Review

The Association Between Smartphone App–Based Self-monitoring of Hypertension-Related Behaviors and Reductions in High Blood Pressure: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Aikaterini Kassavou, BSc, MSc, PhD; Michael Wang, BSc, MPhil; Venus Mirzaei, BSc, MSc; Sonia Shpendi, BSc, MSc, PhD; Rana Hasan, BSc

The Primary Care Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, The University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author:

Aikaterini Kassavou, BSc, MSc, PhD The Primary Care Unit Department of Public Health and Primary Care The University of Cambridge East Forvie Building Cambridge, CB2 0SR United Kingdom Phone: 44 1223330456 Email: <u>aikaterini.kassavou@wbs.ac.uk</u>

Abstract

Background: Self-monitoring of behavior can support lifestyle modifications; however, we do not know whether such interventions are effective in supporting positive changes in hypertension-related health behaviors and thus in reducing blood pressure in patients treated for hypertension.

Objective: This systematic literature review evaluates the extent to which smartphone app–based self-monitoring of health behavior supports reductions in blood pressure and changes in hypertension-related behaviors. It also explores the behavioral components that might explain intervention effectiveness.

Methods: A systematic search of 7 databases was conducted in August 2021. Article screening, study and intervention coding, and data extraction were completed independently by reviewers. The search strategy was developed using keywords from previous reviews and relevant literature. Trials involving adults, published after the year 2000, and in the English language were considered for inclusion. The random-effects meta-analysis method was used to account for the distribution of the effect across the studies.

Results: We identified 4638 articles, of which 227 were included for full-text screening. A total of 15 randomized controlled trials were included in the review. In total, 7415 patients with hypertension were included in the meta-analysis. The results indicate that app-based behavioral self-monitoring interventions had a small but significant effect in reducing systolic blood pressure (SBP), on average, by 1.64 mmHg (95% CI 2.73-0.55, n=7301; odds ratio [OR] 1.60, 95% CI 0.74-3.42, n=114) and in improving changes in medication adherence behavior (standardized mean difference [SMD] 0.78, 95% CI 0.22-1.34) compared to usual care or minimal intervention. The review found the intervention had a small effect on supporting improvements in healthy diet by changing habits related to high sodium food (SMD -0.44, 95% CI -0.79 to -0.08) and a trend, although insignificant, toward supporting smoking cessation, low alcohol consumption, and better physical activity behaviors. A subgroup analysis found that behavioral self-monitoring interventions combined with tailored advice resulted in higher and significant changes in both SBP and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) in comparison to those not providing tailored advice (SBP: -2.92 mmHg, 95% CI -3.94 to -1.90, n=3102 vs -0.72 mmHg, 95% CI -1.67 to 0.23, n=4199, χ^2 =9.65, *P*=.002; DBP: -2.05 mmHg, 95% CI -3.10 to -1.01, n=968 vs 1.54 mmHg, 95% CI -0.53 to 3.61, n=400, χ^2 =9.19, *P*=.002).

Conclusions: Self-monitoring of hypertension-related behaviors via smartphone apps combined with tailored advice has a modest but potentially clinically significant effect on blood pressure reduction. Future studies could use rigorous methods to explore its effects on supporting changes in both blood pressure and hypertension-related health behaviors to inform recommendations for policy making and service provision.

Trial Registration: PROSPERO CRD42019136158; https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=136158

(JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2022;10(7):e34767) doi: 10.2196/34767

KEYWORDS

self-monitoring; smartphone apps; behavior change; hypertension; blood pressure; mobile health; mHealth; mobile app; self-management; lifestyle

Introduction

Hypertension, or high blood pressure, affects over 1 billion adults globally and is a leading risk factor for premature morbidity and mortality [1,2]. However, only about half of adults with hypertension achieve adequate blood pressure control, increasing both health care resources and the cost required for treatment [3]. In England, hypertension is estimated to cost the National Health Service an excess of £2 billion (US \$2.4 billion) per year [4]. Although various risk factors contribute to poorly controlled blood pressure, nonadherence to prescribed health behaviors, like compliance to prescribed medications [5], improvements in physical activity [6,7], low salt intake [8,9], consumption of fruits and vegetables [10], low alcohol consumption [11], and smoking cessation [12], independently account for most of these uncontrolled cases.

Modifying health-related behaviors to address the underlying risk factors of hypertension could result in clinically significant health improvements and reduce morbidity, mortality, and treatment cost. Practitioners have an important role in prescribing lifestyle modifications; however, the time they can spend providing advice about and supporting adherence to health behavior change recommendations is limited and expensive [13], and there is currently limited evidence on effective interventions to support health behavior change in patients treated for hypertension [14-16].

There is growing interest in the potential of digital innovations as an inexpensive and scalable method to deliver personalized advice to people with long-term health conditions, enabling them to improve adherence to their recommended health behavior modifications and achieve health improvements [17-19]. Mobile apps, facilitated via digital technologies such as computers, smartphones, tablets, and other mobile devices are accessible to large numbers of people and in different settings [20]. Smartphone apps appear to be promising due to their potential to complement physician efforts and engage patients in decision-making processes regarding their health care [21,22]. Users of app-based interventions can receive real-time advice about patterns of health behaviors that impact their long-term health condition [23], with the potential to eliminate barriers that rely on memory and are prone to inaccuracies and recall bias, and to better inform shared decision-making during usual care consultations.

