on CFLU. However, blocked pin codes and poor pin recognition of the system hindered the use of the system for most young adults. In a similar study in western Uganda, pin-protected messages reduced the odds of clinic return, as the use of pins was a challenge; hence, they often missed the message alerting them for clinic appointments [30]. Our adolescents advised empowering youth to overcome stigma and setting up a customer help desk at the health facility to resolve technical issues related to CFLU. Other studies suggest the involvement of end users during the development of mHealth apps.

Limitations
At the time of these interviews (September 2017), the CFLU system was experiencing technical issues following a newer software release; therefore, the calls kept dropping for both outbound and inbound calls. This was resolved first by contacting the software developer and consultant, halting calls, and temporarily turning off the system until the causes for technical issues were investigated and resolved. Automated alerts were developed to notify the principal investigator, the study coordinator, and the information system team on system errors.

During the same period, there was a national deadline by the Uganda Communication Commission to register all phone SIM cards, and some phones were cut off during this period. Young adults bought newly registered phone lines for CFLU.

Conclusions
The CFLU system can support adherence, despite some of the temporary technical issues. Enhancing adherence to ART using the CFLU system addresses the challenges reported by young people. The CFLU system is user friendly, acceptable, and a feasible strategy to monitor and improve adherence of patients in resource-limited settings.
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Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in a rapid shift from center-based rehabilitation to telerehabilitation for chronic respiratory disease and lung transplantation due to infection control precautions. Clinical experience with this delivery model on a large scale has not been described.

Objective: The aim of this study is to describe usage and satisfaction of providers and lung transplant (LTx) candidates and recipients and functional outcomes following the broad implementation of telerehabilitation with remote patient monitoring during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: This study was a program evaluation of providers, LTx candidates, and early LTx recipients who used a web-based, remote monitoring app for at least four weeks between March 16 and September 1, 2020, to participate in telerehabilitation. Within-subjects analysis was performed for physical activity, Self-efficacy For Exercise (SEE) scale score, aerobic and resistance exercise volumes, 6-minute walk test results, and Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) results.

Results: In total, 78 LTx candidates and 33 recipients were included (57 [51%] males, mean age 58 [SD 12] years, 58 [52%] with interstitial lung disease, 34 [31%] with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease). A total of 50 (64%) LTx candidates and 17 (51%) LTx recipients entered ≥10 prescribed exercise sessions into the app during the study time frame. In addition, 35/42 (83%) candidates agreed the app helped prepare them for surgery and 18/21 (85%) recipients found the app helpful in their self-recovery. The strongest barrier perceived by physiotherapists delivering the telerehabilitation was patient access to home exercise and monitoring equipment. Between the time of app registration and ≥4 weeks on the waiting list, 26 LTx candidates used a treadmill, with sessions increasing in mean duration (from 16 to 22 minutes, \( P = .002 \)) but not speed (from 1.7 to 1.75 mph, \( P = .31 \)). Quadriceps weight (pounds) for leg extension did not change (median 3.5, IQR 2.4-5 versus median 4.3, IQR 3-5; \( P = .08; n=37 \)). On the Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity questionnaire (RAPA), 57% of LTx candidates scored as active, which improved to 87% (\( P = .02; n=23 \)). There was a decrease in pretransplant 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) from 346 (SD 84) meters to 307 (SD 85) meters (\( P = .002; n=45 \)) and no change in the SPPB result (12 [IQR 9.5-12] versus 12 [IQR 10-12]; \( P = .90; n=42 \)). A total of 9 LTx recipients used a treadmill that increased in speed (from 1.9 to 2.7 mph; \( P = .003 \)) between hospital discharge and three months posttransplant. Quadriceps weight increased (3 [IQR 0-3] pounds versus 5 [IQR 3.8-6.5] pounds; \( P < .001; n=15 \)). At three months posttransplant, 76% of LTx recipients scored as active (n=17), with a high total SEE score of 74 (SD 11; n=12). In addition, three months posttransplant, 6MWD was 62% (SD 18%) predicted (n=8).

Conclusions: We were able to provide telerehabilitation despite challenges around exercise equipment. This early experience will inform the development of a robust and equitable telerehabilitation model beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.
Introduction

Lung transplant (Ltx) candidates exhibit reduced aerobic exercise capacity, low physical activity levels, and muscle weakness, which diminishes further in the early posttransplant period [1-3]. Reduced aerobic capacity is a strong predictor of mortality pretransplant and is associated with worse posttransplant health outcomes, including longer length of hospital stay and decreased survival [4-6]. Conversely, greater levels of physical activity, muscle strength, and exercise capacity after Ltx are associated with reduced development of cardiovascular comorbidities and better longer-term health outcomes such as quality of life [1,2,7]. Pretransplant exercise training is therefore recommended to optimize the benefits of transplantation [8].

Our center’s mandatory pretransplant and posttransplant rehabilitation has historically been center-based, requiring patients to travel or relocate to participate. This added to treatment burden, and our Ltx program was exploring ways to support patients closer to home. The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in a rapid adoption of virtual care including telerehabilitation [9-11]. Telerehabilitation is defined as the delivery of rehabilitation at a distance using a variety of information communication technologies. Models include real-time videoconferencing with telementoring of individuals or groups, and asynchronous web-, app-, or phone-based models with remote monitoring of biometrics [12].

To date, little is known about the feasibility, efficacy, and effectiveness of telerehabilitation in Ltx candidates and recipients. A web-based platform delivering 8 weeks of telerehabilitation early following hospitalization was safe and associated with increased functional exercise capacity, balance, lower limb strength, and physical activity in 4 Ltx recipients [13]. The same research group reported that an 8-week home rehabilitation program in one Ltx candidate improved functional outcomes [14]. A pilot study of home rehabilitation to decrease physical frailty in 13 Ltx candidates using a mobile app in addition to weekly phone check-ins was found to be safe and feasible [15]. These studies included small groups of patients, and consequently there is a lack of clinical experience with this delivery model on a large scale and especially during a pandemic. Clinical trials comparing telerehabilitation and center-based rehabilitation have included an initial in-person exercise assessment to determine a safe and effective exercise prescription; however, this has not always been feasible during the COVID-19 pandemic [16].

In 2019, our Ltx program planned a 2-year, interdisciplinary clinical project to procure and trial a commercially available, customizable, web-based, remote care platform (the Vivify Health app) to support patients in preparing for and recovering from Ltx closer to home through monitoring, telerehabilitation, and communication by the surgical, medical, and rehabilitation teams. Features of this platform include an online patient education library, prompts and reminders, satisfaction and symptom surveys, biometric data monitoring, alerts triggered on the clinician’s dashboard view if entered biometrics are outside of set parameters or if a question is answered with a clinically relevant response, personalized care plans including a daily individualized exercise pathway that is filled out at the time of exercise, and asynchronous in-app texting and embedded secure videoconferencing between patients and the health care team. The project rolled out in January 2020 targeting 10 patients; however, in response to the pandemic in mid-March 2020, all Ltx candidates and Ltx recipients less than three months posttransplant were approached to register for the app to enable mobile asynchronous communication with the health care team, virtual visits with clinicians, telerehabilitation, and remote monitoring to limit on-site hospital visits.

The aim of this program evaluation was to examine patient and provider satisfaction, usage of the exercise pathway, and exercise and physical functional outcomes of a large group of Ltx candidates and recipients who used the app during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in order to inform ongoing improvements to a telerehabilitation model.

Methods

A program evaluation of Ltx candidates and recipients who used the remote monitoring app platform for telerehabilitation for at least four weeks between March 16 and September 1, 2020, was completed. In this study, four weeks was used as a cutoff to allow time for adjustments to exertional oxygen prescription and to observe the anticipated benefits of rehabilitation. Usage was tracked in the app (number of exercise sessions patients entered, number of times educational resources were accessed, and number of video visits performed by physiotherapists). Satisfaction with the app was measured through a survey that was sent to patients two weeks after registration pre-Ltx and three months post-Ltx and was not specific to rehabilitation (Multimedia Appendix 1). A survey was also sent in September 2020 to physiotherapists delivering telerehabilitation (Multimedia Appendix 2). Home exercise and monitoring equipment access was collected in a survey administered in the app at the time of app registration. Exertional oxygen use was tracked in the app through patient self-report. Physical activity and self-efficacy for exercise were measured using the Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity (RAPA) questionnaire [17] and Self-Efficacy for Exercise (SEE) scale [18], which were sent to Ltx candidates in the app at baseline after app registration and four weeks later, and to Ltx recipients three months posttransplant. Exercise volumes were tracked in the app and monitored by physiotherapists. Exercise data for Ltx candidates were taken at baseline (first week after app registration) and repeated at the last rehabilitation entry in the app during the study time frame. Exercise data for Ltx recipients were taken at baseline (one week after hospital admission).
discharge) and at three months posttransplant. Between March 16 and June 30, 2020, there were very few on-site visits for functional and exertional oxygen assessment due to pandemic restrictions, and all exercise interventions were performed remotely at home. Between July 1 and August 31, 2020, there was an increase in on-site functional and oxygen assessments, and 1-3 initial sessions for exercise instruction, but the majority of rehabilitation was app-guided unsupervised home-based exercise with patient self-monitoring and manual entry into the app. Most external pulmonary rehabilitation programs and communal gyms were closed. An aerobic and resistance exercise program was individually tailored to the patients’ exertional oxygen requirements, disease stability, functional capacity, and access to home exercise equipment. The exercises were prescribed at least three times a week for the duration of the wait time pretransplant and between hospital discharge and three months posttransplant. Telehealth support was provided by the physiotherapist by phone, video, asynchronous texting, and remote monitoring. Declines in exercise capacity, progression of symptoms, and increased exertional oxygen requirements were regularly discussed with the transplant medical team. Standard functional outcomes included the 6-minute walk test (6MWT) and the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), which were performed at the start of rehabilitation after listing for lung transplant and every three months on the waiting list pretransplant. The 6MWT was also repeated three months posttransplant when on-site visits were permitted. Due to the urgency of transitioning all patients to telerehabilitation during the pandemic to avoid on-site visits for patient and staff safety—and a consequent lack of a control group undergoing traditional in-person rehabilitation during the same time period—we report functional data of our center-based rehabilitation program from research studies conducted between 2010 and 2019.

Exclusion criteria for app registration included no access to a supported model of smartphone or tablet, no phone data alongside unreliable or limited Wi-Fi, and patients unable or unwilling to use the technology, although patients could choose to have a proxy caregiver register and access the app for them. Patients did not use the app for rehabilitation while they were admitted to hospital pretransplant or posttransplant.

The app is a browser-based solution provided through a third-party vendor that is licensed by Health Canada, has a Class I Medical Device Establishment License, and stores all data on remote servers in Canada. Safety measures included assessment from our institutional privacy and security departments, regular penetration testing and data encryption, clinician access though security assertion markup language integration, and patient access through two-factor authentication. All use within the app is auditable and time-stamped. Patients provided written consent on an end-user license agreement to allow the data they entered into the app to be used for clinical care and quality improvement. This program evaluation was reviewed and approved by our institution’s Quality Improvement Review Committee.

For statistical analysis, normality of the data was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Continuous variables were summarized as mean (SD) or median (IQR). Categorical variables were summarized as counts and percentages. Paired t-tests and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were performed to examine the change in exercise volumes, exertional oxygen flow rates, and functional outcomes. A McNemar test was used to examine the change in the number of LTx candidates who reported being active on the RAPA. A P value of <.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS University Edition (SAS Institute Inc).

Results

Overview

There were 108 total participants including 78 LTx candidates (including 3 who were also LTx recipients during the study period) and 30 participants who only used the app as LTx recipients. Between March 16 and August 1, 2020, 84 people were active on the LTx wait list, of which 78 used the app for at least four weeks by September 1, 2020. Reasons for exclusion included no smartphone or tablet (n=1), no cellular data alongside limited Wi-Fi (n=2), declined (n=1), inpatient (n=1), and underwent LTx in less than four weeks (n=1; Figure 1). Between February 1 and July 15, 2020, there were 45 LTx recipients who could have used the app for at least four weeks between hospital discharge and three months posttransplant during the study period, of which 33 were included. Reasons for exclusion included no smartphone or tablet (n=2), no cellular data alongside limited Wi-Fi (n=1), declined (n=3), died early posttransplant (n=1), and inpatient (n=5; Figure 1). Patient demographics are reported in Tables 1 and 2.
Figure 1. Flow and attrition of lung transplant candidates and recipients. *This time frame would permit at least 4 weeks of rehabilitation data to be entered into the app between March 16, 2020, and September 1, 2020, accounting for 2 weeks of hospitalization posttransplant.

Table 1. Demographics of lung transplant candidates undergoing telerehabilitation (n=78)*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td>59 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male sex, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>37 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial lung disease</td>
<td>39 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>27 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-transplant</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forced expiratory volume in one second (% predicted), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictive disease</td>
<td>52 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive disease</td>
<td>26 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular disease</td>
<td>71 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forced vital capacity (% predicted), mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictive disease</td>
<td>51 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive disease</td>
<td>60 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular disease</td>
<td>85 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six-minute walk distance at transplant assessment (meters), mean (SD)</td>
<td>323 (109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six-minute walk distance at transplant assessment (% predicted) [19], mean (SD)</td>
<td>48 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Physical Performance Battery at transplant assessment, median (IQR)</td>
<td>11 (9-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of inspired oxygen used during exercise [20], median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.32-0.53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aUsed remote monitoring app for at least four weeks while listed for transplant between March 16, 2020, and September 1, 2020.*
Table 2. Demographics of lung transplant recipients undergoing telerehabilitation (n=33)^a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>20 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>58 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial lung disease</td>
<td>7 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>5 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of transplant, n (%)</td>
<td>30 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double lung transplant</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single lung transplant</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double lung transplant-liver</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six-minute walk distance at 3 months (meters)^b, n=8, mean (SD)</td>
<td>422 (122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six-minute walk distance at 3 months (% predicted), n=8, mean (SD)</td>
<td>62 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced expiratory volume in one second at 3 months (liters)^b, n=11, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced expiratory volume in one second at 3 months (% predicted), n=11, mean (SD)</td>
<td>73 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive care unit, length of stay (days), median (IQR)</td>
<td>4 (2-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital length of stay (days), median (IQR)</td>
<td>21 (15-32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Used remote monitoring app for at least four weeks between hospital discharge and three months posttransplant between March 16, 2020, and September 1, 2020. Note: three patients were both transplant candidates and recipients during this period.

^b Due to the COVID-19 restrictions, in-person 6-minute walk tests and pulmonary function tests performed at three months posttransplant were not routinely conducted between March 2020 and July 2020.

Satisfaction and Usage

Pretransplant, 42 LTx candidates and 21 LTx recipients completed the satisfaction survey administered in the app. Overall, 37 of 42 LTx candidates (88%) liked the virtual care features (videoconferencing, texting, education library, symptom surveys) and 35 of 42 (83%) agreed that it helped to prepare them for surgery (Multimedia Appendix 1). Posttransplant, 18 of 21 LTx recipients (85%) reported texting was helpful in self-recovery at home, which was higher than the number agreeing that videoconferencing, daily symptom check-ins, and educational health tips supported self-recovery (Multimedia Appendix 1). There was high usage by both patients and providers, including 365 video visits performed by the three program physiotherapists and widely accessed rehabilitation education materials in the app by patients (Multimedia Appendix 3). A total of 50 of 78 (64%) LTx candidates and 17 of 33 (51%) LTx recipients entered ≥10 prescribed exercise sessions into the app during the study time frame.

Physiotherapists reported overall satisfaction using the app to maintain communication, provide virtual support, and remotely monitor patients during the pandemic (n=3). There was agreement that the app supported ongoing access to patient educational resources, patient communication, and monitoring trends in exercise and biometric responses. Physiotherapists did not feel fully confident conducting remote clinical assessments using the app or identifying an early clinical change, and preferred to bring patients on site for functional or exertional oxygen reassessment when possible. The strongest barrier (rated as a 4 [barrier] or 5 [very strong barrier]) reported by all three physiotherapists was patient access to equipment and monitoring devices (Multimedia Appendix 2). An additional area listed as a barrier or very strong barrier by at least two of the physiotherapists included a lack of integration with Bluetooth devices for biometrics such as pulse oximeters, activity trackers, and exercise equipment. Physiotherapists preferred texting over traditional phone calls. Virtual visits were scheduled every 1-2 weeks, with 70% video to 30% phone visits.

Equipment Access

At the time of app registration, 48 of 78 (62%) LTx candidates completed a home equipment survey that was sent in the app, and 43 of 48 (90%) reported owning oximeters, although these were not necessarily medical grade (Multimedia Appendix 4). There was inconsistent access to exercise equipment at home. In addition, 17 of 48 (35%) reported being home alone during the day when exercising. Home equipment may have been purchased or obtained after this one-time survey was administered, and caregivers may have shifted to working from home, thus reducing the number of people who were alone during the day. Finally, 22 of 111 (20%) LTx candidates and recipients had hardware or software issues that impacted the app’s videoconferencing feature, but they were still able to text and enter biometric data.
Exertional Oxygen Usage and Titration During Home Rehabilitation

An oxygen titration range was provided in the electronic medical record upon transplant listing after consultation between the respirologist and physiotherapist; patients are typically ordered to maintain an oxygen saturation of $\geq 88\%$ with exercise. LTx candidates reported the following in the app: oxygen flow rate, oxygen delivery system, and oxygen source used. They also specified if they exercised on continuous versus pulsed oxygen delivery. Oxygen saturation, heart rate, and symptoms of dyspnea and fatigue were recorded after aerobic exercise. Oxygen flow ranged from room air to 20 liters per minute. A total of 58 of 78 (74\%) LTx candidates increased their oxygen flow rate for aerobic exercise over time from 5 (IQR 3-10) liters per minute to 5.5 (IQR 3.5-15) liters per minute ($P<.001$).

Oxygen devices prescribed for home use included regular- and high-flow nasal cannulae, Oxymizer, Venturi mask, OxyMask, and non-rebreather mask. Upon the advice of physiotherapists, 13 of 66 (20\%) LTx candidates reported changing their oxygen delivery device for aerobic exercise.

Surveys on Physical Activity and Exercise Self-efficacy

At the time of app registration, 13 of 23 (57\%) LTx candidates self-reported as being active (eg, participating in 30 or more minutes of moderate intensity exercise 5 or more days per week) using the RAPA questionnaire, which improved to 20 of 23 (87\%) after four weeks ($P=.02$; Figure 2). In addition, 37 of 78 (47\%) LTx candidates completed the SEE at baseline and after four weeks. Depending on the individual, confidence for exercising regularly when alone increased (n=17, 46\%), decreased (n=5, 14\%), or remained the same (n=15, 40\%). At three months posttransplant, 13 of 17 (76\%) LTx recipients scored as active on the RAPA (Figure 3) and 12 of 33 (36\%) completed the SEE, with a total mean SEE score of 74 (SD 11), indicating a high level of confidence that they could exercise under different conditions.

Figure 2. Number of lung transplant candidates who self-reported as being physically active on the Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity scale at baseline after app registration and after four weeks of home exercise (n=23). Scored as participating in 30 minutes or more of moderate intensity activity for 5 or more days per week.

Figure 3. Categories of physical activity using the Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity scale three months posttransplant (n=17). Active: 30 minutes or more of moderate intensity physical activity 5 or more days per week. Underactive: some moderate physical activity but not every week or less than 30 minutes per day. Sedentary: rarely or never do any physical activities.
Exercise Volumes During Home Rehabilitation

Overall, 48 of 78 (62%) LTx candidates reported participating in non-treadmill walking exercise, which was recorded as steps (range 230-4847 steps), distance (18 meters to 3.2 kilometers), or time (3-80 minutes). In addition, 26 of 78 (33%) LTx candidates used a treadmill (range 0.5-2.8 mph) for 5-45 minutes. Over time, walking increased in duration (from 16 to 22 minutes; P=.002) but not speed (from 1.7 to 1.75 mph; P=.31; Table 3). A total of 37 of 78 (47%) LTx candidates had access to leg weights, and quadriceps weight used for leg extension did not change (3.5 [IQR 2.4-5] versus 4.3 [IQR 3-5] pounds; P=.08). Traditionally, 1 set of 10 repetitions was prescribed for center-based rehabilitation, with progression in the amount of weight lifted. During home rehabilitation, progression of weight was in part limited by access to equipment, as only 37 of 48 (75%) LTx candidates reported access to weights, which included primarily dumbbells for upper extremity training (Multimedia Appendix 4). Without the ability to increase the weight, increased sets were recommended to increase exercise training volume and 60 of 78 (77%) LTx candidates and 24 of 33 (73%) LTx recipients reported 2 or 3 sets of 10 repetitions for resistance training. In addition, 9 of 33 (27%) LTx recipients had access to a treadmill and increased treadmill speed (from 1.9 to 2.7 mph; P=.003) over a mean of 26 minutes (Table 3). Non-treadmill walking was recorded as time (range 11-90 minutes) and steps (1902-15,903 steps). Quadriceps weight increased (3 [IQR 0-3] versus 5 [IQR 3.8-6.5] pounds; P<.001; n=15).