Moreover, reporting and monitoring health behaviors using apps could act as a behavior change strategy to support the individual in self-regulating health behaviors and thus lead to sustained improvements in clinical health indicators [23,24]. Self-monitoring of behavior could underpin individual behavior change by modifying self-regulation processes, for example, by enabling patients to reflect on and change their health behaviors informed by behavioral performance [24-26].

```
https://mhealth.jmir.org/2022/7/e34767
```

Interventions providing advice to support patients' self-regulatory processes might be more effective at improving long-term treatment adherence and thus might be a cost-effective solution for sustained health care.

While smartphone app-based self-monitoring of health behaviors has the potential to have a direct, positive effect on patients' health and an indirect effect on service provision, to date there is a lack of evidence on the clinical effectiveness of app-based behavioral self-monitoring to support patients treated for hypertension.

Previous systematic reviews have evaluated the impact of app-based interventions to support changes in behavioral or clinical outcomes, suggesting some promising evidence of their potential effectiveness [18,27-30]. Furthermore, content analysis of publicly available apps suggests that such interventions are complex and often consist of one or a combination of the following components: generic education about the health condition, provision of social support, reminders and feedback about the behavior, feedback on blood pressure measurements, or provision of clinical advice about medicine adjustments. However, previous reviews have neither investigated the impact of behavioral self-monitoring via smartphone apps on both clinical and behavioral effectiveness nor disentangled the components that account for clinical effectiveness in patients treated for hypertension.

This review investigates whether app-based self-monitoring of health behavior reduces blood pressure and improves health behaviors in patients treated for hypertension. The review also explores the intervention components combined with the behavioral self-monitoring interventions and estimates whether and to what extent they explain intervention clinical effectiveness.

Methods

Systematic Searches, Study Eligibility and Selection, and Data Coding

This systematic literature review involved searching the electronic databases MEDLINE via Ovid, Embase via Ovid, Web of Science, PsycINFO, Scopus, CINAHL, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) in August 2021 to identify eligible studies. References for additional trials involved searches in 1 additional database: JMIR Publications [31].

The search strategy was developed using keywords from previous reviews and relevant literature (see an example of the search strategy in Multimedia Appendix 1). The review included randomized controlled trials testing intervention effects on behavior change and clinical effectiveness in people treated for hypertension. Studies on trials involving adults, published after the year 2000, and in the English language were considered for

XSL•FO

inclusion. The review was preregistered on PROSPERO (CRD42019136158).

Screening of the title, abstract, and full text was conducted independently by 4 reviewers (MW, VM, SS, and RH), and disagreements were discussed by another reviewer (AK). Articles had to meet all of the following criteria to be eligible for full-text screening: (1) the population comprised adult individuals treated for hypertension; (2) the intervention consisted of self-monitoring of hypertension-related health behaviors via a mobile app; (3) the intervention aimed to support changes in both blood pressure and related health behaviors; (4) the comparator was usual care, enhanced usual care, or a minimal behavioral intervention; (5) the study included measurements of both blood pressure and health behaviors; and (6) the study design was a randomized controlled trial.

Outcome data were extracted for measurements of systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DSP, respectively), as well as health behaviors for medication adherence, physical activity, healthy diet, alcohol consumption, and smoking cessation. Outcome data for blood pressure and health behaviors were extracted for baseline and follow-up values for most of the studies; otherwise, only the follow-up values were extracted. When follow-up values were missing (eg, SD), the baseline values were selected to estimate intervention effects.

The Taxonomy of Behavior Change Techniques [32] was selected to conceptualize and guide the coding for the self-monitoring interventions. We also coded the intervention component "tailoring" for those interventions that delivered different messages to different participants based on information obtained about them [17,18], as well as the hypothesized mechanism of behavior change when these were reported. Authors of primary studies were contacted by email for missing information. Risk of bias was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool, version 2, evaluating the risk introduced in the primary outcome of blood pressure [33,34]. Two reviewers independently coded study design and intervention components and extracted outcome data. Disagreement was discussed and resolved by a third reviewer.

Analysis

A random-effects meta-analysis was conducted to estimate the weighted, pooled effect for each of the blood pressure and behavioral outcomes to account for the true effect that may vary across the individual studies [35]. Effect sizes for continuous outcomes were calculated using the mean difference for blood pressure and the standardized mean difference (SMD) for behavioral outcome measurements. Mean difference was selected for blood pressure because measurements used similar units, whereas SMD was selected for behavioral outcomes because measurements were obtained using diverse methods, scales, units, or a composite of these. For example, for physical activity, these were minutes of physical activity per day and number of exercise sessions per week; for medication adherence,

these were days of adherence per week, summary score responses to a 5-point scale, 8-item questionnaire. Effect sizes for dichotomous outcomes were calculated using the odds ratio (OR) for both blood pressure and behavioral outcomes [35,36]. In most cases, blood pressure outcomes were grouped based on an SBP threshold of 140 mmHg and a DBP threshold of 90 mmHg (exceeding the threshold indicates poorly controlled blood pressure whereas values below the threshold indicate controlled blood pressure) unless stratification variables were applied and reported (eg, age or gender-specific thresholds, clinic vs remote measurements thresholds, multimorbidity thresholds). Behavioral outcomes were grouped based on the corresponding guidelines for health behavior change adopted by individual studies.

Change-from-baseline outcomes were calculated unless baseline data were missing, in which case changes at follow-up were included in the analysis. The random-effects meta-analysis method was used to account for the distribution of the effect across studies [37].

The I^2 statistic was used to estimate the percentage of the variability in the effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance [35]. Heterogeneity was explored further via subgroup analyses to investigate whether study-level variables could explain the observed heterogeneity.

Frequencies were used to summarize the behavioral strategies coded for each of the intervention and comparator groups [38]. Intervention strategies coded more than 3 times (frequency above 3) were considered for inclusion in the analysis. Subgroup analyses were performed to test for quantitative interactions, that is, whether intervention behavioral strategies could explain variation in the effect size.

Publication bias was examined by visual inspection of funnel plots and the Egger test. The meta-analysis was conducted using RevMan (version 5.4; The Cochrane Collaboration) [39].

Results

Overview

The systematic search of the 7 databases identified 4638 articles, of which 227 were included for full-text screening. One additional trial was identified from another source. A total of 15 randomized controlled trials with 7415 participants met all the eligibility criteria and were included in the analysis (Figure 1).

The majority of the included trials were conducted in the United States [40-45], whereas 2 studies were conducted in Australia [46,47], and 1 study in each of the following countries: Canada [48], China [49], New Zealand [50], Ghana [51], India [52], China and India [53], and Norway [54]. Participants (adults aged >18 years) were recruited from primary and secondary health care settings (Multimedia Appendix 2).

Figure 1. The PRISMA flowchart.



Meta-analysis

Blood Pressure

The meta-analysis suggested that behavioral self-monitoring interventions via smartphone apps have a small but significant effect on reducing SPB by an average of 1.64 mmHg (95% CI 2.73-0.55, n=7301; Figure 2) across the studies among those in the intervention group compared to those in the control group. A similar but insignificant effect was found among studies measuring changes in SBP based on recommended thresholds; participants receiving the intervention were, on average, 60% more likely to achieve recommended levels of SBP (eg, SBP below 140 mmHg for measurements obtained in clinic) compared to those in the control group (OR 1.60, 95% CI 0.74-3.42, n=114; Figure 3).

A similar direction of effect, though not significant, was found for the impact of the app-based behavioral self-monitoring

interventions in changing DBP. The interventions had a small effect in changing DBP by an average of 0.39 mmHg (95% CI -2.01 to 1.23, n=1368; Multimedia Appendix 3) compared to the control. The effect of the intervention in supporting reductions in DBP (eg, DBP below 90 mmHg) was, on average, 41% more likely in the intervention than in the control (OR 1.41, 95% CI 0.66-3.01, n=114; Multimedia Appendix 4), though the changes were not different between the 2 groups.

Heterogeneity between studies was low for most blood pressure outcome measurements (SBP, continuous: $I^2=29\%$, $T^2=0.87$, P=.15; SBP, dichotomous: $I^2=0\%$, $T^2=0$, P=.58; DBP, continuous: $I^2=53\%$, $T^2=2.56$, P=.04; DBP, dichotomous: $I^2=0\%$, $T^2=0$, P=.54), suggesting that there is potentially small, unimportant variation in the effect beyond chance between the 2 studies that operationalized the blood pressure outcome using categorical thresholds.

1. 1.1 Fig

Study or Subgroup	Experimental (app)			Control (no app)			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	ght IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Choi et al 2019	-0.1	16.58	51	-0.6	16.58	49	2.6%	0.50 [-6.00, 7.00]	
Del Rosario et al 2018	-2.97	19.1	33	3.84	17.2	33	1.5%	-6.81 [-15.58, 1.96]	
Dorsch et al 2020	-7.7	19	24	-0.7	13	24	1.4%	-7.00 [-16.21, 2.21]	
Eyles et al 2017	-3	15	32	-1	15	32	2.1%	-2.00 [-9.35, 5.35]	
Gong et al 2020	-8.99	6.415	225	-5.92	6.945	218	24.3X	-3.07 [-4.32, -1.82]	+
Lunde et al 2020	9	17	46	9	20	54	2.2%	0.00 [-7.18, 7.18]	
Morawski et al 2016	-10.6	16	209	-10.1	15.4	202	9.5%	-0.50 [-3.54, 2.54]	-+-
Persell et al 2020	-8.3	13.6	144	-6.8	13.7	152	9.0%	-1.50 [-4.63, 1.63]	
Petrella et al 2014	-3	19.15	67	-8.7	19.15	60	2.5%	5.70 [-0.97, 12.37]	<u> </u>
Prabhakaran et al 2019	-13.7	15.54	1637	-12.7	15.54	1687	26.7%	-1.00 [-2.06, 0.06]	-
Santo et al 2019	-0.9	18.26	107	1.6	18.26	56	3.1×	-2.50 [-8.40, 3.40]	
Tian et al 2015	-11.6	29.6	1095	-9.1	27.8	991	12.6%	-2.70 [-5.16, -0.24]	
Widmer et al 2017	0	10.6	37	-2.1	16.5	34	2.6%	2.10 [-4.41, 8.61]	
Total (95% CI)	3709 3592						100.0%	-1.64 [-2.73, -0.55]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.1	87; Chl ² -	- 16.91,	df = 12	2 (P = 0	.15); P	29%		-	de de de de
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.95$ (P = 0.003)								Eavours experimental Eavours control	
									ravours experimental ravours control

https://mhealth.jmir.org/2022/7/e34767

Figure 3. Meta-analysis of dichotomous outcome measurements for systolic blood pressure.