Table 3. Changes to function and exercise training pretransplant and posttransplant after four or more weeks of home rehabilitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After ≥4 weeks of rehabilitation</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lung transplant candidates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six-minute walk distance (meters), n=45, mean (SD)</td>
<td>346 (84)</td>
<td>307 (85)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Short Physical Performance Battery, n=42, median (IQR)</td>
<td>12 (9.5-12)</td>
<td>12 (10-12)</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treadmill speed (mph), n=26, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.6)</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treadmill duration (minutes), n=26, mean (SD)</td>
<td>16 (9)</td>
<td>22 (10)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps weight (pounds), n=37a, median (IQR)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4-5)</td>
<td>4.3 (3-5)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lung transplant recipients</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treadmill speed (mph), n=9, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treadmill duration (minutes), n=9, mean (SD)</td>
<td>19 (8)</td>
<td>26 (8)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps weight (pounds), n=15a, median (IQR)</td>
<td>3 (0-3)</td>
<td>5 (3.8-6.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aTraditionally, 1 set of 10 repetitions is prescribed during our center-based rehabilitation, with progression in the amount of weight lifted. During home rehabilitation, progression of weight was limited by access to equipment and therefore increased sets were recommended.

Functional Outcomes

There was a decrease in pretransplant 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) from a mean of 346 (SD 84) meters to mean 307 (SD 85) meters (P=.002; n=45), and no change in the SPPB (12 [IQR 9.5-12] versus 12 [IQR 10-12]; P=.90; n=42). The 6MWT was performed in-person at the center, and the SPPB was performed either in-person or remotely with video supervision. Due to COVID-19 restrictions with on-site assessments, only 8 LTx recipients underwent a 6MWT three months posttransplant (5 men, mean 59 [SD 8] years, 75% interstitial lung disease). The mean 6MWD was 422 (SD 122) meters or 62% (SD 18%) predicted.

Functional outcomes were lower compared to recent data of our center-based program where 6MWD was preserved during short-term prehabilitation and the SPPB improved pretransplant volumes were lower with telerehabilitation than what has been seen historically in our center-based rehabilitation program (ie, increased treadmill speed and quadriceps weight used for resistance training) [4,19,20]. Multiple factors may have contributed to this. First, we had just initiated a small clinical project and had not received feedback from patients or providers to inform the co-design of optimal platform content, format, or delivery. In addition, clinical workflows, staffing, and technology support had not been mapped out for large scale implementation, and providers had to pivot their care model quickly due to COVID-19 restrictions with little to no experience in virtual care. Second, a pandemic environment increases barriers to exercise participation. Communal gym access was closed, and there was an increased demand and therefore long wait time to purchase home exercise equipment. People with chronic lung disease and those who are immunosuppressed were advised to socially distance and avoid leaving their homes for nonessential purposes [22]. In a study of 327 patients with cystic fibrosis (25% LTx recipients), 45% reported engaging in less physical activity during a lockdown between March 16 to May 16, 2020 [23]. Third, the lack of on-site exercise assessments and limited...
evidence for remote functional assessments [16] may have led to an underprescription of exercise intensity. The ability to assess the degree of oxygen desaturation with medical-grade oximetry and closely supervise LTx candidates on-site was reduced, and extra vigilance with safety may have reduced the recommended exercise intensity, duration, and volume, and thus the efficacy of prehabilitation. Quantifying walking speed and progression for patients who did not have a treadmill and were walking inside their homes was more challenging. In our center-based program, LTx candidates could be switched to a longer cycling session and/or arm ergometry for aerobic training if they were not able to maintain adequate oxygenation on the treadmill or with hall-walking, and this was not always an option remotely.

A recent position statement from the Canadian Thoracic Society recommends caution when considering home or virtual pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with pulmonary hypertension. LTx candidates, and/or those with high oxygen requirements due to the limitations of home monitoring and lack of data around optimal exercise prescription in an unsupervised environment [10]. However, it is important that LTx candidates (who often have high oxygen requirements and include patients with pulmonary hypertension) participate in exercise to increase fitness for surgery, as listing for LTx did not stop during the pandemic. Although not formally tracked in the app, there were no serious adverse effects reported to the physiotherapy team or recorded in an incident report. As only a small number of LTx recipients underwent a 6MWT three months after transplant, it is not clear if LTx recipients reach the same functional benefit exercising at home versus a center-based program of supervised exercise three days per week from hospital discharge to three months posttransplant. Historically, LTx recipients achieve a 6MWD between 64%-76% predicted three months posttransplant [1,21].

Another concern around telerehabilitation is health equity [24], as not all patients were able to use the app if neither they nor a caregiver owned a compatible smartphone or tablet, and they did not have cellular data or reliable access to Wi-Fi. For security reasons, the app underwent regular Zoom updates and people who owned a phone/tablet with a lower-level operating system would experience connectivity difficulties for videoconferencing. Future work will include exploration of equipment libraries for devices (pulse oximeters, activity trackers), access to Wi-Fi or cellular data, and access to home exercise equipment. Remote patient monitoring, if applied thoughtfully and equitably, could allow patients to safely and effectively participate in rehabilitation remotely, thereby reducing some unnecessary travel to the transplant center. This can allow providers to better focus and prioritize in-person resources for patients who require them (eg, high and/or increasing exertional oxygen requirements, disease progression/exacerbation and symptom escalation, low and/or declining functional capacity, poor adherence and/or motivation for unsupervised home exercise), while continuing to closely monitor patients for issues and progress their exercise programs.

There are several limitations related to the design and context of this study. This was a program evaluation of a single center that broadly implemented telerehabilitation by necessity for infection control to limit on-site visits during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. Subsequently, this did not permit a comparison arm of patients who did not receive telerehabilitation during the same period. Although we compared results to a group of historical controls attending on-site rehabilitation, the pandemic environment presented unique challenges, and it is not clear to what extent our findings are a result of the telerehabilitation model or related to contextual challenges during the pandemic. Next steps to further increase the strength of the evidence base supporting telerehabilitation and remote patient monitoring in LTx candidates and recipients include studies comparing different models of care in a postpandemic environment.

Additional future directions include examining the efficacy of a hybrid rehabilitation model, validating remote functional assessments, ensuring that the development and delivery of a telerehabilitation model is grounded in health behavior change theories [25], further exploring patient perceptions of home-based exercise monitoring [26,27]; integrating automatic download of Bluetooth exercise equipment, serial oximetry, and activity trackers into a virtual clinical care platform [28,29]; and customizing remote monitoring to meet the unique needs of a heterogeneous LTx population. The use of telerehabilitation and remote monitoring to support physical activity beyond the early posttransplant period may mitigate the well-documented risks of developing or worsening cardiometabolic disease following LTx. Telerehabilitation may also be beneficial for other chronic lung diseases and other solid-organ transplant populations.

In conclusion, our program was able to deliver telerehabilitation to LTx candidates and recipients despite challenges around equipment access and reduced on-site functional assessment. This early experience will inform the development of a robust and equitable telerehabilitation model during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond.
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Abstract

Background: Sleep is essential for one’s health and quality of life. Wearable technologies that use motion and temperature sensors have made it possible to self-monitor sleep. Although there is a growing body of research on sleep monitoring using wearable devices for healthy young-to-middle-aged adults, few studies have focused on older adults, including those living with dementia.

Objective: This study aims to investigate the impact of age and dementia on sleep detection through movement and wrist temperature.

Methods: A total of 10 younger adults, 10 healthy older adults, and 8 older adults living with dementia (OAWD) were recruited. Each participant wore a Mi Band 2 (accelerometry-based sleep detection) and our custom-built wristband (actigraphy and wrist temperature) 24 hours a day for 2 weeks and was asked to keep a daily sleep journal. Sleep parameters detected by the Mi Band 2 were compared with sleep journals, and visual analysis of actigraphy and temperature data was performed.

Results: The absolute differences in sleep onset and offset between the sleep journals and Mi Band 2 were 39 (SD 51) minutes and 31 (SD 52) minutes for younger adults, 49 (SD 58) minutes and 33 (SD 58) minutes for older adults, and 253 (SD 104) minutes and 161 (SD 94) minutes for OAWD. The Mi Band 2 was unable to accurately detect sleep in 3 healthy older adults and all OAWDs. The average sleep and wake temperature difference of OAWD (1.26 °C, SD 0.82 °C) was significantly lower than that of healthy older adults (2.04 °C, SD 0.71 °C) and healthy younger adults (2.48 °C, SD 0.88 °C). Actigraphy data showed that older adults had more movement during sleep compared with younger adults and that this trend appears to increase for those with dementia.

Conclusions: The Mi Band 2 did not accurately detect sleep in older adults who had greater levels of nighttime movement. As more nighttime movement appears to be a phenomenon that increases in prevalence with age and even more so with dementia, further research needs to be conducted with a larger sample size and greater diversity of commercially available wearable devices to explore these trends more conclusively. All participants, including older adults and OAWD, had a distinct sleep and wake wrist temperature contrast, which suggests that wrist temperature could be leveraged to create more robust and broadly applicable sleep detection algorithms.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e26462) doi:10.2196/26462
KEYWORDS
sleep monitoring; wearables; accelerometer; wrist temperature; circadian rhythm; younger adults; older adults; dementia; mobile phone

Introduction
On average, we spend one-third of our lives asleep [1]. Quality and quantity of sleep regulate daytime behaviors and functions as well as significantly impact health and well-being. Sleep has been shown to affect day-to-day memory and concentration [2-4]. Lifetime sleep habits appear to correlate with one’s likelihood of having conditions, such as Alzheimer disease [5-7] and cardiovascular diseases [8,9]. Although the benefits of getting a good night’s rest have received extensive research, there is still much we do not know about the differences between how people sleep and what can be done to support better sleep.

Understanding sleep patterns is a complex undertaking, as sleep is impacted by conscious and subconscious control by the individual as well as environmental factors. Standard clinical sleep evaluation typically uses polysomnography (PSG), which is considered the gold standard in sleep studies [10]. PSG requires the person being assessed to sleep in a laboratory with devices attached to the body in single or infrequent sessions. Although the data collected by PSG can be used to diagnose many medical conditions, its intrusive, unnatural nature might not reflect people’s usual sleep quality and is not appropriate for long-term or frequent monitoring of sleep [11].

Actigraphy (ie, accelerometer sensors and gyroscopes) is being increasingly used to measure people’s sleep and activities by estimating related parameters, such as sleep onset and offset [12,13]. Many clinical studies have adopted actigraphy to measure circadian rhythm, which is a major factor in regulating people’s sleep and wake rhythms [14,15]. Driven by circadian rhythms, many biological processes, including core body temperature (CBT), have 24-hour diurnal variations. During sleep, people’s CBT drops about 1 °C [16]. Thermal regulation inside the human body causes wrist temperature to exhibit an opposite pattern to CBT [17]; wrist temperature increases before people fall asleep, remains at a relatively high level when people are asleep, and then drops in the morning when people wake up (Figure 1). CBT has been used extensively to study circadian rhythms; however, it usually requires invasive gut or rectal temperature measurements [18]. As an alternative, wrist temperature can be measured in daily life and is found to be more correlated with sleeping status than CBT [19]. Recent studies have provided increasing evidence that wrist temperature can be used for sleep monitoring [17,20-22].

Figure 1. Example wrist temperature over a 24-hour period for a healthy sleep pattern.

Low sleep quality and irregular sleep patterns become more prevalent with increasing age and dementia [23-26]. For example, poor nighttime sleep has been shown to be a key factor in older adults’ tendency to have more daytime sleepiness [27], which has been associated with changes in circadian rhythms in older adults [28]. Sleep can also be used as an indicator of...
comorbidities, such as sleep apnea and restless leg syndrome, both of which become more prevalent with increasing age [29,30]. Disrupted sleep patterns have been found to be even more prevalent in people with dementia [31]. Poor sleep has been found to be predictive of more severely impacted cognition in older adults, including people with dementia [32] and older women without dementia [33]. Therefore, the ability to monitor older adults’ sleep and circadian rhythms on an ongoing basis is increasingly being used to understand and support their sleep, which, in turn, supports health and well-being [34].

The proliferation of smart wearable technologies has contributed to the substantial growth in technology adoption among older adults; older adults are the most rapidly increasing group of users of new technologies [35,36]. One of the most commonly adopted technologies is smart wearable devices, which usually have built-in accelerometer and gyroscope sensors to detect people’s sleep (eg, Fitbit, Garmin, and Mi Band) [37-39]. Commercial smart wristbands have been designed to output several sleep parameters, including sleep onset, offset, duration, and wake after sleep onset, and some wristbands also give a sleep quality score. Sleep parameters can be synchronized to one’s smartphone via Bluetooth (and then to the cloud, should they wish) so that users can easily access their sleep data. Relative affordability and unobtrusiveness contribute to the growing popularity of commercial smart wristbands. In addition, smart wearable devices are being increasingly and widely used in health research [40-42]. For example, Gibson et al [43] evaluated the reliability of actigraphy to measure the sleep of people living with dementia.

Although most smart wristbands can provide sleep monitoring and analysis, they fail to monitor people’s sleep from an internal perspective; they cannot measure circadian rhythm directly. As discussed earlier, wrist temperature is a reflection of CBT, which is indicative of a person’s biological circadian rhythm. As such, wrist temperature could have value as an addition to accelerometer-based wearable sleep monitoring systems, which could then be used to support better understanding and management of sleep. To be successful, these systems should work for different stakeholder groups, including older adults and older adults living with dementia (OAWD). Therefore, this research was guided by the question, “How do sleep and wrist temperature patterns compare for younger adults and older adults, including OAWD?”

Methods

Participants

This study measured sleep patterns and wrist temperature patterns of 3 groups of participants: (1) healthy younger adults (aged 20-30 years), (2) healthy older adults (aged ≥65 years), and (3) OAWD. Inclusion criteria were any gender, any race, age in the targeted populations, and ability to understand English. An additional criterion for OAWD was early- to middle-stage dementia. Individuals diagnosed with severe sleep disorders were excluded from the study.

We recruited 10 participants for each group based on the convenience sampling method. Healthy adults were recruited through university-wide poster advertisements and word of mouth. Healthy older adults were recruited through phone calls and email invitations. OAWD participants were recruited from a local long-term care (LTC) residence. The staff at the LTC setting requested that they coordinate the initial identification of potential participants and support the recruitment process. Each potential participant was then contacted and introduced to the study by the researcher. Assent to continue participating in the study was obtained from each OAWD participant every time they were contacted by the researchers. This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Waterloo (ORE #31860 and ORE #40459).

Equipment

Each participant was asked to wear a commercially available smart wristband (Mi Band 2, XiaoMi; Figure 2) and a custom-built wristband developed by the authors (Figure 3) on their left arm for 14 days. The Mi Band 2 was chosen because of its popularity, low cost, long battery life, and accessibility of actigraphy data. The custom-built band consisted of a temperature sensor (iButton DS1922L, Maxim) that maintained contact with the anterior side of the wrist and a 3-axis accelerometer sensor (AX3, Axivity). Both sensors operated offline by storing data locally; data were extracted after the 2-week study period using USB adaptors. All participants were offered to keep the Mi Band 2 if they wished on completion of the study.
Study Protocol
The study protocol was the same for healthy younger adults and healthy older adults, with an altered OAWD protocol. Before the start of the study, all healthy participants were asked to complete 4 questionnaires: (1) a demographic form; (2) morningness-eveningness questionnaire, which is a measure of circadian rhythm type [44]; (3) Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), which is a measure of subjective sleep quality [45]; and (4) Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), which is a measure of daytime sleepiness [46]. Participants were then given the 2 wristbands; instructed on how to wear them; and asked to wear both on their left wrist for 14 days, except when showering or bathing. Participants were also asked to fill out a daily sleep journal that was adapted from a study by Monk et al [47] to capture sleep onset, offset, and subjective sleep quality.

As OAWD were not able to fill out the questionnaires, only the demographic form was completed and was done with the help of staff from their LTC residence. In addition, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [48] was conducted to approximate the level of cognition for each OAWD. After giving each OAWD the wristbands, their personal support workers (PSWs) were asked to help with getting wristbands off and on when they assisted OAWD shower or bathe as well as to routinely check that the person was not adversely affected by wearing the wristbands. In addition, PSWs were asked to observe participants’ sleep status and check off either awake or sleeping on a sheet every half an hour throughout the day and night as part of their routine in with the OAWD. Sleep status from this sheet was then used to generate an observed sleep journal.

Ground Truth of Sleep Parameters
Sleep onset and offset, the number of nighttime wake-ups, and sleep quality scores were obtained from the sleep journals and were used to help interpret actigraphy and temperature data. Although we thought it was unlikely that people could estimate
their sleep at a minute level, we considered sleep journals of younger adults and healthy older adults reliable at the half-hour interval level. Occasionally, participants would miss reporting sleep onset and offset. In these cases, the sleep onset and offset were manually identified from the data from the custom-built wristband AX3 actigraphy data.

For OAWD, the intention was to use the sleep status sheet filled out by PSWs to extract sleep parameters and use these as ground truths for sleep. However, at the end of the study, it was found that, on average, 40% of the sheet was filled (ie, the PSWs did not report sleep status of OAWD 60% of the time). Owing to the large amount of data missing from the OAWD sleep journals, we chose to manually identify sleep periods from the AX3 data and combined those identified sleep periods with PSW sheets to estimate sleep for all OAWD.

### Feature Extractions

#### Sleep Parameter Extraction

Sleep onset, sleep offset, and sleep duration from the sleep journals were compared with the Mi Band 2 for daily sleep monitoring; the mean values across the 14 days were calculated and are presented in Table 1. The absolute differences between the Mi Band 2 and journal parameters were used to indicate the agreement of sleep detection between Mi Band 2 and self-reported sleep.

#### Wrist Temperature Feature Extraction

As people generally have minimal movements during sleep, sleep periods can be manually identified by segments of raw AX3 data that have minimal variations. For one night’s sleep, multiple sleep periods could be identified if the person had multiple wake-ups. Once sleep periods were estimated, the sleep onset, offset, and duration were calculated for the longest least varied data period. If participants woke up during the night and remained awake for a long period (ie, more than 2 hours), this period was not counted as sleep duration. AX3-estimated sleep was combined with the partially completed observational sheet by PSWs to create a recreated sleep journal for OAWD.

#### Sleep Periods Identification of OAWD

As people generally have minimal movements during sleep, sleep periods can be manually identified by segments of raw AX3 data that have minimal variations. For one night’s sleep, multiple sleep periods could be identified if the person had multiple wake-ups. Once sleep periods were estimated, the sleep onset, offset, and duration were calculated for the longest least varied data period. If participants woke up during the night and remained awake for a long period (ie, more than 2 hours), this period was not counted as sleep duration. AX3-estimated sleep was combined with the partially completed observational sheet by PSWs to create a recreated sleep journal for OAWD.

#### Wrist Temperature Feature Extraction

As participants took the customized wristband off to shower, naps. On the basis of sleep onset and sleep offsets obtained from sleep journals, the mean temperatures were calculated for sleep time and wake time. The mean wake temperature was subtracted from the mean sleep temperature to calculate the mean sleep and wake temperature difference, which was used as a measure of the extent of temperature changes between the sleep and wake states in this study.

Interday stability (IS) and intraday variability (IV) were calculated to examine the regularity and rhythmicity of wrist temperature rhythms for each participant [49]. IS has been used to measure the stability of rhythms across several consecutive days, whereas IV reflects the fragmentation of the wrist temperature rhythm of every 24-hour period. IS and IV were calculated using Equations (1) and (2), respectively:

\[
IS = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| X_{i+1} - X_i \right|}{N-1}
\]

\[
IV = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| X_{i+1} - X_i \right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i}
\]

where \(N\) is the total number of wrist temperature data points, \(p\) is the number of wrist temperature data points per day (in this study, \(p=288\) as the iButton is sampled every 5 min), \(X_i\) is the hourly mean of wrist temperature, and \(X_i\) is the data point at time \(i\). IS and IV were calculated for each participant for the total study period.

#### Statistical Analysis

One-tailed \(t\) test was used to compare the sleep parameters and rhythm indices between different groups (young vs old and old vs OAWD). The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to assess correlations between different circadian rhythms and sleep parameters calculated; \(P<.05\) was considered to be significant.

#### Case Study Analysis

When analyzing the collected data, it was found that the sleep of some participants was not correctly reported by the Mi Band 2. For example, most PSWs reported that OAWD had 8 hours of sleep at night, but the Mi Band 2 detected as few as 40 minutes of sleep. The AX3 data from the custom-built band were inspected for the periods Mi Band 2 had classified as wake periods during the night as well as wrist temperature measurements for the same time. A healthy younger participant who had good sleep quality; a healthy older participant with poor sleep quality; and 2 OAWD who had poor, interrupted sleep were selected as case studies to illustrate this phenomenon and are presented later in this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleep参数</th>
<th>Younger adults</th>
<th>Older adults</th>
<th>Older adults living with dementia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset, mean (SD)</td>
<td>12:32 AM (53 min)</td>
<td>11:49 PM (61 min)</td>
<td>9:37 PM (105 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset, mean (SD)</td>
<td>7:55 AM (54 min)</td>
<td>7:31 AM (78 min)</td>
<td>7:05 AM (40 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (min), mean (SD)</td>
<td>442 (26)</td>
<td>462 (88)</td>
<td>562 (123)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1. Sleep parameters calculated from sleep journals (younger and older adults) and recreated sleep journals (older adults living with dementia).
**Results**

**Demographics and Sleep Patterns**

In total, we recruited 10 healthy younger adults, 10 healthy older adults, and 8 OAWD. A total of 7 OAWD were able to give their own consent and sign the consent form; for the 1 participant who could not self-consent, his power of attorney signed the consent form, and assent was obtained from the participant. Participants’ demographic data are presented in Table 2. The average MMSE score of the 8 OAWD participants was 20. Moreover, 7 OAWD were in the mild cognitive impairment category (ie, a score between 18 and 23), and 1 OAWD had a score of 16, which indicates severe cognitive impairment [50].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Participants’ demographics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, mean (SD)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Mental State Examination, mean (SD)c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index was not measured for the older adults living with dementia group as they cannot reliably complete this measure.
bTest was not administered as the test was not appropriate for the participant group.
cThe Mini-Mental State Examination of the healthy younger adult group and the healthy older adult group as they were cognitively intact.

The sleep parameters obtained from the sleep journals for all participants are presented in Table 1. Compared with older adult groups, healthy younger participants tended to sleep later and wake up later, with the shortest average sleep duration among the 3 groups. Between the 2 older adult groups, OAWD slept earlier and had a longer sleep duration. Although we did not require participants to record daytime naps, we asked them to report whether they had the habit of napping. Only 1 younger adult and 1 healthy older adult reported that they took regular noontime naps. All OAWD napped during the day, sometimes more than once. As the recreated sleep journals of OAWD only summarized nighttime sleep and did not capture daytime naps, the total sleep duration of OAWD might be longer than reported. Healthy older adults had better compliance in reporting and provided more detailed sleep journals (eg, a few participants consistently recorded their nap time during the day).

**Comparison Between Mi Band 2 and Sleep Journal**

The absolute differences in sleep parameters between the Mi Band 2 and sleep journals are summarized in Table 3, and significant differences were found in sleep duration between the younger adult group and the healthy older adult group. Between the 2 older adult groups, the absolute differences in sleep onset, offset, and duration of OAWD were significantly higher than those in the healthy older adult group. As shown in Table 4, of the 106 days of data measured from the 8 OAWD, for 28 of the days (28/106, 26% of days monitored), the Mi Band 2 did not detect any sleep, and we confirmed that all OAWDs were wearing the Mi Band 2 correctly throughout the study. Discrepancies between sleep parameters reported in the recreated sleep journal and detected by Mi Band 2 for OAWD were large, namely, sleep onsets detected by Mi Band 2 were often noticeably delayed (ie, on the order of hours), and the offset was earlier than what was reported. The average absolute difference in sleep duration between the Mi Band 2 data and the recreated sleep journal for OAWD was more than 6 hours. A discussion of this detection error is presented later in the Case Studies section.
Table 3. The absolute difference of sleep parameters between (recreated) sleep journals and the Mi Band 2 for each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleep parameters</th>
<th>Healthy younger adults</th>
<th>Healthy older adults</th>
<th>Older adults living with dementia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Onset</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (min), mean (SD)</td>
<td>39 (51)</td>
<td>49 (58)</td>
<td>253 (104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P</em> value</td>
<td><em>a</em></td>
<td><em>—</em></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Offset</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (min), mean (SD)</td>
<td>31 (52)</td>
<td>33 (58)</td>
<td>161 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P</em> value</td>
<td><em>—</em></td>
<td><em>—</em></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (min), mean (SD)</td>
<td>49 (59)</td>
<td>64 (77)</td>
<td>379 (163)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P</em> value</td>
<td><em>—</em></td>
<td><em>04</em></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_A no significance._

Table 4. Number of days with sleep reported by the Mi Band 2 for older adults living with dementia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Total days (n)</th>
<th>Valid days, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OAWD 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAWD 2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAWD 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAWD 4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAWD 5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAWD 6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAWD 7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAWD 8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>78 (74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_OAWD: older adults living with dementia._

**Wrist Temperature Rhythm Comparison**

The average wrist temperature data of the 3 groups are shown in Figure 4. Although all curves have higher daytime (awake) temperatures, the OAWD group has the flattest temperature curve with the lowest nighttime wrist temperature and the highest daytime wrist temperature compared with the other groups. Although the average nighttime wrist temperature was similar for healthy older and younger adults, older adults tended to have a higher daytime wrist temperature, causing a flatter average curve for healthy older adults compared with younger adults. The wrist temperature indices are presented in Table 5.
Figure 4. Average wrist temperature patterns for healthy younger adults, healthy older adults, and older adults living with dementia. Plots are expressed as the mean temperature (standard errors of the mean). OAWD: older adults living with dementia.

Table 5. Wrist temperature indices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Healthy younger adults (n=10)</th>
<th>Healthy older adults (n=10)</th>
<th>Older adults living with dementia (n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average wrist temperature parameters (°C), mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep temperature</td>
<td>34.98 (0.55)</td>
<td>34.97 (0.34)</td>
<td>34.66 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake temperature</td>
<td>32.49 (0.83)</td>
<td>32.94 (0.67)</td>
<td>33.40 (1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep and wake temperature difference</td>
<td>2.48 (0.88)</td>
<td>2.04 (0.70)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.82; P=.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosinor analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESOR (°C), mean (SD)</td>
<td>33.34 (0.61)</td>
<td>33.65 (0.46)</td>
<td>33.90 (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (°C), mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.72 (0.57)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.59; P=.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrophase (min), mean (SD)</td>
<td>246 (59)</td>
<td>183 (91; P=.04)</td>
<td>44 (145; P&lt;.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonparametric analyses (°C), mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interday stability</td>
<td>0.53 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.19; P=.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MESOR: midline-estimating statistic of rhythm.

Case Studies

Data from 4 participants are presented below as illustrative case studies. One of the case studies is of a younger healthy sleeper, and the other 3 cases are representative data from irregular sleepers, 1 of which is a healthy older adult and the other 2 are OAWD.

Case 1: Healthy Younger Adult With Regular Sleep

The healthy younger adult (YA1) was a 25-year-old man (PSQI=5 and ESS=3) who did not report any sleep disorders. One day of YA1 data, including wrist temperature, AX3 data, and sleep onset or offset detected by Mi Band 2, is shown in Figure 5. The sleep period and wake period can be distinguished using AX3 data, which shows that YA1 was mostly static with a relatively high and stable wrist temperature during sleep. The sleep onset and offset detected by the Mi Band 2 align well with changes in AX3 and wrist temperature data.
Case II: Healthy Older Adult With Irregular Sleep

The healthy older adult (OA1) was an 83-year-old man (PSQI=6 and ESS=7) who did not report any sleep disorders. OA1 was considered to be an irregular sleeper based on his data; one day of representative data is shown in Figure 6. Sleep onsets detected by the Mi Band 2 were, on average, 2 hours later than OA1’s self-reported sleep onset.

For the day represented in Figure 6, OA1 self-reported falling asleep at around 11:45 PM, whereas the Mi Band 2 reported that his sleep started at 2:43 AM. Significant movements were captured by AX3 between 12:00 AM and 2:30 AM; a magnified image, except for these data, is shown on the right side of Figure 6, where multiple peaks can be observed in all 3 axes. After 2:30 AM, the AX3 data became more static and similar to the AX3 data collected from regular sleepers. The movements between 12:00 AM and 2:30 AM were very periodic and occurred approximately every 30 seconds for most of the 2.5-hour time frame; during this time, the wrist temperature remained relatively high, and the participant reported being asleep. As it seems unlikely that these movements were made by the participant consciously and people cannot fake body temperature changes, the participant was likely asleep but experiencing irregular body movements.

Case III: OAWD1 With Parkinson Disease and Sleep Apnea

OAWD1 was a 68-year-old man (MMSE score=21, diagnosed with Parkinson disease and sleep apnea); 2 days of data are shown in Figure 7. Compared with OA1 (Figure 6), OAWD1’s data (both wrist temperature and AX3 data) have a more evident contrast between day and night. Even so, only parts of his sleep were detected by Mi Band 2. As shown in the left portion of Figure 7, there was little movement between 9:00 PM and 3:00 AM, and the wrist temperature was relatively high, but this time frame was not identified as sleep by Mi Band 2.
**Case IV: OAWD2 With Sleep Apnea and Insomnia**

OAWD2 was a 68-year-old woman (MMSE score=19) who was diagnosed with sleep apnea and insomnia and was an irregular sleeper. Before the start of the study, OAWD2’s PSW reported that she had very poor sleep (i.e., would wake up at night frequently and sometimes cannot fall asleep at all). During her 13 days in our study, the Mi Band 2 indicated no sleep for 11 days; PSWs confirmed that she wore the wristbands the entire time. Figure 8 shows 2 consecutive days of wrist temperature and AX3 data. The left portion of Figure 8 shows data where no sleep was detected by Mi Band 2, and the right portion shows a short period of sleep detected by Mi Band 2. Compared with data from OA1 in Figure 6, there are no obvious static periods, and the day and night contrast for movement is relatively indistinguishable. Although there are differences in daytime and nighttime wrist temperatures, these are more difficult to distinguish than in other participants.

A close-up of an excerpt of AX3 data for day 1 is shown in Figure 9, where 8 short episodes of static data are highlighted. Each highlighted episode lasted <30 minutes, and considerable movement was observed between episodes. These data indicate that the participant may have had some sleep, but if so, the sleep was in short, fragmented periods. As the participant was diagnosed with sleep apnea, this could partially account for frequent nighttime wake-ups.
Discussion

Principal Findings

In line with other recent studies [17,19], this study found that wrist temperature increased when people were asleep, even when their sleep patterns were irregular. As illustrated in the case studies presented earlier, wrist temperature appears to correspond with sleep status changes regardless of whether body movements occurred; wrist temperature increased when people were asleep and decreased when they woke up. This adds evidence that wrist temperature is correlated with circadian rhythms and associated changes in thermal regulation of the body during sleep. This appears to hold true for OAWD as well, whose circadian rhythms are impacted by both aging and dementia (and often other morbidities); their wrist temperature appears to reflect when they are asleep and awake. A larger sample size is needed to draw more definitive conclusions regarding the impact dementia may have on CBT and wrist temperature.

From the results presented in Table 5 and Figure 4, there seems to be a trend of increasing wake temperature and decreasing sleep and wake temperature difference as people age and people become more pronounced dementia in this study. It is not clear from our data whether and how much this increased discrepancy is due to dementia or other factors, such as increased average age of OAWD, greater prevalence of comorbidities, and/or how living in an (often busy) LTC environment may disrupt sleep. The less significant day versus night wrist temperature contrast suggests changes in the circadian rhythms of older adults and OAWD compared with younger adults. This finding is consistent with other studies (eg, [51]).

The IS values suggest that the 2 healthy groups had similar IS for their wrist temperature rhythm, and the dementia group had lower wrist temperature rhythm stability. The lower IV values of the older adult groups indicate that changes in wrist temperature rhythms tend to be less variable intraday as people get older, especially with people who have dementia. As IS reflects the stability and the IV reflects the fragmentation of one’s wrist temperature rhythm, the significantly lower IS and IV of OAWD could be associated with irregular sleep patterns and increased daytime sleepiness. Most OAWD in this study took frequent naps and experienced insomnia at night, which almost certainly increased the average daytime wrist temperature, lowered the average nighttime wrist temperature, and accounted for a greater amount of flux in nighttime wrist temperature. Rhythm indices, such as IS and IV, could be further explored with these and other populations to determine how they might be used to infer circadian rhythm health.

Some studies [30,52-54] have reported excessive body or wrist movements of OAWD during sleep. This research supports the hypothesis that advanced sleep monitoring systems should detect nighttime body movements. Although the exact cause of abnormal body movements by the older adults in this study is unknown, body movement during sleep seems to become increasingly common with age and was present in all our OAWD participants. A system that can detect involuntary movements could be valuable in the detection of previously unknown conditions (such as the case with our OA1 participant) and possibly support the diagnosis and management of conditions that impact sleep.

There are 2 noteworthy findings regarding sleep detection using the Mi Band 2. First, by comparing the results of the Mi Band 2 with AX3 data from the custom-built wristband and reported sleep from sleep journals, it appears that the Mi Band 2 does not accurately detect people’s sleep when they have pronounced or irregular body movements during sleep. Second, the Mi Band 2 sleep detection algorithm does not appear to work well if the user has fragmented sleep (ie, waking up multiple times during the night). For example, OAWD2 slept in short and sporadic
episodes; most of her sleep was undetected by Mi Band 2, which reported sleep for only 2 of her 13 recorded days. From the example data in Figure 9, we can observe that each sleep episode of OAWD2 was short and each wake-up accompanied body movements and that the Mi Band 2 did not detect any sleep. As the Mi Band 2 detects sleep based on accelerometry data, it is plausible that periodic body movements during sleep, such as those seen in the older adults presented in the case studies, may be the cause of the misclassification of these periods as awake instead of asleep. Moreover, the Mi Band 2 may be trained on data from people with 1 or 2 long nighttime sleeping periods than multiple short ones. The hypothesis that movements and short sleeping episodes cause the Mi Band to miss episodes of sleep is supported by the data from our other participants.

Although the sleep detection algorithms for most commercial smart wristbands are proprietary information, as they rely on accelerometers and gyroscopes, their sleep detection is based on movement. For a regular sleeper, frequent and substantial body movements at night usually indicate the person is awake, and fewer and infrequent movements indicate sleep. Although an activity-based rule for sleep detection may be robust for people who are mostly still when they sleep, this study shows that sleep detection based on activity is not accurate for some older adults, especially OAWD. As younger adults are the primary consumers of wearable wristbands, the Mi Band 2 sleep detection algorithms may have been trained predominantly on data from younger adults with healthy sleep patterns, thus biasing the algorithm to detect sleep for people who fit that profile. This aligns with other studies that have found that commercial wristbands performed poorly on populations with sleep disorders [55]. Therefore, other smart wristbands that track sleep based on movement alone may have similar performance as the Mi Band 2 for the same reasons; however, this was not investigated in this study; therefore, it remains a question for future research.

This research highlights the need for the older adult population to be included in the development of sleep detection algorithms, including the possibility of developing smarter algorithms that autonomously identify the type of sleeper a person is and self-adjust appropriately to that person’s sleep habits. This requires research into how to categorize what good sleep is for that particular person in a way that helps people, their care partners, and/or caregivers understand. This information could then be used to better manage sleep without missing information that may indicate a change in sleep or sleep patterns that are of possible concern. As wrist temperature appears to be highly correlated with sleep regardless of age or dementia, the inclusion of wrist temperature as a complementary sensor to movement-based ones could enable their strengths to be leveraged and fused to support more accurate sleep detection.

More accurate sleep detection for older adults using wearable systems could support better short-term and long-term monitoring of sleep. Through daily sleep tracking, irregular sleep quality and patterns can be recognized by machine learning algorithms and then be reported to older adults or their health care providers. Such monitoring systems are especially useful for OAWD because the incidence of poor sleep is quite high in this population, and self-reporting of sleep is often difficult or impossible. Wearable technologies for sleep tracking and sleep problem identification could help to better understand older adults’ sleep using objective measures, but this approach is only possible if the results are accurate. Accurate sleep data would enable the creation of tailored sleep management plans, enabling better sleep support for each individual, thus supporting better health outcomes and quality of life.

Limitations
This study had several limitations. First, only the Mi Band 2 was the only commercially available wearable device that was examined. As other commercially available smart wristbands collect other types of data (eg, PPG) and use different sleep detection algorithms, their performance will likely be different. These alternatives should be examined in healthy older adults and OAWD in future studies. Second, the sample size of this study was small. Future research with larger sample sizes is required to provide a deeper, comprehensive understanding that needs to support greater generalization of the results. Third, all our OAWD participants lived in the same LTC home. Data from other LTC homes as well as OAWD living in the community need to be investigated to determine how the environment plays a role in sleep detection and dementia. Finally, sleep onset and offset were estimated from sleep journals and/or actigraphy data. Using another method, such as computer vision, could estimate onset and offset more accurately as well as provide information about what was happening during sleep (eg, giving insight into repetitive movements).

Conclusions
This research adds evidence that wrist temperature can be used as an indicator of sleep status, including for OAWD and people with irregular sleep patterns. As illustrated by case studies from our data, suboptimal sleep detection performance by a commercial wristband was likely because of broken sleep patterns and body movements. As wearable technologies are increasingly being used by LTC homes and healthy older adults to track sleep and inform sleep management and support, this research suggests that caution should be used when interpreting sleep data when monitoring the sleep of older adults, particularly those living with dementia. This highlights the need for future research and development to create systems that better complement older adult populations. Future sleep monitoring wearable systems could consider adding a temperature sensor to capture the waist temperature as an extra indicator of sleep combined with motion-based data and machine learning algorithms of typical sleep patterns.
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Abstract

Background: Maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max) is one of the most predictive biometrics for cardiovascular health and overall mortality. However, VO2max is rarely measured in large-scale research studies or routine clinical care because of the high cost, participant burden, and requirement for specialized equipment and staff.

Objective: To overcome the limitations of clinical VO2max measurement, we aim to develop a digital VO2max estimation protocol that can be self-administered remotely using only the sensors within a smartphone. We also aim to validate this measure within a broadly representative population across a spectrum of smartphone devices.

Methods: Two smartphone-based VO2max estimation protocols were developed: a 12-minute run test (12-MRT) based on distance measured by GPS and a 3-minute step test (3-MST) based on heart rate recovery measured by a camera. In a 101-person cohort, balanced across age deciles and sex, participants completed a gold standard treadmill-based VO2max measurement, two silver standard clinical protocols, and the smartphone-based 12-MRT and 3-MST protocols in the clinic and at home. In a separate 120-participant cohort, the video-based heart rate measurement underlying the 3-MST was measured for accuracy in individuals across the spectrum skin tones while using 8 different smartphones ranging in cost from US $99 to US $999.

Results: When compared with gold standard VO2max testing, Lin concordance was $p_c=0.66$ for 12-MRT and $p_c=0.61$ for 3-MST. However, in remote settings, the 12-MRT was significantly less concordant with the gold standard ($p_c=0.25$) compared with the 3-MST ($p_c=0.61$), although both had high test-retest reliability (12-MRT intraclass correlation coefficient=0.88; 3-MST intraclass correlation coefficient=0.86). On the basis of the finding that 3-MST concordance was generalizable to remote settings whereas 12-MRT was not, the video-based heart rate measure within the 3-MST was selected for further investigation. Heart rate
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measurements in any of the combinations of the six Fitzpatrick skin tones and 8 smartphones resulted in a concordance of \( p_c \geq 0.81 \). Performance did not correlate with device cost, with all phones selling under US $200 performing better than \( p_c \geq 0.92 \).

**Conclusions:** These findings demonstrate the importance of validating mobile health measures in the real world across a diverse cohort and spectrum of hardware. The 3-MST protocol, termed as heart snapshot, measured VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) with similar accuracy to supervised in-clinic tests such as the Tecumseh (\( p_c=0.94 \)) protocol, while also generalizing to remote and unsupervised measurements. Heart snapshot measurements demonstrated fidelity across demographic variation in age and sex, across diverse skin pigmentation, and between various iOS and Android phone configurations. This software is freely available for all validation data and analysis code.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e26006) doi:10.2196/26006

**KEYWORDS**

VO\(_{2\max}\); heart rate; digital health; real-world data; cardiorespiratory fitness; remote monitoring; mobile phone; smartphone; validation

**Introduction**

**Background**

Expanding access to precision medicine will increasingly require patient biometrics to be measured in remote care settings. Traditionally, cardiovascular health has been assessed using risk scores such as the Framingham Risk Score [1], Reynolds Risk Score [2], Qrisk [3], and others, which integrate multiple factors including demographic data, comorbidities, and biometrics paired with imaging-based assessments measuring vascular blockage and blood flow in higher-risk and symptomatic individuals. Although these factors have a clear correlation with cardiovascular health, their inclusion in integrative risk calculations was promoted in part because they can be rapidly evaluated across many individuals. However, one of the most predictive biometrics for cardiovascular health [4] and overall mortality [5], maximal oxygen consumption (VO\(_{2\max}\)), is typically not incorporated in these risk calculators because of the high cost, participant burden, and specialized equipment and staff needed to obtain this measurement [6,7].

Cardiorespiratory fitness, as measured by VO\(_{2\max}\), represents the integrated function of physiological systems involved in transporting oxygen from the atmosphere to the skeletal muscles to perform physical work. Existing gold standard techniques for measuring VO\(_{2\max}\) are based on protocols that use exercise on a treadmill or stationary bicycle paired with the direct measurement of oxygen consumption at various workloads, including maximal exertion [8,9]. However, the requirement to exercise at the maximal aerobic threshold limits deployment in some populations for safety reasons, and the need for specialized equipment and personnel has prohibited widespread adoption of VO\(_{2\max}\) testing in research and clinical settings.

**Objectives**

Limitations of gold standard VO\(_{2\max}\) measurements have led to the development of numerous “silver standard” [10] VO\(_{2\max}\) estimation protocols that rely on simpler equipment or submaximal levels of exertion. These protocols trade off measurement accuracy for ease of deployment in a wider range of settings and for populations with differing levels of capacity [11]. However, these protocols were typically developed and validated in small, homogeneous populations, and some subsequent validation studies have been criticized for demonstrating participant selection bias [12]. To overcome these limitations, we aim to develop a digital VO\(_{2\max}\) estimation protocol that could be self-administered remotely using only the sensors within a smartphone, and we also aim to validate this measure within a broadly representative population. Previous efforts have used a smartphone-based approach to measure VO\(_{2\max}\), but these validation studies are rarely conducted outside of clinical settings [13]. Therefore, we aim to validate our measurements in remote, unsupervised real-world settings.