Medication Adherence

The SMD between the intervention and control groups was medium to large (SMD 0.78, 95% CI 0.22-1.34, n=688; Multimedia Appendix 5), suggesting that app-based behavioral self-monitoring is significantly more effective at supporting improvements in medication adherence behavior compared to the control. A similar direction of effect was found for the subsample of studies that used categorical operationalization for the intervention effect and suggested those receiving the app-based behavioral self-monitoring intervention are, on average, 3.8 times more likely to achieve clinically meaningful medication adherence than those not receiving the intervention (OR 3.83, 95% CI 1.25-11.76, n=6428; Multimedia Appendix 6).

Physical Activity

The review found a moderate but insignificant effect of the app-based behavioral self-monitoring interventions in improving physical activity (SMD 1.63, 95% CI –0.35 to 0.87, n=501; Multimedia Appendix 7) although only 4 studies provided data for this, with one of the included studies suggesting that intervention group patients were 1.6 times more likely to adhere to the lifestyle change.

Diet

The meta-analysis included 4 studies on healthy diet and suggested a moderate effect of behavioral self-monitoring on changing dietary habits by reducing the consumption of high sodium food by an SMD of 0.44 (95% CI 0.08-0.79, n=382; Multimedia Appendix 8). Objective measures of urinalysis suggested a positive but insignificant trend of the behavioral intervention in reducing salt intake. Although promising, these results should be interpreted with caution due to the small number of studies and sample size contributing to the meta-analyses.

Smoking and Alcohol

One study found, on average, a 53% improvement in smoking cessation among those receiving an app-based self-monitoring intervention compared to those in the control group (OR 1.53, 95% CI 0.76-3.09, n=3698) [52]. Effects on alcohol consumption were very small and not significant.

Subgroup Analyses

The most frequent behavior change technique coded in app-based behavioral self-monitoring interventions was feedback on behavior (n=13). Many app-based interventions (n=8) prompted participants to obtain advice from a health care provider following the behavioral measurements, and some (n=6) provided tailored advice to address the underlying

```
https://mhealth.jmir.org/2022/7/e34767
```

mechanisms of behavior change. Goal setting of behavior, information about health consequences, and generic information about hypertension were strategies each coded in a small number of interventions (n=4). The most frequent strategy coded across both the intervention and control groups was reporting blood pressure and feedback on blood pressure (Multimedia Appendix 9).

Subgroup analysis found that tailored interventions resulted in higher and significant changes in both SBP and DBP in comparison to nontailored interventions (SBP: -2.92 mmHg, 95% CI -3.94 to -1.90, n=3102 vs -0.72 mmHg, 95% CI -1.67 to 0.23, n=4199, χ^2 =9.65, *P*=.002; DBP: -2.05 mmHg, 95% CI -3.10 to -1.01, n=968 vs 1.54 mmHg, 95% CI -0.53 to 3.61, n=400, χ^2 =9.19, *P*=.002). The differences between the 2 conditions were statistically significant and clinically meaningful (Multimedia Appendices 10 and 11).

Further investigation of the data revealed no effect of preselected variables that could influence blood pressure outcome (eg, sample size, time of follow-up, blood pressure outcome measurement obtained at clinic or remotely) on the observed effect.

Risk of Bias

The risk-of-bias analyses suggested that studies were of low risk of bias. Inspection of funnel plots and the Egger test suggested a low risk of publication bias (Multimedia Appendix 12).

Discussion

Principal Findings

This systematic literature review and meta-analysis included 15 randomized controlled trials with 7415 participants and found that patients treated for hypertension receiving an app-based behavioral self-monitoring intervention reduced SBP by an average of 1.64 mmHg (95% CI 2.73-0.55) and were, on average, 60% more likely to reduce SBP to <140 mmHg and DBP to <90 mmHg compared to those in the control group. Further subgroup analysis suggested that behavioral self-monitoring interventions combined with tailored advice had a higher and potentially clinically meaningful effect on reducing both SBP (mean reduction of 2.92 mmHg) and DBP (mean reduction of 2.05 mmHg) [55,56].

This study found that app-based self-monitoring of behavior interventions increased the odds of achieving medication adherence by 3 folds in the intervention group compared to the control. The significant effect of the app-based behavioral self-monitoring interventions in supporting improvements in

both blood pressure and medication adherence provides us with confidence that such interventions could be effective solutions to support health behavior change and thus reduce blood pressure in patients treated for hypertension during blood pressure checks or similar clinical consultations.

The behavioral interventions indicated positive effects for supporting improvements in healthy diet by reducing the consumption of high sodium food, as well as positive trends in supporting physical activity, smoking cessation, and alcohol consumption. Although promising, a small number of studies contributed to these meta-analyses, and thus the results should be treated with caution.

Strengths and Limitations

This review has several strengths and limitations. It did not include gray literature or unpublished studies and was limited to searching a few publicly accessible databases only. Nevertheless, this review summarizes the currently available evidence and suggests that behavioral tailored self-monitoring interventions are effective in changing SBP and DBP by -2.92 mmHg and -2.05 mmHg on average, respectively, compared to usual care, enhanced usual care, or a minimal behavioral intervention.