**Methods**

Development of Smartphone Sensor–Based Measurements of VO\(_{2\max}\)

Two silver standard VO\(_{2\max}\) estimation protocols were chosen as the basis for developing the smartphone tests. The first is the Cooper protocol [14], comprising a 12-minute run test (12-MRT), where individuals cover as much distance as possible in 12 minutes on a flat course. The Cooper protocol estimates VO\(_{2\max}\) from the total distance traveled during the 12 minutes. The other is the Tecumseh protocol [15], which comprises a 3-minute step test (3-MST), where individuals step up and down an 8-inch step at a constant rate for 3 minutes. In the 3-MST protocol, VO\(_{2\max}\) was estimated from the heart rate measurements during the recovery period. In adopting these protocols for smartphones, we developed self-guided instructions with GPS to record distance during the 12-MRT and a smartphone camera to record heart rate during recovery for the 3-MST (Multimedia Appendix 1).

VO\(_{2\max}\) Validation Cohort Procedures and Measures

All study procedures were approved by the University of California, San Diego (UCSD) Institutional Review Board (approval number 171815). All participants provided written informed consent and attended two in-person study visits at the Exercise and Physical Activity Resource Center (EPARC).

A convenience sample of 101 adults aged between 20 and 79 years was recruited, largely balanced across age deciles and sex (Multimedia Appendix 2). Potential participants were contacted by trained EPARC staff via email or telephone and they
underwent a screening to ascertain their eligibility. Participants were included if they were (1) able to consent and participate in the study using English; (2) aged between 20 and 79 years; (3) willing and able to attend two in-person study visits that included either a \( VO_2 \text{max} \) test or a 12-MRT and a 3-MST within a 2-week period; (4) willing and able to undertake up to three 12-MRT and 3-MST at home over a 2-week period; (5) willing and able to download the smartphone app developed to measure cardiorespiratory fitness on a compatible Android or iOS device and use it during all tests over a 2-week period; and (6) willing and able to download the Fitbit smartphone app on a compatible Android or iOS device and connect and wear a study-provided Fitbit Charge 2 during all tests over a 2-week period. Participants were excluded if they (1) were >12 weeks pregnant; (2) had a heart or cardiovascular condition, including coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, diagnosed abnormality of heart rhythm, atrial fibrillation, and/or a history of myocardial infarction; (3) required the use of an external device to assist heart rhythm (eg, a pacemaker); (4) had a serious respiratory disease, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, exercise-induced asthma, and/or pulmonary high blood pressure; (5) required use of supplemental oxygen; (6) required use of a beta-blocker or other medications known to alter heart rate; and (7) answered “yes” to one or more questions in the American College of Sports Medicine’s Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire and/or reported two or more risk factors for exercise testing and did not receive subsequent medical clearance. The Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire is a widely accepted tool used to assess an individual’s fitness for tests involving cardiovascular exercise [16].

Upon completion of the telephone screening (and, if necessary, receipt of medical clearance), potential participants were scheduled to attend the first testing session at the UCSD. They were asked to report to the testing session well hydrated and in an athletic attire. Participants were guided through the process of downloading and installing the smartphone app developed to measure cardiorespiratory fitness, as well as the Fitbit smartphone app, and they were fitted with a wrist-worn Fitbit Charge 2 according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Participants were asked to provide their age, sex at birth, ethnicity, and race. Weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg) and height (to the nearest 0.1 cm) were measured using a calibrated digital scale and stadiometer (Seca 703, Seca GmbH & Co. KG). Both height and weight were measured with participants wearing lightweight clothes without shoes, and two separate measurements were averaged (if weight or height measurements differed by more than 1%, then a third measure was taken, and the average of the two measures that differed by less than 0.2 kg or 0.5 cm, respectively, was used).

At the first testing session, participants either undertook a \( VO_2 \text{max} \) test or an in-clinic 3-MST and 12-MRT. A randomization procedure implemented before the scheduling of the first testing session determined which test procedure participants undertook during the first testing session. The participants were then expected to complete the other test procedures during the second testing session.

Treadmill-Based Gold Standard \( VO_2 \text{max} \) Measurement

Participants completed a maximal graded exercise test on a Woodway 4Front treadmill (Woodway) calibrated monthly for accuracy of speed and grade. The maximal graded exercise test protocol began with a warm-up at a self-selected pace on a treadmill for 5 to 10 minutes. During the warm-up, EPARC staff explained how to use the Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion scale and reminded participants that they were expected to achieve their maximal level of exertion [17].

The participants were then equipped with a breath mask that covered the nose and mouth (KORR Medical Technologies) and a Bluetooth-enabled heart rate monitor worn on the chest (Garmin). The preprogrammed treadmill protocol began with the participants running at 5 mph with a 0% incline for 3 minutes. The workload was then increased by approximately 0.75, which is the metabolic equivalent of tasks every minute. This was achieved via an increase in speed (0.5 mph per min) each minute until the participant was 0.5 mph above their self-determined comfortable speed or until a maximal speed of 9 mph was reached. If the participant’s capacity allowed them to continue beyond this upper speed limit before reaching volitional fatigue, then the treadmill speed was kept constant, but the grade (ie, incline) of the treadmill was increased by 1% each minute until volitional fatigue was reached. The Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion scale was assessed during the final 10 seconds of each minute, and the protocol continued until the participant signaled to stop (ie, indication of volitional fatigue). Upon indication of volitional fatigue, the treadmill was immediately slowed to 2 mph, and participants were encouraged to walk until completely recovered. Breath-by-breath oxygen uptake (\( VO_2 \)) was continuously measured using an indirect calorimeter (COSMED) that was calibrated for gas volume and fractional composition immediately (ie, <30 min) before the start of the maximal graded exercise test protocol.

Tecumseh Test (3-MST) and Cooper Test (12-MRT)

In-Clinic Procedure

All participants were fitted with a chest-worn heart rate monitor (Polar) that was used for real-time monitoring by trained EPARC staff throughout both the 12-MRT and 3-MST. For the 3-MST, participants were instructed to step up and down from a single step 8 inches in height at a rate of 24 steps per minute for 3 minutes [18]. The cadence of stepping was monitored by trained EPARC staff. The radial pulse was measured from the 31st second to the 60th second after 3 minutes of stepping. Upon completion of the test, the participants were asked to sit in a chair and rest. After a minimum of 10 minutes of rest, participants completed a 5-minute self-determined light intensity warm-up. They were then instructed to cover as much distance as possible on a flat 400-m track for 12 minutes. The distance traveled was measured after 12 minutes [14].

Distance Estimation Using Privacy-Preserving GPS Data

The distance recorded by the smartphone during the 12-MRT was validated against the actual distance. The smartphone recorded displacement information sampled at 1 Hz, which
consists of relative location measurements, that is, the change in location with regard to the last recorded measurement. The iPhones (Apple Inc) measured displacement in meters whereas the Android smartphones measured relative changes in latitude and longitude, requiring an estimate of the absolute latitude and longitude to be added back into the measurements to obtain an accurate estimate of distance.

The first distance estimation method entailed summing the Euclidean distances between subsequent GPS points. As GPS measurements have a range error dependent on atmospheric effects and numerical errors, a second method was used to compute the distance after smoothing the trajectory of the GPS path using a Savitzky-Golay smoothing filter.

Camera-Based Heart Rate Estimation

Blood flow through the fingertip was measured through video with a rear-facing camera while the flash was on. The resting heart rate was captured with 20 seconds of recording, whereas the 3-MST required 60 seconds of recording. During the capture, we found it was important to fix the focal length to infinity, turn off any high dynamic range settings (if applicable), and set the frame rate to 60 Hz if possible, and if not, the default highest allowed by the phone. We did not record the video in order to preserve privacy associated with the inadvertent capture of identifiable objects in the frame before covering the lens with the finger, but instead summarized each video frame to the mean of all pixel intensities per color channel in the red, green, blue space.

These intensities yielded three time series, one for each color. These time series were filtered and mean-centered before being split into shorter 10-second windows. By assuming a periodic signal for these windows, the autocorrelation function (ACF) was used to estimate the period by finding the peaks and their corresponding lags. The relative magnitude of the peaks to the maxima of the ACF was used to generate a confidence score, which quantifies the extent to which the signal is periodic or if the peak at the fundamental frequency (ie, the peak with the highest magnitude) is a spurious peak. The ACF is calculated over a 10-second window, as this provides sufficient heart beat observations postprocessing to estimate heart rates ranging from 45 to 210 bpm.

To filter potentially spurious peaks, a magnitude threshold relative to the magnitude of the peak at zero lag was used. The confidence score was calculated as the ratio of the magnitude of the peak corresponding to the fundamental frequency to the next peak. The confidence score is an indicator of the periodicity of the signal, a property indicative of the heart rate signal in a short finite time window. The different color channels were merged by choosing the heart rate estimate from the channel (red or green) that had the maximum confidence score within a given window.

Estimation of VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}

12-Minute Run Test

VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max} for the 12-MRT is estimated from the following formula, where \(d_{12}\) is the distance covered in meters [14]:

\[
\text{VO}_{2}\text{max} = \frac{2000 \times d_{12}}{1200} \text{ if male and } \frac{2000 \times d_{12}}{1800} \text{ if female.}
\]

Heart Rate Calibration Study Procedures and Measures

All study procedures were approved by the UCSD Institutional Review Board (approval number 181820). All participants provided written informed consent and attended one in-person study visit at the EPARC.

A convenience sample of 120 adults, aged 18-65 years, of six different skin types were asked to participate in this study. We aimed to recruit an equal ratio of male and female participants, as well as an equal number of participants with each skin type, as determined by the Fitzpatrick scale. Participants were included if they were (1) able to consent and participate in the study in English and (2) aged between 18 and 65 years. Participants were excluded if they had (1) peripheral neuropathy or (2) tattoos or scarring at the measurement site (index finger and/or wrist). Potential participants were contacted by trained EPARC staff via email or telephone, and they were asked to complete the screening to ascertain their eligibility.

To establish the Fitzpatrick skin type of the cohort during recruitment, participants were asked to self-assess their Fitzpatrick skin type based on visual comparison with images of well-known celebrities with diverse pigmentation levels. As self-assessment of skin type can have variable accuracy [20,21], spectrophotometry was also used as an objective standard [22]. Spectrophotometry measurements were performed on the underside of the jaw using Pantone RM200QC. To calculate pigmentation in the individual typology angle color space, the \(L^*\) and \(b^*\) parameters from the spectrophotometry measurements were used according to the formula:

\[
\text{individual typology angle} = \left[ \text{arctan}(L^*-50/b^*) \right] \times 180/3.14159
\]

Using this formula, skin color types can be classified into six groups, ranging from very light to dark skin: very light>55>light>41>intermediate>28>tan>10>brown>30>dark [22].

Upon completion of the telephone screening, potential participants were scheduled to attend the first testing session at the UCSD. Participants were asked to provide their age, sex at birth, ethnicity, and race. All participants were fitted with a chest-worn heart rate monitor that was used for real-time monitoring by trained EPARC staff throughout testing. Heart rate was also monitored using a finger-based pulse oximeter (Nonin Medical, Inc). The finger-based pulse oximeter was attached to the participants’ index finger, and the time was

\[
\text{where } \text{HB3060 is the number of beats between 30 and 60 seconds after the step test, } \text{age is the age of the subject, and } \text{sex is 0 if male and 1 if female.}
\]
synchronized between the computer and the device. Trained research staff visually confirmed that the photoplethysmograph was reading accurately before starting measurements on smartphone devices.

Participants were then given the first of 8 smartphones: Huawei Mate SE, LG Stylo 4, Moto G6 Play, Samsung Galaxy J7, Samsung Galaxy S9+, iPhone8+, iPhoneSE, and iPhoneXS. They were instructed by trained research staff to stand still and gently cover the camera and flash on the back of the smartphone with their fingertip, as their heart rate was captured by our preloaded smartphone app. The time on the Polar app was recorded at the time the measurement began on the smartphone app. Measurements with each smartphone lasted 60 seconds in duration. Processed data from the finger-based pulse oximeter were parsed and transformed with custom scripts to generate continuous photoplethysmography data in a format suitable for comparison with the heart rates from the phones.

**Statistical Analysis**

Demographic data were described using univariate summary statistics (eg, proportions, means, and SDs). Test validity for heart rate estimates and VO₂max was visualized using Bland-Altman plots [23] and compared using the Lin concordance index [24]. The heart rate errors were also compared using percent error. Analyses were performed in both R and Python.

**iOS and Android Heart Snapshot Software Modules**

The code for the heart snapshot modules and sample Android [25] and iOS [26] apps are available under an open-source license.

**Results**

**Validation in a Clinical Setting**

To assess the validity of the 3-MST and 12-MRT smartphone measurements, gold standard VO₂max treadmill testing was performed with 101 participants distributed across age deciles 20-80 years. Every participant also performed the silver standard and smartphone 12-MRT and 3-MST protocols in the clinic, with three instances of each smartphone protocol performed over 2 weeks without supervision in the participant’s home environment (Figure 1).
**Figure 1.** Validation protocol and primary results of validation. (A) Participants in the study were randomized into two groups. The first group (denoted by the downward-facing arrow at top) performed a gold standard VO$_{2\text{max}}$ protocol and received training on day 1. The second group performed the two silver standard protocols concurrently with the smartphone protocols on day 1 (denoted by the upward-facing arrow at bottom). Both groups then performed the two smartphone protocols remotely up to three times during a 2-week period. (B) to (E) show Bland-Altman plots comparing the gold standard VO$_{2\text{max}}$ with smartphone measures from: (B) 12-MRT performed in clinic, (C) 12-MRT performed remotely (up to 3 repeats per participant), (D) 3-MST in clinic, and (E) 3-MST remotely. VO$_{2\text{max}}$: maximal oxygen consumption; 3-MST: 3-minute step test; 12-MRT: 12-minute run test.

The in-clinic 12-MRT distance was measured on a 400-m track and by the smartphone GPS. The in-clinic heart rate was measured via radial pulse by trained research staff, a chest-worn Polar heart monitor, a wrist-worn Fitbit Charge 2, and a smartphone camera with the flash activated. Comparisons between the gold standard, silver standard, and smartphone-based protocols for VO$_{2\text{max}}$ estimation were performed using Bland-Altman analysis [23] and the Lin concordance index ($p_c$). The concordance between gold standard VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and the silver standard Cooper protocol ($p_c=0.61$; Figure 2) and the silver standard Tecumseh protocol ($p_c=0.70$; Figure 2) were in line with previously published results [27-29]. Concordance of smartphone-based protocols with gold standard VO$_{2\text{max}}$ testing was $p_c=0.66$ for the 12-MRT (Figure 1) and $p_c=0.61$ for the 3-MST (Figure 1). The concordance of smartphone-based protocols with silver standard protocols was $p_c=0.96$ for the 12-MRT and $p_c=0.94$ for the 3-MST. These results demonstrate that the smartphone-based protocols fall short of recapitulating gold standard VO$_{2\text{max}}$ testing but are highly concordant with validated silver standard VO$_{2\text{max}}$ estimation protocols in a laboratory setting.
Validation in a Remote Setting

To investigate whether the concordance of in-clinic measurements would generalize to remote and unsupervised settings, the smartphone protocols were also performed up to three times at home by each participant. We observed an approximately equal test-retest reliability between the two tests (3-MST intraclass correlation coefficient=0.86; 12-MRT intraclass correlation coefficient=0.88). However, although the 3-MST translated well to an unsupervised setting ($\rho_c=0.61$; Figure 1), the 12-MRT demonstrated a pronounced drop in concordance ($\rho_c=0.25$; Figure 1), despite a highly accurate distance measurement from the smartphone ($\rho_c=0.96$) based on comparisons made in a clinical setting.

As the 12-MRT is dependent on maximal effort, participants were surveyed directly after their run about their performance. In 63.4% (137/216) of runs performed remotely, participants reported the run to be “their best effort.” Therefore, only 137 runs were used to estimate VO$_2$\text{max} in our analysis. Figure 2 captures the results of all 216 runs subdivided by self-reported effort. Although the context-dependent failure of the 12-MRT in remote settings may be attributable to many factors, this result highlights the importance of both clinical and unsupervised real-world evidence for the validation of novel digital health measurement modalities.

Calibration of the Heart Snapshot Measurement for a Diverse Audience

The smartphone-based 3-MST protocol, hereafter referred to as heart snapshot, was generalizable between clinical and remote assessments and was robust over a large range of fitness levels. Maintaining high concordance during unsupervised measurements is necessary to achieve the scale intended for the targeted 1 million participants in the All of Us Research Program (AoURP) [30], which will use a “bring-your-own-device” strategy for remote self-measurement of VO$_2$\text{max}. AoURP also aims to recruit a study population matching the full demographic diversity of the United States, emphasizing the inclusion of groups often underrepresented in biomedical research, such as ethnic and racial minorities. As prior studies have shown differing results as to whether optical techniques for heart rate detection (photoplethysmography) can be demographically biased [31,32], we aimed to investigate any differences in heart snapshot accuracy across variations in skin tone. A follow-up calibration study for heart rate measurements was conducted.
with 120 participants distributed approximately evenly across defined Fitzpatrick skin types [33], using 8 different smartphones (3 iPhones and 5 Android smartphones ranging in cost from US $99 to US $999 at the time of writing). These phones were chosen to be representative of different operating systems, quality of sensors, processing speed, and camera configuration. Importantly, we observed no significant difference in heart rate measurement accuracy between categorical Fitzpatrick skin types or systematic measurement error proportional to skin color at either end of the spectrum (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** Validation of heart rate measurements across different skin tones and hardware configurations in the calibration study. (A) Percent error in heart rate estimation from ground truth as a function of different colors captured by spectrocolorimetry under the jaw. Each dot represents a 10 second window of heart rate in one individual. (B) Distribution of concordance between heart rate using pulse oximetry and smartphone as the confidence cutoff is changed. Red line represents the chosen cutoff used for analysis. (C) Concordance as a function of smartphone models and Fitzpatrick skin tones. ITA: individual typology angle.

**Internal Quality Control Procedures for Heart Snapshot**

To facilitate quality control of the measurements across different smartphones, a confidence score was developed to provide a readout of the quality of the heart rate measurements. This confidence score is derived from the ACF of the heart rate signal across 10-second measurement windows. Using the calibration study results, a balance between the quality of measurements was weighed against the loss of data by choosing a filtration cutoff at a confidence level of ≥0.5. This resulted in a $p_c=0.95$, in the calibration cohort between a pulse oximetry pulse measurement and the camera-estimated heart rate (Figure 3). In selecting this confidence score as a cutoff, we observed that 80.41% (28,032/34,859) of all measurement windows were retained in this calibration cohort (Figure 4). The same cutoff was used in the validation of heart snapshot against gold standard VO$_{2\text{max}}$, where the heart rate concordance with a chest-worn Polar heart monitor was $p_c=0.95$ and $p_c=0.83$ when compared with a wrist-worn Fitbit Charge 2, both at home and in the clinic. This can be compared with $p_c=0.92$ between Polar and Fitbit Charge 2 (Figure 5).
Figure 4. Effect of different confidence cutoff on the amount of missing data from the calibration study. (A) Distribution of best confidence across red and green channels in the calibrations study and (B) percent of the 10 second windows that are filtered out at different cutoffs of the confidence score. The cutoff used in the analysis is 0.5 marked by the red line.

Figure 5. Bland-Altman analysis comparing heart rate measurements in the validation study using data collected during the Tecumseh tests. In the validation cohort, participants used multiple ways of collecting heart rate. The method being tested, the smartphone camera, was compared to: (A) a Polar chest strap (considered a gold standard) while in the clinic when both were used and (B) a Fitbit worn during the entirety of the study. (C) We also compared the Polar strap to the Fitbit for all time that both were worn. HR: heart rate.

Taken together, *heart snapshot* heart rate measurements in any of the combinations of the Fitzpatrick skin tones and 8 smartphones used in the calibration study resulted in a concordance greater than or equal to $p_c=0.84$ (Figure 3), which is in line with previous smartphone-based modalities for heart rate monitoring [34]. Importantly, performance did not correlate with device cost, with all phones selling for under US $200 performing better than $p_c>0.92$ for any skin tone.

Discussion

Principal Findings

In summary, *heart snapshot* measured VO₂max with similar accuracy to supervised, in-clinic tests such as the Tecumseh or Cooper protocols, while also generalizing to remote and unsupervised measurements. *Heart snapshot* measurements demonstrated fidelity across demographic variation in age and sex, across diverse skin pigmentation, and between various iOS and Android phone configurations.

The results from our validation study performed in unsupervised, remote environments showed that *heart snapshot*, which is based
on a 3-MST protocol, generalized to real-world settings but the 12-MRT protocol did not. Although it is difficult to definitively determine the reason for the poor concordance of 12-MRT, we suspect that this might be attributed to the Hawthorne effect, where people perform better when they are under constant observation at a track. It could also be purely environmental, where traffic, hills, and distractions impede uninterrupted running. This indicates the importance of testing and validating digital health measures in a representative setting.

Limitations
An important limitation of this study is that we did not include any individuals in our cohort with a known irregular heart rhythm, so we cannot extend our claims of validity to that population. Similarly, although we made efforts to include individuals across different age deciles, we focused solely on adults (aged over 18 years) and our age decile from 60 to 70 years did not include participants older than 65 years. This work was limited to the biometrics of resting heart rate and VO2max, but using the same technology could also be extended to measure heart rate recovery in minute intervals after exertion, which would provide a valuable biometric that has been associated with prediction of overall mortality [30]. *Heart snapshot* attempts to maximize concordance with gold standard methods for estimating VO2max, but it is worth noting that this analysis used an existing validated algorithm [27] that was based on in-clinic procedures and measurement tools. *Heart snapshot* could become more personalized than traditional protocols, for example, adapting to a participant’s maximum step cadence as measured by smartphone accelerometer. Further concordance with gold standard measures may be achieved by optimizing the parameters of the traditional algorithm or including new variables, but this will require a distinct cohort to test any models that have been trained on this data set.

Comparison With Prior Work
Although multiple devices can estimate VO2max, including several currently marketed consumer devices [35], the underlying data and algorithms are usually not published. The lack of data and method transparency limits the utility of these approaches for discovery-based research, where reproducibility is paramount. In contrast, an open approach to method validation can also serve as a foundation for downstream research in different conditions or populations to generate normative data for interpreting results [36].

As many dedicated hardware devices for digital health in the consumer sphere have experienced short half-lives of availability, we believe that the dependency only on a smartphone with a flash and camera may provide a greater degree of future-proofing for *heart snapshot*. This will be important for consistent, longitudinal measurements that may uncover patterns of VO2max variance over time, especially in large-scale studies such as the AoURP.

Conclusions
The emerging development of consumer technology provides unprecedented opportunities to evaluate the use of additional digital biomarkers to improve risk management strategies for population health and for precision health at the level of an individual. Paired with access to large population studies, such as the AoURP [30] that collects health questionnaires, electronic health records, physical measurements, biospecimens, and digital health technology data, we can rapidly test emerging digital health measures for their potential to advance precision medicine. The *heart snapshot* software is freely available with all validation data and analysis code [37].
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Abstract

Background: Population aging is posing multiple social and economic challenges to society. One such challenge is the social and economic burden related to increased health care expenditure caused by early institutionalizations. The use of modern pervasive computing technology makes it possible to continuously monitor the health status of community-dwelling older adults at home. Early detection of health issues through these technologies may allow for reduced treatment costs and initiation of targeted preventive measures leading to better health outcomes. Sleep is a key factor when it comes to overall health and many health issues manifest themselves with associated sleep deteriorations. Sleep quality and sleep disorders such as sleep apnea syndrome have been extensively studied using various wearable devices at home or in the setting of sleep laboratories. However, little research has been conducted evaluating the potential of contactless and continuous sleep monitoring in detecting early signs of health problems in community-dwelling older adults.

Objective: In this work we aim to evaluate which contactlessly measurable sleep parameter is best suited to monitor perceived and actual health status changes in older adults.

Methods: We analyzed real-world longitudinal (up to 1 year) data from 37 community-dwelling older adults including more than 6000 nights of measured sleep. Sleep parameters were recorded by a pressure sensor placed beneath the mattress, and corresponding health status information was acquired through weekly questionnaires and reports by health care personnel. A total of 20 sleep parameters were analyzed, including common sleep metrics such as sleep efficiency, sleep onset delay, and sleep stages but also vital signs in the form of heart and breathing rate as well as movements in bed. Association with self-reported health, evaluated by EuroQol visual analog scale (EQ-VAS) ratings, were quantitatively evaluated using individual linear mixed-effects models. Translation to objective, real-world health incidents was investigated through manual retrospective case-by-case analysis.
Introduction

In the face of an aging society in many countries, aging in place, often tied to home-care services, has attracted increasing public interest [1,2]. There is a clear preference and economic incentive for people to stay in their known environment [2,3]. Early institutionalization puts a significant additional burden on health care systems that are already struggling to keep up with the ongoing demographic changes [4]. An emerging trend in health care under these circumstances is the advent of pervasive computing technology [5-8]. Available research indicates good acceptance of such technology among older adults if the technology used does not require any interaction (ie, contactless) and is unobtrusive (ie, no voice or video recordings) [9-14]. This makes commonly used wearable devices, often encountered in younger demographics, suboptimal for long-term use with older adults.

Besides detecting acute events, such as falls, stroke, or acute heart failure, a potential application of this technology in older adults could be the detection of early signs of health issues [15]. Toward this goal, it is crucial to know which digital measures of health are useful early indicators of general health issues.

Compared with traditional biological biomarkers, objective health-relevant parameters obtained by means of modern information technology and outside of clinical environments are increasingly termed digital biomarkers [16-18]. Research in this domain is still relatively scarce but promising overall. Rantz et al [15], for instance, showed that using a variety of digital biomarkers they could successfully detect early changes in the health status of older adults. Moreover, they demonstrated that older adults with care enhanced through unobtrusive pervasive computing systems had better overall health outcomes compared with a control group. In the same line of research, Skubic et al [19] identified the most important features for the discrimination between useful and nonuseful health alerts as retrospectively judged by health care professionals. They found the most useful marker, in terms of useful to non-useful ratio, to be bed restlessness events.

Sleep is vital for normal physiologic functioning, with sleep problems becoming more prevalent with age. While some problems are thought to be a result of normal aging processes, many are indicative of underlying medical or psychological disorders or comorbidities [20]. In this context, Adam et al [21] found that community-dwelling older women with higher sleep onset delay (the time it takes to fall asleep) and lower sleep efficiency (fraction of time spent asleep while in bed) were significantly more likely to be placed in a nursing home. It has also been shown that sleep-related problems are an important factor driving institutionalizations among older adults and that they are often poorly recognized by caregivers [21,22]. As a result of people being stationary during sleep, contactless modern sensor technology can be used to measure sleep without device interactions or the necessity to wear and maintain devices like smartwatches or fitness trackers [23-25]. In addition, sleep is much more comparable than daytime parameters as it generally occurs in the same setting, without many external factors being involved such as visitors, different activities, or different locations.

Considering these factors, previous findings, and the strong link between sleep and health in older adults, it seems that long-term sleep monitoring in older adults could be very useful for early detection and monitoring of health-related problems. However, further research investigating remote monitoring-derived sleep parameters as potential early indicators for general health issues is lacking. Toward this goal, we analyzed real-world longitudinal remote monitoring data from 37 older community-dwelling adults comprising more than 6000 nights worth of in-home sleep recordings and more than 600 matched health reports.

Methods

Overview

We performed a data-driven exploratory analysis of sleep data stemming from 37 older adult (aged 70 to 101 years) participants in Switzerland who were monitored for a target duration of at least 1 year, totaling 6686 recorded nights after preprocessing. Analysis aimed to identify sleep parameters that influenced health status. Since this is a hardly quantifiable and often subjective outcome, we used regularly reported perceived health reports as a proxy. To evaluate the effect on real-world health-related events, we further conducted manual case-by-case analysis, validating the most predictive sleep parameter of perceived health by using weekly health reports.
Participants

The analyzed data stems from 2 cohorts of Swiss seniors (pooled mean age 87 [SD 7] years; sex 67% [30/45] female) where novel computing technologies for aging in place scenarios were evaluated. Participants from both cohorts were recruited to represent naturalistic samples of community-dwelling, alone-living older adults in Switzerland [26,27]. Both studies took place between 2017 and 2018. The inclusion criteria were similar in that they aimed to recruit older adults aged 70 years and older who were living alone in an apartment or house without pets. In cohort 2, participants were additionally required to be followed by a local home-care association. While in cohort 1, only unwillingness to comply with the study protocol was an exclusion criterion, cohort 2 had the following exclusion criteria: (1) severe cognitive impairment making one unable to follow study protocol (clock-drawing score ≥4); (2) skin problems, such as irritations, itching, serious redness; (3) undergoing dialysis; (4) not willing to comply with the study protocol; (5) unable to understand the study aim; or (6) hospitalization planned in a short period of time [26]. The related studies were both conducted based on principles in the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the canton of Bern and Vaud, Switzerland (KEK-ID: 2016-00406 and CER-VD ID: 2016-00762, respectively). All subjects signed and returned an informed consent before study participation. We included all participants who started in either study, giving us a combined total of 45 participants (not all had matching data as some dropped out early).

Cohort Differences and Characteristics

In both studies, the same device for sleep recording and the same protocols for interviews and questionnaires were used. There are no differences between the study protocols with regard to the analyzed sleep parameters or health reports. The original studies were conducted by, in part, overlapping researchers but with distinct collaborators and local personnel (same concept, same subset of sensors, same questionnaires and reporting protocol, different technical personnel, different personnel conducting questionnaires and interviews, different personnel responsible for recruitment, different regions in Switzerland). Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. Statistical significances of the respective baseline characteristics were evaluated using 2-sample, 2-sided t tests.

Table 1. Participant and questionnaire characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Cohort 1 (n=24)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (n=21)</th>
<th>Cohort differences, t score (P value)</th>
<th>Pooled (n=45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean SD</td>
<td>88 (7)</td>
<td>86 (7)</td>
<td>-0.76 (.50)</td>
<td>87 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, female, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (79)</td>
<td>11 (52)</td>
<td>1.94 (.06)</td>
<td>30 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-VAS, mean (SD)</td>
<td>78 (13)</td>
<td>72 (15)</td>
<td>1.35 (.19)</td>
<td>75 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nights measured, n (%)</td>
<td>4806 (87)</td>
<td>1880 (61)</td>
<td>_b</td>
<td>6686 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nights measured, mean (SD)</td>
<td>200 (104)</td>
<td>104 (97)</td>
<td>3.03 (.004)</td>
<td>159 (111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health reports, n (%)</td>
<td>963 (—)</td>
<td>803 (—)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1766 (—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health reports, mean (SD)</td>
<td>38 (10)</td>
<td>38 (24)</td>
<td>0.03 (.98)</td>
<td>38 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health reports, matched, n (%)</td>
<td>417 (43)</td>
<td>234 (29)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>651 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health reports, matched, mean (SD)</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
<td>15 (17)</td>
<td>0.56 (.58)</td>
<td>17 (13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EQ-VAS: EuroQol visual analog scale.
Not applicable.

Ground Truth Data Acquisition

Perceived health and health-relevant events were recorded on a weekly basis by means of short interviews and questionnaires. These weekly reports were gathered as part of home visits and were mandatory for study participants to answer. To evaluate the participants’ perception of health status, we used the well-validated EuroQol EQ-5D-3L health-related quality of life instrument.

The EQ-5D-3L is a well-established instrument to measure quality of life and has been shown to be consistently associated with mortality and hospitalization in older adults [28]. For simplicity reasons, we only used the EQ-VAS part of the EQ-5D-3L as it has been shown to exhibit stronger associations with number of hospitalizations and long-term mortality in older adults in comparison to the EQ-5D-3L index and classes of problems [28,29]. The EQ-VAS asks participants to describe their health state on a visual analog scale that ranges from 0 to 100 [30].

Sensor Data Acquisition

Sleep was monitored with a contactless, ferroelectret bed sensor (EMFIT QS, Emfit Ltd), which was placed under a person’s mattress. The sensor comprises a thin quasi-piezoelectric film that translates thickness differentials into electrical charges [31]. The acquired sleep parameters were extracted by proprietary algorithms from the device manufacturer. Literature supports the accuracy of corresponding algorithms. It has notably been shown that the algorithms used with the device are capable of measuring heart rate and respiration rate with good accuracy [31]. Moreover, sleep staging algorithms of Emfit-based sensors have shown good agreement when compared with polysomnography-based ratings [32,33]. The devices were connected to the internet via specifically set up Wi-Fi hotspots.
Sleep data recorded at the participants’ homes was sent to a cloud in real time and automatically acquired for analysis.

**Data Processing**

The acquired sleep segments were first filtered by their recording start and stop time (after 6 PM and before 11 AM) to exclude potential daytime naps from being included. Furthermore, only segments with a total duration of more than 5 hours were included, and duplicated segments were removed. Last, if multiple segments were recorded for a single night slot, the longest recording was used. Segments were defined as continuous bed activity with no more than 2 hours of out-of-bed time in between. A graphical overview is given in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** Preprocessing flowchart.

Before modeling, all sleep data was standardized to zero mean and unit variance. To assess the relationship of sleep data with EQ-VAS ratings, sleep parameters of the night proceeding the questionnaire completion were matched with the respective EQ-VAS ratings. This resulted in a total of 651 matching data points across 37 participants. Every participant with at least one matching data point was included in the analysis.

**Data Loss**

Since the EMFIT sensors had to be connected to local Wi-Fi, numerous nights were lost due to connectivity issues with the mobile devices providing the hotspots (this includes loss of Wi-Fi connection, loss of 3G connectivity, network provider issues, and server issues). These problems were amplified by the logistical difficulty of fixing technical issues with remote monitoring in a timely manner. To reduce the risk of a potential bias as a result of missing nights, we evaluated the relationship between the percentage of missing matched data points and EQ-VAS ratings by means of the Spearman correlation coefficient of the 2 variables. To check for a potential bias introduced by the exclusion of participants without matching data points (primarily those who dropped out earlier), we performed a 2-sample, 2-sided t test to test for a significant difference in the means of EQ-VAS ratings between the participants included and the ones excluded as a result of not having matching data for this analysis.

**Sleep Parameters**

The analyzed sleep parameters include commonly measured quality-of-sleep metrics such as sleep efficiency, sleep latency, number of awakenings, rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, deep sleep, and light sleep [34]. Additionally, body movements, further referred to as the number of toss-and-turn events, as the parameter is named by the manufacturer, were analyzed. What counts as body movement is not exactly disclosed by the manufacturer but can be broadly described as a binary discretization (dichotomization) of the activity signal over short-time epochs of a few seconds. This is conceptually similar to what is being done when measuring activity counts with wearable accelerometers. A full overview of the included sleep parameters is given in Table 2 and Multimedia Appendix 1. All parameters are derived from proprietary algorithms from the manufacturer.
Table 2. Sleep parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value, mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration total (first to last recorded event in bed, sec)</td>
<td>31,823 (5776)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in bed (sec)</td>
<td>30,788 (5607)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average heart rate (beats per minute)</td>
<td>61.83 (6.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average respiration rate (breaths per minute)</td>
<td>14.60 (2.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number bed exits (count)</td>
<td>3.01 (2.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number toss-and-turn events (larger movements, count)</td>
<td>57.62 (61.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration asleep (sec)</td>
<td>26,730 (5474)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in REM(^a) (sec)</td>
<td>6546 (2059)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in light sleep (sec)</td>
<td>15,575 (3227)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in deep sleep (sec)</td>
<td>4608 (1665)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration awake (sec)</td>
<td>4959 (2041)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep onset delay (sec)</td>
<td>1446 (763)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration out of bed (sec)</td>
<td>1034 (914)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability high frequency band, 0.15-0.40 Hz (normalized power spectral density)</td>
<td>56.00 (11.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability low frequency band, 0.04-0.15 Hz (normalized power spectral density)</td>
<td>43.62 (11.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number awakenings (count)</td>
<td>2.22 (1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage in deep sleep (%)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage in REM sleep (%)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage in light sleep (%)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep efficiency (time asleep from total duration, %)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)REM: rapid eye movement.

**Ranking of Relevant Sleep Parameters**

To quantify the importance of individual sleep parameters on weekly reported EQ-VAS ratings, we used linear mixed-effects models (LMMs) with a random intercept per participant. For each sleep parameter, one model with EQ-VAS rating as response variable, corrected for age and sex, was fit. The rationale for using this type of model is based on the structure of the data: namely, multiple measures (with an uneven number of data points collected) per participant. Eventually, sleep parameters were ranked by the absolute value of their t scores. Reported P values were calculated on the basis of an implementation introduced by Kuznetsova et al [35]. We additionally report the respective P values when corrected for multiple comparisons, using the Bonferroni-Holm method. To provide insights into how the highest ranked predictor is associated with the remaining sleep parameters, individual Spearman correlation coefficients (r) were calculated. For all reported statistical hypothesis tests, we set a significance level of α=0.05.

**Case-by-Case Analysis of Toss-and-Turn Metric**

While the above-mentioned ranking helps to identify the most relevant metric with regard to self-reported health, it does not necessarily imply a relevant effect on actual health. To check for an effect of the most relevant metric with regard to actual health, we performed manual, retrospective case-by-case analysis to investigate whether visually perceivable trends could be explained by health reports. For each manually identified trend (based on 14-day moving average) or point anomaly with regard to toss-and-turn time series, we analyzed the respective health reports around the same time to verify whether any health-relevant events that could explain the observations were reported.

**Quantifying Anomalous Sleep Restlessness Evolutions**

While manual inspection of the toss-and-turn metric may be possible in some settings, it is time-consuming and might thus not be practical. A relatively straightforward way to quantify structural changes in toss-and-turn counts, beyond just percentual changes, is to view them as the result of a Poisson process. In the case where the toss-and-turn number is approximately stable, this process can be seen as stationary (homogenous). In the presence of external factors, such as potential health changes, the toss-and-turn counts can be thought of as the result of a nonstationary Poisson process. To differentiate between the two, we assume the former and calculate the maximum likelihood estimate for the rate parameter \( \lambda_{TnT} \) to calculate the chi-square statistic \(^2\) of the observed \( f_o \) and expected count frequencies \( f_e \). In case the process is nonstationary, the chi-square statistic will become increasingly large and allows for a threshold to be set for automated notifications. To analyze the association of this approach with perceived health, we performed calculations based on weekly segmented toss-and-turn data and event counts in 30-minute
time intervals. EQ-VAS measures for the same week were matched and averaged.

**Software Used**

Data acquisition, preprocessing, and sleep parameter extraction were performed using the Python (Python Software Foundation) programming language. Statistical tests and LMMs were computed using the R statistical programming language (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) with package lme4 version 1.1-21 [36].

**Results**

**Ranking of Relevant Sleep Parameters**

We found the strongest predictor of perceived health to be the total number of toss-and-turn events per night ($t$ score=–0.435, $P<.001$, $P_{[adj]}<.001$). The only other predictor to remain significant after correcting for multiple comparison was the average nightly respiration rate ($t$ score=–3.148, $P=.002$, $P_{[adj]}=.032$). Both variables showed a negative association with EQ-VAS ratings. The full ranking is visualized in Figure 2, and all results are presented in Table 3. Overall associations between nightly toss-and-turn counts and the remaining sleep parameters based on Spearman correlation coefficients are shown in Figure 3. The strongest positive association was with the duration spent out of bed ($r=.24$) and the strongest negative one was found to be with the percentage spent in REM sleep ($r=−.16$). All associations were statistically significant, primarily as a result of the large number of 6686 data points.

Figure 2. Ranking of self-rated perceived health based on individual linear mixed effects models.

![Figure 2](https://mhealth.jmir.org/2021/6/e24666)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>t score</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>P value (adjusted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number toss-and-turn events</td>
<td>−2.48</td>
<td>−4.35</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average respiration rate</td>
<td>−1.81</td>
<td>−3.15</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average heart rate</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
<td>−1.19</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration total</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td>−0.81</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in bed</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>−0.46</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number bed exits</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>−1.13</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration asleep</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in REM&lt;br&gt;a</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in light sleep</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in deep sleep</td>
<td>−0.30</td>
<td>−0.87</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration awake</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>−1.40</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep onset delay</td>
<td>−0.61</td>
<td>−1.82</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration out of bed</td>
<td>−1.03</td>
<td>−2.27</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability high frequency band</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>−1.08</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability low frequency band</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number awakenings</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage in deep sleep</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage in REM sleep</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage in light sleep</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep efficiency</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REM: rapid eye movement.
Cohort Differences and Data Loss

Regarding potential biases in the above presented results, we found that the difference in participant characteristics of the analyzed cohorts was mostly insignificant (supporting the null hypothesis that the means of the 2 cohorts are equal) with the exception of the number of measured nights per person ($t$ score=3.03, $P=0.004$); more details can be found in Table 1. We further found a nonsignificant and very weak correlation between the percentage of missing nights and reported EQ-VAS ratings per participant ($r=–0.10$, $P=0.54$, $n=37$). Regarding participants who were not included in the analysis as a result of not having matching nights measured, we found no significant difference in the means of EQ-VAS ratings ($t$ score=–0.44, $P=0.66$).

Case-by-Case Analysis

Results from qualitative case-by-case analysis, where trends and point anomalies with regard to the number of toss-and-turns per night were manually analyzed, are summarized in Table 4. The analysis showed that more than half (7/13, 54%) of abnormally looking toss-and-turn patterns could be related to reports of health-relevant events. Cases with a particularly high increase of more than 200 toss-and-turns per night (3 in total) were all accompanied by medically relevant events, of which 2 were severe and led to hospitalizations and death, respectively. Reported health incidents with visible change in toss-and-turns include heart failure, hypertension, abdominal tumor, seasonal flu, gastrointestinal problems, and urinary tract infection.

Four examples are displayed in Figure 4, where Figure 4A depicts the case of a participant who was hospitalized twice due to heart failure. Both hospitalizations were preceded by increases in the number of toss-and-turn events. It should be noted how the toss-and-turn numbers stabilized for a short time period after the first hospitalization and the resulting compensation. Figure 4B shows the number of nightly toss-and-turn events of a participant experiencing a rapid decline in health, later diagnosed as a large abdominal tumor and worsening heart failure, eventually leading to the participant’s death. It is apparent how the number of toss-and-turn events per night increased strongly, with a small decrease prior to a final increase. Figure 4C shows the case of a participant who was hospitalized and subsequently institutionalized as a result of worsening hypertension problems. About 2 months prior to institutionalization, the number of toss-and-turn events started to increase markedly. Finally, in Figure 4D, a participant without any health issues is shown. The only visible (abrupt) signal change was due to a reported sensor repositioning.
Table 4. Summary of qualitative analysis of abnormal toss-and-turn patterns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified Anomalous Patterns</th>
<th>Total cases, n</th>
<th>Cases with plausible explanation, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trends (≤200 toss-and-turns)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trends (&gt;200 toss-and-turns)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal local peaks</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Evolutions of nightly toss-and-turn counts related to reported events.