A limitation of the included studies is the use of self-reported measurements for the behavioral outcomes, which are inherent to bias. This might have diminished the validity of the observed intervention effect on health behaviors. Future trials should employ valid methods of measurement to assess behavioral outcomes and thus inform recommendations for policy making and practice.

This review has evaluated randomized controlled trials that compared behavioral self-monitoring interventions with usual care, enhanced usual care, or minimal behavioral interventions. We have used an extensive search strategy and identified all publicly available evidence. We have adopted a rigorous approach to data extraction and intervention coding to generate the results and form recommendations for best practices and future intervention development.

Implications for Practice and Intervention Development

The included trials had a duration of 1 to 12 months; thus, the evidence for the sustained effects of the intervention remains uncertain. However, a limited number of studies with long-term measurements had positive trends toward blood pressure reduction. Considering the wide reach and low-cost use of mobile technologies, this evidence indicates the potential impact of behavioral interventions on overall hypertension-related morbidity and mortality.

The comparator group included usual care (eg, clinic blood pressure checks), enhanced usual care (eg, regular blood pressure checks and medication adjustments), or minimal generic lifestyle interventions (eg, lifestyle tips and advice), suggesting that tailored behavioral self-monitoring is an acceptable addition to usual care and has a small, though clinically meaningful, effect

on reducing blood pressure beyond and above usual care clinical practice.

Many studies involved clinicians in signposting participants to the app-based behavioral intervention, which might have influenced participants' engagement with the intervention and their health care. Moreover, the most frequent strategies reported being used with the behavioral self-monitoring interventions were feedback on health behaviors and prompts to obtain advice from a health care provider following behavioral measurements that require further support and monitoring. Although none of these strategies individually explained clinical effectiveness, they could have a synergistic effect in supporting patients' engagement with self-monitoring processes and thus in generating the observed improvements in health behaviors and reductions in blood pressure.

However, due to the limited information reported by primary studies, this review could not provide comprehensive, theory-based evidence on the mechanism by which the self-monitoring intervention achieved the observed clinical effectiveness [23-26]. Only a small number of studies explicitly reported on the theoretical concepts that informed the health behavior change intervention. For example, Chandler et al [40] and Dorsch et al [42] reported that the intervention aimed to modify beliefs and attitudes to support self-regulation processes and bring about changes in health behaviors. However, there is no evidence on the effects of the interventions with regard to modifying these theoretical influences to achieve changes in health behaviors and blood pressure. It would be useful if future studies report on the theoretical underpinnings and use valid measurements of engagement with the intervention strategies, as well as the underpinnings of health behaviors, to facilitate the generation of rigorous and replicable evidence on the mechanisms by which self-monitoring of behavior via the use of digital interventions support health behavior change and clinical effectiveness [57].

Conclusion

This systematic literature review suggested that tailored behavioral self-monitoring of hypertension-related behaviors facilitated via smartphone apps is effective in reducing blood pressure by an average of 2 mmHg above and beyond usual care, enhanced usual care, or minimal behavioral interventions. Thus, clinical practice should recommend behavioral self-monitoring combined with tailored behavioral advice to achieve clinical effectiveness. Considering the wide use of smartphone apps and their potential to reach large numbers of people, app-based behavioral self-monitoring interventions combined with tailored behavioral advice could potentially be a cost-effective addition to usual care blood pressure consultations. However, due to the limited quality of the trials included in this review, future research with rigorous methods is required to determine the direct impact of such interventions on both health behavior change and blood pressure, as well as their indirect effects service on provision and hypertension-related morbidity and mortality.



Acknowledgments

This paper presents independent research. AK, SS, and VM were funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) under the Programme Grants for Applied Research (grant PR-PG-0615-20013). MW and RH were funded by studentships. The views expressed are those of the authors and are not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Data Availability

All data included in this review are reported in the multimedia appendices.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Search strategy for MEDLINE. [DOCX File , 18 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

Multimedia Appendix 2

Study characteristics. [DOCX File , 23 KB-Multimedia Appendix 2]

Multimedia Appendix 3

Meta-analysis of continuous outcome measurements for diastolic blood pressure. [DOCX File , 904 KB-Multimedia Appendix 3]

Multimedia Appendix 4

Meta-analysis of dichotomous outcome measurements for diastolic blood pressure. [DOCX File , 602 KB-Multimedia Appendix 4]

Multimedia Appendix 5

Meta-analysis of continuous outcome measurements for medication adherence. [DOCX File , 660 KB-Multimedia Appendix 5]

Multimedia Appendix 6

Meta-analysis of dichotomous outcome measurements for medication adherence. [DOCX File , 725 KB-Multimedia Appendix 6]

Multimedia Appendix 7

Meta-analysis of continuous outcome measurements for physical activity. [DOCX File , 660 KB-Multimedia Appendix 7]

Multimedia Appendix 8

Meta-analysis of continuous outcome measurements for healthy diet (consumption of low sodium food). [DOCX File , 669 KB-Multimedia Appendix 8]

Multimedia Appendix 9

Intervention coding of experimental and comparator groups. [DOCX File , 33 KB-Multimedia Appendix 9]

Multimedia Appendix 10

Subgroup analysis for the systolic blood pressure continuous outcome. [DOCX File , 2091 KB-Multimedia Appendix 10]

Multimedia Appendix 11

Subgroup analysis for the diastolic blood pressure dichotomous outcome. [DOCX File , 1457 KB-Multimedia Appendix 11]