Quantifying Anomalous Sleep Restlessness Evolutions

As can be seen in Figure 5, the log transformed chi-square statistics of weekly time intervals and matched EQ-VAS ratings show a negative association ($r=−.42$, $P<.001$, $n=588$) such that a higher chi-square indicates lower self-reported health. However, exact cutoff values that could be used to trigger alarms are difficult to find, since we do not have an absolute definition for relevant health status changes. We found that, in the analyzed population, weekly chi-square values greater than 1000 were mostly related to health changes and other sensor anomalies like displacements.
Discussion

Principal Findings

We retrospectively analyzed real-world, long-term remote monitoring sleep data from two studies in Switzerland where older adults’ homes were equipped with modern pervasive computing systems and followed weekly by nursing staff. The goal of this explorative analysis was to find which unobtrusively measurable sleep parameter was most indicative of general health deteriorations in community-dwelling older adults. Among 20 daily extracted sleep parameters, the number of toss-and-turn events per night, which can be thought of as the amount of body movements, was found to exhibit the strongest association with weekly EQ-VAS based self-rated health ratings. It should be mentioned that this association is likely not only a subjective momentary feeling since the EQ-VAS is known to be strongly related to all-cause mortality and hospitalizations in older adults [28,29]. In accordance with this relationship, we found that the toss-and-turn parameter often exhibits very apparent changes that we could relate to reported health incidents. As such, all cases leading to more than 200 toss-and-turn events per night were related to reported health-relevant incidents. But smaller trends could also be explained by events mentioned in health reports in at least half of the cases. Encountered incidents include heart failure, hypertension, abdominal tumor, seasonal flu, gastrointestinal problems, and urinary tract infection.

Since long-term home-monitoring in older adults is a new field with very few research groups actually performing real-world studies, there is not much research to relate these findings to. However, one of the pioneering groups in this field reported a surprisingly similar finding. In 2015, Skubic et al [19] found sleep restlessness events measured by pneumatic transducers on top of the mattress to be the variable resulting in the highest ratio of good to poor health alerts in a population of older adults. They assessed a variety of parameters, including activity patterns in different rooms but also discretized heart and breathing rates during sleep. We want to point out that what they called bed restlessness events is conceptually the same as the body movements we call toss-and-turns. In the end, this is a question of nomenclature. While further investigation is certainly necessary, it is unlikely that the similarities in these two independent studies have occurred by chance. The exact reasons as to why body movements exhibit this association with a variety of health deteriorations remains to be elucidated. In theory, most of the involved health incidents are accompanied by inflammation. One potential mechanism could thus be related to immune system–modulated changes as a response to inflammatory processes [37]. Beyond inflammation, it is known that psychosocial stress can also have an impact on various sleep metrics like the number of awakenings or sleep efficiency [38]. Whatever the exact underlying causes, it is not a stretch to assume that increases in toss-and-turns come with more fragmented sleep, more awakenings, and more time spent awake in bed. This is also supported by the positive correlation of toss-and-turns with bed exits, time out of bed, number of awakenings or time spent awake. Additionally, it is known that older adults are at particular risk of being awoken by body movements during sleep – potentially further increasing the relevance of nightly toss-and-turns in this demographic [39].

An interesting aspect of these findings is that well-known sleep quality metrics like sleep duration and sleep efficiency are seemingly less relevant than body movements when it comes
to an overall association with perceived health in older adults. Correlation analysis between the toss-and-turn values and those metrics further shows weak overall correlations (see Figure 3) and thus suggests that toss-and-turns actually capture different components of sleep quality behavior.

When it comes to detecting relevant changes in toss-and-turns, interpreting the generation of toss-and-turn events as a Poisson process makes it straightforward to detect even smaller changes in a principled manner and allows for potentially triggering alarms at predefined threshold values. This provides a way of quantifying changes that is likely less prone to a specific implementation of an algorithm that discretizes movements in bed into individual (toss-and-turn) events.

The number of toss-and-turns per night is a sleep parameter that is fairly simple to measure unobtrusively, thus without any interactions or privacy-compromising measurement modalities. Nightly body movements in bed, as quantified by the number of toss-and-turns, could thus potentially be used as a convenient digital biomarker for early detection and monitoring of a wide range of health deteriorations in older adults, including potentially life-threatening conditions like heart failure or certain types of cancer. Early detection in many cases could help to involve medical professionals in early stages of disease and provide more timely treatment options and preventive measures [15,40]. In addition, having a responsive digital biomarker in such cases might also allow for monitoring of the effectiveness of treatments, indicating when a specific treatment plan is failing and needs adjustment. A real-world example of this is the case of heart failure as shown in Figure 4A, where decompensation in the hospital was showing initial relief but, as is well visible by the toss-and-turns, was only temporary and reclined shortly after hospital discharge. This deterioration necessitated further adjustment in medication. The need for such an adjustment might have been detectable earlier by monitoring markers like toss-and-turns proactively.

Given the relationship with EQ-VAS ratings, evidence from real health events, and the similar finding from Skubic et al [19], we find nightly body movements in older adults to be a very promising digital biomarker that needs further investigation. Ideally, future studies should compare against controlled polysomnography measurements and potentially also different devices. Finally, larger datasets in this regard could also allow for a statistically sound evaluation of the effect of sleep parameter combinations.

**Limitations**

Working in true remote-monitoring settings comes with downsides and very time-consuming logistics. As such, we had to deal with a nonnegligible number of missing nights, mainly due to connectivity issues with wireless networks. While this factor is most likely independent from participants and thus should not introduce a bias (as supported by the nonexistent association of EQ-VAS scores and missing nights) with respect to our results, it highlights practical problems with this specific setup used to collect the presented data. It should be noted that many of the issues we experienced can and will be solved eventually. Besides technical limitations, there are a few major shortcomings of the presented work.

First, while we did analyze a large number of nights, 37 participants is still a very limited sample size and we are thus not able to provide general information on which kind of health issues can be detected using the toss-and-turn metric.

Second, our analysis might be somewhat biased toward the proprietary algorithms of the device manufacturer. This should not invalidate findings but could mean that difficult-to-measure parameters like heart rate variability or exact sleep stages are exceedingly noisy and might in reality be more important than what we reported, especially as the reliability of such algorithms may deteriorate in presence of more movement.

Third, we did not have access to information about movement-related sleep disorders such as restless leg syndrome, which likely influence the toss-and-turn metric. It should, however, be noted that this would likely not affect the detection of relative changes, which we consider to be the most relevant.

Last, the pooling of the two studies might be a concern. However, apart from the number of missing nights, participant baseline characteristics showed no significant differences and the study protocols with regard to the results shown were the same. The difference in missing nights is explained by different hotspot technologies used and distinct technical personnel being responsible for maintenance. As such, this limitation should be considered but might not really have influenced our results.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we evaluated which contactlessly measurable sleep parameter exhibits the strongest association with EQ-VAS ratings in older adults and manually analyzed real-world health events with respect to the parameter with the strongest association. For this we used long-term sleep data acquired with contactless bed sensors in the homes of older community-dwelling adults. We found body movements in bed, quantified by the number of toss-and-turn events, to be the most predictive sleep parameter for EQ-VAS based perceived health ratings among 20 potential sleep parameters. Supporting this finding, increases in toss-and-turn events turned out to often be a precursor of reported real-world health incidents. Furthermore, these results are in accordance with an independent previous finding in literature. Monitoring body movements in bed could thus serve as an interesting and relatively easy to acquire and interpret digital biomarker, allowing health care professionals to proactively screen for and monitor early signs of numerous health deteriorations in older adults. While further evidence from larger, more targeted, studies will be necessary, the potential of such a digital biomarker to be used as digital care support measure might be significant and should be further investigated.
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Abstract

Background: Given the magnitude and speed of SARS-CoV-2 transmission, achieving timely and effective manual contact tracing has been a challenging task. Early in the pandemic, contact tracing apps generated substantial enthusiasm due to their potential for automating tracing and reducing transmission rates while enabling targeted confinement strategies. However, although surveys demonstrate public interest in using such apps, their actual uptake remains limited. Their social acceptability is challenged by issues around privacy, fairness, and effectiveness, among other concerns.

Objective: This study aims to examine the extent to which design and implementation considerations for contact tracing apps are detailed in the available literature, focusing on aspects related to participatory and responsible eHealth innovation, and synthesize recommendations that support the development of successful COVID-19 contact tracing apps and related eHealth technologies.

Methods: Searches were performed on five databases, and articles were selected based on eligibility criteria. Papers pertaining to the design, implementation, or acceptability of contact tracing apps were included. Articles published since 2019, written in English or French, and for which the full articles were available were considered eligible for analysis. To assess the scope of the knowledge found in the current literature, we used three complementary frameworks: (1) the Holistic Framework to Improve the Uptake and Impact of eHealth Technologies, (2) the Montreal model, and (3) the Responsible Innovation in Health Assessment Tool.

Results: A total of 63 articles qualified for the final analysis. Less than half of the selected articles cited the need for a participatory process (n=25, 40%), which nonetheless was the most frequently referenced item of the Framework to Improve the Uptake and Impact of eHealth Technologies. Regarding the Montreal model, stakeholder consultation was the most frequently described level of engagement in the development of contact tracing apps (n=24, 38%), while collaboration and partnership were cited the least (n=2, 3%). As for the Responsible Innovation in Health framework, all the articles (n=63, 100%) addressed population health, whereas only 2% (n=1) covered environmental considerations.
Conclusions: Most studies lacked fundamental aspects of eHealth development and implementation. Our results demonstrate that stakeholders of COVID-19 contact tracing apps lack important information to be able to critically appraise this eHealth innovation. This may have contributed to the modest uptake of contact tracing apps worldwide. We make evidence-informed recommendations regarding data management, communication, stakeholder engagement, user experience, and implementation strategies for the successful and responsible development of contact tracing apps.

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9(6):e27102)  doi:10.2196/27102
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Introduction

Background

As the global battle against the COVID-19 pandemic continues, the SARS-CoV-2 virus has infected over 160 million people and claimed over 3.3 million lives by May 2021 [1]. Even as vaccination programs expand their reach, nonpharmaceutical interventions such as social distancing, isolation, and quarantining remain essential for reducing viral transmission. Many will not have access to the vaccine until later in 2021, as limited production and delivery capacity warrants prioritizing high-risk groups. Many countries risk even longer delays in gaining access, despite efforts to promote a more equitable global distribution of the vaccine [2]. Moreover, long-term immunity from vaccination is not assured, and new virus variants have been associated with increased contagiosity [3]. Nonpharmaceutical interventions must therefore continue to support the fight against COVID-19, in complement to the global ramping up of vaccination.

Contact tracing is a fundamental containment strategy in response to emerging outbreaks. Public health agencies aim to rapidly identify individuals who may have been exposed to a person who is infected to recommend the most appropriate course of action (eg, self-isolation, symptom recording, and testing). The incubation period of this virus can last up to 14 days, during which infected individuals can unsuspectingly contaminate others [4,5]. Presymptomatic transmission along with other epidemiological, social, economic, and political challenges [6], coupled with chronic underfunding of public health systems [7], have undermined the reach of manual contact tracing during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Contact Tracing Apps as eHealth Solutions During the COVID-19 Pandemic

A variety of eHealth solutions, which leverage information and communication technologies for the betterment of health and health care services [8], have been proposed in response to the pandemic [9]. Early in the pandemic, digital contact tracing rapidly emerged as a promising tool to support manual tracers [10,11] and enable a more selective approach to regional lockdowns [12]. Contact tracing apps constitute an example of eHealth aimed at supporting standard nonpharmaceutical interventions. Generally, the intended use is to digitally collect information within their network of users to reduce pathogen transmission. A recent review of international technological innovations developed in response to the pandemic listed almost 100 tracing applications at different stages of development, most of which were smartphone-based [13]. These can be further categorized as position tracking applications, which aim to enforce the quarantine of infected individuals, and the more commonly deployed contact tracing applications, which continuously measure distances between users to rapidly notify the high-risk contacts of an individual with a confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. The latter type predominates internationally, as it is more compatible with civil liberties. Although the term “contact tracing app” is typically used in academic texts and the general press, the primary purpose of such tools is to rapidly identify and notify individuals who have had a high-risk exposure [10]. Terminology matters as it may erode public trust in tools that are perceived to enable state surveillance of individual mobility (tracing, tracking). In this context, the contact tracing technology codeveloped by Apple and Google refers to an “Exposure Notification System” [14]. Similarly, the government of Canada encourages the public to use COVID Alert, an “exposure notification app,” which it describes as “an additional tool to protect yourself and your loved ones” [15].

Contact tracing apps can differ according to eight fundamental characteristics. First, their installation can be voluntary or compulsory. Second, the extent of informed consent varies between apps. Third, some apps use a decentralized data management strategy, while others enable linkages with governmental agencies. Fourth, their ability to detect contact between users can rely on technologies such as GPS, Bluetooth, or Quick Response codes. Fifth, the specific algorithms deployed in the back end of these apps will determine their output (eg, the calculation of a risk of infection or the tracing of potential contacts). Sixth, they require varying levels of human oversight, if at all present. Seventh, the degree of interaction with users regarding recommended actions (eg, testing and isolation recommendations) and the extent of interaction with public health agencies can differ. Last, safety protocols for data privacy may also vary [13,16,17]. Contact tracing apps thus refer to a heterogeneous cluster of eHealth tools that likely differ in effectiveness and uptake depending on their respective design characteristics.

App Effectiveness, Barriers to Adoption, and Facilitators

Given their fundamental mechanism, the effectiveness of contact tracing apps depends in part on the level of uptake and ongoing use [10,18] by patients who have contracted the SARS-CoV-2 virus and other citizens. An influential modeling study published...
by a team of researchers at the University of Oxford originally suggested that a 60% adoption rate should be targeted for effective virus transmission reduction, although any level of uptake may help lower disease transmission [19,20]. Even though numerous public consultations have suggested a general willingness to use contact tracing apps during the pandemic [21-28], the available data suggests low rates of continuous use in practice [27,29,30]. For instance, app penetration rates as of March 2021 were as low as 3.6% in France, 6.1% in Japan, 14% in Canada, and 28.5% in the United Kingdom. On the other hand, other countries such as Iceland and Finland have seen higher rates of adoption, at 38.5% and 45.3%, respectively [31,32]. The significant disparity in adoption rates worldwide highlights the fact that certain approaches could be better than others for successful implementation of this emerging technology.

As contact tracing apps encompass many underlying principles and disciplines, multiple aspects can facilitate or hinder their adoption. One of the main caveats in their implementation is concerns over data security and management. Societies are rightfully preoccupied with the challenges in reconciling civil liberties with public health imperatives in a pandemic context [33]. Data privacy, breaches in confidentiality, and the fear of mass surveillance are among the main concerns raised in surveys on user perspectives [21-24,27,28]. Moreover, as with other health informatics interventions, contact tracing apps may generate or exacerbate inequalities if they are not deployed carefully [34,35]. There is a risk of discrimination, repression, and systematic exclusion, especially among communities of color and marginalized groups, which are disproportionately affected by COVID-19 due to structural economic, political, and social vulnerabilities [35-37].

Key factors have been suggested as drivers for widespread success of contact tracing apps: integration with local health policy, adaptable workflows in an ever-evolving context, rapid notification systems, the ability to evaluate the effectiveness of the app transparently, and clear communications addressing privacy concerns [38].

New eHealth initiatives have emerged at an accelerating pace in the last decade; some have seen widespread adoption, whereas others have failed to provide sustained value. These failings can be attributed to design and implementation efforts that were initiated without a good understanding of the interdependencies between technology, societal values, and user experience in a health care setting. Many conceptual frameworks based on implementation science have been developed to evaluate and orient eHealth delivery. These frameworks highlight key factors that predict successful and sustainable eHealth technologies. The urgency of the ongoing public health crisis stimulated the rapid development of contact tracing apps and other eHealth innovations, and this generated a substantial number of related publications. Their coverage of essential design and implementation characteristics for eHealth innovation remains underinvestigated.

**Objectives and Research Questions**

The primary objective of this review is to map and analyze the literature on the design and implementation of COVID-19 contact tracing apps. This was achieved through three distinct questions: (1) to what extent does the available literature discuss features that promote the use of contact tracing apps by interested parties? (2) how have patients and citizens been engaged in the design and implementation of these apps? and (3) does the development of these apps correspond to principles of responsible research and innovation?

Through these questions, we studied how the development of contact tracing apps has taken into account considerations related to the uptake and impact of the innovation, the engagement of end users, and the responsible development of eHealth technologies. We ultimately identified the components required for successful and responsible eHealth development as described in the available literature on contact tracing apps and those that are lacking.

**Methods**

This study is reported according to PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews) guidelines [39] (Multimedia Appendix 1). The scoping review was conducted in accordance with the multistage framework outlined by Arksey and O’Malley [40], as detailed in the following sections.

**Theoretical Frameworks**

Three complementary frameworks were selected to address each of the research questions. To answer the first research question on factors that promote uptake of contact tracing apps, we selected the Framework to Improve the Uptake and Impact of eHealth Technologies proposed by van Gemert-Pijnen et al [41]. This framework advocates for a holistic approach comprising six guiding principles and requirements for successful eHealth technology development: (1) participatory processes (eg, upstream involvement of citizens in the selection of app features), (2) continuous evaluation cycles (eg, evaluation of the use and effect of the app on an ongoing basis to update risk assessments), (3) specific actions for implementation (eg, postdesign activities to promote or maintain app uptake), (4) foresight of changes in the organization of health care (eg, interaction of contact tracing apps with traditional public health processes), (5) persuasive design techniques (eg, technology-based suggestions to stimulate the uptake of contact tracing apps), and (6) advanced methods to assess impact (eg, key performance indicators to assess the impact of contact tracing apps). Given the novel application of contact tracing apps in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, information regarding the latter stages of the framework may understandably be theoretical or perhaps even lacking.

For the second research question, which addresses the engagement of users in the development of contact tracing apps, we selected the Montreal model established by Pomey et al [42], as it focuses on the experiences and knowledge of patients and citizens regarding their health, trajectory of care, and related services [43]. It draws on the Patient and Family Engagement Framework proposed by Carman et al [44] to include a multidimensional assessment of patient engagement in health innovation [45]. For this study, we defined patients as...
individuals who contracted the SARS-CoV-2 virus. This framework proposes four distinct levels along a patient or citizen engagement continuum, in ascending order of engagement: (1) information (eg, end users have the option of viewing an explanatory video on the proposed app), (2) consultation (eg, a survey to evaluate propensity for app acceptance), (3) collaboration (eg, app adaptation based on recommendations from the public), and (4) coconstruction (eg, governments engage stakeholders to co-design the app). Selected studies that referred to a participatory process were therefore further characterized according to the level of engagement they described.

Finally, for the third research question relating to responsible health innovation, we selected the Responsible Innovation in Health Assessment Tool by Pacifcio Silva et al [46]. This framework comes from the field of Responsible Research and Innovation and helps assess health innovations by addressing challenges such as sustainability and equity. The framework elucidates five value domains that need to be considered for responsible innovation: (1) the population health domain, which includes the subvalues of health relevance, ethical, legal and social issues, and health equity (eg, how the app can be made accessible to vulnerable groups); (2) the health system value domain, which includes subsections on inclusiveness, level of care, and responsiveness (eg, contact tracing apps reduce labor from manual contact tracing); (3) the economic domain (eg, cost-effectiveness of contact tracing apps as compared to other public health interventions); (4) the organizational domain (eg, business strategies to increase app value); and (5) the environmental domain (eg, how app architecture can help reduce the carbon footprint).

Searching for Relevant Studies
A systematic literature search was performed by one author (GG) in five databases (PubMed, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, AMC Digital Library, and Europe PMC) using terms specifically related to the research question. Textbox 1 describes the search strategy used on PubMed. Additional database search strategies are available in Multimedia Appendix 2. The search was first performed on August 26, 2020, and produced 829 results. A second iteration performed on November 6, 2020, generated 1130 results, for a total of 1959 articles.

Textbox 1. Search strategy used on PubMed ([mesh] stands for Medical Subject Headings and indicates that the subject is indexed in the literature; [tw] indicates searches in the title and abstract fields).

```
OR (tracing [tw] AND (app [tw] OR apps [tw] OR proximity [tw]))
OR ((contact [tw] OR exposure [tw]) AND notification* [tw] AND (app [tw] OR apps [tw] OR application* [tw]))
```

Selecting Studies
The study eligibility criteria (Textbox 2) were informed by a priori knowledge and by the review process itself, in keeping with the scoping review methodology. After removing duplicates, the inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to the remaining articles.

Each of the 1959 articles was screened by one of two authors (ER and JP), initially excluding those with titles and abstracts unrelated to the topic of study. They then read the remaining articles to determine their relevance to the research question with respect to the inclusion criteria. The senior authors (EO and MPP) screened articles with uncertain relevance for final inclusion or exclusion. Discrepant decisions were resolved through team discussion and consensus. This process resulted in the inclusion of 63 articles, following the search iterations previously described.
Textbox 2. Eligibility criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Related to design considerations of contact tracing apps for COVID-19 (eg, privacy considerations, citizen inclusion and participatory approach, or incentivization)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Related to user experience or implementation approaches of contact tracing apps for COVID-19 (eg, surveys or focus groups, strategies to mitigate social vulnerabilities, or recommendations for governments communication with the public)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Published before 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Published in a language other than French or English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pertaining exclusively to aspects of computer science or technical developments of contact tracing apps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pertaining exclusively to epidemiological feasibility or efficacy of contact tracing apps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Authors could not obtain access to the full article</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Charting the Data
Data from the included studies was charted on an extraction grid (Multimedia Appendix 3) according to the following categories: authors, title, date of publication, publication stage, aims of the study, and key findings. Articles were also classified into six categories: (1) proposal; (2) comment, editorial, or opinion piece; (3) survey or focus group; (4) case study; (5) review; and (6) essay. A description of each article type is provided in Textbox 3.

Textbox 3. Description of the types of articles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Considers the problems of a particular situation and offers a corresponding solution (eg, proposal to incentivize a contact tracing app [47])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment, editorial, or opinion piece</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reflects the author’s or journal’s opinion about a subject (eg, contact tracing app effectiveness and data security [48])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey or focus group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentrates on survey or focus group methods for data collection (eg, user acceptability of a contact tracing app [49])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Studies a particular case in depth (eg, development of the Trace Together app [50])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examines what has already been discovered about a subject (eg, systematic evaluation of content and features of a contact tracing app [51])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discusses ideas from the literature in a support of arguments about a specific subject (eg, discussion of an intervention to introduce contact tracing technology [52])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Articles that outlined theoretical recommendations or criticism regarding the overarching concept of contact tracing apps were categorized as being based on theory (eg, ethical considerations of instantaneous contact tracing). In contrast, articles that factually described specific use cases or empirical studies were categorized as being based on practice (eg, description of technical features of a given app and their potential impact on implementation or surveys on user acceptability of a contact tracing app).

Furthermore, the extraction grid included the components of the Gemert-Pijnen et al [41], Pomey et al [42], and Pacifico Silva et al [46] frameworks, as previously discussed. One author (JP) coded each component to determine whether a given article addressed a framework (0 if not present, 1 if present) and extracted the supporting sentences, where applicable. A second author (ER) reviewed each component attribution and supporting sentences, as well as the theory or practice categorization, and reviewed the text for missing information. The principal authors then reviewed the analysis before reporting the results.

Collating, Summarizing, and Reporting the Results
Figures were produced using codes in accordance with the three theoretical frameworks used and other relevant information such as the date of publication, the type of article, and the theory or practice classification. Visualization of these elements shed light on changes in the available information and on gaps in the underreported domains of design and implementation of contact tracing apps. Critical appraisals of the included articles were beyond the scope of this study.
## Results

This scoping review generated a total of 1959 records. Following the removal of duplicates and the application of the selection criteria, 63 articles were included in the analysis (Figure 1).

### Figure 1. Flowchart of the study selection process.

Characteristics of Included Studies

The included studies (N=63) were published between April 16 and November 6, 2020, in 40 different journals or preprint databases. The majority (n=48, 76%) of included studies were published articles or e-prints, and 24% (n=15) were preprints. The number of published articles peaked in August (n=16, 25%; Figure 2). Theory-based studies were predominant from April to June, whereas the proportion of practice-based articles increased thereafter.

Figure 3 illustrates the types of articles by month of publication. Among the 63 included studies, most were surveys (n=16, 25%), followed by proposals and opinion pieces, editorials, or commentaries (n=13, 21%); reviews (n=10, 16%); essays (n=8, 13%); and case studies (n=3, 5%). Opinion pieces, editorials, and commentaries (in blue) were the predominant category before July 2020, followed thereafter by more diversity in publication types. Only surveys (n=1) were illustrated in our analysis in November, but this could be explained by the fact that our second and last iteration was carried out on November 6, 2020.
Main Findings

To What Extent Does the Available Literature Discuss Features That Promote the Use of Contact Tracing Apps by Interested Parties?

Overall, all of the six principles of the Framework to Improve the Uptake and Impact of eHealth Technologies [41] were covered in less than half of the selected articles. Among these, mentions of either use cases or recommendations for a participatory process in the development of contact tracing apps appeared in 40% (n=25) of the 63 articles, which made it the most frequently discussed component (Figure 4). Conversely, only 16% (n=10) of the studies discussed continuous evaluation cycles of contact tracing apps. Persuasive design techniques were mentioned in 37% (n=23) of the articles, followed by implementation considerations (n=16, 25%), advanced methods to assess impacts (n=14, 22%), and foresight of changes in the organization of health care (n=12, 19%).
How Have Patients and Citizens Been Engaged in the Design and Implementation of These Apps?

None of the selected articles referred to documentation given to citizens or patients on COVID-19 contact tracing apps, leaving the Montreal model “information” component [42] unaddressed (Figure 5). On the other hand, stakeholder consultation was the most frequently described level of engagement (n=24, 38%). It is worth noting that only 1 study related to patients and only 1 mentioned the creation of a focus group (in contrast to surveys of the public and reviews of public commentaries on the internet). One article briefly mentioned collaboration, as the responses to the conducted surveys “likely prompted the pivot to wearable tech due to its observation of the public’s reluctance to use their mobile phones for contact-tracing” [50]. Only 3% (2/63) mentioned the importance of partnership in the development and implementation of contact tracing apps, but none of the included articles described an app development process occurring in partnership with citizens or patients.

Does the Development of These Apps Correspond to Responsible Research and Innovation?

Among the five domains of the Responsible Innovation in Health Assessment Tool [46], population health appeared in all of the selected studies, constituting the most frequently addressed component (Figure 6). In contrast, only 2% (n=1) of the 63 studies discussed the environmental component. A discussion of the health system was included in 79% (n=50) of the articles, while the economic and organizational dimensions were present in 19% (n=12) and 10% (n=6), respectively.
Design Considerations and Implementation Recommendations

The principal considerations and recommendations regarding contact tracing apps in the COVID-19 pandemic context were drawn from the key findings of analyzed articles and are summarized in Table 1. Our results show that the main themes of the 162 theoretical considerations and practical recommendations include data management (n=58, 35.8%), user experience (n=48, 29.6%), communication (n=23, 14.2%), research and implementation methodology (n=18, 11.1%), and the engagement of stakeholders (n=15, 9.3%). Here, user experience refers to the main reactions or perceived barriers that may affect uptake (which mainly comes from survey results), incentivization, app functionality, and other design considerations. Data management designates app architecture, data protection, privacy, monitoring of data, laws governing the use of data, and ethical consideration of data use. Communication covers all recommendations that communication from governments, health authorities, or app developers must be clear and transparent or other measures that may increase public trust in those institutions, such as rebranding and optimizing already existing apps, and reframing the terminology used. The engagement of stakeholders refers to consultation with citizens or patients, strategies to reach vulnerable populations, and theoretical considerations about equity in health access. Finally, research and implementation methodology includes the frameworks proposed to guide future research, general considerations of how to improve uptake, and theoretical considerations of what constitutes ethical app use.

Table 1. Themes of considerations and recommendations regarding app design and implementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Considerations and recommendations found in the literature (N=162), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data management (eg, the use of blockchain [53-56] and sunset clause [57])</td>
<td>58 (35.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User experience (eg, rewards to app users [58] and simple design and user interface for interaction [59])</td>
<td>48 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication (eg, clarifying false beliefs about the app [29] and prevention campaign about individual risks [60])</td>
<td>23 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and implementation methodology (eg, NPT\textsuperscript{a} framework to guide development and evaluation of complex DPT\textsuperscript{b} interventions [61], apps must be necessary, proportional, scientifically valid, and time bound [62])</td>
<td>18 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement of stakeholders (eg, low-cost wristband in low-socioeconomic areas [54] or alignment of the app with local culture and vulnerable populations [63])</td>
<td>15 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}NPT: Normalization Process Theory.  
\textsuperscript{b}DPT: digital proximity tracing.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This study demonstrates that the volume of articles on design and implementation considerations for COVID-19 contact tracing apps grew rapidly in the first months of the pandemic and peaked in August 2020. As the evidence base increased, the proportion of opinion pieces decreased in comparison to surveys and review articles. This is not surprising given the novelty of this technology and the urgency around technological developments triggered by the pandemic. Accordingly, earlier articles mostly discussed principles related to the development and implementation of contact tracing apps mostly in theoretical terms, while the proportion of empirical articles increased...
starting in July. Despite the growing number of publications, their scope remained limited with respect to design and implementation considerations. Our findings demonstrate that critical design and implementation considerations were lacking in the early academic literature on contact tracing apps.

In fact, less than half of the selected articles cited the need for a participatory process, which nonetheless constituted the most frequently referenced item of the Framework to Improve the Uptake and Impact of eHealth Technologies. Only 40% (25/63) of the articles presented evidence of public or patient engagement in the contact tracing app development process. This stands in stark contrast with the ideal of “co-creation from ideation to operationalization” in eHealth technologies, as described in this framework [41]. Other principles from the same framework were infrequently addressed, such as the need for continuous evaluation cycles, the creation of new processes for health care delivery, the need to assess impact, and the proposing of specific actions for implementation.

We further analyzed the level of citizen and patient engagement in the development of contact tracing apps by using the Montreal model [42]. We found that, among the 28 studies that addressed this component, nearly all (n=24, 86%) consisted of public consultations. Although essential to inform design and implementation of eHealth technologies, consultation ranks lower on the patient engagement continuum as compared to collaboration and partnership. This imbalance may have resulted from the urgency of the public health crisis combined with the perception that citizen and patient engagement is time-consuming [64-66]. However, the upstream efforts deployed to promote collaboration and partnership with users provided key insights at early stages of development [67,68]. Ignoring user engagement or relying solely on downstream consultation may in fact delay implementation by triggering a need to redesign certain features or even contribute to ultimately unsuccessful ventures [68,69].

Moreover, when we assessed these studies according to the Responsible Innovation in Health framework [46], we found that most of the 63 articles described the impact of contact tracing apps at the population level and on health systems (n=63, 100% and n=50, 79%, respectively), mainly in theoretical terms. However, only a few acknowledged the environmental, organizational, and economic value domains (each domain was found in less than 20% of the included studies). Their near absence from the literature may indicate that these domains are not perceived as being relevant to the development of COVID-19 contact tracing apps.

The incompleteness of the academic literature on the design and implementation characteristics of COVID-19 contact tracing apps stems in part from the novelty of the topic and the need for timely innovations to fight the pandemic. As such, we did not expect the literature to address all the domains of the selected frameworks. However, few academic publications highlighted the need to assess the impact of this intervention or consider organizational challenges related to its deployment, among other key elements to successful and responsible eHealth innovation. Likewise, the limited references to higher forms of upstream end user engagement stand in contrast to this technology’s inherent reliance on widespread adoption. It may be that app developers or researchers did not perceive some of these factors as bearing sufficient relevance for incorporation at the development stage or for later publication. Nonetheless, more than one year since the start of the pandemic, many of these elements are still lacking and were highlighted as essential to the success of COVID-19 contact tracing apps [38]. Considering these findings, we hypothesize that the sense of urgency instilled by the pandemic motivated shortcuts away from a full compliance with best practices in eHealth development. In turn, this may have contributed to the unconvincing implementation of contact tracing apps to date. Future research will help determine which factors are most associated with the development of sustainable, feasible, ethically acceptable, and socially desirable technological solutions in the context of a public health emergency. As specific evidence on this topic continues to accumulate, we draw upon the existing literature to make some practical recommendations for successful contact tracing apps and related eHealth innovations.

**Recommendations Regarding the Design Considerations and Implementation of COVID-19 Contact Tracing Apps**

**Data Management**

Data protection and the looming risk of mass surveillance have understandably dominated the debate on the ethical, legal, and social implications of contact tracing apps. Users must therefore be intelligibly informed of the steps taken to protect their privacy. One consideration is that the risk of the proposed contact tracing app should be compared with that of the frequently used apps that most people have on their cellphones. For example, the New York Times “Privacy Project” recently revealed the tracking of millions of unsuspecting Americans through location-sharing apps (eg, apps used to access directions, weather information, or local fidelity programs) [70]. The heightened public scrutiny of contact tracing apps may thus serve as an opportunity to promote digital literacy and reflect on the responsible use (or misuse) of digital tools.

**Communication**

Clear and transparent messaging developed with and for citizens can be promoted through simple and familiar means, such as concise information labels inspired by those of the food industry. This approach was used by members of the health care machine learning community to promote the transparent and responsible use of clinical decision support tools [71]. If they are shown to be effective, clear communication and efforts to improve health literacy will further promote stakeholders’ engagement [72,73] and may increase public trust in governments and health authorities. Rebranding or re framing such as changing the terminology from tracking apps to exposure notification apps may also be a solution that would improve both public trust and app uptake. Moreover, given the large number of contact tracing apps developed thus far, a consistent presentation of fundamental design components (see the Introduction section) would assist stakeholders in their evaluation of a given app. It may also promote efficient comparisons between different apps and perhaps limit the risk of unnecessary duplication.
**Engaging Key Stakeholders**

Key stakeholders of contact tracing apps include potential users, technology developers, policy makers, and funding agencies. As previously noted, the prevailing form of engagement has been through public consultations, which is recommended in the analyzed articles. We would therefore argue that app developers and the various institutions that implement such technologies would benefit from greater upstream collaboration and partnership with individuals from diverse backgrounds, including patients. Engaging key stakeholders early in the process will also help identify the right problems and constraints, eventually narrowing the range of most suitable technological tools. Indeed, even if a contact tracing app perfectly identified high-risk contacts, it would not achieve the desired outcome of reduced viral transmission if it required prohibitively expensive hardware or if it relied on massive viral testing in a strained health care system unable to provide a sufficient number of diagnostic tests.

As previously described, the potential risks of contact tracing apps may disproportionately affect minority and marginalized groups [35-37]. It is important to seek such participation in the development of these tools to mitigate and, ideally, eliminate the risk of increasing disparities through their use. This recommendation is also supported by the literature, which prescribes strategies for reaching low socioeconomic groups and older adults. Unfortunately, it may be difficult to engage with certain groups, such as for people who experience homelessness, (digital) illiteracy, living in remote settings, or underrepresentation within the typical channels of citizen engagement due to systemic racism or other structural vulnerabilities. In these cases, one practical option by which app developers could enhance the diversity of voices contributing to their product is to partner with community leaders and individuals who work closely with underrepresented groups. Although this will require an early investment of time and resources, the dividends in terms of greater inclusiveness, accurate problem identification, and a broader assessment of the impact on outcomes across different populations will strengthen a project’s chances of achieving responsible design and successful implementation. Public and private funders can also play an important role in ensuring that effective collaboration occurs early in the development of contact tracing apps by prioritizing proposals that promote a participatory process.

**User Experience**

A simple and intuitive user interface may not only enhance the user experience at an individual level, it may also improve uptake at the population level. Apps that focus on interactive design features can help users better understand how to use the app correctly and more effectively, whereas apps that are focused on information may reduce the assimilation of this information by users if they are not visually appealing [74]. Moreover, resolving in-app technical shortcomings will likely improve the user experience. In fact, an Australian survey noted that 24% of respondents had listed technical concerns as a reason not to download a contact tracing app during the COVID-19 pandemic [27]. An easy system for reporting technical difficulties and a minimally disruptive evaluation of other app functionalities may therefore increase use. Moreover, since one main barrier to app uptake is privacy and data security concerns [21-23,27,29,49,75,76], users can be empowered by designs that use customizable app functionalities. For example, app users could be offered choices in the technology used to collect the data (GPS or Bluetooth), how the data is stored [77], and the level of interaction with local health agencies.

Simple and useful apps will likely incentivize uptake and use. This can be supported by developing apps that require readily available personal technology, personalized updates on pertinent and accurate information aligned with local guidelines, minimal disruption to daily functioning (eg, minimizing battery use [78]), and facilitated testing in collaboration with local health care systems. Poor coordination with local health actors may therefore significantly compromise the potential user value of contact tracing apps. On the other hand, locally integrated apps may help streamline testing when it is indicated and provide current trustworthy recommendations. In many instances, COVID-19 contact tracing apps were designed to complement established traditional public health interventions. Apps that work in silos may therefore be less appealing to the public, as their perceived usefulness and effectiveness may be compromised [79]. Furthermore, implementation should aim for interoperability in the identification and notification of high-risk contacts in the greater interest of public health. This may be more attainable in jurisdictions that share compatible legal frameworks for data protection and privacy, such as the General Data Protection Regulation [80].

Financial incentives should also be considered. A study that focused on tracking the use of Germany’s official contact tracing app, Corona-Warn-App, found that app uptake is more prevalent among older populations, individuals with pre-existing conditions, and those with high levels of education and income. Additionally, the study reported that information interventions, in the form of short videos addressing privacy, effectiveness, and app functionality issues, were useful in increasing users’ knowledge about the app but were not effective in driving uptake. On the other hand, interventions that provide a monetary incentive (as low as €1 [US $1.22], €3 [US $3.67], or €5 [US $6.11]) upon installation were found to be useful in increasing uptake [81].

**Research and Implementation Strategies**

Empirical evidence is urgently needed to determine whether the benefits of a given contact tracing app significantly outweigh its risks. Although contact tracing technologies were used in prior Ebola and influenza outbreaks, there is limited empirical evidence on their effectiveness. As for their impact in reducing viral transmission during the COVID-19 pandemic, it is mainly based on mathematical simulation models that used varied assumptions and methodologies [18]. Real-life estimated treatment effects, beyond the current simulation models, are urgently needed, with precise descriptions of the context in which the tool was used. In addition to the estimated effect of contact tracing apps on public health outcomes (eg, the impact on the basic reproduction number R0), studies should also report on the input data and the corresponding outputs. At the least,
information on app downloading and daily use must be made available, along with a description of key app features. Such information would enable the study of factors that enhance uptake and the relationship between app uptake and public health effectiveness. Observational studies on contact tracing app effectiveness during a period when large-scale interventions are continuously being proposed and deimplemented will certainly be limited by significant biases. They can nonetheless shed light on the role played by digital contact tracing during this pandemic and future infectious disease outbreaks. This observational evidence can then be compared to outcomes from simulation-based studies, including a recent modeling that suggests that a digital contact tracing and exposure notification system can support traditional public health interventions in reducing transmission, even at participation levels as low as 15% [82].

In addition, academic reports on contact tracing apps and related eHealth innovations must adhere to established reporting guidelines such as CONSORT-EHEALTH (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials of Electronic and Mobile Health Applications and Online Telehealth) for eHealth and mHealth interventions [83]. The global scale of contact tracing apps makes the incorporation of the key domains and subdomains proposed in the Responsible Innovation in Health framework even more crucial than in local innovations. eHealth proposals should therefore indicate their predicted or estimated impact on health inequalities, health system inclusiveness and responsiveness, frugality, business model, and eco-responsibility. Funding agencies and academic journals must request that submissions comprehensively address these issues.

Limitations
The findings of this scoping review must be interpreted considering certain limitations. First, this study includes articles published up to November 6, 2020. As the volume of publications continues to grow, a systematic review focused on narrower questions related to contact tracing apps may become relevant. We attempted to maximize the reach of our search by including multiple databases and by developing a rigorous study selection process. Relevant articles only available in the gray literature or exclusively in governmental databases may nevertheless have been missed, although official apps developed by governments, such as the National Health Service contact tracing app, were included in several of the articles analyzed. Furthermore, given the purposefully broad question posed by this study, the reproducibility of data extraction and charting presented some challenges. We addressed them by relying on well-established and complementary frameworks that were particularly appropriate to the research question. Moreover, multiple authors reviewed the process and provided supportive statements when a particular component or domain was considered to be present in a given article.

Conclusions
The emerging academic literature on contact tracing apps reveals significant knowledge gaps regarding their design and implementation. Key stakeholders are thus limited in their ability to critically appraise this eHealth innovation. Most of the included studies lacked fundamental aspects of the successful eHealth development and implementation framework. Similarly, few articles described the impact of contact tracing apps on the environmental, organizational, and economic domains, which are essential to evaluate responsible innovation in health. Among the studies that described a form of public participation, nearly all of them relied on consultation as opposed to collaboration or partnership. These overlooked components of eHealth development and implementation may have contributed to the modest uptake of contact tracing tools worldwide. They suggest a critical gap between theory and practice, whereby numerous academic sources promote a holistic and participatory approach to eHealth innovation, but few products incorporate them. Partnerships between app developers, researchers, policy makers, and users early in the development process will narrow this gap. Transparent, systematic, and comprehensive reporting of COVID-19 contact tracing app outcomes will further enable their critical appraisal. The lessons learned about the social acceptability of contact tracing apps as they were deployed at an unprecedented pace and scale must serve in future iterations of this innovation and in the development of other eHealth technologies aimed at sustainably supporting public health. They must attest to the importance of stakeholder engagement, problem identification, minimal system disruptions, longitudinal outcome measurement, and use incentivization.
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Abstract

Background: During the COVID-19 pandemic, contact tracing apps have received a lot of public attention. The ongoing debate highlights the challenges of the adoption of data-driven innovation. We reflect on how to ensure an appropriate level of protection of individual data and how to maximize public health benefits that can be derived from the collected data.