Multimedia Appendix 12

Funnel plot of the systolic blood pressure continuous outcome. [DOCX File , 957 KB-Multimedia Appendix 12]

Multimedia Appendix 13

The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) checklist. [PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 110 KB-Multimedia Appendix 13]

References

- 1. Zhou B, Carrillo-Larco RM, Danaei G, Riley LM, Paciorek CJ, Stevens GA, et al. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01330-1]
- 2. Hypertension. World Health Organization. 2021. URL: <u>https://www.who.int/health-topics/hypertension#tab=tab_1</u> [accessed 2021-08-23]
- Mazzaglia G, Ambrosioni E, Alacqua M, Filippi A, Sessa E, Immordino V, et al. Adherence to antihypertensive medications and cardiovascular morbidity among newly diagnosed hypertensive patients. Circulation 2009 Oct 20;120(16):1598-1605. [doi: <u>10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.830299</u>] [Medline: <u>19805653</u>]
- 4. York Health Economics Consortium, School of Pharmacy, University of London. Evaluation of the Scale, Causes and Costs of Waste Medicines: Final Report. 2010 Nov. URL: <u>https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/1350234/1/</u> Evaluation of NHS Medicines Waste web publication version.pdf [accessed 2022-06-07]
- 5. Schroeder K, Fahey T, Ebrahim S. Interventions for improving adherence to treatment in patients with high blood pressure in ambulatory settings. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004(3). [doi: 10.1002/14651858.cd004804]
- Diaz KM, Shimbo D. Physical activity and the prevention of hypertension. Curr Hypertens Rep 2013 Dec 20;15(6):659-668 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s11906-013-0386-8] [Medline: 24052212]
- Huai P, Xun H, Reilly KH, Wang Y, Ma W, Xi B. Physical Activity and Risk of Hypertension. Hypertension 2013 Dec;62(6):1021-1026. [doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.113.01965]
- 8. He FJ, Li J, Macgregor GA. Effect of longer term modest salt reduction on blood pressure: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. BMJ 2013 Apr 03;346:f1325-f1325 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.f1325] [Medline: 23558162]
- 9. Strazzullo P, D'Elia L, Kandala N, Cappuccio FP. Salt intake, stroke, and cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of prospective studies. BMJ 2009 Nov 24;339(nov24 1):b4567-b4567 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.b4567] [Medline: 19934192]
- John J, Ziebland S, Yudkin P, Roe L, Neil H. Effects of fruit and vegetable consumption on plasma antioxidant concentrations and blood pressure: a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 2002 Jun;359(9322):1969-1974. [doi: <u>10.1016/s0140-6736(02)98858-6</u>]
- 11. Roerecke M, Kaczorowski J, Tobe SW, Gmel G, Hasan OSM, Rehm J. The effect of a reduction in alcohol consumption on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Public Health 2017 Feb;2(2):e108-e120. [doi: 10.1016/s2468-2667(17)30003-8]
- 12. Huxley RR, Woodward M. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for coronary heart disease in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. The Lancet 2011 Oct;378(9799):1297-1305. [doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(11)60781-2]
- 13. Quality and Outcomes Framework, 2020-21. NHS Digital. 2021 Sep 30. URL: <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/quality-and-outcomes-framework-achievement-prevalence-and-exceptions-data/2020-21</u> [accessed 2022-06-07]
- Nieuwlaat R, Wilczynski N, Navarro T, Hobson N, Jeffery R, Keepanasseril A, et al. Interventions for enhancing medication adherence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014 Nov 20(11):CD000011 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000011.pub4] [Medline: 25412402]
- 15. Acin M, Rueda J, Saiz L, Parent MV, Alzueta N, Solà I, et al. Alcohol intake reduction for controlling hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020(9). [doi: 10.1002/14651858.cd010022.pub2]
- Lee L, Mulvaney C, Wong Y, Chan E, Watson M, Lin H. Walking for hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2021(2). [doi: <u>10.1002/14651858.cd008823.pub2</u>]
- Kassavou A, Sutton S. Automated telecommunication interventions to promote adherence to cardio-metabolic medications: meta-analysis of effectiveness and meta-regression of behaviour change techniques. Health Psychol Rev 2018 Mar 12;12(1):25-42. [doi: 10.1080/17437199.2017.1365617] [Medline: 28805162]

- Armitage LC, Kassavou A, Sutton S. Do mobile device apps designed to support medication adherence demonstrate efficacy? A systematic review of randomised controlled trials, with meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2020 Jan 30;10(1):e032045 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032045] [Medline: 32005778]
- 19. Berry R, Kassavou A, Sutton S. Does self-monitoring diet and physical activity behaviors using digital technology support adults with obesity or overweight to lose weight? A systematic literature review with meta-analysis. Obes Rev 2021 Oct 30;22(10):e13306. [doi: 10.1111/obr.13306] [Medline: 34192411]
- 20. The text message is 20 years old today. Ofcom. 2012 Dec 03. URL: <u>https://www.ofcom.org.uk/about-ofcom/latest/media/media-releases/2012/the-text-message-is-20-years-old-today</u> [accessed 2021-08-22]
- 21. Medicines adherence: involving patients in decisions about prescribed medicines and supporting adherence. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. 2009 Jan 28. URL: <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg76</u> [accessed 2022-06-06]
- 22. Global strategy on digital health 2020-2025. World Health Organization. 2021. URL: <u>https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/344249/9789240020924-eng.pdf</u> [accessed 2021-08-23]
- Spaulding EM, Marvel FA, Piasecki RJ, Martin SS, Allen JK. User Engagement With Smartphone Apps and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factor Outcomes: Systematic Review. JMIR Cardio 2021 Feb 03;5(1):e18834 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/18834] [Medline: <u>33533730</u>]
- 24. French D, Sutton S. Reactivity of measurement in health psychology: how much of a problem is it? What can be done about it? Br J Health Psy. 2010 2010 Dec 24:15-468. [doi: 10.1348/135910710x492341]
- 25. McCambridge J, Kypri K. Can simply answering research questions change behaviour? Systematic review and meta analyses of brief alcohol intervention trials. PLoS One 2011 Oct 5;6(10):e23748 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023748] [Medline: 21998626]
- 26. McCambridge J, Witton J, Elbourne DR. Systematic review of the Hawthorne effect: new concepts are needed to study research participation effects. J Clin Epidemiol 2014 Mar;67(3):267-277 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.08.015] [Medline: 24275499]
- 27. Haase J, Farris KB, Dorsch MP. Mobile Applications to Improve Medication Adherence. Telemed J E Health 2017 Feb;23(2):75-79. [doi: <u>10.1089/tmj.2015.0227</u>] [Medline: <u>27248315</u>]
- Park JYE, Li J, Howren A, Tsao NW, De Vera M. Mobile Phone Apps Targeting Medication Adherence: Quality Assessment and Content Analysis of User Reviews. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2019 Jan 31;7(1):e11919 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/11919] [Medline: 30702435]
- 29. Alessa T, Hawley MS, Hock ES, de Witte L. Smartphone Apps to Support Self-Management of Hypertension: Review and Content Analysis. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2019 May 28;7(5):e13645 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/13645] [Medline: 31140434]
- Xu H, Long H. The Effect of Smartphone App-Based Interventions for Patients With Hypertension: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2020 Oct 19;8(10):e21759 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/21759] [Medline: 33074161]
- 31. JMIR Publications. URL: <u>http://www.jmir.org/search</u> [accessed 2022-06-07]
- 32. Michie S, Richardson M, Johnston M, Abraham C, Francis J, Hardeman W, et al. The behavior change technique taxonomy (v1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: building an international consensus for the reporting of behavior change interventions. Ann Behav Med 2013 Aug;46(1):81-95. [doi: 10.1007/s12160-013-9486-6] [Medline: 23512568]
- 33. Higgins J, Savović J, Page M, Elbers R, Sterne J. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, version 6.3 (updated February 2022). 2022. URL: <u>https://training.cochrane.org/ handbook/current/chapter-08</u> [accessed 2020-06-22]
- 34. RoB 2: A revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials. Cochrane Methods Bias. URL: <u>https://methods.cochrane.org/bias/resources/rob-2-revised-cochrane-risk-bias-tool-randomized-trials</u> [accessed 2020-06-16]
- 35. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003 Sep 06;327(7414):557-560 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557] [Medline: 12958120]
- 36. Higgins J, Li T, Deeks J. Chapter 6: Choosing effect measures and computing estimates of effect. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, version 6.3 (updated February 2022). 2022. URL: <u>https://training.cochrane.org/ handbook/current/chapter-06</u> [accessed 2020-06-14]
- 37. Deeks J, Higgins J, Douglas A. Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, version 6.3 (updated February 2022). 2022. URL: <u>https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-10</u> [accessed 2022-06-07]
- 38. Michie S, Prestwich A, de Bruin M. Importance of the nature of comparison conditions for testing theory-based interventions: reply. Health Psychol 2010 Sep;29(5):468-470. [doi: 10.1037/a0020844] [Medline: 20836600]
- 39. Cochrane RevMan. Cochrane Training. URL: <u>https://training.cochrane.org/online-learning/core-software-cochrane-reviews/</u> revman [accessed 2021-11-14]
- 40. Chandler J, Sox L, Kellam K, Feder L, Nemeth L, Treiber F. Impact of a Culturally Tailored mHealth Medication Regimen Self-Management Program upon Blood Pressure among Hypertensive Hispanic Adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019 Apr 06;16(7) [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph16071226] [Medline: 30959858]