Objective: The aim of the study was to analyze available COVID-19 contact tracing apps and verify to what extent public health interests and data privacy standards can be fulfilled simultaneously in the process of the adoption of digital health technologies.

Methods: A systematic review of PubMed and MEDLINE databases, as well as grey literature, was performed to identify available contact tracing apps. Two checklists were developed to evaluate (1) the apps’ compliance with data privacy standards and (2) their fulfillment of public health interests. Based on both checklists, a scorecard with a selected set of minimum requirements was created with the goal of estimating whether the balance between the objective of data privacy and public health interests can be achieved in order to ensure the broad adoption of digital technologies.

Results: Overall, 21 contact tracing apps were reviewed. In total, 11 criteria were defined to assess the usefulness of each digital technology for public health interests. The most frequently installed features related to contact alerting and governmental accountability. The least frequently installed feature was the availability of a system of medical or organizational support. Only 1 app out of 21 (5%) provided a threshold for the population coverage needed for the digital solution to be effective. In total, 12 criteria were used to assess the compliance of contact tracing apps with data privacy regulations. Explicit user consent, voluntary use, and anonymization techniques were among the most frequently fulfilled criteria. The least often implemented criteria were provisions of information about personal data breaches and data gathered from children. The balance between standards of data protection and public health benefits was achieved best by the COVIDSafe app and worst by the Alipay Health Code app.

Conclusions: Contact tracing apps with high levels of compliance with standards of data privacy tend to fulfill public health interests to a limited extent. Simultaneously, digital technologies with a lower level of data privacy protection allow for the collection of more data. Overall, this review shows that a consistent number of apps appear to comply with standards of data privacy, while their usefulness from a public health perspective can still be maximized.
KEYWORDS
COVID-19; contact tracing app; data accessibility; data privacy; mobile app; digital health; digital contact tracing

Introduction

The COVID-19 outbreak has shown how digital solutions can transform the health care system in an unprecedented manner. The rapid implementation of numerous innovative technologies to treat patients with COVID-19 has highlighted how much the human race can really benefit from data-driven transformation [1,2].

However, not all digital solutions have been launched in a contiguous manner. A specific example in this case includes mobile technologies. In principle, there are three areas in which mobile phone apps could aid in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic: (1) self-diagnosis and facilitating treatment, (2) monitoring and enforcing the quarantine of infected persons, and (3) signaling if one is in close contact with an infected person [3].

The app used in the third area is called a contact tracing app and uses a smartphone to create a memory of any close contact with others for a significant amount of time. A warning is sent in case an infection is registered for anyone from the memory list, notifying the phone’s owner to get tested and possibly self-quarantine.

Despite ongoing challenges to limit the spread of the virus, the launch of such digital solutions still faces several hurdles. Meanwhile, digital contact tracing apps have enormous potential, given the fact that there are more than 3.5 billion mobile phone users worldwide. However, there is significant resistance to allowing such technologies to interfere in the lives of individuals. More than one hundred nongovernmental organizations and civil rights associations have urged governments not to use the pandemic as an excuse to enter a new era of digital surveillance [4].

In an effort to overcome potential privacy challenges in the adoption of contact tracing technologies, the European Data Protection Board (EDPD) has published guidance for the use of location data and contact tracing tools intended to mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic [3]. Moreover, Article 9 (2) of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) allows for access to special categories of data if the processing of such data is necessary for reasons of public interest in the public health sector, such as protection from serious cross-border threats to health. However, such a possibility consists of neither the full compression of privacy rights nor the GDPR itself [5].

Hence, existing guidelines and principles available at the European level should be considered as safe tools to guide developers of digital solutions, even in critical times, so as to ensure the adequate respect of individuals’ rights. On the other hand, considering that the public health benefit of contact tracing apps depends directly on their widespread use, it is desirable to have governments strive to build trust among citizens with a high level of transparency. The pandemic showed how individual health is strictly connected to others’ health, and that one of the most effective measures in the absence of a vaccine is the behavior of the individual, for that one person as much as for the whole community.

Therefore, while it is desirable to develop an app that guarantees an adequate level of privacy, it is equally desirable that citizens feel the need to use such an app as an act of social responsibility toward themselves and the community in general.

In this context, the objective of our study was to address the following two questions: (1) How do available contact tracing apps allow for data collection for public health benefits and comply with standards of data privacy? and (2) Can the balance between public health interests and the protection of personal data be established to ensure the broad use of digital technologies?

The success or failure of the adoption of contact tracing apps will have impacts beyond the ongoing fight against the COVID-19 pandemic. It will set a precedent for future opportunities and challenges in the integration of other digital solutions into clinical practice while ensuring the data privacy of its users. Therefore, we hope that our conclusions and recommendations can contribute to the debate regarding the adoption of digital technologies in the support of solving health issues, even beyond challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

Systematic Review

We first performed a literature search in PubMed, MEDLINE, IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers), and ACM (Association for Computing Machinery) Digital Library databases, covering the period between January 1 and August 31, 2020. The following key phrases were applied: “contact tracing,” “contact detector,” “contact mapping and COVID-19,” “COVID-2019,” “severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2,” “2019-nCoV,” and “SARS-CoV-2.” The search terms are included in Multimedia Appendix 1. Only research articles written in English presenting a specific contact tracking app were included. Other publications, such as news articles, editorials, commentaries, reviews, or letters, were excluded. No geographical restriction was imposed. The selection and review of included publications were conducted independently by two reviewers, and discrepancies were resolved by consensus. The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) checklist was followed [6].

Supplementary Search

A supplementary search on the GitHub repository, as well as on governmental and app webpages, was then performed separately to collect additional information related to contact tracing apps that were identified in the systematic literature review. The following terms were screened: (1) overview, (2) frequently asked questions (FAQ), (3) data privacy, and (4) terms of use.
Assessment of the Fulfillment of Public Health Interests and Compliance With Data Privacy Guidelines

In order to address the first research question, two checklists were then developed to ensure a standardized approach toward the review of each technology. Both were sourced based on external reports in the fields of interest. The first checklist was constructed to review the key functions of mobile apps that define their fulfillment of public health interests. It was based on the Ada Lovelace Institute’s report [7]. The second checklist was constructed to verify the compliance of contact tracing apps with selected data privacy standards. The following European guidelines were adopted in that respect: (1) the Privacy Code of Conduct for mobile health apps from the European Commission [8] and (2) the guidelines on the use of location data and contact tracing tools in the context of the COVID-19 outbreak from the EDPD [9]. The definition of each data privacy criterion that was used is available in Multimedia Appendix 2.

Balance Between Data Privacy and Public Health Interests

Finally, in order to address the second research question, a set of minimum requirements that simultaneously fulfill objectives of data privacy and public health was constructed. All reviewed contact tracing apps were ranked. The score of 1 or –1 was applied for each condition being met or not met, respectively. In the absence of information, a score of 0 was assigned. All requirements were considered as equally important. The ranking of all the reviewed technologies was constructed based on the number of scores granted.

Results

Systematic Review and Supplementary Research Eligibility

Overall, 611 unique records were identified across three databases, of which 531 articles did not meet the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). The abstract review of 80 full-text publications led to the exclusion of a further 33 articles (Multimedia Appendix 3). As the result of the full-text review of the remaining 47 publications, 38 different contact tracing apps were identified. Out of these 38 mobile apps, 17 were further excluded due to the unavailability of downloadable systems (n=11), a lack of sufficient information needed to perform the study (n=4), and not meeting criteria for contact tracing technology (n=2) (Figure 1). Further reasons for the exclusion of apps are provided in Multimedia Appendix 4 [10-42]. In the final set, 21 digital technologies were included. Among them were 18 (86%) and 3 (14%) apps that were used nationally and internationally, respectively. Additional information was collected from 34 supplementary references: government websites (n=7), app websites (n=13), academic articles (n=3), and GitHub (n=11) (Multimedia Appendix 5).
Assessment of the Apps’ Fulfillment of Public Health Interests

In total, 11 criteria were defined to assess how digital technologies were able to fulfill public health interests. The three most frequently adopted types of functions in the group of reviewed contact tracing apps were (1) information about geographical coverage, (2) contact alerting, and (3) governmental responsibility. The two least frequently adopted functions were (1) medical and organizational support and (2) efficiency threshold (Multimedia Appendix 6 [10-27,43-56]). Out of the 21 apps, 19 (90%) received support from governments, but only 1 (5%) provided the additional threshold required to establish an app’s efficiency. A confirmation of diagnosis with COVID-19 was required in 20 out of 21 (95%) cases, and 5 (24%) apps offered the possibility to register symptoms of COVID-19, such as fever, cough, or dyspnea. In 11 cases out of 21 (52%), the recommendation to self-isolate was issued. Other types of proximity data, such as recent travel, potentially related disorders, possible point of contact, and risk factors such as chronic disorders, were collected in half of apps as well (11/21, 52%) (Multimedia Appendix 6). Alipay Health Code was the only app that collected data about medical treatment; however, no details were found in that respect. Public health authorities and employers could access data stored in 10 (48%) and 1 (5%) contact tracing apps, respectively. Finally, there were two forms of support offered through contact tracing apps: a symptom checker and health care coordination (Multimedia Appendix 6).

Assessment of the Apps’ Compliance With Data Privacy Guidelines

In total, 12 criteria were defined to verify to what extent digital technologies complied with data privacy guidelines. The three most frequently met conditions were (1) user consent, (2) voluntary basis, and (3) adoption of anonymization techniques (Multimedia Appendix 7 [10-27,43-56]). The provisions of information about (1) personal data breaches, (2) data gathered from children, and (3) confirmation of no data sharing to third parties were the least often implemented (Multimedia Appendix 7). As far as adequate security measures were concerned, 16 out of 21 (76%) contact tracing apps used a decentralized approach and 2 (10%) used a centralized approach. The remaining 3 (14%) apps did not provide such information. Moreover, 14 out of 21 (67%) apps published their code in the GitHub open source repository (Multimedia Appendix 7). Among other techniques, data aggregation, hashing algorithms, and asymmetrical unique keys were identified.
Furthermore, 15 of the 21 (74%) apps used pseudo-random (ie, frequently changing and ephemeral) identifiers as a method to ensure cybersecurity. This method suggests that the temporary ID of each device is created and modified periodically. Bluetooth appeared to be the most common method, which was adopted in 18 of the 21 (86%) apps, while 5 (24%) used GPS only, or in addition to Bluetooth. Regarding data retention, the average period of retention of proximity data was 3 weeks (Multimedia Appendix 7). Finally, 13 out of 21 (62%) apps used a mechanism to verify COVID-19–positive results, specifically verification through a code sent to a mobile phone or confirmed by health care professionals (Multimedia Appendix 7).

**Balance Between Data Protection and Public Health Interests**

The set of 10 and 6 minimum requirements related to data privacy and public health interests, respectively, were defined to assess the balance between these two domains (Multimedia Appendix 8). None of the analyzed apps managed to comply with all 16 conditions. COVIDSafe and SwissCovid met all 10 data privacy requirements and 5 out of 6 criteria for fulfillment of public health interests (15 points). The worst performance was achieved by Alipay Health Code (~3 points). Among the reviewed technologies, the standards of governmental accountability, anonymization, and encryption were the most frequently fulfilled. The establishment of an efficiency threshold and the adoption of rules against data breaches were the criteria with the lowest compliance.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

The success of the digital revolution in the health care sector depends on access to a consistent volume of quality data that are useful to medical advancement, while ensuring an appropriate level of protection of personal data privacy. In our research, we attempted to systematically analyze the state of the art in the adoption of contact tracing apps in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic, with the objective to verify to what extent the requirements concerning data protection and public health interests can be achieved simultaneously.

In order to develop the comprehensive list of criteria for our analysis, we searched the public domain for available guidelines in the fields of interest. We adopted a human-centered approach in that respect, which should be interpreted from two perspectives.

Firstly, when addressing the type of data being collected, we strived to choose the most comprehensive set of criteria that would ensure the maximization of public health benefit from a societal perspective. Therefore, we deliberately selected the Ada Lovelace Institute’s report, which is based on the core values and the underlying mission of the institute (ie, to ensure that data and artificial intelligence work for people and society) [7].

Secondly, when addressing data protection, we focused on guidelines that were developed based on the most comprehensive set of data protection rules, such as the GDPR. From the European perspective, data protection is, in fact, considered a fundamental human right. Hence, we selected (1) the Privacy Code of Conduct on mobile health apps from the European Commission [8] and (2) the guidelines on the use of location data and contact tracing tools in the context of the COVID-19 outbreak from the EDPD. The GDPR is a regulation (ie, directly effective for member states without the need of further national laws) that concerns all types of processing of personal data and it applies to entities that process the personal data of European citizens. Hence, compliance with the GDPR is to be considered implicit for all European apps. The two selected legal instruments have a different level of authority, being a set of guidelines and a code of conduct, which means that developers can voluntarily commit to follow the rules. Hence, we decided to evaluate to what extent such rules—that we considered relevant in relation to contact tracing apps—have been followed by developers. Let us note that contact tracing apps can be considered mobile health technologies for two reasons: (1) information about COVID-19 positivity equates to health data and (2) there often are other consequences when the app sends a notification about contact with a positive case (eg, the receiver has a recommendation to self-isolate, whereas others need to contact health care providers). Hence, these consequences may be equivalent to the notion of providing health advice.

Not only did we develop the set of criteria for our analysis based on the chosen guidelines, but we also constructed a scorecard that simultaneously evaluated compliance with data protection and the level of fulfillment of public health benefits. It should be noted that although we did assign the same weight to all minimum requirements on the scorecard, we do value data protection as an essential condition due to the recognition of privacy as a human right and the urge to prevent a surveillance society. Hence, from our perspective, meeting the data protection criteria should be recommended as the mandatory base upon which to build digital health solutions and, consequently, public health benefits.

In sum, we reviewed 37 records about 21 contact tracing apps. In total, 12 and 11 criteria were used for the assessment of compliance with data privacy and fulfillment with public health interests, respectively. There are three key important findings worth highlighting.

Firstly, the majority of reviewed contact tracing apps were, to a greater extent, compliant with data privacy standards. As many as 18 out of 21 (86%) apps were designed to be used on a voluntary basis. Such an approach should be considered as optimal because it prevents any discrimination against individuals who are unable or unwilling to download the app. Moreover, the mandatory use of digital technology could lead to financial and technical burdens. Hence, jurisdictions with mandatory use of such apps are actually not compliant with the European standards. As demonstrated in Multimedia Appendix 7, BeAware Bahrain, Alipay Health Code, and Aarogya Setu were part of this category. A consistent number of apps used the decentralized approach (16/21, 76%), which is preferable to the centralized approach from a cybersecurity perspective. Additionally, Bluetooth technology with changing ephemeral
identifiers was employed in 15 out of 21 (71%) cases, which is preferable to GPS for privacy reasons, as Bluetooth is less intrusive. Still, there is room for improvement with respect to compliance with data privacy; in addition, the rules against data breaches were provided in only 3 out of 21 (14%) cases.

Secondly, as far as public health interests are concerned, there is still room for improvement among contact tracing apps. Only 8 out of 21 (38%) apps provided the definition of close contact, and governmental accountability was limited to 19 out of 21 (90%) cases. Additionally, 10 out of 21 (48%) apps reviewed solutions that were allowed for data accessibility for health care professionals. In that group, only 8 out of 10 (80%) apps provided details regarding techniques used for data aggregation, encryption, and/or anonymization. Meanwhile, there were just 13 out of 21 (62%) apps that used the mechanism of diagnosis verification, and the threshold for the population coverage needed for the digital solution to be effective was provided only once.

Thirdly, we observed the tendency that, with a high level of compliance with data privacy regulations, public health interests could be achieved to a limited extent, whereas a lower level of compliance with data privacy occurred across cases with greater potential for data collection. The COVIDSafe and SwissCovid apps met 15 out of 16 requirements. Both ensured that the minimum amount of data necessary for contact tracing was collected (ie, proximity data through Bluetooth). Contrary to that, Alipay Health Code ranked last in the scorecard as it processed a vast amount of data. Such a difference seems to be linked with different cultural, geographic, and political backgrounds. In principle, collecting more data could be useful from a public health perspective, for example, in order to closely monitor the contagion or to have a clearer and bigger picture of the spread of the disease from an epidemiological standpoint.

Nonetheless, in relation to Alipay Health Code, no information was found about the actual use of the collected data for public health purposes. The lack of information available to users concerning the use of their data for further public health purposes would not, per se, infringe on the European principles as expressed in the GDPR. Indeed, Article 5.1(b) of the GDPR considers further processing of collected data for public interest as compatible with the initial purpose of processing. Nonetheless, from a policy perspective, such ambiguity about the use of personal data might not be desirable in the European environment. In fact, not only might it disincentivize the use of apps due to the lack of transparency, but it could also have detrimental effects on society by undermining trust between citizens and governments.

Our findings need to be regarded with caution due to certain limitations of our study that must be acknowledged. Firstly, given the dynamic pandemic situation, we are aware that new developments in the field occur on a daily basis; as such, a number of new contact tracing apps were published after our systematic literature review was completed, or they were excluded due to limited information. On that note, it is worth mentioning that one of the most downloaded apps in the United States, namely HealthLynked COVID-19 Tracker [57,58], was not included in our study due to a lack of accessible information. Indeed, no sufficient information in relation to privacy and public health conditions was available in order to include the app in our tables. In fact, the website of the developer does not provide technical details nor a dedicated privacy policy for the tracking app; only the general privacy policy for other pre-existing HealthLynked apps was found. In our view, such disconnection between information provided to users and users’ downloads of the app raises serious privacy concerns and does not constitute a good practice for future developers.

Secondly, let us highlight that our checklists were based on specific references, while there have been other relevant guidelines already developed in relation to COVID-19 contact tracing apps. An example in this case is the article Ethical guidelines for COVID-19 tracing apps, which was already published in Nature by a group of researchers from the University of Oxford after our study had been completed [10]. Such guidelines include not only principles of privacy but also relevant ethical issues, such as equality in the access to digital technology, which we do not discuss in our publication.

Thirdly, we deliberately omitted the assessment of effectiveness of reviewed contact tracing apps, as this was already published by other authors [59].

Finally, although we used a global approach to search for apps, our analysis was conducted from a European perspective. Non-European jurisdictions have diverse cultural and political backgrounds and, as such, may follow different sets of values [60]. Even before the COVID-19 outbreak, it had been routine to undergo temperature checks before visiting public places, maintain social distancing, and wear masks in some non-European countries [60]. Their technological apparatus is entirely different from the European one, as is the level of citizens’ trust for the government. Accordingly, solutions adopted in such countries are not necessarily easily applicable in European settings and vice versa [60].

Conclusions

Despite the above limitations, we hope that our results and conclusions will inspire approaches on how to develop digital health solutions and ensure their broad adoption. Our literature review indicated that a consistent number of apps appear to be substantially compliant with the standards of data privacy, while the usefulness of contact tracing technologies from the public health perspective can still be maximized. Available surveys indicate that attitudes toward the use of contact tracing apps vary across different jurisdictions. According to a University of Oxford survey, the acceptance rate for contact tracing apps ranged from 67.5% to 85.5% in France, Germany, and Italy [61]. Conversely, a Pew Research Center study found that 60% of Americans believe that location tracking will not help limit the spread of COVID-19, and only 45% believe that such an app is allowed to track citizens who have had contact with an infected person [62]. Still, available estimates indicate that more than half of the population should use such an app in order to provide public health benefits [63]. Therefore, the question is how to ensure the broad adoption of contact tracing apps when their adoption happens on a voluntary basis, as was the case in 18 out of the 21 (86%) reviewed technologies. At the same time, only 1 of the 21 (5%) reviewed contact tracing apps provided information regarding the threshold for its efficiency in
combatting the COVID-19 pandemic. Consequently, the ultimate objective can be defined as the need to establish an evidence-based approach to the definition of the broad use of contact tracing apps and an educational campaign about their benefits. Indeed, mandatory use of digital health solutions would undermine the right to personal freedom that characterizes democratic societies. Another recent global survey on 7804 respondents from seven countries revealed that as many as 41% of the study population indicated data security as the number one barrier to adopting digital solutions. The adoption of the decentralized approach, which was the case for 16 out of 21 (76%) apps, may provide a better chance to obtain the required trust among end users [64].

Overall, a prima facie analysis suggests that the more intrusive the government is into an individual’s privacy, the more that public health can benefit from the data. Moreover, it seems that a high level of privacy protection corresponds to an obstacle in terms of the use of data for public health. Nonetheless, we argue that the two interests can be perceived as not contradictory. Indeed, digital health solutions that protect individuals’ privacy can be directed toward optimization of public health benefits. To achieve such a goal, the adoption of transparency policies that increase trust between public and private stakeholders should be encouraged. In fact, solid public confidence in digital solutions developed by governments that prioritize the protection of the rights of individuals can foster further data sharing for public health purposes, among other things.

Indeed, we believe that the key success factors in this matter are transparency and information campaigns targeting individuals, which can be achieved by working toward an awareness of citizens’ responsibility and by providing relevant information on data protection and cybersecurity. Fostering citizen involvement in public matters such as public health can help to make every individual feel like a member of the state. Such awareness would stimulate individuals to share their data in a controlled and safe environment for the benefit of society.
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