- 41. Choi BG, Dhawan T, Metzger K, Marshall L, Akbar A, Jain T, et al. Image-Based Mobile System for Dietary Management in an American Cardiology Population: Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial to Assess the Efficacy of Dietary Coaching Delivered via a Smartphone App Versus Traditional Counseling. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2019 Apr 23;7(4):e10755 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/10755] [Medline: 31012860]
- 42. Dorsch MP, Cornellier ML, Poggi AD, Bilgen F, Chen P, Wu C, et al. Effects of a Novel Contextual Just-In-Time Mobile App Intervention (LowSalt4Life) on Sodium Intake in Adults With Hypertension: Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2020 Aug 10;8(8):e16696 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/16696] [Medline: 32663139]
- 43. Morawski K, Ghazinouri R, Krumme A, Lauffenburger JC, Lu Z, Durfee E, et al. Association of a Smartphone Application With Medication Adherence and Blood Pressure Control: The MedISAFE-BP Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med 2018 Jun 01;178(6):802-809 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.0447] [Medline: 29710289]
- 44. Persell SD, Peprah YA, Lipiszko D, Lee JY, Li JJ, Ciolino JD, et al. Effect of Home Blood Pressure Monitoring via a Smartphone Hypertension Coaching Application or Tracking Application on Adults With Uncontrolled Hypertension: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open 2020 Mar 02;3(3):e200255 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.0255] [Medline: 32119093]
- 45. Widmer RJ, Allison TG, Lennon R, Lopez-Jimenez F, Lerman LO, Lerman A. Digital health intervention during cardiac rehabilitation: A randomized controlled trial. Am Heart J 2017 Jun;188:65-72. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.ahj.2017.02.016</u>] [Medline: <u>28577682</u>]
- 46. Del Rosario MB, Lovell NH, Fildes J, Holgate K, Yu J, Ferry C, et al. Evaluation of an mHealth-Based Adjunct to Outpatient Cardiac Rehabilitation. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform 2018 Nov;22(6):1938-1948. [doi: <u>10.1109/jbhi.2017.2782209</u>]
- Santo K, Singleton A, Rogers K, Thiagalingam A, Chalmers J, Chow CK, et al. Medication reminder applications to improve adherence in coronary heart disease: a randomised clinical trial. Heart 2019 Feb 27;105(4):323-329. [doi: 10.1136/heartinl-2018-313479] [Medline: 30150326]
- 48. Petrella RJ, Stuckey MI, Shapiro S, Gill DP. Mobile health, exercise and metabolic risk: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health 2014 Oct 18;14:1082 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-1082] [Medline: 25326074]
- Gong K, Yan Y, Li Y, Du J, Wang J, Han Y, et al. Mobile health applications for the management of primary hypertension: A multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020 Apr;99(16):e19715 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000019715] [Medline: 32311957]
- 50. Eyles H, McLean R, Neal B, Jiang Y, Doughty RN, McLean R, et al. A salt-reduction smartphone app supports lower-salt food purchases for people with cardiovascular disease: Findings from the SaltSwitch randomised controlled trial. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2017 Sep;24(13):1435-1444. [doi: 10.1177/2047487317715713] [Medline: 28631933]
- Sarfo FS, Treiber F, Gebregziabher M, Adamu S, Nichols M, Singh A, PINGS Team. Phone-based intervention for blood pressure control among Ghanaian stroke survivors: A pilot randomized controlled trial. Int J Stroke 2019 Aug 22;14(6):630-638. [doi: 10.1177/1747493018816423] [Medline: 30465630]
- 52. Prabhakaran D, Jha D, Prieto-Merino D, Roy A, Singh K, Ajay VS, Members of the Research Steering Committee, Investigators, Members of the Data SafetyMonitoring Board. Effectiveness of an mHealth-Based Electronic Decision Support System for Integrated Management of Chronic Conditions in Primary Care: The mWellcare Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial. Circulation 2019 Jan 15;139(3):380-391 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.038192] [Medline: 30586732]
- 53. Tian M, Ajay VS, Dunzhu D, Hameed SS, Li X, Liu Z, et al. A Cluster-Randomized, Controlled Trial of a Simplified Multifaceted Management Program for Individuals at High Cardiovascular Risk (SimCard Trial) in Rural Tibet, China, and Haryana, India. Circulation 2015 Sep 01;132(9):815-824 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015373] [Medline: 26187183]
- Lunde P, Bye A, Bergland A, Grimsmo J, Jarstad E, Nilsson BB. Long-term follow-up with a smartphone application improves exercise capacity post cardiac rehabilitation: A randomized controlled trial. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2020 Nov 28;27(16):1782-1792 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/2047487320905717] [Medline: 32106713]
- Lawes CM, Hoorn SV, Rodgers A. Global burden of blood-pressure-related disease, 2001. The Lancet 2008 May;371(9623):1513-1518. [doi: <u>10.1016/s0140-6736(08)60655-8</u>]
- 56. Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. The Lancet 2002 Dec;360(9349):1903-1913. [doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(02)11911-8]
- Kassavou A, Court CA, Mirzaei V, Brimicombe J, Edwards S, Sutton S. Process Evaluation of MAPS: A Highly Tailored Digital Intervention to Support Medication Adherence in Primary Care Setting. Front Public Health 2021 Dec 20;9:806168 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.806168] [Medline: 34988058]

Abbreviations

RenderX

CENTRAL: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials **DBP:** diastolic blood pressure **NIHR:** National Institute for Health and Care Research

https://mhealth.jmir.org/2022/7/e34767

Kassavou et al

OR: odds ratio SBP: systolic blood pressure SMD: standardized mean difference

Edited by L Buis; submitted 08.11.21; peer-reviewed by C Basavarajappa, H Ayatollahi, A Joseph; comments to author 29.12.21; revised version received 05.02.22; accepted 02.05.22; published 12.07.22 <u>Please cite as:</u> Kassavou A, Wang M, Mirzaei V, Shpendi S, Hasan R The Association Between Smartphone App–Based Self-monitoring of Hypertension-Related Behaviors and Reductions in High Blood Pressure: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2022;10(7):e34767 URL: https://mhealth.jmir.org/2022/7/e34767 doi: 10.2196/34767 PMID:

©Aikaterini Kassavou, Michael Wang, Venus Mirzaei, Sonia Shpendi, Rana Hasan. Originally published in JMIR mHealth and uHealth (https://mhealth.jmir.org), 12.07.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR mHealth and uHealth, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://mhealth.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

